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1. Status of This Memo

This document is an Internet Draft. Internet Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its Areas, and its Working Groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet Drafts.

Internet Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months. Internet Drafts may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is not appropriate to use Internet Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as a "working draft" or "work in progress."

Please check the lid-abstracts.txt listing contained in the internet-drafts Shadow Directories to learn the current status of any Internet Draft.

2. Abstract

At the protocol level, DNS domain names and records may contain arbitrary binary data. However, many domain names and records are, or refer to, hostnames, which are restricted by RFCs 952 and 1123 to contain only certain characters. This document identifies the types of domain names and records which are hostnames, and specifies the circumstances under which hostname validation checks should be performed.

3. Scope

This document addresses restrictions that apply to records of class IN. Similar restrictions may apply to other classes but no attempt has been made to address them here.

"hostname" is an ASCII string as specified by [RFC-952] and modified by [RFC-1123].

Field names are as described by [RFC-1035].

The terms "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "MUST" and "MUST NOT" are defined in [RFC-1123] and specify the latitude developers may take.
4. Owner Name: Unconditional

The owner names of the following resource records MUST be hostnames:

A [RFC-1035]
WKS [RFC-1035]
MD [RFC-1035] (Obsolete)
MF [RFC-1035] (Obsolete)
MINFO [RFC-1035] all but the first label MUST be a hostname
MR [RFC-1035] all but the first label MUST be a hostname
MX [RFC-974]
AAAA [RFC-1886]
X25 [RFC-1183]
ISDN [RFC-1183]
RT [RFC-1183]
AFSDB [RFC-1183]

Records which do not conform MUST NOT be accepted or sent by
nameservers, and queries containing non-conforming names MUST NOT be
generated by library routines. Nameservers MUST return FORMERR to
these queries.

If a query of type ANY is made, non-conforming records with the types
specified above MUST be discarded by library routines before the
results are returned to the application.

5. Owner Name: Conditional

The owner names of the following resource records MUST be hostnames
when the following conditions are met. Library routines must return
an error indication if passed a non-conforming name.

When looking up network numbers or subnet masks [RFC-1101] the lookup
name MUST be verified as conforming or an error indication MUST be
returned.

6. Hostnames in data fields: Unconditional

The following records contain entries in their data components that
MUST refer to hostnames. Nameservers MUST reject records which fail
to conform and MUST NOT forward non-conforming records. FORMERR MUST
be returned if non-conforming records are received.

SOA MNAME field MUST be a hostname.
SOA RNAME field. All but the first label MUST be a hostname.
MX EXCHANGE field MUST be a hostname.
NS NSDNAME field MUST be a hostname.
MB MADNAME field MUST be a hostname.
MD    MADNAME field MUST be a hostname (Obsolete).
MF    MADNAME field MUST be a hostname (Obsolete).
MG    MGMNAME field. All but the first label MUST be a hostname.
MINFO RMAILBX fields. All but the first label MUST be a hostname.
MINFO EMAILBX fields. All but the first label MUST be a hostname.
AFSDB <hostname> field [RFC-1183] MUST be a hostname.
RP    <mbox-dname> field [RFC-1183]. All but the first label MUST be a hostname same as SOA RNAME field. Empty <mbox-dname> field, e.g. ".", need not be checked.
RT    <intermediate-host> field [RFC-1183] MUST be a hostname.

If a query of type ANY is made, non-conforming records with the types specified above MUST be discarded by library routines before the results are returned to the application.

7. Hostnames in the data field: Conditional

The following resource record MAY contain hostnames in its data fields. Library routines MUST ignore the resource record and indicate an error to the calling routine.

PTR records in the IP6.INT [RFC1886] and IN-ADDR.ARPA [RFC1033] domains are used for mapping addresses into host and network names. The data fields of PTR records in these two domains MUST be hostnames. Records which do not conform MUST NOT be accepted or sent by nameservers. FORMERR MUST be returned if received. In addition the data fields of PTR records refered by CNAMEs within this space MUST also conform [EIDNES]. Servers and libraries MUST ensure conformance. REFUSED MUST be returned in this case.

When looking up address records, A or AAAA, the CNAME data field MUST be checked for conformance and the query terminated if required. REFUSED MUST be returned in this case.

8. Security Considerations

This document addresses security issues raised by the use of non-conforming hostnames.

Some applications use hostnames as returned by the DNS without checking their conformance. This has caused security problems in those applications. This document addresses these problems by requiring DNS resolvers and nameservers to enforce conformance, and specifying exactly which parts of the DNS namespace are subject to these restrictions.

This document is believed to introduce no additional security problems to the current DNS protocol, except perhaps by lulling
application developers into a false sense of security by having DNS servers and resolver libraries implement conformance checks that applications should implement in any case. DNS servers and resolver libraries may be out-of-date, or compromised by malicious users, and no application should depend on them actually performing conformance checks.

Requiring DNS servers and resolver libraries to perform the checks is only an attempt to protect against faulty applications which fail to perform these checks.
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