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Abstract

6LoWPAN Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance Vector Routing (LOAD) is intended for use by IEEE 802.15.4 devices in a 6LoWPAN. It is a simplified on-demand routing protocol based on AODV.
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1. Introduction

The IEEE 802.15.4 standard [ieee802.15.4] targets low power personal area networks. The "IPv6 over IEEE 802.15.4" document [I-D.montenegro-lowpan-ipv6-over-802.15.4] defines basic functionality required to carry IPv6 packets over IEEE 802.15.4 networks (including an adaptation layer, header compression, etc). Likewise, the functionality required for packet delivery in IEEE 802.15.4 meshes is defined, as mesh topologies are expected to be common in LoWPAN networks. However, neither the IEEE 802.15.4 standard nor the "IPv6 over IEEE 802.15.4" specification provide any information as to how such a mesh topology could be obtained and maintained.

The 6LoWPAN Ad hoc Routing Protocol (LOAD) is a simplified on-demand routing protocol based on AODV[RFC3561] for 6LoWPAN. Besides the main AODV specification [RFC3561], several efforts aim at simplifications of the protocol, as in the AODVjr proposal [AODVjr] or the TinyAODV implementation [TinyAODV]. Similarly, DyMO allows for minimalist implementation leaving non-essential functionality as optional [I-D.ietf-manet-dymo]. LOAD enables multihop routing between IEEE 802.15.4 devices to establish and maintain routing routes in 6LoWPAN.

This document defines the message formats, the data structures and the operations of LOAD.

2. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

3. Overview

This section describes the distinctive features of LOAD compared to AODV. LOAD is defined to be operating on top of the adaptation layer instead of the transport layer. That is, it creates a mesh network topology underneath and unbeknownst to IPv6 network layer. IPv6 sees a 6LoWPAN as a single link. This is similar to how other technologies regularly create complex structures underneath IP (e.g., ethernet spanning tree bridges, token ring source routing, ATM, etc). LOAD control packets use the encapsulation defined in [I-D.montenegro-lowpan-ipv6-over-802.15.4]. All LOAD control packets shall use the prot_type value TBD (suggested value of 4).

LOAD assumes the use of either one of the two different addresses for routing: the EUI-64 address and the 16 bit short address of the 6LoWPAN device.
LOAD makes use of broadcast in its route discovery. It does so in order to propagate the Route Request (RREQ) messages. In this specification, such broadcast packets are obtained by setting the PAN id to the broadcast PAN (0xffff) and by setting the destination address to the broadcast short address (0xffff).

LOAD doesn’t use the destination sequence number to reduce the size of the control messages and simplify the route discovery process. For ensuring loop freedom, only the destination of a route SHOULD generate a RREP in reply. The intermediate nodes SHOULD not respond with a RREP. By the same reason, LOAD does not use the "Gratuitous RREP".

LOAD MAY use the local repair for a link break during a data delivery. In a local repair, only the destination generates a RREP in reply because of no use of the destination sequence number.

If a local repair fails, LOAD MAY generate a Route Error (RERR) message toward the originator of the data delivery to notify that the destination is no longer reachable by way of the broken link. The format of RERR is simplified to include only one unreachable destination while the RERR of AODV MAY include multiple ones.

LOAD does not use the "precursor list" of AODV to simplify the routing table structure. Notice that AODV uses the precursors for forwarding RERR messages in the event of detection of the loss of the next hop link. In LOAD, RERR is forwarded only to the originator of the failed data delivery, thus no requiring to use the precursor list.

LOAD MAY use the route cost, which is the accumulated link cost from the originator to the destination, as a metric of routing. For this, LOAD utilizes the Link Quality Indicator (LQI) of the 6LoWPAN PHY layer in the routing decision in addition to the hop distance. This implies that LOAD MAY prefer a route of better link quality to a route of shorter hop distance.

LOAD SHOULD utilize the acknowledged transmission option at the 6LoWPAN MAC layer for keeping track of the connectivity of a route. LOAD does use neither the passive acknowledgements nor the HELLO messages of AODV.

The basic operations of LOAD are route discovery, managing data structures and maintaining local connections. For these operations, LOAD maintains the following two tables: the routing table and the route request table. The routing table stores route information such as destination, next hop node, and status. The route request table stores the temporary route information used in the route discovery process.
There are two different types of 6LoWPAN devices: the reduced function device (RFD) and the full function device (FFD). LOAD SHOULD utilize only FFD for mesh routing. Thus, A FFD SHOULD implement the operations of LOAD and maintain the data structures of LOAD.

