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1. Introduction

This document defines the 'payto' Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) [RFC3986] scheme for designating transfer form data for payments. In particular, it always identifies the target of a payment. A 'payto' URI consists of a payment target type, a target identifier and optional parameters such as an amount or a payment reference.

The interpretation of the target identifier is defined by the payment target type, and typically represents either a bank account or an (unsettled) transaction.

A unified URI scheme for all payment target types allows applications to offer user interactions with URIs that represent payment targets, simplifying the introduction of new payment systems and applications.

2. Syntax of a 'payto' URI

This document uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) of [RFC5234].
payto-URI = "payto" "://" authority path-abempty [ "?" opts ]
opts = opt *( "&" opt )
opt = (generic-opt / authority-specific-opt) "=" *( pchar )
generic-opt = "amount" / "receiver-name" / "sender-name" / "message" / "instruction"
authority = ALPHA *( ALPHA / DIGIT / "-" / "." )
path-abempty = <path-abempty, see [RFC3986], Section 3.3>
pchar = <pchar, see [RFC3986], Appendix A.>

3. Semantics

The authority component of a payment URI identifies the payment target type. The payment target types are defined in the "Payment Target Types" sub-registry, see Section 8.2. The path component of the URI identifies the target for a payment as interpreted by the respective payment target type. The query component of the URI can provide additional parameters for a payment. Every payment method SHOULD accept the options defined in generic-opt. The default operation of applications that invoke a URI with the payto scheme SHOULD be to launch an application (if available) associated with the payment target type that can initiate a payment. If multiple handlers are registered for the same payment target type, the user SHOULD be able to choose which application to launch. This allows users with multiple bank accounts (each accessed the respective bank's banking application) to choose which account to pay with. An application SHOULD allow dereferencing a payto URI even if the payment target type of that URI is not registered in the "Payment Target Types" sub-registry. Details of the payment MUST be taken from the path and options given in the URI. The user SHOULD be allowed to modify these details before confirming a payment.

4. Examples

    payto://iban/DE75512108001245126199?amount=EUR:200.0&message=hello

    INVALID (authority missing): payto:iban/12345

5. Generic Options

Applications MUST accept URIs with options in any order. The "amount" option MUST only occur at most once. Other options MAY be allowed multiple times, with further restrictions depending on the payment method. The following options SHOULD be understood by every payment method.
amount: The amount to transfer, including currency information if applicable. The format MUST be:

    amount = [ currency ":" ] unit [ "." fraction ]
    currency = 1*ALPHA
    unit = 1*(DIGIT / ",")
    fraction = 1*(DIGIT / ",")

The unit value MUST be smaller than $2^{53}$. If present, the fraction MUST consist of no more than 8 decimal digits. The use of commas is optional for readability and they MUST be ignored.

receiver-name: Name of the entity that receives the payment (creditor).

sender-name: Name of the entity that makes the payment (debtor).

message: A short message to identify the purpose of the payment, which MAY be subject to lossy conversions (for example, due to character set encoding limitations).

instruction: A short message giving instructions to the recipient, which MUST NOT be subject to lossy conversions. Character set limitations allowed for such instructions depend on the payment method.

6. Internationalization and Character Encoding

Various payment systems use restricted character sets. An application that processes ‘payto’ URIs MUST convert characters that are not allowed by the respective payment systems into allowable character using either an encoding or a replacement table. This conversion process MAY be lossy, except for the instruction field.

To avoid special encoding rules for the payment target identifier, the userinfo component [RFC3986] is disallowed in payto URIs. Instead, the payment target identifier is given as an option, where encoding rules are uniform for all options.

7. Security Considerations

Interactive applications handling the payto URI scheme MUST NOT initiate any financial transactions without prior review and confirmation from the user, and MUST take measures to prevent clickjacking [HMW12].
Unless a payto URI is received over a trusted, authenticated channel, a user might not be able to identify the target of a payment. In particular due to homographs [unicode-tr36], a payment target type SHOULD NOT use human-readable names in combination with unicode in the target account specification, as it could give the user the illusion of being able to identify the target account from the URI.

