Abstract

RFC 6374 specifies protocol mechanisms to enable efficient and accurate measurement of packet loss, one-way and two-way delay, as well as related metrics such as delay variation and channel throughput in MPLS networks. This document describes how these mechanisms can be used for performance measurement of delay and loss in Segment Routing with IPv6 data plane (SRv6) networks.
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1. Introduction

Service provider’s requirements to satisfy Service Level Agreements (SLAs) depend on the ability to measure and monitor performance metrics for packet loss and one-way and two-way delay, as well as related metrics such as delay variation and channel throughput. The ability to monitor these performance metrics also provides operators with greater visibility into the performance characteristics of their networks, thereby facilitating planning, troubleshooting, and network performance evaluation.

[RFC6374] specifies protocol mechanisms to enable the efficient and accurate measurement of these performance metrics in MPLS networks. The One-Way Active Measurement Protocol (OWAMP) defined in [RFC4656] and Two-Way Active Measurement Protocol (TWAMP) defined in [RFC5357] provide capabilities for the measurement of various performance metrics in IP networks. However, mechanisms defined in [RFC6374] are more suitable for Segment Routing when using MPLS data plane (SR-MPLS) [I-D.spring-sr-mpls-pm]. This document describes how the mechanisms in [RFC6374] can be used for Performance Measurement (PM) of delay and loss in Segment Routing with the IPv6 data plane (SRv6) networks.

2. Conventions Used in This Document

2.1. Key Word Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2.2. Abbreviations

DM: Delay Measurement.
LM: Loss Measurement.
PM: Performance Measurement.
SID: Segment ID.
SL: Segment Left.
SR: Segment Routing.
SRH: Segment Routing Header.
SRv6: Segment Routing with IPv6 Data plane.

TC: Traffic Class.

2.3. Terminology and Reference Topology

In this document, the simple topology shown in Figure 1 is used for illustration.

```
+------------------------| N100 |------------------------+
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>====== link1====== link3------ link5====== link9------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>====== link2====== link4------ link6======link10------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+------------------------+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Figure 1: Reference Topology

In the reference topology:

Nodes N1, N2, and N4 are SRv6 capable nodes.

Nodes N3, N5 and N6 are classic IPv6 nodes.

Node 100 is a controller.

Node Nk has a classic IPv6 loopback address Bk::/128

Node Nk has Ak::/48 for its local SID space from which Local SIDs are explicitly allocated.

The IPv6 address of the nth Link between node X and Y at the X side is represented as 2001:DB8:X:Y:Xn::, e.g., the IPv6 address of link6 (the 2nd link) between N3 and N4 at N3 in Figure 1 is 2001:DB8:3:4:32::: Similarly, the IPv6 address of link5 (the 1st link between N3 and N4) at node N3 is 2001:DB8:3:4:31:::

Ak::0 is explicitly allocated as the END function at Node k.

Ak::Cij is explicitly allocated as the END.X function at node k.
towards neighbor node i via jth Link between node i and node j. e.g., A2::C31 represents END.X at N2 towards N3 via link3 (the 1st link between N2 and N3). Similarly, A4::C52 represents the END.X at N4 towards N5 via link10.

<S1, S2, S3> represents a SID list where S1 is the first SID and S3 is the last SID. (S3, S2, S1; SL) represents the same SID list but encoded in the SRH format where the rightmost SID (S1) in the SRH is the first SID and the leftmost SID (S3) in the SRH is the last SID.

(SA, DA) (S3, S2, S1; SL) represents an IPv6 packet, SA is the IPv6 Source Address, DA the IPv6 Destination Address, (S3, S2, S1; SL) is the SRH header that includes the SID list <S1, S2, S3>.

SR policy is defined in Section 3 of [I-D.spring-segment-routing-policy].

3. Performance Delay Measurement

3.1. One-Way Delay Measurement

The one-way delay measurement for Packet IP network is defined in [RFC7679]. It is further exemplified using the following Figure 2.

