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Abstract

This document specifies the constraints necessary for a Javascript application to successfully indicate to a browser that supports WebRTC what resolutions it desires on a video stream.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].
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1. Introduction

There are a number of scenarios where it’s useful for a WebRTC application to indicate to the WebRTC implementation in the supported browser what the desired characteristics of a video stream are. These include, but are not limited to:

- Specifying a minimum desired resolution for a given application, in order to control the user experience or resource tradeoffs made by the browser to favour a particular stream
- Specifying a maximum desired resolution for a given stream, in order to save some resource (bandwidth, CPU...), possibly outside of the browser where the browser can’t tell that it’s exceeding a constraint
- Specifying resolutions that are a reasonable fit for the current usage of the video stream, for instance fitting with the number of pixels available on the part of a device’s display surface that is devoted to displaying this video stream
- Specifying the shape of a video stream, in order to fit the video onto a display surface without the need for black bars or image distortion

Similar considerations apply for framerate.

1.1. Disposition of this text

This draft is written in order to get something specific out to refer to during spec-writing and implementation. The text may eventually get merged into either the IETF document on SDP usage by RTCWEB, or the W3C WEBRTC document on PeerConnection.

2. Usage considerations

These constraints are usable in several places:

- As constraints to the getUserMedia call [W3C.WD-mediacapture-streams-20120628], where they serve to guide the configuration of the camera obtained, and may influence the choice of camera.
- As constraints to the addStream call on a PeerConnection [W3C.WD-webrtc-20120821], where they serve to guide the configuration of the codec that encodes the video content for transmission.
3. Usage examples

See Section 4 for the actual definition of the constraints used here.

3.1. Examples with GetUserMedia

A constraint saying that we absolutely must have a minimum resolution of 1024x768:

```javascript
getUserMedia({
  video: { mandatory: { minWidth: 1024, minHeight: 768 } }
}, successCallback, errorCallback);
```

A constraint saying that we’d prefer 60 frames per second, if available, and if we can get that, we’d like to limit the max resolution, but in all cases, the screen must be clamped to a 4:3 aspect ratio - 16:9 or odd aspect ratios are not acceptable to this application:

```javascript
getUserMedia({
  video: {
    mandatory: { minAspectRatio: 1.333, maxAspectRatio: 1.334 },
    optional [
      { minFrameRate: 60 },
      { maxWidth: 640 },
      { maxHeight: 480 }
    ]
  }, successCallback, errorCallback);
```

3.2. Possible SDP mappings

This document does not specify or constrain how constraints get reflected into SDP (if they do); that’s an implementor decision.

The examples below are thought exercises, based on [I-D.lennox-mmusic-sdp-source-selection] and [I-D.alvestrand-rtcweb-resolution].

An optional constraint has been applied to an incoming stream where both upper and lower are constrained to 320x200. The stream has been assigned to a hardware video decoder that can decode most resolutions up to 1024x768, in any aspect ratio, but only if all divisions are divisible by 4. The incoming stream has SSRC 1234.

Line breaks are added for readability.

m=video
a=remote-ssrc:1234 imageattr:* [x=320,y=200,q=1.0] \ 
  [x=[120:4:1024],y=[100:4:768],q=0.2]

4. IANA Considerations

This document requests IANA to register constraints in the "RTCWeb Media Constraints" registry created by [I-D.burnett-rtcweb-constraints-registry]. NOTE: The registrations assume that this document is updated to no longer have "video" as part of the name, but have "video" as a field-of-use in the registration.

The definitions of width, height and aspect ratio are taken from [RFC6236].

- MinWidth - valid for video. Corresponds to the "x" value (pixel count) from RFC 6236. Only integer values are valid.

- MaxWidth - valid for video. Definition as for MinWidth.

- MinHeight - valid for video. Corresponds to the "y" value (pixel count) from RFC 6236. Only integer values are valid.

- MaxHeight - valid for video. Definition as for MinHeight.
o MinAspectRatio - valid for video. Corresponds to the "par" (picture aspect ratio), with "sar" set to 1.0. A 4:3 format display corresponds to an AspectRatio of 1.3333. Floating point values are valid.

o MaxAspectRatio - valid for video. Definition as for MinAspectRatio.

o MinFramerate - valid for video. Corresponds to the framerate defined in [RFC4566], the "a=framerate" attribute.

o MaxFramerate - valid for video. Definition as for MinFramerate.

The contact person is Harald Alvestrand <hta@google.com>.

Change control for the registration is with the IETF, as designated by the IESG.

Note that MinFramerate defines a lower bound for the a=framerate attribute, which is itself defined as an upper limit; this means that even if a high framerate is negotiated, the actual framerate used may be lower due to temporary considerations (for instance CPU or bandwidth, or simply lack of movement in the picture).

5. Security Considerations

No security considerations particular to these specific constraints have so far been identified.
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