Flooding Topology Computation Algorithm
draft-cc-cc-lsr-flooding-reduction-07

Abstract

This document proposes an algorithm for a node to compute a flooding topology, which is a subgraph of the complete topology per underline physical network. When every node in an area automatically calculates a flooding topology by using a same algorithm and floods the link states using the flooding topology, the amount of flooding traffic in the network is greatly reduced. This would reduce convergence time with a more stable and optimized routing environment.
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1. Introduction

For some networks such as dense Data Center (DC) networks, the existing Link State (LS) flooding mechanism is not efficient and may have some issues. The extra LS flooding consumes network bandwidth. Processing the extra LS flooding, including receiving, buffering and decoding the extra LSs, wastes memory space and processor time. This
may cause scalability issues and affect the network convergence negatively.

This document proposes an algorithm for a node to compute a flooding topology, which is a subgraph of the complete topology per underline physical network. When every node in an area automatically calculates a flooding topology by using a same algorithm and floods the link states using the flooding topology, the amount of flooding traffic in the network is greatly reduced. This would reduce convergence time with a more stable and optimized routing environment.

There may be multiple algorithms for computing a flooding topology. Users can select one they prefer, and smoothly switch from one to another.

2. Terminology

LSA: A Link State Advertisement in OSPF.

LSP: A Link State Protocol Data Unit (PDU) in IS-IS.

LS: A Link Sate, which is an LSA or LSP.

FT: Flooding Topology.

FTC: Flooding Topology Computation.

3. Flooding Topology

For a given network topology, a flooding topology is a sub-graph or sub-network of the given network topology that has the same reachability to every node as the given network topology. Thus all the nodes in the given network topology MUST be in the flooding topology. All the nodes MUST be inter-connected directly or indirectly. As a result, LS flooding will in most cases occur only on the flooding topology, that includes all nodes but a subset of links. Note even though the flooding topology is a sub-graph of the original topology, any single LS MUST still be disseminated in the entire network.

3.1. Flooding Topology Construction

Many different flooding topologies can be constructed for a given network topology. For example, a chain connecting all the nodes in the given network topology is a flooding topology. A circle connecting all the nodes is another flooding topology. A tree connecting all the nodes is a flooding topology. In addition, the
tree plus the connections between some leaves of the tree and branch nodes of the tree is a flooding topology.

The following parameters need to be considered for constructing a flooding topology:

- **Degree**: The degree of the flooding topology is the maximum degree among the degrees of the nodes on the flooding topology. The degree of a node on the flooding topology is the number of connections on the flooding topology it has to other nodes.

- **Number of links**: The number of links on the flooding topology is a key factor for reducing the amount of LS flooding. In general, the smaller the number of links, the less the amount of LS flooding.

- **Diameter**: The diameter of the flooding topology is the shortest distance between the two most distant nodes on the flooding topology. It is a key factor for reducing the network convergence time. The smaller the diameter, the less the convergence time.

- **Redundancy**: The redundancy of the flooding topology means a tolerance to the failures of some links and nodes on the flooding topology. If the flooding topology is split by some failures, it is not tolerant to these failures. In general, the larger the number of links on the flooding topology is, the more tolerant the flooding topology to failures.

Note that the flooding topology constructed by a node is dynamic in nature, that means when the base topology (the entire topology graph) changes, the flooding topology (the sub-graph) MUST be re-computed/re-constructed to ensure that any node that is reachable on the base topology MUST also be reachable on the flooding topology.

4. Algorithms to Compute Flooding Topology

There are many algorithms to compute a flooding topology. A simple and efficient one is briefed, which comprises:

- Selecting a node R0 with the smallest node ID;
- Building a tree using R0 as root in breadth first; and then
- Connecting each node whose degree is one to another node to have a flooding topology.
4.1. Algorithm with Considering Degree

The algorithm is described below. The detailed FT computation by the algorithm is illustrated in Appendix A through an example.