To avoid unnecessary data collection, payment target types SHOULD NOT include personally identifying information about the sender of a payment that is not essential for an application to conduct a payment.

8. IANA Considerations

IANA maintains a registry called the "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" registry.

8.1. URI Scheme Registration

IANA maintains a sub-registry of the "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" registry also called the "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" registry. The "payto" URI scheme is already registered in this sub-registry with status set to "provisional" [RFC7595]. IANA is requested to update the reference for the "payto" URI scheme to reference the RFC number of this document when it is published as an RFC.

8.2. Payment Target Type Sub-Registry

IANA is requested to create a new sub-registry of the "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) Schemes" registry called the "Payment Target Types" registry with this document as the reference.

The sub-registry shall record for each entry:

- Name: The name of the payment target type (case insensitive ASCII string, restricted to alphanumeric characters, dots and dashes)
- Description: A description of the payment target type, including the semantics of the path in the URI if applicable.
- Example: At least one example URI to illustrate the payment target type.
- Contact: The contact information of a person to contact for further information
The registration policy for this sub-registry is "First Come First Served", as described in [RFC5226]. When requesting new entries, careful consideration of the following criteria is strongly advised:

1. The description clearly defines the syntax and semantics of the payment target and optional parameters if applicable.

2. Relevant references are provided if they are available.

3. The chosen name is appropriate for the payment target type, does not conflict with well-known payment systems, and avoids potential to confuse users.

4. The payment system underlying the payment target type is not fundamentally incompatible with the general options (such as positive decimal amounts) in this specification.

5. The payment target type is not a vendor-specific version of a payment target type that could be described more generally by a vendor-neutral payment target type.

6. The specification of the new payment target type remains within the scope of payment transfer form data. In particular specifying complete invoices is not in scope. Neither are processing instructions to the payment processor or bank beyond a simple payment.

7. The payment target and the options do not contain the payment sender’s account details.

IANA is requested to populate the new sub-registry with the entries documented in the following sub-sections.

8.2.1. ACH Bank Account

- Name: ach
- Description: Automated Clearing House. The path consist of two components, the routing number and the account number.
- Example: payto://ach/122000661/1234
- Contact: N/A
8.2.2. Business Identifier Code

- **Name**: bic

- **Description**: Business Identifier Code. The path consists of just a BIC. This is used for wire transfers between banks. The registry for BICs is provided by SWIFT. The path does not allow specifying a bank account number.

- **Example**: payto://bic/SOGEDEFFXXX

- **Contact**: N/A

- **References**: [BIC], [this.I-D]

8.2.3. International Bank Account Number

- **Name**: iban

- **Description**: International Bank Account Number (IBAN). Generally, the IBAN allows to unambiguously derive the associated Business Identifier Code (BIC). However, some legacy applications process payments to the same IBAN differently based on the specified BIC. Thus, the path can either consist of a single component (the IBAN) or two components (BIC and IBAN).

- **Example**: payto://iban/DE75512108001245126199
  payto://iban/SOGEDEFFXXX/DE75512108001245126199

- **Contact**: N/A

- **References**: [ISO20022], [this.I-D]

8.2.4. Unified Payments Interface

- **Name**: upi

- **Description**: Unified Payment Interface. The path is an account alias. The amount and receiver-name options are mandatory for this payment target.

- **Example**: payto://upi/alice@example.com?receiver-name=Alice&amount=INR:200

- **Contact**: N/A
8.2.5. Bitcoin Address

- **Name**: bitcoin
- **Description**: Bitcoin protocol. The path is a "bitcoinaddress" as per [BIP0021].
- **Example**: payto://bitcoin/12A1MyfXbW6RhdRA2Eqofac5jCQQjwEPBu
- **Contact**: N/A
- **References**: [BIP0021], [this.I-D]

8.2.6. Interledger Protocol Address

- **Name**: ilp
- **Description**: Interledger protocol. The path is an ILP address as per [ILP-ADDR].
- **Example**: payto://ilp/g.acme.bob
- **Contact**: N/A
- **References**: [ILP-ADDR], [this.I-D]
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