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Abstract

The Differentiated Services (Diffserv) architecture specifies use of the DSField in the IPv4 and IPv6 packet header to carry the Diffserv Codepoint (DSCP). The Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) maintains a registry of assigned DSCP values.

This update to RFC2474 changes the IANA assignment method for Pool 3 of the registry (i.e., DSCPs of the form xxxx01) to Standards Action, i.e., values are assigned through a Standards Track or Best Current Practice RFC. The update also removes permission for experimental and Local Use of the codepoints that form Pool 3 of the DSCP registry; Pool 1 codepoints (i.e., DSCPs of the form xxxx11) remain available for these purposes.
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1. Introduction

The Differentiated Services (Diffserv) [RFC2475] architecture (updated by [RFC3260]) provides scalable service differentiation in the Internet. Diffserv uses the six most significant bits of the former IPv4 Type of Service (TOS) octet or the former IPV6 Traffic Class octet to convey the DSField, which is used to carry the Diffserv Codepoint (DSCP). This DSCP value is used to select a Diffserv Per hop Behaviour, PHB.

The six bit DSField is capable of conveying 64 distinct codepoints, and this codepoint space has been divided into three pools for the purpose of codepoint assignment and management (as shown in figure 1). Pool 1 comprises 32 codepoints [RFC2474]. These are assigned by Standards Action, as defined in [RFC8126]. Pool 2 comprises a pool of 16 codepoints reserved for experimental or Local Use (EXP/LU) as defined in [RFC2474], and Pool 3 comprises 16 codepoints [RFC2474], which were initially "available for experimental or local use, but which were indicated should be preferentially utilized for standardized assignments if Pool 1 is ever exhausted."
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pool</th>
<th>Codepoint Space</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>xxxxx0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>xxxx11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>xxxx01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Format of the DSField for Codepoints allocated in the three IANA pools (where ‘x’ refers to either ‘0’ or ‘1’).

At the time of writing this document, 23 of the 32 Pool 1 codepoints have currently been assigned.

Although Pool 1 has not yet been completely exhausted, this document changes the IANA registration policy of Pool 3 to assignment by Standards Action, i.e., values are assigned by Standards Track or Best Current Practice RFCs. The rationale for this update is a need to assign codepoints for particular PHBs that are unable to use any of the unassigned values in Pool 1.

An example is the need to assign a suitable recommended default codepoint for the Lower Effort (LE) per-hop behavior (PHB) [I-D.ietf-tsvwg-le-phb]. The LE PHB is designed to protect best-effort (BE) traffic (packets forwarded with the default PHB) from LE traffic in congestion situations, i.e., when resources become scarce, best-effort traffic has precedence over LE traffic and may preempt it. The continued presence of bleaching of the IP precedence field (setting the top three bits of the former ToS byte to zero) in deployed networks motivates the desire for the LE PHB to use a DSCP with a zero value for the first three bits [I-D.ietf-tsvwg-le-phb]. At the same time, it is also important to reduce the likelihood of priority inversion caused by unintentional re-mapping of other (higher assurance) traffic to the DSCP used for this PHB. The absence of unassigned codepoints in Pool 1 that exhibit these important properties motivates assigning a Pool 3 codepoint as the default that is recommended for use with this PHB.

To allow the IETF to utilise Pool 3 codepoints, this document requests IANA to manage Pool 3 and make assignments for DSCP codepoints in Pool 3 when requested by Standards Action. This assignment method requires publication of a Standards Track or Best Current Practice RFC.

2. Terminology

This document assumes familiarity with the terminology used in [RFC2475] updated by [RFC3260].
3. The update to RFC2474

This document updates section 6 of [RFC2474], in the following ways.

It updates the following text concerning the assignment method:

OLD: which are initially available for experimental or local use, but
which should be preferentially utilized for standardized assignments if Pool 1 is ever exhausted.

NEW: which are utilized for standardized assignments (replacing the previous availability for experimental or local use)".

It removes the footnote in RFC2474 relating to Pool 3:

DELETE: "(*) may be utilized for future Standards Action allocations as necessary"

The new registry contents are shown in Figure 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pool</th>
<th>Codepoint space</th>
<th>Assignment Policy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>xxxxx0</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>xxxx11</td>
<td>EXP/LU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>xxxx01</td>
<td>Standards Action</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Updated Assignment Policy for the DSCP Registry

4. Security Considerations

Security considerations for the use of DSCPs are described in the RFCs that define their usage. This document does not present new security considerations.

5. IANA Considerations

This section requests IANA to change the use of Pool 3 in the DSCP registry and to manage this Pool using a Standards Action assignment method.

This requests IANA to make the following changes to the Differentiated Services Field Codepoints (DSCP) Registry, made available at [Registry].

The previous registry text:
3 xxxxx01 Experimental or Local Use May be utilized for future Standards Action allocations as necessary.

is replaced with the following registry text:

3 xxxxx01 Standards Action.

To manage codepoints in Pool 3, IANA is requested to create and maintain a "Pool 3 Codepoints" entry. Pool 3 of the registry is to be created initially empty, with a format identical to that used for "Pool 1 Codepoints".

The Registration Procedure for use of Pool 3 is "Standards Action" [RFC8126]. IANA is expected to normally make assignments from Pool 1, until this Pool is exhausted, but MAY make assignments from Pool 3 where the format of the codepoint has properties that are needed for a specific PHB. The required characteristics for choosing the DSCP value MUST be explained in the IANA considerations of the document that requests any assignment from Pool 3.

IANA is requested to reference RFC3260 and this current document.
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