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Abstract

This document specifies the payload format for packetization of KLV (Key-Length-Value) Encoded Data, as defined by the Society of Motion Picture and Television Engineers (SMPTE) in SMPTE 336M, into the Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP).
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1. Introduction

This document specifies the payload format for packetization of KLV (Key-Length-Value) Encoded Data, as defined by the Society of Motion Picture and Television Engineers (SMPTE) in [SMPTE336M], into the Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) [RFC3550].

The payload format is defined in such a way that arbitrary KLV data can be carried. No restrictions are placed on which KLV data keys can be used.

A brief description of SMPTE 336M, KLV Encoded Data, is given. The payload format itself, including use of the RTP header fields, is specified in Section 4. The media type and IANA considerations are also described. This document concludes with security considerations relevant to this payload format.

2. Conventions, Definitions and Acronyms

The term "KLV item" is used in this document to refer to one single universal key, length, and value triplet, or one single SMPTE Universal Label, encoded as described in [SMPTE336M].

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. Description of SMPTE 336M Data

[SMPTE336M], Data Encoding Protocol Using Key-Length-Value, defines a byte-level data encoding protocol for representing data items and data groups. This encoding protocol definition is independent of the application or transportation method used.

SMPTE 336M data encoding can be applied to a wide variety of binary data. This encoding has been used to provide diverse and rich metadata sets that describe or enhance associated video presentations. Use of SMPTE 336M encoded metadata in conjunction with video has enabled improvements in multimedia presentations, content management and distribution, archival and retrieval, and production workflow.

The SMPTE 336M standard defines a Key-Length-Value (KLV) triplet as a data interchange protocol for data items or data groups where the Key identifies the data, the Length specifies the length of the data and the Value is the data itself. The KLV protocol provides a common
The standard also provides methods for combining associated KLV triplets in data sets where the set of KLV triplets is itself coded with KLV data coding protocol. Such sets can be coded in either full form (Universal Sets) or in one of four increasingly bit-efficient forms (Global Sets, Local Sets, Variable Length Packs and Defined Length Packs). The standard provides a definition of each of these data constructs.

The standard also describes implications of KLV coding including the use of a SMPTE Universal Label as a value within a KLV coding triplet or whose meaning is entirely conveyed by the SMPTE UL itself. The two kinds of usage for such standalone SMPTE Universal Labels are a) as a value in a KLV construct and b) as a Key that has no Length and no Value.

The standard also defines the use of KLV coding to provide a means to carry information that is registered with a non-SMPTE external agency.

The encoding byte range (length of the payload) may accommodate unusually large volumes of data. Consequently, a specific application of KLV encoding may require only a limited operating data range and those details shall be defined in a relevant application document.

4. Payload Format

The main goal of the payload format design for SMPTE 336M data is to provide carriage of SMPTE 336M data over RTP in a simple, yet robust manner. All forms of SMPTE 336M data can be carried by the payload format. The payload format maintains simplicity by using only the standard RTP headers and not defining any payload headers.

SMPTE 336M KLV data is broken into KLVunits (see Section 4.2.1) based on source data timing. Each KLVunit is then placed into one or more RTP packet payloads. The RTP header marker bit is used to assist receivers in locating the boundaries of KLVunits.

4.1. RTP Header Usage

This payload format uses the RTP packet header fields as described in the table below:
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timestamp</td>
<td>The RTP Timestamp encodes the instant along a presentation timeline that the entire KLVunit encoded in the packet payload is to be presented. When one KLVunit is placed in multiple RTP packets, the RTP timestamp of all packets comprising that KLVunit MUST be the same. The timestamp clock frequency SHALL be defined as a parameter to the payload format (Section 6).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-bit</td>
<td>The RTP header marker bit (M) SHALL be set to ’1’ for any RTP packet which contains the final byte of a KLVunit. For all other packets, the RTP header marker bit SHALL be set to ’0’. This allows receivers to pass a KLVunit for parsing/decoding immediately upon receipt of the last RTP packet comprising the KLVunit. Without this, a receiver would need to wait for the next RTP packet with a different timestamp to arrive, thus signaling the end of one KLVunit and the start of another.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The remaining RTP header fields are used as specified in [RFC3550].

4.2. Payload Data

4.2.1. The KLVunit

A KLVunit is a logical collection of all KLV items that are to be presented at a specific time. A KLVunit is comprised of one or more KLV items. Compound items (sets, packs) are allowed as per [SMPTE336M], but the contents of a compound item MUST NOT be split across two KLVunits. Multiple KLV items in a KLVunit occur one after another with no padding or stuffing between items.

4.2.2. KLVunit Mapping to RTP Packet Payload

An RTP packet payload SHALL contain one, and only one, KLVunit or a fragment thereof. KLVunits small enough to fit into a single RTP packet (RTP packet size is up to implementation but should consider underlying transport/network factors such as MTU limitations) are placed directly into the payload of the RTP packet, with the first byte of the KLVunit (which is the first byte of a KLV universal key) being the first byte of the RTP packet payload.

KLVunits too large to fit into a single RTP packet payload MAY span multiple RTP packet payloads. When this is done, the KLVunit data
MUST be sent in sequential byte order, such that when all RTP packets comprising the KLVunit are arranged in sequence number order, concatenating the payload data together exactly reproduces the original KLVunit.

Additionally, when a KLVunit is fragmented across multiple RTP packets, all RTP packets transporting the fragments a KLVunit MUST have the same timestamp.

KLVunits are bounded with changes in RTP packet timestamps. The marker (M) bit in the RTP packet headers marks the last RTP packet comprising a KLVunit (see Section 4.1).

