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Abstract

This document describes a scheme for hybrid public-key encryption (HPKE). This scheme provides authenticated public key encryption of arbitrary-sized plaintexts for a recipient public key. HPKE works for any Diffie-Hellman group and has a strong security proof. We provide instantiations of the scheme using standard and efficient primitives.
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1. Introduction

Hybrid public-key encryption (HPKE) is a substantially more efficient solution than traditional public key encryption techniques such as those based on RSA or ElGamal. Encrypted messages convey a single ciphertext and authentication tag alongside a short public key, which may be further compressed. The key size and computational complexity of elliptic curve cryptographic primitives for authenticated encryption therefore make it compelling for a variety of use cases.

This type of public key encryption has many applications in practice, for example, in PGP [RFC6637] and in the developing Messaging Layer Security protocol [I-D.ietf-mls-protocol].

Currently, there are numerous competing and non-interoperable standards and variants for hybrid encryption, including ANSI X9.63 [ANSI], IEEE 1363a [IEEE], ISO/IEC 18033-2 [ISO], and SECG SEC 1 [SECG]. Lack of a single standard makes selection and deployment of a compatible, cross-platform and ecosystem solution difficult to define. This document defines an HPKE scheme that provides a subset of the functions provided by the collection of schemes above, but specified with sufficient clarity that they can be interoperably implemented and formally verified.
2. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Security Properties

As a hybrid authentication encryption algorithm, we desire security against (adaptive) chosen ciphertext attacks (IND-CCA2 secure). The HPKE variants described in this document achieve this property under the Random Oracle model assuming the gap Computational Diffie Hellman (CDH) problem is hard [S01].

4. Notation

The following terms are used throughout this document to describe the operations, roles, and behaviors of HPKE:

- Initiator (I): Sender of an encrypted message.
- Responder (R): Receiver of an encrypted message.
- Ephemeral (E): A fresh random value meant for one-time use.
- "(skX, pkX)" : A KEM key pair used in role X; "skX" is the private key and "pkX" is the public key
- "pk(sk)" : The public key corresponding to a private key
- "len(x)" : The one-octet length of the octet string "x"
- "\+" : Concatenation of octet strings; "0x01 + 0x02 = 0x0102"
- "\*" : Repetition of an octet string; "0x01 * 4 = 0x01010101"
- "\^" : XOR of octet strings; "0xF0F0 ^ 0x1234 = 0xE2C4"

5. Cryptographic Dependencies

HPKE variants rely on the following primitives:

- A Key Encapsulation Mechanism (KEM):
  * GenerateKeyPair() : Generate a key pair (sk, pk)
* Marshal(pk): Produce a fixed-length octet string encoding the public key "pk"

* Unmarshal(enc): Parse a fixed-length octet string to recover a public key

* Encap(pk): Generate an ephemeral symmetric key and a fixed-length encapsulation of that key that can be decapsulated by the holder of the private key corresponding to pk

* Decap(enc, sk): Use the private key "sk" to recover the ephemeral symmetric key from its encapsulated representation "enc"

* AuthEncap(pkR, skI) (optional): Same as Encap(), but the outputs encode an assurance that the ephemeral shared key is known only to the holder of the private key "skI"

* AuthDecap(skI, pkR) (optional): Same as Decap(), but the holder of the private key "skI" is assured that the ephemeral shared key is known only to the holder of the private key corresponding to "pkI"

** A Key Derivation Function:

* Extract(salt, IKM): Extract a pseudorandom key of fixed length from input keying material "IKM" and an optional octet string "salt"

* Expand(PRK, info, L): Expand a pseudorandom key "PRK" using optional string "info" into "L" bytes of output keying material

* Nh: The output size of the Extract function

** An AEAD encryption algorithm [RFC5116]:

* Seal(key, nonce, aad, pt): Encrypt and authenticate plaintext "pt" with associated data "aad" using secret key "key" and nonce "nonce", yielding ciphertext and tag "ct"

* Open(key, nonce, aad, ct): Decrypt ciphertext "ct" using associated data "aad" with secret key "key" and nonce "nonce", returning plaintext message "pt" or the error value "OpenError"

* Nk: The length in octets of a key for this algorithm

* Nn: The length in octets of a nonce for this algorithm
A set of concrete instantiations of these primitives is provided in Section 7. Ciphersuite values are two octets long.

5.1. DH-Based KEM

Suppose we are given a Diffie-Hellman group that provides the following operations:

- GenerateKeyPair(): Generate an ephemeral key pair "(sk, pk)" for the DH group in use
- DH(sk, pk): Perform a non-interactive DH exchange using the private key sk and public key pk to produce a shared secret
- Marshal(pk): Produce a fixed-length octet string encoding the public key "pk"

Then we can construct a KEM (which we’ll call "DHKEM") in the following way:

```python
def Encap(pkR):
    skE, pkE = GenerateKeyPair()
    zz = DH(skE, pkR)
    enc = Marshal(pkE)
    return zz, enc

def Decap(enc, skR):
    pkE = Unmarshal(enc)
    return DH(skR, pkE)

def AuthEncap(pkR, skI):
    skE, pkE = GenerateKeyPair()
    zz = DH(skE, pkR) + DH(skI, pkR)
    enc = Marshal(pkE)
    return zz, enc

def AuthDecap(enc, skR, pkI):
    pkE = Unmarshal(enc)
    return DH(skR, pkE) + DH(skR, pkI)
```

The Marshal and GenerateKeyPair functions are the same as for the underlying DH group.

