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Abstract

This document updates RFC 8029 and RFC 8611 that define IANA registries for MPLS LSP Ping. The updates are mostly for clarification and to align this registry with recent developments.
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When RFC 8029 [RFC8029] was published it contained among other things updates to the "Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) Label Switched Paths (LSPs) Ping Parameters" IANA name space [IANA-LSP-PING].

RFC 8611 [RFC8611] updated the LSP Ping IANA registries to match RFC 8029, but the registrations can be further clarified and their definitions more precise.

This document updates RFC 8029 [[RFC8029] and RFC 8611 [RFC8611] by updating two groups of registries.

First the registries for Message Types [IANA-MT], Reply Modes [IANA-RM] and Return Codes [IANA-RC]. The changes to these registries are minor.

Second, this document updates the TLV and sub-TLV registries.

- TLVs [IANA-TLV-reg]
The registry for sub-TLVs for TLV 9 [IANA-Sub-9] is not updated.

1.1. Requirement Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Updating the Message Types, Reply Mode and Return Codes Registries

The following changes are made to the Message Types, Reply Modes and Return Codes [IANA-MT] registries.

- A small set of code points (4 code points) for experimental use is added, actually they are taken from the range for "Private Use".

- The registration procedure "Specification Required" is changed to "RFC Required" and the note "Experimental RFC needed" is removed.

- In the listing of assignments the term "Vendor Private Use" is changed to "Private Use".

- The registration procedures "Private Use" and "Experimental Use" are added to the table of registration procedures.

- A note "Not to be assigned" is added for the registration procedures "Private Use" and "Experimental Use".

- In the list that captures the assignment status, the fields that are reserved, i.e. 0, Private Use and Experimental Use are clearly marked.
In the Return Codes [IANA-RC] registry the code point "0" already been assigned. This assignment is not changed and this registry will not have the "0" value "Reserved".

The new Registration Procedures layout and the new assignments for these registries will be found in Section 5.1.

3. Updating the TLV and sub-TLV registries

When a new LSP Ping sub-TLV registry were created by RFC 8611 [RFC8611] this registry "Sub-TLVs for TLV Type 6" [IANA-Sub-6] was set up following the intentions of RFC 8029.

The registry for "Sub-TLVs for TLV Type 6" will serve as a model to change/update the rest of the TLV and sub-TLV registries in this name space.

The registration procedures in the current registry for "Sub-TLVs for TLV Type 6" looks like this (2019-06-20). This will be used as a base-line and some additions/changes will be made as captured in the Appendixes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Registration Procedures</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-16383</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
<td>This range is for mandatory TLVs or for optional TLVs that require an error message if not recognized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16384-31743</td>
<td>RFC Required</td>
<td>This range is for mandatory TLVs or for optional TLVs that require an error message if not recognized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31744-32767</td>
<td>Private Use</td>
<td>Not to be assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32768-49161</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
<td>This range is for optional TLVs that can be silently dropped if not recognized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49162-64511</td>
<td>RFC Required</td>
<td>This range is for optional TLVs that can be silently dropped if not recognized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64512-65535</td>
<td>Private Use</td>
<td>Not to be assigned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-TLVs for TLV Type 6 Registration Procedures

This document adds small ranges of code points for Experimental Use to this registry and to registries listed in Section 5.2.
All registries will be changed to reflect the same model.

3.1. General principles the LSP Ping TLV and sub-TLV registries

The following principles are valid for all the LSP Ping TLV and sub-TLV IANA registries:

- All mandatory TLVs and sub-TLVs requires a response if the are not recognized.
- Some optional TLVs and sub-TLVs requires a response if the are not recognized.
- Some optional TLVs and sub-TLVs may be silently dropped if the are not recognized.

The range of each TLV and sub-TLV registry is divided into two blocks, one with a range from 0 to 49161 for TLVs and sub-TLVs that require a response if not recognized. Another block in the range from 49161 to 65535, this block is for TLVs and sub-TLVs that may be silently dropped if not recognized.

Each of the blocks have code point spaces with the following registration procedures:

- Standards Action
- RFC Required
- Experimental Use
- Private Use

The exact definition of registration procedures for IANA registries are found in [RFC8126]

3.1.1. Unrecognized Experimental and Private TLVs and sub-TLVs

Unrecognized TLVs and sub-TLVs for Experimental Use and Private Use are handled as any other unrecognized TLV or sub-TLV.

- If the unrecognized TLV or sub-TLV is from the Experimental Use range (37144-37147) or from the Private Use range (31748-32767) a the Return Code of 2 ("One or more of the TLVs was not understood") will be sent in the echo response.
o If the unrecognized TLV or sub-TLV is from the Experimental Use range (64512–64515) or from the Private Use range (64515–65535) the TLVs SHOULD be silently ignored.

