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Abstract

Some clients will want to know if there are one or more DoH servers associated with the DNS recursive resolver that the client is already using. This document describes a protocol for a resolver to tell a client what its associated DoH servers are.
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1. Introduction

DoH [I-D.ietf-doh-dns-over-https] requires that one or more DoH servers be configured for the DoH client. That document does not say how the DoH servers are found, nor how to select from a list of possible DoH servers, nor what the user interface (UI) for the configuration should be.

There is a use case for clients who have one or more currently-configured DNS recursive resolvers wanting to use DoH for DNS resolution instead. Clients typically configure their DNS recursive resolvers with through manual configuration (such as manually editing a /etc/named.conf file) or through automatic configuration from a protocol such as DHCP.

The client that wants to change from its currently-configured DNS recursive resolvers might be the stub resolver in an operating system, although at this time it is rare that such stub resolvers can use DoH. A much more likely use case is a web browser that is getting name resolution through the stub resolver on the computer on which it is running. The user of the browser might have a preference for using a DoH server, and it might want a DoH server that is associated with the resolver that the computer is currently using.

To address this use case, this document defines a new special use domain name "resolver-associated-doh.arpa." and describes how it is used. The design choices made are described in Section 4.
2. Terminology

In this document, "DoT" is used to indicate DNS over TLS as defined in [RFC7858].

In this document, "Do53" is used to indicate DNS over UDP or TCP as defined in [RFC1035].

"DoH client" and "DoH server" are defined in [I-D.ietf-doh-dns-over-https].

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Signalling the DoH Servers Associated with a Resolver

To find the DoH servers associated with a resolver, the client sends a query for resolver-associated-doh.arpa in class IN with the RRtype of TXT [RFC1035] (that is, the query is resolver-associated-doh.arpa/IN/TXT).

The resolver replies with its associated DoH servers as URI Templates [RFC6570] in the TXT RRset in the Answer section.

3.1. Signalling in the Resolver

A resolver that understands this protocol MUST send a TXT RRset in the Answer section. Each TXT record contains one URI Template.

If a resolver that understands this protocol has no associated DoH servers, the TXT RRset contains exactly one record that has an empty string as the RDATA; that is, the RDLENGTH in that record is 1, and the RDATA contains just the byte 0x00.

Note that the zone resolver-associated-doh.arpa, as it is delegated in Section 5, has no TXT records. The resolver adds its own TXT records to the answer.

3.2. Client Handling of the Signals

The client uses the TXT records in the response to the resolver-associated-doh.arpa/IN/TXT query as a list of the URI Templates of the DoH servers associated with the resolver. Note that TXT records can contain multiple "character-strings" [RFC1035]; for this
protocol, all characters-strings in a TXT record are concatenated to form a single URI Template.

If a client sends the resolver-associated-doh.arpa/IN/TXT query over a transport that assures data integrity (such as DoT), and it receives a response that has the RCODE set to NOERROR and no relevant answers in the Answer section (also called a "NODATA" response in [RFC2308]), the client can assume that the resolver does not know this protocol.

See Section 7 for warnings about sending the resolver-associated-doh.arpa/IN/TXT query over a transport that does not assure data integrity (such as Do53).

The client SHOULD only use a DoH server listed in the response to resolver-associated-doh.arpa/IN/TXT for the length of time listed as the TXT RRset’s TTL field. Using an associated DoH server beyond the TTL can expose the client to problems such as loss of DNS service. The client SHOULD send a resolver-associated-doh.arpa/IN/TXT query before the expiration of the TTL in a previous response in order to allow the client to continue to use an associated DoH server without interruption.

A client MUST issue a new resolver-associated-doh.arpa/IN/TXT query every time the configured resolver changes.

4. Design Choices

The primary use case for this protocol is a web browser that is getting name resolution through the stub resolver on the computer on which it is running wanting to switch its name resolution to DoH. A secondary use case is an OS that wants to make a similar switch.

An earlier design suggestion was to use a new RRtype with a query to ./IN/NEWRRTYPE. However, it was pointed out that this would not work going through stub resolvers that validate DNSSEC.

An earlier design suggestion was to use DHCP to tell the OS the DoH servers that the stub resolver might use. That protocol is orthogonal to the one in this document in that it addresses a different use case. If both the protocol in this document and a DHCP-based protocol come into existence, they could co-exist. However, there is no current mechanism for a stub resolver to tell a web browser what DoH server the stub resolver is using, so DoH configuration in the stub resolver would not prevent the browser from trying to find a DoH server on its own.
An earlier design suggestion was to use an EDNS0 [RFC6891] extension. The design chosen (the new RRtype and resolver-associated-doh.arpa/IN/TXT query) meets the use case better because if the stub resolver does not understand EDNS0, or there is a middlebox between the computer and the resolver that mishandles EDNS extensions, the information will not make it back to the web browser.

For this protocol to be useful in a browser, the browser needs to have an entry in its configuration interface where the allowed DoH servers are listed that indicates that a DoH server from the configured Do53 or DoT resolver is allowed. That wording might say something like "DoH server associated with my current resolver".

5. IANA Considerations

IANA will record the domain name "resolver-associated-doh.arpa." in the "Special-Use Domain Names" registry [SUDN].

IANA, with the approval of the IAB, will delegate "resolver-associated-doh.arpa." in the ".arpa." zone.

This delegation MUST NOT include a DS record.

This delegation MUST point to one or more black hole servers, for example, "blackhole-1.iana.org." and "blackhole-2.iana.org."

This delegation MUST NOT ever have a resource record with the RRtype "TXT".

6. Privacy Considerations

Allowing a user to use DoH instead of Do53 increases communication privacy because of the TLS protection.

When a Do53 or DoT server indicates that a particular DoH server is associated with it, the client might assume that the DoH server has the same information privacy policies as the Do53 or DoT server. Therefore, a Do53 or DoT server SHOULD NOT recommend a DoH server unless that DoH server has the same (or better) information privacy policy as the Do53 or DoT server.

7. Security Considerations

If a client sends the resolver-associated-doh.arpa/IN/TXT query over a transport that does not assure data integrity (such as Do53), an attacker between the client and the resolver can change the response.
A client who sends a query over such a transport and begins to use a DoH server based on the response MUST NOT assign a level of trust to that DoH server greater than to the trust it gave to the resolver itself.

A client who sends a query over such a transport and receives a response that has an NXDOMAIN response code cannot be sure that the response comes from a resolver that does not know this protocol. Instead, the client SHOULD assume that there could be an on-path attack where the attacker does not want the client to use DoH.
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