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Abstract

This document describes the environment, problem statement, and goals of the On-The-Fly (OTF) scheduling approach for the IEEE802.15.4e TSCH MAC protocol in the context of LLNs. The purpose of OTF is to dynamically adapt the aggregate bandwidth, i.e., the number of reserved soft cells between neighbor nodes, based on the specific application constraints to be satisfied. The soft cell and Bundle reservation with OTF is distributed: through the 6top interface, neighbor nodes negotiate the cell(s) to be (re)allocated/deleted, without intervention of a centralized entity. This document aims at defining a module which uses the functionalities provided by the 6top sublayer to (i) extract statistics and (ii) determine when to reserve /delete soft cells in the schedule. The exact reservation and deletion algorithm, and the number and type of statistics to be used in the algorithm are out of scope. OTF deals only with the number of soft cells to be reserved/deleted; it is up to 6top to select the specific soft cells within the TSCH schedule.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."
1. Introduction

The IEEE802.15.4e standard [IEEE802154e] was published in 2012 as an amendment to the Medium Access Control (MAC) protocol defined by the IEEE802.15.4-2011 [IEEE802154] standard. The Timeslotted Channel Hopping (TSCH) mode of IEEE802.15.4e is the object of this document.

On-The-Fly (OTF) scheduling is a distributed protocol in which a node negotiates the number of soft cells scheduled with its neighbors, without the intervention of a centralized entity (e.g., a PCE). In particular, this document describes the OTF allocation policies and methods used for allocating single soft cell or group of them (i.e. bundle) between two neighbors. It also proposes some potential algorithms for estimating the required bandwidth. In this document,
Internal interface used between OTF and the 6top sublayer ([I-D.wang-6tisch-6top]) is defined, with a particular focus on the functionalities. Example functionalities are collecting and providing statistic information, or allocating/deleting cells and bundles. To be extensible and applicable to different scenarios, this draft is a generic framework. The exact algorithm and set of statistics used for estimating the requested bandwidth is out of scope. This draft follows the terminology defined in [I-D.ietf-6tisch-terminology] and addresses the open issue related to the scheduling mechanisms raised in [I-D.ietf-6tisch-tsch].

2. Allocation policy

OTF is a distributed scheduling protocol which sends scheduling requests to the 6top sublayer. OTF thereby dynamically increases/decreases the bandwidth allocated between neighbor nodes. 6top takes care of negotiating the soft cells between neighbors. Because OTF delegates the selection of the specific cells to 6top, OTF only supports soft cell reservation. Therefore, the term "cell" is synonymous to "soft cell" in this document.

OTF is prone to schedule collision. Nodes might not be aware of the cells allocated by other pairs of neighbors nodes. A collision occurs when the same cell is allocated by different pairs in the same interference space. The 6top sublayer cannot differentiate collisions from other sources of packet loss. The probability of having allocation collision may be kept low by grouping cells into chunks (see [I-D.ietf-6tisch-terminology] and [I-D.ietf-6tisch-architecture] for more details). The use of chunks is outside the scope of this current version of the OTF draft.

We call "allocation policy" the approach used by OTF for increasing or decreasing the bandwidth allocated between two nodes in order to satisfy the traffic requirements. These requirements can be expressed in terms of throughput, latency or other constraints.

OTF supports 3 different types of allocation policies: Post-allocation, Pre-allocation and Hybrid allocation.

Post-allocation policy is based on a "recovery" approach following the increased/decreased need of bandwidth. Upon reception of a bandwidth request, OTF sends soft cell allocation requests to the 6top sublayer. OTF has to estimate the number of cells to be allocated per each neighbor. OTF keeps track of such information. If afterwards, it is possible to free some cells (due for instance to the reduction of traffic exchanged between two neighbors), OTF asks 6top to de-allocate a given number of cells. Once the cells have
been deleted and 6top has notified the event to OTF, the latter can update its internal cell allocation tables.

Pre-allocation policy is based on a "provision" approach. This implies that the reservation of groups of equivalents cells (i.e., bundles), to a given couple of nodes, is done in advance. When OTF sends a bundle allocation requests to 6top, it has to indicate the desired size of the bundle and the TrackID. These are the only features that can be settled by OTF. 6top selects the soft cells belonging to the bundle. Based on the network traffic condition (e.g. queue utilization), a number of cells within the bundle is used for communication. In any case, allocated cells within a bundle are consecutive, starting from the first cell in the block. The cells which are not currently used, are still reserved for that pair of nodes, for possible future use.

OTF keeps track of the scheduled bundles, the bundle size, and the estimated number of allocated cells within a bundle. Based on this information, upon reception of a bandwidth request, OTF may ask 6top to increase or decrease the bundle size.

The post-allocation policy compared to the pre-allocation reduces the energy consumption, by allocating the exact number of soft cells when they are needed, but at the expense of increased cell allocation latency. In fact, for each requested soft cell, the 6top layer has to negotiate the request with the 6top layer of the neighbor node. Such negotiation takes some time and induces communication overhead.

The pre-allocation policy compared to the post-allocation reduces the cell allocation latency. The soft cells within the bundle are over-provisioned, and a priori scheduled. When needed, the 6top sublayer of the node can allocate them, without going through any negotiation phase with the 6top layer of the neighbor node. Thus, the pre-allocation approach provides a low-delay response after a surge in bandwidth usage. In fact, soft cells within a bundle are already scheduled and become immediately available, upon bandwidth request, without the need of a negotiation phase. The use of bundles does force the receiver module of the node to be active during the whole length of the Bundle, thus implying increased power consumption.