6. Hybrid Public Key Encryption

In this section, we define a few HPKE variants. All cases take a plaintext "pt" and a recipient public key "pkR" and produce an ciphertext "ct" and an encapsulated key "enc". These outputs are
constructed so that only the holder of the private key corresponding to "pkR" can decapsulate the key from "enc" and decrypt the ciphertext. All of the algorithms also take an "info" parameter that can be used to influence the generation of keys (e.g., to fold in identity information) and an "aad" parameter that provides Additional Authenticated Data to the AEAD algorithm in use.

In addition to the base case of encrypting to a public key, we include two authenticated variants, one of which authenticates possession of a pre-shared key, and one of which authenticates possession of a KEM private key. The following one-octet values will be used to distinguish between modes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mode_base</td>
<td>0x00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mode_psk</td>
<td>0x01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mode_auth</td>
<td>0x02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All of these cases follow the same basic two-step pattern:

1. Set up an encryption context that is shared between the sender and the recipient

2. Use that context to encrypt or decrypt content

A "context" encodes the AEAD algorithm and key in use, and manages the nonces used so that the same nonce is not used with multiple plaintexts.

The procedures described in this session are laid out in a Python-like pseudocode. The ciphersuite in use is left implicit.

6.1. Encryption to a Public Key

The most basic function of an HPKE scheme is to enable encryption for the holder of a given KEM private key. The "SetupBaseI()" and "SetupBaseR()" procedures establish contexts that can be used to encrypt and decrypt, respectively, for a given private key.

The the shared secret produced by the KEM is combined via the KDF with information describing the key exchange, as well as the explicit "info" parameter provided by the caller.
Note that the "SetupCore()" method is also used by the other HPKE variants describe below. The value "0*Nh" in the "SetupBase()" procedure represents an all-zero octet string of length "Nh".

```python
def SetupCore(mode, secret, kemContext, info):
    context = ciphersuite + mode +
               len(kemContext) + kemContext +
               len(info) + info
    key = Expand(secret, "hpke key" + context, Nk)
    nonce = Expand(secret, "hpke nonce" + context, Nn)
    return Context(key, nonce)

def SetupBase(pkR, zz, enc, info):
    kemContext = enc + pkR
    secret = Extract(0*Nh, zz)
    return SetupCore(mode_base, secret, kemContext, info)

def SetupBaseI(pkR, info):
    zz, enc = Encap(pkR)
    return SetupBase(pkR, zz, enc, info)

def SetupBaseR(enc, skR, info):
    zz = Decap(enc, skR)
    return SetupBase(pk(skR), zz, enc, info)
```

Note that the context construction in the SetupCore procedure is equivalent to serializing a structure of the following form in the TLS presentation syntax:

```python
struct {
    uint16 ciphersuite;
    uint8 mode;
    opaque kemContext<0..255>;
    opaque info<0..255>;
} HPKEContext;
```

6.2. Authentication using a Pre-Shared Key

This variant extends the base mechanism by allowing the recipient to authenticate that the sender possessed a given pre-shared key (PSK). We assume that both parties have been provisioned with both the PSK value "psk" and another octet string "pskID" that is used to identify which PSK should be used.

The primary differences from the base case are:

- The PSK is used as the "salt" input to the KDF (instead of 0)
The PSK ID is added to the context string used as the "info" input to the KDF

This mechanism is not suitable for use with a low-entropy password as the PSK. A malicious recipient that does not possess the PSK can use decryption of a plaintext as an oracle for performing offline dictionary attacks.

def SetupPSK(pkR, psk, pskID, zz, enc, info):
    kemContext = enc + pkR + pskID
    secret = Extract(psk, zz)
    return SetupCore(mode_psk, secret, kemContext, info)

def SetupPSKI(pkR, psk, pskID, info):
    zz, enc = Encap(pkR)
    return SetupPSK(pkR, psk, pskID, zz, enc, info)

def SetupPSKR(enc, skR, psk, pskID, info):
    zz = Decap(enc, skR)
    return SetupPSK(pk(skR), psk, pskID, zz, enc, info)

6.3. Authentication using an Asymmetric Key

This variant extends the base mechanism by allowing the recipient to authenticate that the sender possessed a given KEM private key. This assurance is based on the assumption that "AuthDecap(enc, skR, pkI)" produces the correct shared secret only if the encapsulated value "enc" was produced by "AuthEncap(pkR, skI)", where "skI" is the private key corresponding to "pkI". In other words, only two people could have produced this secret, so if the recipient is one, then the sender must be the other.

The primary differences from the base case are:

o The calls to "Encap" and "Decap" are replaced with calls to "AuthEncap" and "AuthDecap".

o The initiator public key is added to the context string used as the "info" input to the KDF

Obviously, this variant can only be used with a KEM that provides "AuthEncap()" and "AuthDecap()" procedures.

