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Abstract

This document specifies an extension to Binding Revocation mechanism; the correspondent node may also initiate the binding revocation in Route Optimization mode. This extension provides a method to change the Route Optimization mode to the Bidirectional Tunneling mode.

Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
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1. Introduction

Mobility Support in IPv6 [RFC3775] specifies two possible modes for communications between the Mobile Node (MN) and a Correspondent Node (CN), Bidirectional Tunneling mode and Route Optimization (RO) mode.

As specified in [RFC3775], Route Optimization mode "requires the mobile node to register its current binding at the correspondent node." When the mobile node want to transmit a packet, it also need check whether there is a Binding Update List entry for the destination of the packet, as specified in section 11.3.1 of [RFC3775]. If the mobile node confirms that the correspondent node has a suitable Binding Cache entry, the mobile node may send the packet to the correspondent node in route optimization mode.

For the mobile node, which mode to be used depends on whether the correspondent node Binding Update List entry exists or not.

[mext-binding-revocation] specifies a binding revocation mechanism, which permit HA/LMA to send Binding Revocation Indication to the MN/MAG, to terminate the MN’s mobility session and release the associated resources. The binding revocation mechanism specified in [mext-binding-revocation] is only applied for the binding in Home Agent or Local Mobility Anchor, but can not be applied for the binding in correspondent node.

In some cases, the correspondent node also needs the capability to revoke the correspondent binding from the mobile node during a route optimized session.

This document is used to introduce the mechanism used by correspondent node to terminate the correspondent node binding in the MN’s Binding Update List.

2. Terminology

All the general mobility related terminology and abbreviations are to be interpreted as defined in Mobile IPv6 [RFC3775] and [mext-binding-revocation].
3. Protocol and Use Case Overview

The overview of correspondent node binding revocation procedure is as below:
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The detailed descriptions are as follows:

(a)~(f) Normal Return Routability procedure as specified in [RFC3775].

(g)~(h) Normal Route Optimization binding registration as specified in [RFC3775].

(i) The correspondent node sends the Binding Revocation Indication packet to the mobile node, including the Binding Authorization Data Option in the message.
The mobile node deletes the correspondent node binding, releases related resource and replies the Binding Revocation Acknowledgement message to the correspondent node. After this step, the Route Optimization mode is released and the Bidirectional Tunneling mode is applied.

4. Correspondent Node Operation

To terminate the correspondent node binding in the MN’s Binding Update List, the correspondent node sends a packet to the mobile node containing a Binding Revocation Indication as specified in section 7 of [mext-binding-revocation], with the exception as following:

- The source address of the packet MUST be set as the IP address of the correspondent node, if the correspondent node is a mobile node the home address of the node MUST be taken as the IP address.

- The Binding Authorization Data option, which is specified in section 6.2.7 of [RFC3775], MUST be included in the Binding Revocation Indication packet. Since the communication between the mobile node and the correspondent node is not protected by security association, the sender of the BRI MUST provide the Authorization option. The Binding Management Key specified in [RFC3775], the calculation of the Kbm specified in the section 5.2.5 of [RFC3775] and the calculation of the Authenticator specified in the section 6.2.7 of [RFC3775] are reused in this document.

- After the Binding Revocation Indication packet has been sent, the correspondent MUST set a flag in the correspondent Binding Cache entry to indicate the "Revocation Pending" state and stop transmitting any outgoing packets (except retransmitted BRI) destined directly for the mobile node.

- In the "Revocation Pending" state the correspondent node MUST accept incoming route optimized packets until the Binding Cache entry is freed.

- The correspondent node SHOULD maintain the "Revocation Pending" state until Binding Revocation Acknowledgement has been received or the maximum number of retransmissions have been conducted as specified in section 6.3 of [mext-binding-revocation].
The correspondent node MAY free all resources associated with this mobile node binding in the cases of receiving the Binding Revocation Acknowledgement or finishing the retransmission procedure.

If the correspondent node receives packet containing Home Address option after it has freed the Binding Cache entry and related resource, whether to accept the packet or not depends on the implementation of the correspondent node. This is out of the scope of this document.

5. Mobile Node Operation

Upon receiving a packet carrying a Binding Revocation Indication, the mobile node MUST validate the packet according to Section 6.2 of [mext-binding-revocation], with the addition of following tests:

- The mobile node MUST verify that the IP address in the Type 2 routing header is its Home Address. If the test fails, the mobile node SHOULD silently discard the received Binding Revocation Indication message.

- The mobile node MUST verify the source address of the received Binding Revocation Indication packet. If the mobile node Binding Update List contains an entry for the IP address, the mobile node MUST check the Binding Authorization Data option contained in this packet. If the Authenticator is valid and other tests are verified, the mobile node MUST accept this Binding Revocation Indication. Otherwise the mobile node SHOULD silently discard the received Binding Revocation Indication message. The Binding Management Key specified in [RFC3775], the calculation of the Kbm specified in the section 5.2.5 of [RFC3775] and the calculation of the Authenticator specified in the section 6.2.7 of [RFC3775] are reused in this document.

- If the mobile node accepts this Binding Revocation Indication, the mobile node MUST send a successful Binding Revocation Acknowledgement to the correspondent node. Otherwise the mobile node MUST send a rejected Binding Revocation Acknowledgement.

- After the mobile node has accepted the Binding Revocation Indication message, the mobile node MAY free the resources related to the correspondent node Binding Update List entry. The mobile node MUST stop transmitting any outgoing packets destined directly for the correspondent node after acknowledgement has been sent.
o If the mobile node receives packet containing type 2 routing header after it has freed the correspondent node binding, whether to accept the packet or not depends on the implementation of the mobile node. This is out of the scope of this document. [[ Note: This case is also possible in basic MIP6 network. The mobile node maybe receives packet containing type 2 routing header before the Binding Acknowledgement message, because the BA message and route optimized packets are transported disorderly in the IP network.]]

6. Messages and Options

TBD.

7. Security Considerations

TBD.

8. IANA Considerations

This document has no actions for IANA.
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