The algorithm starts from node R0 as root with a given maximum degree MaxD such as MaxD = 3, a candidate queue Cq = [(R0, D = 0, PHs = {})], and an empty flooding topology FT = {}. Cq contains one element (R0, D = 0, PHs = {}), where node R0 is the root, D = 0 indicates that the Degree (D for short) of R0 is 0 (i.e., the number of links on the flooding topology connected to R0 is 0), PHs = {} indicates that the Previous Hops (PHs for short) of R0 is empty.

1. Finding and removing the first element with node A in Cq that is not on FT and one PH’s D in PHs < MaxD.
   - If A is root R0, then add the element into FT
   - otherwise (i.e., A != R0 with one PH’s D in PHs < MaxD. Assume that PH is the first one in PHs whose D < MaxD), PH’s D++, and add A with D = 1 and PHs = {PH} into FT.

   Note: if there is no element in Cq satisfying the conditions, then algorithm may be restarted from R0, ++MaxD, Cq = {(R0,D=0,PHs = { })}, FT = {};

2. If all the nodes are on the FT, then goto step 4;

3. Suppose that node Xi (i = 1, 2,..., n) is connected to node A and not on FT, and X1, X2,..., Xn are in an increasing order by their IDs (i.e., X1’s ID < X2’s ID < ... < Xn’s ID). If Xi is not in Cq, then add it into the end of Cq with D = 0 and PHs = {A}; otherwise (i.e., Xi is in Cq), add A into the end of Xi’s PHs; Goto step 1.

4. For each node B on FT whose D is one (from minimum to maximum node ID), find a link L attached to B such that L’s remote node R has minimum D and ID, add link L between B and R into FT and increase B’s D and R’s D by one. Return FT.

4.2. Algorithm with Considering Others

There may be some constraints on some nodes in a network. For example, in a spine-and-leaf network, there may be a constraint on the degree of every leaf node on the flooding topology, which is that the degree of every leaf node is not greater than a given number ConMaxD such as ConMaxD = 2. For each of the other nodes such as the
spine nodes, there is no such constraint, that is that ConMaxD is a huge number for each of these nodes.

Step 1 of the algorithm described above is updated below to consider this constraint. In addition to checking constraint PH’s D < MaxD, step 1 checks another constraint PH’s D < PH’s ConMaxD.

1. Finding and removing the first element with node A in Cq that is not on FT and one PH’s D in PHs < MaxD and PH’s D < PH’s ConMaxD.

   If A is root R0, then add the element into FT

   otherwise (i.e., A != R0 with one PH’s D in PHs < MaxD and PH’s D < PH’s ConMaxD. Assume that PH is the first one in PHs whose D < MaxD and PH’s D < PH’s ConMaxD), PH’s D++, and add A with D = 1 and PHs = {PH} into FT.

   Note: if there is no element with a node in Cq satisfying the conditions, then algorithm may be restarted from R0, ++MaxD, Cq = {(R0,D=0,PHs = { })}, FT = { };

5. Security Considerations

This document does not introduce any new security issue.

6. IANA Considerations

Under Registry Name: "IGP Algorithm Type For Computing Flooding Topology" under an existing "Interior Gateway Protocol (IGP) Parameters" IANA registries (refer to Section 7.3. IGP [I-D.ietf-lsr-dynamic-flooding]), IANA is requested to assign one value of IGP Algorithm Type For Computing Flooding Topology as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Value</th>
<th>Type Name</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Breadth First Minimum Degree Algorithm</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix A. FT Computation Details through Example

This section presents the details on FT computation by the algorithm through an example. The detailed procedure of computing a FT for a network of five nodes with full mesh connections is illustrated. Suppose that the network has five nodes R0, R1, R2, R3 and R4; R0’s ID < R1’s ID < R2’s ID < R3’s ID < R4’s ID. The algorithm starts with Cq = \{ (R0, D=0, PH={}) \}, FT = {}, MaxD = 3.
0. // remove the first element containing root R0 from Cq
   Cq = { }; // add the element into FT
   FT = { (R0,D=0,PHs={ }) }; // root R0 on FT
   // for each Ri connected to R0 (not in Cq), add it to the end of Cq
   Cq = { (R1,D=0,PHs={R0}), (R2,D=0,PHs={R0}), (R3,D=0,PHs={R0}),
          (R4,D=0,PHs={R0}) };