This mechanism authenticates only the key pair of the initiator, not any other identity. If an application wishes to authenticate some other identity for the sender (e.g., an email address or domain name), then this identity should be included in the "info" parameter to avoid unknown key share attacks.
def SetupAuth(pkR, pkI, zz, enc, info):
    kemContext = enc + pkR + pkI
    secret = Extract(0*Nh, zz)
    return SetupCore(mode_auth, secret, kemContext, info)

def SetupAuthI(pkR, skI, info):
    zz, enc = AuthEncap(pkR, skI)
    return SetupAuth(pkR, pkI, zz, enc, info)

def SetupAuthR(enc, skR, pkI, info):
    zz = AuthDecap(enc, skR, pkI)
    return SetupAuth(pkI, pkI, zz, enc, info)

6.4. Encryption and Decryption

HPKE allows multiple encryption operations to be done based on a given setup transaction. Since the public-key operations involved in setup are typically more expensive than symmetric encryption or decryption, this allows applications to "amortize" the cost of the public-key operations, reducing the overall overhead.

In order to avoid nonce reuse, however, this decryption must be stateful. Each of the setup procedures above produces a context object that stores the required state:

- The AEAD algorithm in use
- The key to be used with the AEAD algorithm
- A base nonce value
- A sequence number (initially 0)

All of these fields except the sequence number are constant. The sequence number is used to provide nonce uniqueness: The nonce used for each encryption or decryption operation is the result of XORing the base nonce with the current sequence number, encoded as a big-endian integer of the same length as the nonce. Implementations MAY use a sequence number that is shorter than the nonce (padding on the left with zero), but MUST return an error if the sequence number overflows.

Each encryption or decryption operation increments the sequence number for the context in use. A given context SHOULD be used either only for encryption or only for decryption.
It is up to the application to ensure that encryptions and
decryptions are done in the proper sequence, so that the nonce values
used for encryption and decryption line up.

```python
def Context.Nonce(seq):
    encSeq = encode_big_endian(seq, len(self.nonce))
    return self.nonce ^ encSeq

def Context.Seal(aad, pt):
    ct = Seal(self.key, self.Nonce(self.seq), aad, pt)
    self.seq += 1
    return ct

def Context.Open(aad, ct):
    pt = Open(self.key, self.Nonce(self.seq), aad, pt)
    if pt == OpenError:
        return OpenError
    self.seq += 1
    return pt
```

7. Ciphersuites

The HPKE variants as presented will function correctly for any
combination of primitives that provides the functions described
above. In this section, we provide specific instantiations of these
primitives for standard groups, including: Curve25519, Curve448
[RFC7748], and the NIST curves P-256 and P-512.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>KEM</th>
<th>KDF</th>
<th>AEAD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x0001</td>
<td>DHKEM(P-256)</td>
<td>HKDF-SHA256</td>
<td>AES-GCM-128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>DHKEM(P-256)</td>
<td>HKDF-SHA256</td>
<td>ChaCha20Poly1305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>DHKEM(Curve25519)</td>
<td>HKDF-SHA256</td>
<td>AES-GCM-128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>DHKEM(Curve25519)</td>
<td>HKDF-SHA256</td>
<td>ChaCha20Poly1305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x0001</td>
<td>DHKEM(P-521)</td>
<td>HKDF-SHA512</td>
<td>AES-GCM-256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>DHKEM(P-521)</td>
<td>HKDF-SHA512</td>
<td>ChaCha20Poly1305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>DHKEM(Curve448)</td>
<td>HKDF-SHA512</td>
<td>AES-GCM-256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x0002</td>
<td>DHKEM(Curve448)</td>
<td>HKDF-SHA512</td>
<td>ChaCha20Poly1305</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the NIST curves P-256 and P-521, the Marshal function of the DH scheme produces the normal (non-compressed) representation of the public key, according to [SECG]. When these curves are used, the recipient of an HPKE ciphertext MUST validate that the ephemeral public key "pkE" is on the curve. The relevant validation procedures are defined in [keyagreement].

For the CFRG curves Curve25519 and Curve448, the Marshal function is the identity function, since these curves already use fixed-length octet strings for public keys.

The values "Nk" and "Nn" for the AEAD algorithms referenced above are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AEAD</th>
<th>Nk</th>
<th>Nn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AES-GCM-128</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AES-GCM-256</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ChaCha20Poly1305</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Security Considerations

[[ TODO ]]

9. IANA Considerations

[[ OPEN ISSUE: Should the above table be in an IANA registry? ]]

10. References
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10.2. Informative References


Appendix A. Possible TODOs

The following extensions might be worth specifying:

- Multiple recipients - It might be possible to add some simplifications / assurances for the case where the same value is being encrypted to multiple recipients.

- Test vectors - Obviously, we can provide decryption test vectors in this document. In order to provide known-answer tests, we would have to introduce a non-secure deterministic mode where the ephemeral key pair is derived from the inputs. And to do that safely, we would need to augment the decrypt function to detect the deterministic mode and fail.

- A reference implementation in hacspec or similar
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