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Abstract

This document is an extension to the base Application-Layer Traffic Optimization (ALTO) protocol. It extends the ALTO cost information service so that applications decide not only ‘where’ to connect, but also ‘when’. This is useful for applications that need to perform bulk data transfer and would like to schedule these transfers during an off-peak hour, for example. This extension introduces ALTO Cost Calendar, with which an ALTO Server exposes ALTO cost values in JSON arrays where each value corresponds to a given time interval. The time intervals as well as other Calendar attributes, are specified in the Information Resources Directory and ALTO Server responses.
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1. Introduction

The base Application-Layer Traffic Optimization (ALTO) protocol specified in [RFC7285] provides guidance to overlay applications that need to select one or several hosts from a set of candidates able to provide a desired resource. This guidance is based on parameters that affect performance and efficiency of the data transmission between the hosts such as the topological distance. The goal of ALTO is to improve the Quality of Experience (QoE) in the application while optimizing resource usage in the underlying network infrastructure.

The ALTO protocol in [RFC7285] specifies a network map which defines groupings of endpoints in provider-defined network regions identified by Provider-defined Identifiers (PIDs). The Cost Map Service, Endpoint Cost Service (ECS) and Endpoint Ranking Service then provide ISP-defined costs and rankings for connections among the specified endpoints and PIDs and thus incentives for application clients to connect to ISP preferred locations, for instance, to reduce their costs. For the reasons outlined in the ALTO problem statement [RFC5693] and requirement AR-14 of [RFC6708], ALTO does not disseminate network metrics that change frequently. In a network, the costs can fluctuate for many reasons having to do with instantaneous traffic load or due to diurnal patterns of traffic demand or planned events such as network maintenance, holidays or highly publicized events. Thus, an ALTO application wishing to use the Cost Map and Endpoint Cost Service at some future time will have to estimate the state of the network at that time, a process that is, at best, fragile and brittle since the application does not have any visibility into the state of the network. The need of such future scheduling of large scale traffic that can be addressed by the ALTO protocol is motivated by Unicorn, a unified resource orchestration framework for multi-domain, geo-distributed data analytics, see [draft-xiang-alto-multidomain-analytics].

In case the ALTO Cost value changes are predictable over a certain period of time and the application does not require immediate data
transfer, it can save time to get the whole set of cost values over this period in one single ALTO response. Using this set to schedule data transfers allows optimizing the network resources usage and QoE. ALTO Clients and Servers can also minimize their workload by reducing and accordingly scheduling their data exchanges.

This document extends [RFC7285] to allow an ALTO Server to provide network costs for a given duration of time. A sequence of network costs across a time span for a given pair of network locations is named an "ALTO Cost Calendar". The Filtered Cost Map Service and Endpoint Cost Service are extended to provide Cost Calendars. In addition to this functional ALTO enhancement, we expect to further save network and storage resources by gathering multiple Cost Values for one Cost Type into one single ALTO Server response.

In this draft, an "ALTO Cost Calendar" is specified in terms of information resources capabilities that are applicable to time-sensitive ALTO metrics. An ALTO Cost Calendar exposes ALTO Cost Values in JSON arrays, see [RFC8259], where each value corresponds to a given time interval. The time intervals as well as other Calendar attributes are specified in the Information Resources Directory (IRD) and in the Server response to allow the ALTO Client to interpret the received ALTO values. Last, the extensions for ALTO Calendars are applicable to any Cost Mode and they ensure backwards compatibility with legacy ALTO Clients.

In the rest of this document, Section 2 provides the design characteristics. Sections 3 and 4 define the formal specifications for the IRD and the information resources. IANA, security and operational considerations are addressed respectively in sections Section 5, Section 6 and Section 7.

2. Overview of ALTO Cost Calendars and terminology

2.1. Terminology

- ALTO transaction: A request/response exchange between an ALTO Client and an ALTO Server.
- Client: When used with a capital "C", this term refers to an ALTO Client.
- Calendar, Cost Calendar: When used with capitalized words, these terms refer to an ALTO Cost Calendar.
- Endpoint (EP): An endpoint is defined as in Section 2.1 of [RFC7285]. It can be, for example, a peer, a CDN storage location, a physical server involved in a virtual server-supported
application, a party in a resource-sharing swarm such as a computation grid, or an online multi-party game.

- Server: When used with a capital "S", this term refers to an ALTO Server.