4. Terminology

This section defines the terminology of LOAD that is not defined in [RFC3753] and [RFC3561].

destination

A node to which data packets are to be transmitted. Same as "destination node".

forward route

A route set up to send data packets from the originator to its destination.

link cost

A Link Quality (LQ) between a node and its neighbor node.

link quality indicator (LQI)

A mechanism to measure the Link Quality (LQ) in IEEE 802.15.4 PHY layer [ieee802.15.4]. It measures LQ by receiving the signal energy level. A high LQ value implies the good quality of communication (i.e. low link cost).

originator

A node that initiates a route discovery process. Same as "originating node"

route cost

An accumulated link cost as a LOAD control message (RREQ or RREP) passes through the nodes on the route. The accumulation mechanism is TBD.

reverse route

A route set up to forward a RREP back to the originator from the destination. Same as "reverse route" in [RFC3561].
5. Data Structures

A FFD in 6LoWPAN SHOULD maintain a routing table and a route request table. This section describes the tables and the message formats.

5.1 Routing Table Entry

The routing table of LOAD includes the following fields:

destination address
The 16 bit short or EUI-64 link layer address of the final destination of a route

next hop address
The 16 bit short or EUI-64 link layer addresses of the next hop node to the destination.

status
The status of a route. It includes the following states: VALID, INVALID, ROUTE_DISCOVERY, etc.

life time
The valid time in milliseconds before the expiration or the deletion of a route.

5.2 Route Request Table Entry

Route request table is used for discovering routes. It stores the following route request information until a route is discovered.

route request ID
a sequence number uniquely identifying the particular RREQ when taken in conjunction with the originator

originator address
The 16 bit short or EUI-64 link layer address of the node which originates RREQs.

reverse route address
The 16 bit short or EUI-64 link layer address of the next hop node on the reverse route to the originator.
forward route cost

The accumulated link cost along the forward route from the originator to the current node through which a RREQ is forwarded.

reverse route cost

The accumulated link cost along the reverse route from the final destination to the current node through which a RREP is forwarded.

valid time

The time of the expiration or deletion of a route in milliseconds.

5.3 Message Format

5.3.1 Route Request (RREQ)

```
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|     Type      |R|D|O|Reserved |   RREQ ID     |   Route cost  |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                 Link Layer Destination Address                |
+-------------------------------------------------------------+
|                 Link Layer Originator Address                 |
+-------------------------------------------------------------+
```

The RREQ message format is shown in Fig. 1 and contains the following fields:

Type  1 for indicating a RREQ message.

R  1 Local Repair.

D  1 for the 16 bit address of the destination,
   0 for the EUI-64 address of the destination.

O  1 for the 16 bit address of the originator,
   0 for the EUI-64 address of the originator.

Route cost  The accumulated link cost of the reverse route from the originator to the sender of the RREQ.
RREQ ID       A sequence number uniquely identifying the particular RREQ when taken in conjunction with the originator.

Reserved     0; ignored on reception.

Link layer Destination Address
    The 16 bit short or EUI-64 link layer address of the destination for which a route is supplied.

Link layer Originator Address
    The 16 bit short or EUI-64 link layer address of the node which originated the Route Request.

5.3.2 Route Reply (RREP)

The RREP message format is shown in Fig. 2 and contains the following fields:

Type        2 for indicating a RREP message.
R           1 Local Repair.
D           1 for the 16 bit address of the destination, 0 for the EUI-64 address of the destination.
O           1 for the 16 bit address of the originator, 0 for the EUI-64 address of the originator.
Reserved    0; ignored on reception.
Route cost   The accumulated link cost of the route from the destination to the sender of the RREP.
RREQ ID      A sequence number uniquely identifying the particular RREQ when taken in conjunction with the originator.
Link layer Destination Address
The 16 bit short or EUI-64 link layer address of the destination for which a route is supplied.

Link layer Originator Address
The 16 bit short or EUI-64 link layer address of the node which originated the Route Request.