```
   ------
   | N100 |
   ------

      +-------+/  Query        \+--------+
      |       | - - - - - - - - - -> |
      |       | <=- - - - - - - - - <= |
      +-------+\  Response Option-1 /+--------+
   T4                 T3
```

Figure 2: Delay Measurement Reference Model

Nodes N1 and N4 may not be directly connected, as shown in the reference topology in Figure 1. When nodes N1 and N4 are not directly connected, the one-way delay measurement reflects the delay observed by the packet over an arbitrary SRv6 segment-list (SR policy) [I-D.spring-segment-routing-policy]. In other words, the one-way delay is associated with the forward (nodes N1 to N4) direction of the SRv6 segment-list.
In Figure 2, T1 refers to the time when the packet is transmitted from node N1. Timestamp is added as late as possible at the egress pipeline (in hardware) at node N1. T2 refers to the time when the packet is received at node N4. Timestamp at the receiver (node N4) is added as soon as possible at the ingress pipeline (in hardware).

The one-way delay metric can be computed as follows [RFC7679], [RFC6374]:

One-way delay = T2 - T1

### 3.2. Two-Way Delay Measurement

For simplified processing in hardware, the responder copies timestamps T1 to T3 and T2 to T4 in the DM TLV before replying, such that timestamps T1 and T2 are added at the same location in the DM TLV for the reverse direction by node N4 and node N1, respectively [RFC6374].

The two-way delay metric can be computed as follows [RFC6374]:

Two-way delay = (T4 - T3) + (T2 - T1)

### 3.3. Delay Measurement Message Format

[I-D.6man-segment-routing-header] defines Segment Routing Header (SRH) for SRv6. SRH can contain TLVs, as specified in [I-D.6man-segment-routing-header]. This document defines Delay Measurement (DM) TLV that is carried in SRH for delay measurement. The DM TLV uses a modified DM message format specified in [RFC6374] and is defined as follows:

```
0                   1                   2                   3
+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+
|       Type    |    Length     |           RESERVED            |               |
+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+
|Version| Flags | Control Code |           RESERVED            |               |
+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+
|  QTF  |  RTF  | RPTF  |               Reserved                |               |
+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+
|                       Session Identifier          |    TC     |               |
+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+
|                           Timestamp 1                         |               |
+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+-------------------------------+
```
Figure 3: Delay Measurement TLV Format

The meanings of the fields are summarized in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>SRH DM TLV type (Value TBA1 by IANA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Total length of the TLV in bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>Protocol version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flags</td>
<td>Message control flags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Code</td>
<td>Code identifying the query or response type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QTF</td>
<td>Querier timestamp format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTF</td>
<td>Responder timestamp format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPTF</td>
<td>Responder’s preferred timestamp format</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Reserved for future specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session Identifier</td>
<td>Set arbitrarily by the querier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Class (TC) Field</td>
<td>Traffic Class being measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timestamp 1-4</td>
<td>64-bit timestamp values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUB-TLV Block</td>
<td>Optional block of Type-Length-Value fields</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reserved fields MUST be set to 0 and ignored upon receipt. The possible values for the remaining fields are as follows.

Version: Set to 1.

Flags: As specified in [RFC6374]. The T flag in a DM message is set to 1 to indicate the DM is for the given Traffic Class.

Control Code: As specified in [RFC6374].

Message Length: Set to the total length of this message in bytes, including the Version, Flags, Control Code, and Message Length fields as well as the TLV Block, if any.

Querier Timestamp Format: The format of the timestamp values written
by the querier, as specified in Section 3.4 of [RFC6374].

Responder Timestamp Format: The format of the timestamp values written by the responder, as specified in Section 3.4 of [RFC6374].

Responder’s Preferred Timestamp Format: The timestamp format preferred by the responder, as specified in Section 3.4 of [RFC6374].

Session Identifier: Set arbitrarily in a query and copied in the response, if any. This field uniquely identifies a measurement operation (also called a session) that consists of a sequence of messages. All messages in the sequence have the same Session Identifier [RFC6374].

TC: Traffic Class being measured.

Timestamp 1-4 (T1-T4): The mapping of timestamps to the Timestamp 1-4 fields is designed to ensure that transmit timestamps are always written at the same fixed offset in the packet, and likewise for receive timestamps. This property is important for hardware processing.

SUB-TLV Block: Zero or more TLV fields. This document assumes the use of the DM message TLVs defined in [RFC6374].

3.3.1. Timestamps

[RFC6374], Section 3.4 defines timestamp format that can be used for delay measurement. The IEEE 1588 Precision Time Protocol (PTP) timestamp format [IEEE1588] is used by default as described in Appendix A of [RFC6374], but it may require hardware support. As an alternative, Network Time Protocol (NTP) timestamp format is also supported in [RFC6374].

Note that for one-way delay measurement, Clock synchronization between the querier and responder nodes using methods detailed in [RFC6374] is required. Two-way delay measurement does not require clock to be synchronized between the querier and responder nodes.

3.4. Delay Measurement Query Procedure

For delay measurement using synthetic probes, a DM TLV is inserted in the SRH to record timestamps and SID function END.OTP as described in the pseudo code in [I-D.spring-srv6-network-programming] is used to punt probe packets.

3.4.1. Example Procedure
To measure delay from node N1 over an SRv6 Policy [I-D.spring-segment-routing-policy] that goes through a segment-list <A2::C31, A4::C52> to node N4, the following procedure is defined for sending queries:

- Node N1 constructs a DM probe packet with (B1::0, A2::C31)(A4::C52, A2::C31, SL=1; NH=NONE, DM TLV). To punt the DM probe packet at node N4, node N1 inserts the SID function END.OTP [I-D.spring-srv6-network-programming] just before the target SID A4::C52 in the SRH. Hence, the packet as it leaves node N1 looks like (B1::0, A2::C31)(A4::C52, A4::OTP, A2::C31; SL=2; NH=NONE, DM TLV (with T1 from node N1)). The PM synthetic probe query message does not contain any payload data.

- When node N4 receives the packet (B1::0, A4::OTP)(A4::C52, A4::OTP, A2::C31; SL=1; NH=NONE, DM TLV), it processes the SID function END.OTP, as described in the pseudo code in [I-D.spring-srv6-network-programming]. In doing so, it punts the timestamped packet (with T2 from node N4) to the Performance Measurement (PM) process in control plane for processing.

4. Performance Loss Measurement

4.1. One-Way Loss Measurement

The one-way loss measurement is exemplified using the following Figure 4.