4.3. Implementation Considerations

4.3.1. Loss of Data

RTP is generally deployed in network environments where packet loss may occur. RTP header fields enable detection of lost packets, as described in [RFC3550]. When transmitting payload data described by this payload format, packet loss can cause the loss of whole KLVunits or portions thereof.

4.3.1.1. Damaged KLVunits

A damaged KLVunit is any KLVunit that was carried in one or more RTP packets that have been lost. When a lost packet is detected (through use of the sequence number header field), the receiver:

- SHOULD consider the KLVunit carried in the prior packet (in sequence number order) as damaged unless that prior packet’s M bit in the RTP header was set to ‘1’.

- SHOULD consider all subsequent packets (in sequence number order) up to and including the next one with the M-bit in the RTP header set to ‘1’ as part of a damaged KLVunit.

The example below illustrates how a receiver would handle a lost packet in one possible packet sequence:
In this example, the packets with sequence numbers 7 and 8 contain portions of a KLVunit with timestamp of 45. This KLVunit is considered "damaged" due to the missing RTP packet with sequence number 6, which may have been part of this KLVunit. The KLVunit for timestamp 30 (ended in packet with sequence number 5) is unaffected by the missing packet. The KLVunit for timestamp 55, carried in the packet with sequence number 9, is also unaffected by the missing packet and is considered complete and intact.

4.3.1.2. Treatment of Damaged KLVunits

SMPTE 336M KLV data streams are built in such a way that it is possible to partially recover from errors or missing data in a
stream. Exact specifics of how damaged KLV units are handled are left to each implementation, as different implementations may have differing capabilities and robustness in their downstream KLV payload processing. Because some implementations may be particularly limited in their capacity to handle damaged KLV units, receivers MAY drop damaged KLV units entirely.

5. Congestion Control

The general congestion control considerations for transporting RTP data apply; see RTP [RFC3550] and any applicable RTP profile like AVP [RFC3551].

Further, SMPTE 336M data can be encoded in different schemes which reduce the overhead associated with individual data items within the overall stream. SMPTE 336M grouping constructs, such as local sets and data packs, provide a mechanism to reduce bandwidth requirements.

6. Payload Format Parameters

This RTP payload format is identified using the media type application/smpte336m, which is registered in accordance with [RFC4855] and using the template of [RFC4288].

6.1. Media Type Definition

Type name: application

Subtype name: smpte336m

Required parameters:

  rate: RTP timestamp clock rate. Typically chosen based on sampling rate of metadata being transmitted, but other rates may be specified.

Optional parameters:

Encoding considerations: This media type is framed and binary; see Section 4.8 of [RFC4288].

Security considerations: See Section 8 of XXXX.

Interoperability considerations: Data items in smpte336m can be very diverse. Receivers may only be capable of interpreting a subset of the possible data items; unrecognized items are skipped.
Agreement on data items to be used out of band, via application profile or similar, is typical.

Published specification: XXXX

Applications that use this media type: Audio and video streaming and conferencing tools

Additional Information: none

Person & email address to contact for further information: J. Arbeiter <jarbeite@harris.com>

Intended usage: COMMON

Restrictions on usage: This media type depends on RTP framing, and hence is only defined for transfer via RTP (RFC3550). Transport within other framing protocols is not defined at this time.

Author:

J. Arbeiter <jarbeite@harris.com>

J. Downs <jeff_downs@partech.com>

Change controller: IETF Audio/Video Transport working group delegated from the IESG

6.2. Mapping to SDP

The mapping of the above defined payload format media type and its parameters to SDP (RFC4566) SHALL be done according to Section 3 of RFC4855.

7. IANA Considerations

This memo requests that IANA registers application/smpate336m as specified in Section 6.1. The media type is also requested to be added to the IANA registry for "RTP Payload Format MIME types" (http://www.iana.org/assignments/rtp-parameters).

8. Security Considerations

RTP packets using the payload format defined in this specification are subject to the security considerations discussed in the RTP specification (RFC3550), and in any applicable RTP profile. The main
security considerations for the RTP packet carrying the RTP payload format defined within this memo are confidentiality, integrity and source authenticity. Confidentiality is achieved by encryption of the RTP payload. Integrity of the RTP packets through suitable cryptographic integrity protection mechanism. Cryptographic system may also allow the authentication of the source of the payload. A suitable security mechanism for this RTP payload format should provide confidentiality, integrity protection and at least source authentication capable of determining if an RTP packet is from a member of the RTP session or not.

Note that the appropriate mechanism to provide security to RTP and payloads following this memo may vary. It is dependent on the application, the transport, and the signalling protocol employed. Therefore a single mechanism is not sufficient, although if suitable the usage of SRTP [RFC3711] is recommended. Other mechanism that may be used are IPsec [RFC4301] and TLS [RFC5246] (RTP over TCP), but also other alternatives may exist.

This RTP payload format presents the possibility for significant non-uniformity in the receiver-side computational complexity during processing of SMPTE 336M payload data. Because the length of SMPTE 336M encoded data items is essentially unbounded, receivers must take care when allocating resources used in processing. It is trivial to construct pathological data that would cause a naive decoder to allocate large amounts of resources, resulting in denial-of-service threats. Receivers are encouraged to place limits on resource allocation that are within the bounds set forth by any application profile in use.

This RTP payload format does not contain any inherently active content. However, individual SMPTE 336M KLV items could be defined to convey active content in a particular application. Therefore, receivers capable of decoding and interpreting such data items should use appropriate caution and security practices. Receivers not capable of decoding such data items are not at risk; unknown data items are skipped over and discarded according to SMPTE 336M processing rules.
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