5.3.3 Route Error (RERR)

The RERR message format is shown in Fig. 3 and contains the following fields:

- **Type**: 3 for indicating a RERR message.
- **D**: 1 for the 16 bit address of the destination, 0 for the EUI-64 address of the destination.
- **Reserved**: 0; ignored on reception.
- **Error Code**: Numeric value for describing error.
  - 0x00 = No available route
  - 0x01 = Low battery
  - 0x02 - 0xff = reserved (TBD)
- **Unreachable Link Layer Destination Address**: The 16 bit short or EUI-64 link layer address of the final destination that has become unreachable due to a link break.
6. Operation

6.1 Generating Route Request

The basic operations of LOAD include route discovery, managing data structures and maintaining local connections. A node maintains the following two tables for routing: the routing table and the routing request table.

During the discovery period, an originator, a node that requests a route discovery, generates a Route Request (RREQ) message with the RREQ ID which was incremented by one from the previous RREQ ID value.

A node SHOULD NOT originate more than RREQ_RATELIMIT RREQs per second. After broadcasting a RREQ, a node waits for a RREP. If a route is not discovered within NET_TRAVERSAL_TIME milliseconds, the node MAY try again the discovery process a maximum of RREQ_RETRIES times.

6.2 Processing and Forwarding Route Request

Upon receiving a RREQ, an intermediate node tries to find the entry of the same originator address and RREQ ID pair in the route request table. If the entry is found, the node just discards the RREQ. Otherwise, the node creates a reverse route to the originator in the routing table and a RREQ entry in the route request table. Then, the node forwards the RREQ.

6.3 Generating Route Reply

When the destination receives a RREQ, it tries to find the entry of the same originator address and RREQ ID pair in the route request table. If the entry is found, the destination compares the route cost of the RREQ with the forward route cost of the entry. If the cost of the RREQ is better than (i.e. less than) that of the entry, the destination updates the reverse route to the originator in the routing table and generates a RREP in reply. If the cost of the RREQ is not less than that of the entry, the destination just discards the RREQ.

6.4 Receiving and Forwarding Route Reply

Upon receiving a RREP, an intermediate node checks whether it has a route entry for the destination of the RREP (i.e. the originator of the corresponding RREQ). If it does not have the route entry, it just discards the RREP. Otherwise, it also checks for the existence of the corresponding RREQ entry (which has the same RREQ ID and originator address pair as that of the RREP) in the route request table. If there is no such entry, then it just discards the RREP. If
there is such an entry and the entry has worse reverse route cost (i.e. higher value) than the route cost of the RREP, the node updates the entry with the information of the RREP and forwards it to the previous hop node toward the destination of the RREP. If the entry has better reverse route cost (i.e. lower value) than that of the RREP, the node just discards the RREP.

During the delivery of the RREP to the originator, the route cost value of the RREP is accumulated on the reverse route from the destination to the originator. The accumulation mechanism is TBD (if necessary in this document).

6.5 Local Repair and Route Error (RERR) Messages

If a link break occurs or a device fails during the delivery of data packets, the upstream node of the link break MAY repair the route locally. To repair a route, the node disseminates a RREQ with the originator address set to its own address and the destination address set to the data packet’s destination address. In this case, the ’R flag’ of the RREQ is set to 1. The data packet is buffered during the route discovery period. If the destination node receives the RREQ for a route repair, it responds with a RREP of which the ’R flag’ is also set to 1.

If the repairing node cannot receive a RREP from the final destination until the end of the route discovery period, it unicasts a RERR with an error code that indicates the reason of the repair failure to the originator. A repairing node SHOULD NOT generate more than RERR_RATELIMIT RERRs per second. Then, the buffered data packet is discarded. If the originator that sends a data packet receives the RERR, it MAY try to reinitiate route discovery.

When the repairing node receives a RREP from the destination during the route discovery period, it updates the routing table entry information from the RREP. Then the node transmits the buffered data packet to the destination through the new route.
7. Configuration Parameters

This section describes the default values for some important parameters associated with LOAD operations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NET_TRAVERSAL_TIME</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RREQ_RETRIES</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RREQ_RATELIMIT</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RERR_RATELIMIT</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. IANA Consideration

This document needs an additional IANA registry for the prot_type value that indicates the LOAD format.

9. Security Considerations

The security considerations of the [RFC3561] are applicable to this document. As described in the charter of the 6lowpan w.g., LOAD will also try to reuse existing security considerations related to Ad hoc routing protocols. Further considerations will be studied in the next version.
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