```
-------
|N100|
-------

^ Response Option-2

C1          C2
+----------/+ Query \\+----------+
  | N1 | - - - - - - - - - -> | N4 |
  |< - - - - - - - - - |<
+--------+\ Response Option-1 /--------+
C4          C3
```

Figure 4: Loss Measurement Reference Model

Nodes N1 and N4 may not be directly connected, as shown in the reference topology in Figure 1. When nodes N1 and N4 are not directly connected, the one-way loss measurement reflects the loss
observed by the packets over an arbitrary SRv6 segment-list (SR policy) [I-D.spring-segment-routing-policy]. In other words, the one-way loss is associated with the forward (nodes N1 to N4) direction of the SRv6 segment-list.

In Figure 4, C1[n] refers to the packet (or byte) count of traffic transmitted from node N1 for color C in the nth probe message. C2[n] refers to the packet (or byte) count of the traffic received at node N4 for the same color C in the nth probe message.

The one-way receive loss metric using counters for the same color can be computed as follows [RFC6374]:

\[
\text{One-way receive loss}[n-1, n] = (C2[n] - C2[n-1]) - (C1[n] - C1[n-1])
\]

4.2. Two-Way Loss Measurement

For simplified processing in hardware, the responder copies counter C1 to C3 and C2 to C4 in the LM TLV before replying, such that counters C1 and C2 for the same color are added at the same location in the LM TLV for the reverse direction by node N4 and node N1, respectively [RFC6374].

The two-way receive loss metric using counters for the same color can be computed as follows [RFC6374]:

\[
\text{Two-way receive loss}[n-1, n] = (C4[n] - C4[n-1]) - (C3[n] - C3[n-1]) + (C2[n] - C2[n-1]) - (C1[n] - C1[n-1])
\]

4.3. Loss Measurement Message Format

[I-D.6man-segment-routing-header] defines Segment Routing Header (SRH) for SRv6. SRH can contain TLVs, as specified in [I-D.6man-segment-routing-header]. This document defines Loss Measurement (LM) TLV for SRH. The LM TLV uses a modified LM message format specified in [RFC6374] and is defined as follows:

```
0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|       Type    |    Length     |           RESERVED          |C|
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|Version| Flags |  Control Code |           RESERVED            |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| DFLags|  OTF  |                 RESERVED                      |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

The meanings of the fields are summarized in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>SRH LM TLV type (Value TBA2 by IANA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>Total length of the TLV in bytes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color (C)</td>
<td>Color flag of the Counters 1-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Version</td>
<td>Protocol version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flags</td>
<td>Message control flags</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Code</td>
<td>Code identifying the query or response type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Format Flags (DFlags)</td>
<td>Flags specifying the format of message data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origin Timestamp Format (OTF)</td>
<td>Format of the Origin Timestamp field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Reserved for future specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session Identifier</td>
<td>Set arbitrarily by the querier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic Class (TC) Field</td>
<td>Traffic Class being measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counters 1-4</td>
<td>64-bit counter values for the same Color C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUB-TLV Block</td>
<td>Optional block of Type-Length-Value fields</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reserved fields MUST be set to 0 and ignored upon receipt. The possible values for the remaining fields are as follows.

Version: Set to 1.