The hybrid allocation policy is a mix of the pre- and post-allocation policy. It tries to take advantage of both the "provision" and "recovery" approaches. Some bundles can be reserved in advance for a pair of nodes. After receiving a bandwidth request, OTF can decide if asking to 6top for (new) soft cell allocation (as per post-allocation approach, implying a negotiation phase among the neighbor nodes), or for bundle allocation/resizing (as per pre-allocation approach). In fact, it is possible that more bandwidth is
needed, but there are still some cells within the bundle that have not been allocated yet, and that they can be used for fulfilling the request. If all the cells within the bundle have already been allocated, OTF has to send a bundle size increase request to 6top (that still translates in soft cells allocation request).

3. Allocation methods

Beyond the allocation policies that describe the approach used by OTF for fulfilling the node bandwidth requests, the OTF framework also includes Allocation Methods that specify how OTF actually asks the 6top sublayer to satisfy the aforementioned requests. In other words, the allocation methods represent the mechanisms that are used by the allocation policies to pre- or post-allocate extra bandwidth.

In detail, OTF includes two distinct allocation methods: soft cell and bundle allocation methods. Each Allocation Policy can use either one or both allocation methods. As specified in [I-D.wang-6tisch-6top], 6top provides a set of commands that allows to schedule/allocate/delete soft cells. The same set of commands can be used for reserving bundles.

With the soft cell allocation method, OTF asks 6top to reserve a single soft cell, for communicating with a specific neighbour node, on a given track. The 6top layer allocates and maintains such cell. It has to be noticed that if a bundle (i.e., a group of cells) was already reserved between the same couple of nodes, on the same track, then, such soft cell allocation request translates into a bundle resize request. In fact, the new allocated cell will increase the size of the already existing bundle. In a similar way, when OTF realizes that there is a reduction of traffic exchanged between the two neighbors, it may asks 6top to delete a soft cell, in other words, to decrease the bundle size. Instead, if no bundle with the same TrackID already existed, then the 6top soft cell create command will generate a new bundle of size 1, having the specified TrackID.

With the bundle allocation method, OTF sends bundle allocation requests to 6top sublayer, specifying the bundle size (i.e., number of soft cells forming the bundle itself) and the TrackID of the track to which the cells belong. By using the soft cells commands 6top generates the bundle. In fact, the request of scheduling N soft cell is equivalent to asking for a bundle of size N. The cells within the bundle will be allocated by 6top afterwards, according to the nodes bandwidth need.
4. Input parameters: statistics and instant values

Short summary of a potential set of statistics and instant values that could be used as input parameters. Direct interaction with 6top.

List of parameters available from 6top: mainly statistics related to queues

Method to configure 6top to provide historical values for each requested parameter

Method to ask 6top for instant values for each requested parameter

Method for asking for a list of parameters from 6top and thus, for checking if a parameter is available or not

5. bundle usage management in OTF: TODO

Methods that trigger the request of increasing/decreasing the bundle, and thus, adding/deleting cells

5.1. Cell Reservation/Deletion

The commands to reserve/delete soft cells. Direct interaction with 6top

5.2. bundle Size Increase/Decrease

The commands to increase/decrease the bundle size. Direct interaction with 6top

6. Schedule storage on OTF: TODO

The description and access to the schedule storage on OTF

The commands to retrieve bundle usage values and statistics from OTF (based on previous values obtained by 6top?)

7. Bandwidth Estimation Algorithms

OTF supports different bandwidth estimation algorithms that can be used by a node in a 6TiSCH network for checking the current traffic condition and thus the actual bandwidth usage. By doing so, it is possible to adapt (increase or increase) the number of scheduled cells/bundles for a given pair of neighbors (e.g., parent node and its child), according to their needs. OTF supports several bandwidth estimation algorithms numbered from 0 to 255 in the OTF
implementation. The first algorithm (0) is reserved to the default algorithm that is described below. By using SET and GET commands, it is possible, to set the specific algorithm to be used, and get information about which algorithm is actually implemented.

The default bandwidth estimation algorithm, running over a parent node, is articulated in the following steps:

Step 1: Collect the bandwidth requests from child nodes (incoming traffic).

Step 2: Collect the node bandwidth requirement from the application (self/local traffic).

Step 3: Collect the current outgoing scheduled bandwidth (outgoing traffic).

Step 4: If (outgoing < incoming + self) then SCHEDULE soft cells/bundles to satisfy bandwidth requirements.

Step 5: If (outgoing > incoming + self) then DELETE the soft cells that are not used.

Step 6: Loop to Step 1.

Based on the allocation policy that is used, at Step 4 new soft cells will be scheduled, using the cell allocation method. If there are free cells in the bundle that can satisfy the current bandwidth request, such cells will be allocated. In the case of pre-allocation policy, it may happen that the number of allocated cells within the bundle is equal to the bundle size. That is, all the cells within the bundle are currently used. In this case, the node asks 6top for increasing the bundle size by using the bundle allocation method.

When the hybrid allocation policy has been selected two options are available: (i) the bundle size is increased, and a number of cells larger than those currently needed, can be scheduled - according to post-allocation approach, or (ii) a number of soft cells equal exactly to those currently needed are scheduled, as per post-allocation approach.
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