

# DNS privacy problem statement

Stéphane Bortzmeyer - AFNIC

dprive - IETF 91 - On the Waikiki beach

- 1 Now a working group, DPRIVE
- 2 Now a WG item, `draft-ietf-dprive-problem-statement`
- 3 It's a problem statement: it does not mention solutions
- 4 It's **not** requirements (requirements mean trade-offs, priorities, etc; the draft just states the issues)

# Now what?

- 1 Any strong problems with DNS privacy?
- 2 Any strong problems with the current text? Should we keep it more or less as it is?
- 3 Anything to add or withdraw?