Flags: As specified in [RFC6374]. The T flag in a LM message is set.
to 1 to indicate the LM is for the given Traffic Class.

Control Code: As specified in [RFC6374].

Message Length: Set to the total length of this message in bytes, including the Version, Flags, Control Code, and Message Length fields as well as the TLV Block, if any.

DFlags: The format of the DFlags field is shown below.

```
+---+-+-+-+
|X|B|0|0|
+---+-+-+-+
```

Data Format Flags

The meanings of the DFlags bits are:

X: Extended counter format indicator. Set to 0 when the LM message is transmitted or received over an interface that writes 32-bit counter values. It is set to 1 for 64-bit counter values.

B: Octet (byte) count. When set to 1, indicates that the Counter 1-4 fields represent octet counts. When set to 0, indicates that the Counter 1-4 fields represent packet counts.

Origin Timestamp: The timestamp value written by the querier, as specified in Section 3.4 of [RFC6374].

Session Identifier: Set arbitrarily in a query and copied in the response, if any. This field uniquely identifies a measurement operation (also called a session) that consists of a sequence of messages. All messages in the sequence have the same Session Identifier [RFC6374].

TC: Traffic Class being measured.

Counter 1-4 (C1-C4): 64-bit fields for LM counter values for the same color C.

SUB-TLV Block: Zero or more TLV fields. This document assumes the use of the LM message TLVs defined in [RFC6374].

4.4. Loss Measurement Query Procedure

For loss measurement using synthetic probes, an LM TLV in the SRH is
used to record packet (or byte) counters per color and SID function END.OTP as described in the pseudo code in [I-D.spring-srv6-network-programming] is used to punt probe packets.

4.4.1. Example Procedure

To measure packet loss from node N1 over an SRv6 Policy [I-D.spring-segment-routing-policy] that goes through a segment-list (A2::C31, A4::C52) to node N4, following procedure is defined for sending queries:

- Node N1 constructs a LM probe packet with (B1::0, A2::C31)(A4::C52, A2::C31, SL=1; NH=None, LM TLV). To punt the LM probe packet at node N4, node N1 inserts the SID function END.OTP [I-D.spring-srv6-network-programming] just before the target SID A4::C52 in the SRH. Hence, the packet as it leaves node N1 looks like (B1::0, A2::C31)(A4::C52, A4::OTP, A2::C51; SL=2; NH=None, LM TLV (with C1 from node N1 for Color C)). The PM synthetic probe query message does not contain any payload data.

- When node N4 receives the packet (B1::0, A4::OTP)(A4::C52, A4::OTP, A2::C31; SL=1; NH=None, LM TLV), it processes the SID function END.OTP, as described in the pseudo code in [I-D.spring-srv6-network-programming]. In doing so, it punts the packet (with C2 from node N4 for Color C) to the Performance Measurement (PM) process in control plane for processing.

5. Probe Reply Message

For one-way measurement, the receiver (node N4 in Figure 2 and Figure 4) may send a response to the sender or to a controller (N100 in Figure 2 and Figure 4). For two-way measurement, the receiver sends a response to the sender.

5.1. One-way Measurement Probe Reply

For one-way performance measurement [RFC7679], the PM querier node can receive "out-of-bands" probe replies by properly setting the UDP Return Object (URO) TLV in the probe message. The URO TLV (Type=131) is defined in [RFC7876] and includes the UDP-Destination-Port and IP Address. In particular, if the querier sets its own IP address in the URO TLV the probe response is sent back by the responder node to the querier node as shown in Figure 2 and Figure 4 as option-1.

The PM process in the control plane on the responder node copies the content of the DM or LM TLV from SRH into the payload of the PM reply message.
5.1.1. Probe Reply Message to Controller

As shown in Figure 2 and Figure 4 as option-2, if the querier node N1 requires the probe reply to be sent to the controller N100, it sets the IP address of N100 in the Address field of the URO TLV of the PM probe query message.

The PM process in the control plane on the responder node copies the content of the DM or LM TLV from SRH into the payload of the PM reply message.

5.2. Two-way Measurement Probe Reply

For two-way performance measurement [RFC6374], when using a bidirectional channel, the probe reply message is sent back to the querier node using a message similar to the probe query message as an SRv6 packet. In this case, the "control code" in the probe message is set to "in-band response requested" [RFC6374].

6. Security Considerations

This document defines procedures for performance delay and loss measurement for SRv6 networks using the message formats defined in [RFC6374]. This document does not introduce any additional security considerations other than those covered in [RFC6374].

7. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to allocate values for the new SRH TLV Types for Delay Measurement TLV (TBA1) and Loss Measurement TLV (TBA2).
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