1. // remove first element (R1,D=0,PHs={R0}) from Cq, R0’s D=0 < MaxD
   Cq = { (R2,0,{R0}), (R3,0,{R0}), (R4,0,{R0}) };
   // add (R1,1,{R0}) into FT, increase PH R0’s D by one
   FT = { (R0,1, { }), (R1,1, {R0}) }; // Link R1--R0 on FT
   // for Ri connected to R1 (in Cq) not on FT, append R1 to Ri’s PHs
   Cq = { (R2,0, {R0,R1}), (R3,0, {R0,R1}), (R4,0,{R0,R1}) }.

2. // remove the first element \((R2,0, \{R0,R1\})\) from \(Cq\), \(R0\)’s \(D=1 < \text{MaxD}\)
\(Cq = \{ (R3,0, \{R0,R1\}), (R4,0, \{R0,R1\}) \}\)
// add \((R2,1,\{R0\})\) into \(FT\), increase \(R0\)’s \(D\) by one
\(FT = \{ (R0,2,\{\}), (R1,1,\{R0\}), (R2,1,\{R0\}) \}\) //Link \(R2--R0\) on \(FT\)
// for \(Ri\) connected to \(R2\) (in \(Cq\)) not on \(FT\), append \(R2\) to \(Ri\)’s PHs
\(Cq = \{ (R3,0, \{R0,R1,R2\}), (R4,0, \{R0,R1,R2\}) \}\)

3. //remove the 1st element \((R3,0,\{R0,R1,R2\})\) from \(Cq\), \(R0\)’s \(D=2 < \text{MaxD}\)
\(Cq = \{ (R4,0, \{R0,R1,R2\}) \}\)
// add \((R3,1,\{R0\})\) into \(FT\), increase \(R0\)’s \(D\) by one
\(FT = \{ (R0,3,\{\}), (R1,1,\{R0\}), (R2,1,\{R0\}), (R3,1,\{R0\}) \}\)
// for \(Ri\) connected to \(R3\) (in \(Cq\)) not on \(FT\), append \(R3\) to \(Ri\)’s PHs
4. //remove the 1st element (R4,0,(R0,R1,R2,R3)) from Cq,R1’s D=1 < MaxD
   Cq = ( )
   // add (R4,1,(R1)) into FT, increase R1’s D by one
   FT = {(R0,3,()), (R1,2,(R0)), (R2,1,(R0)), (R3,1,(R0)), (R4,1,(R1))}

   All nodes are on FT now. In the following, for each node on FT whose
   D = 1 (from minimum to maximum ID), link L attached to it and not on
   FT is found such that L’s remote node has minimum D and ID. L is
   added into FT.

5. // On FT, get node R2 with smallest ID whose D=1
   FT = {(R0,3,()), (R1,2,(R0)), (R2,2,(R0,R3)), (R3,2,(R0)), (R4,1,(R1))}
   // where R2--R3 is not on FT, R3’s D=1 is minimum first and then
   // R3’s ID is minimum (R3 and R4 tie for D), R2’s D++ and R3’s D++
6. // On FT, get node R4 with smallest ID whose D=1
   FT = {(R0,3,{}),(R1,2,{R0}),(R2,2,{R0,R3}),(R3,2,{R0}),(R4,1,{R1})}
   // Add link R4--R2 to FT, where
   // R4--R2 is not on FT, R2’s D=2 is minimum first and then R2’s ID is
   // minimum (R2 and R3 tie for D), increase R2’s D and R4’s D by one
   FT = {(R0,3,{}),(R1,2,{R0}),(R2,3,{R0,R3}),(R3,2,{R0}),(R4,2,{R1,R2})}

FT is computed, which has Degree of 3 and Diameter of 2.
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