### 2.2. ALTO Cost Calendar overview

An ALTO Cost Calendar provided by the ALTO Server provides 2 information items:

- an array of values for a given metric, where each value corresponds to a time interval, where the value array can sometimes be a cyclic pattern that repeats a certain number of times.

- attributes describing the time scope of the Calendar such as the size and number of the intervals and the date of the starting point of the Calendar, allowing an ALTO Client to interpret the values properly.

An ALTO Cost Calendar can be used like a "time table" to figure out the best time to schedule data transfers and also to proactively manage application traffic given predictable events such as expected spike in traffic due to crowd gathering (concerts, sports, etc.), traffic-intensive holidays and network maintenance. A potential use case is the SENSE project, see [SENSE-sdn-e2e-net], who is implementing smart network services to dynamically build end-to-end virtual guaranteed networks across administrative domains, with no manual intervention. The initial SENSE services include informing applications on the availability of bandwidth resources or feasibility of some requested Time-Bandwidth-Product (TBP) during a specific time period. ALTO Calendars can support these services if the Calendar start date and duration cover the period of interest of the requesting applications. A Calendar may be viewed as a synthetic abstraction of, for example, real measurements gathered over previous periods on which statistics have been computed. However, like for any schedule, unexpected network incidents may require the current ALTO Calendar to be updated and re-sent to the ALTO Clients needing it. To this end, it is RECOMMENDED that ALTO Servers providing ALTO Calendars also provide the "ALTO Incremental Updates Using Server-Sent Events (SSE)" Service that is specified in [draft-ietf-alto-incr-update-sse]. Likewise, ALTO Clients capable of using ALTO Calendars SHOULD also use the SSE Service.

Most likely, the ALTO Cost Calendar would be used for the Endpoint Cost Service, assuming that a limited set of feasible Endpoints for a non-real time application is already identified, that they do not
need to be accessed immediately and that their access can be scheduled within a given time period. The Filtered Cost Map Service is also applicable as long as the size of the Map allows it.

2.3. ALTO Cost Calendar information features

The Calendar attributes are provided in the Information Resources Directory (IRD) and in ALTO Server responses. The IRD announces attributes without date values in its information resources capabilities, whereas attributes with time dependent values are provided in the "meta" section of Server responses. The ALTO Cost Calendar attributes provide the following information:

- attributes to describe the time scope of the Calendar value array:
  - time zone (in UTC),
  - applicable time interval size for each Calendar value, defined in seconds, that can cover a wide range of values.
  - duration of the Calendar: e.g., the number of intervals provided in the Calendar.
- "calendar-start-date": specifying when the Calendar starts, that is to which date the first value of the Cost Calendar is applicable.
- "repeated": an optional attribute indicating how many iterations of the provided Calendar will have the same values. The server may use it to allow the client to schedule its next request and thus save its own workload by reducing processing of similar requests.

Attribute "repeated" may take a very high value if a Calendar represents a cyclic value pattern that the Server considers valid for a long period. In this case, the Server will only update the Calendar values once this period has elapsed or if an unexpected event occurs on the network.

2.4. ALTO Calendar design characteristics

The extensions in this document and encode requests and responses using JSON [RFC8259].

Formally, the cost entries in an ALTO cost map can be any type of JSON value [RFC8259], (see the DstCosts object in Section 11.2.3.6 of [RFC7285]). However, that section states that an implementation of [RFC7285] SHOULD assume that the cost is a JSON number and fail to
parse if it is not, unless the implementation is using an extension that signals a different data type. This document extends the definition of a legacy cost map given in [RFC7285] to allow a cost entry to be an array of values, one per time interval, instead of just one number.

To realize an ALTO Calendar, this document extends: the IRD, the ALTO requests and responses for Cost Calendars.

This extension is designed to be lightweight and to ensure backwards compatibility with base protocol ALTO Clients and with other extensions. It relies on section 8.3.7 "Parsing of Unknown Fields" of [RFC7285] that writes: "Extensions may include additional fields within JSON objects defined in this document. ALTO implementations MUST ignore unknown fields when processing ALTO messages."

The Calendar-specific capabilities are integrated in the information resources of the IRD and in the "meta" member of ALTO responses to Cost Calendars requests. A Calendar and its capabilities are associated with a given information resource and within this information resource with a given cost type. This design has several advantages:

- it does not introduce a new mode,
- it does not introduce new media types,
- it allows an ALTO Server to offer Calendar capabilities on a cost type, with attributes values adapted to each information resource.

