Abstract

The Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) provides mechanisms for Path Computation Elements (PCEs) to perform path computations in response to Path Computation Clients (PCCs) requests. [I-D.ietf-pce-association-group] proposed an association mechanism for a set of LSPs.

This document defines the stitching LSP association type and stitching LSP association TLV for the inter-domain scenario.
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1. Introduction

[RFC5440] describes the Path Computation Element Protocol (PCEP) which is used between a Path Computation Element (PCE) and a Path Computation Client (PCC) (or other PCE) to enable computation of Multi-protocol Label Switching (MPLS) for Traffic Engineering Label Switched Path (TE LSP). [I-D.ietf-pce-association-group] proposed an association mechanism to create a grouping of LSPs in the context of a PCE.

[I-D.xiong-pce-stateful-pce-sr-inter-domain] introduces the procedure and the PCEP extension to form the inter-domain MPLS data entries and the multiple LSPs from multiple contiguous domains need to be stitched to an end-to-end LSP in SR inter-domain scenario.

This document proposes a new association object type called "stitching Association LSP type" and TLV called "Stitching LSP Association TLV" to associate a grouping of LSPs from multiple domains for inter-domain scenario.
2. Conventions used in this document

2.1. Terminology

The terminology is defined as [RFC5440], [I-D.ietf-pce-association-group] and [I-D.xiong-pce-stateful-pce-sr-inter-domain].

2.2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Stitching LSPs in SR-MPLS Inter-domain Scenario

As described in [I-D.xiong-pce-stateful-pce-sr-inter-domain], the domains of the networks may be IGP Areas in stitching inter-domain scenario. As Figure 1 shown, the multiple SR-MPLS domains may be interconnect with a ABR within areas. The multiple LSPs in each domain can be stitched to an end-to-end LSP. The LSP-1, LSP-2 and LSP-3 can be associated to a group.
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```

Figure 1: Stitching LSP in SR-MPLS Inter-domain Scenario

4. PCEP Extension for Stitching LSP
4.1. Stitching LSP Association Type and Group

An association ID will be used to identify the group and a new Association Type is defined in this document, based on the generic Association object:

Association Type (TBD) = Stitching LSP Association Group (SLAG).

SLAG may carry optional TLVs including but not limited to:

STITCHING-LSP-ASSOCIATION-TLV: Used to identify the role of stitching LSPs, described in Section 4.2.

As [I-D.ietf-pce-association-group] specified, the capability advertisement of the association types supported by a PCEP speaker is performed by defining a ASSOC-Type-List TLV to be carried within an OPEN object. The association type which defined in this document should be added in the list and be advertised between the PCEP speakers before the stitching LSP association.

Stitching LSP Association could be created dynamically or configured by the operator when operator-configured association is needed.

4.2. Stitching LSP Association TLV

The format of the Stitching LSP Association TLV is shown in Figure 1.

```
0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|             Type              |             Length            |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                             Reserved                    |S|T|D|
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Figure 2: Stitching LSP Association TLV

The fields of the Stitching LSP Association TLV are following:

Type: 16bits, it indicates the stitching LSP Association Group TLV: TBD2, the value is assigned by IANA).

Length: the value is 4, it indicates the length of the TLV is 4 bytes.
Reserved: it is reserved for future use.

Stitching LSP Association Flags-S: 1bit, indicates stitching LSP of the source domain when it is set.

Stitching LSP Association Flags-T: 1bit, indicates stitching LSP of the transit domain when it is set.

Stitching LSP Association Flags-D: 1bit, indicates stitching LSP of the destination layer when it is set.

5. Security Considerations

TBA

6. Acknowledgements

TBA

7. IANA Considerations

7.1. Association Object Type

This document defines a new association type and TLV in Association object which originally defined in [I-D.ietf-pce-association-group]. IANA is requested to make allocations from the registry, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Stitching LSP Association Type</td>
<td>[this document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Stitching LSP Association TLV</td>
<td>[this document]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

8. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-pce-association-group]
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