IETF does not prescribe how recognized or unrecognized Experimental Use and Private Use TLVs and sub-TLVs are handled in experimental or private networks, that is up to the agency running the experiment or the private network. The statement above relates to how standard compliant implementations will treat the unrecognized TLVs and sub-TLVs from these ranges.

3.2. Changes to the LSP Ping registries

This section lists the changes to each MPLS LSP Ping Registry, in Section 5.1, Section 5.2 and Section 5.3 the changes are detailed and it is shown what the IANA registry version of the registration procedures and assignments would look like.

3.2.1. Common changes to the TLV and sub-TLV registries

The following changes are made to the TLV and sub-TLV registries.

o two small set of code points (2 times 4 code points) for experimental use is added, actually they are take from the range for "Private Use".

o the registration procedure "Specification Required" is changed to "RFC Required" and the note "Experimental RFC needed" is removed

o In the listing of assignements the term "Vendor Private Use" is changed to "Private Use"

o In the listing of assignments the range for "Experimental Use" is added

o the registration procedures "Private Use" and "Experimental Use" are added to the table of registration procedures

o A note "Not to be assigned" is added for the registration procedures "Experimental Use" and "Private Use"

o In the list that capture assignment status, the fields that are reserved, i.e. 0, Experimental Use and Private Use are clearly marked.

The new Registration Procedures description and the new assignments for these registries will be found in Section 5.2 and Section 5.3.
4. Security Considerations

TBA

5. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to update the LSP Ping name space as described in this document and documented in the Appendixes.

5.1. New Message Type, Reply Mode and Return Codes registries

This section details the updated registration procedures for Message Type, Reply Mode and Return Codes registries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Registration Procedures</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-191</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>192-247</td>
<td>RFC Required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248-251</td>
<td>Experimental Use</td>
<td>Not to be assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252-255</td>
<td>Private Use</td>
<td>Not to be assigned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New common registration procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-247</td>
<td>No changes to the existing assignments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248-251</td>
<td>Reserved for Experimental Use</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>252-255</td>
<td>Reserved for Private Use</td>
<td>[RFC8029]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Common Assignments for the Message Types, Reply Mode and Return Code registries

Note that for the Return Code registry the assignment for code point zero has been previously assigned, it is not changed but will remain:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No return code</td>
<td>[RFC8029]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assignment for code point 0 in the Return Code registry

5.2. Common Registration Procedures for TLVs and sub-TLVs

This section describes the new registration procedures for the TLV and sub-TLV registries. The registry for sub-TLV 9 ([IANA-Sub-9]) is not changed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Registration Procedures</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-16383</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
<td>This range is for mandatory TLVs or for optional TLVs that require an error message if not recognized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16384-31743</td>
<td>RFC Required</td>
<td>This range is for mandatory TLVs or for optional TLVs that require an error message if not recognized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37144-37147</td>
<td>Experimental Use</td>
<td>Not to be assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31748-32767</td>
<td>Private Use</td>
<td>Not to be assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32768-49161</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
<td>This range is for optional TLVs that can be silently dropped if not recognized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49162-64511</td>
<td>RFC Required</td>
<td>This range is for optional TLVs that can be silently dropped if not recognized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64512-64515</td>
<td>Experimental Use</td>
<td>Not to be assigned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64515-65535</td>
<td>Private Use</td>
<td>Not to be assigned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TLV and sub-TLV Registration Procedures

5.3. IANA assignments for TLVs and sub-TLVs

The two tables in this section describes the updated IANA assignments for the TLV and sub-TLV registries. The registry for sub-TLV 9 ([IANA-Sub-9]) is not changed.
### TLV Assignments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>TLV name</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>sub-TLV registry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>This document</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-31743</td>
<td>[any]</td>
<td>No changes to the current registry</td>
<td>[any]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37144-37147</td>
<td>Reserved for Experimental Use</td>
<td>This document</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31748-32767</td>
<td>Reserved for Private Use</td>
<td>This document</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32768-64511</td>
<td>[any]</td>
<td>No changes to the current registry.</td>
<td>[any]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64512-64515</td>
<td>Reserved for Experimental Use</td>
<td>This document</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64515-65535</td>
<td>Reserved for Private Use</td>
<td>This document</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Updated Sub-TLV assignments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>TLV name</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-31743</td>
<td>[any]</td>
<td>No changes to the current registry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37144-37147</td>
<td>Reserved for Experimental Use</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31748-32767</td>
<td>Reserved for Private Use</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32768-64511</td>
<td>[any]</td>
<td>No changes to the current registry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64512-64515</td>
<td>Reserved for Experimental Use</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64515-65535</td>
<td>Reserved for Private Use</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Sub-TLV Assignments
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