The applicable Calendared information resources are:

- the Filtered Cost Map,
- the Endpoint Cost Map.

The ALTO Server can choose in which frequency it provides cost Calendars to ALTO Clients. It may either provide Calendar updates starting at the request date, or carefully schedule its updates so as to take profit from a potential repetition/periodicity of Calendar values.

2.4.1. ALTO Cost Calendar for all cost modes

An ALTO Cost Calendar is well-suited for values encoded in the "numerical" mode. Actually, a Calendar can also represent metrics in other modes considered as compatible with time-varying values. For example, types of Cost values such as JSONBool can also be
calendared, as their value may be 'true' or 'false' depending on given time periods or likewise, values represented by strings, such as "medium", "high", "low", "blue", "open".

Note also that a Calendar is suitable as well for time-varying metrics provided in the "ordinal" mode, if these values are time-varying and the ALTO Server provides updates of cost value based preferences.

2.4.2. Compatibility with legacy ALTO Clients

The ALTO protocol extensions for Cost Calendars have been defined so as to ensure that Calendar capable ALTO Servers can provide legacy ALTO Clients with legacy information resources as well. That is, a legacy ALTO Client can request resources and receive responses as specified in [RFC7285].

A Calendar-aware ALTO Server MUST implement the base protocol specified in [RFC7285].

As a consequence, when a metric is available as a Calendar array, it also MUST be available as a single value as required by [RFC7285].

The Server, in this case, provides the current value of the metric to either Calendar-aware Clients not interested in future or time-based values, or Clients implementing [RFC7285] only.

For compatibility with legacy ALTO Clients specified in [RFC7285], calendared information resources are not applicable for full cost maps for the following reason: a legacy ALTO Client would receive a calendared cost map via an HTTP 'GET' command. As specified in section 8.3.7 of [RFC7285], it will ignore the Calendar Attributes indicated in the "meta" of the responses. Therefore, lacking information on Calendar attributes, it will not be able to correctly interpret and process the values of the received array of Calendar cost values.

Therefore, calendared information resources MUST be requested via the Filtered Cost Map Service or the Endpoint Cost Service, using a POST method.

3. ALTO Calendar specification: IRD extensions

The Calendar attributes in the IRD information resources capabilities carry constant dateless values. A Calendar is associated with an information resource rather than a cost type. For example, a Server can provide a "routingcost" Calendar for the Filtered Cost Map Service at a granularity of one day and a "routingcost" Calendar for the Endpoint Cost Service at a finer granularity but for a limited
number of endpoints. An example IRD with Calendar specific features is provided in Section 3.3.

3.1. Calendar attributes in the IRD resource capabilities

A Cost Calendar for a given Cost Type MUST be indicated in the IRD by an object of type CalendarAttributes. A CalendarAttribute object is represented by the "calendar-attributes" member of a resource entry. Each CalendarAttributes object applies to a set of one or more cost types. A Cost Type name MUST appear no more than once in the "calendar-attributes" member of a resource entry; multiple appearances of a Cost Type name in CalendarAttributes object of the "calendar-attributes" member MUST cause the ALTO Client to ignore any occurrences of this name beyond the first encountered occurrence.

It is RECOMMENDED for an ALTO Server that the time interval size specified in the IRD is the smallest possible one that it can provide. The Client can aggregate cost values on its own if it needs a larger granularity.

The encoding format for object CalendarAttributes, using JSON [RFC8259], is as follows:

```
CalendarAttributes calendar-attributes <1..*>;

object{
    JSONString cost-type-names <1..*>;
    JSONNumber time-interval-size;
    JSONNumber number-of-intervals;
} CalendarAttributes;
```

- "cost-type-names":
  * An array of one or more elements indicating the cost-type-names in the IRD entry to which the capabilities apply.

- "time-interval-size":
  * is the duration of an ALTO Calendar time interval in seconds. A "time-interval-size" value contains a JSONNumber. ALTO Servers SHOULD use at least IEEE 754 double-precision floating point [IEEE.754.2008] to store this value. Example values are: 300, 7200, meaning that each Calendar value applies on a time interval that lasts 5 minutes and 2 hours, respectively.

- "number-of-intervals":

- Attribute "cost-type-names" provides a better readability to the Calendar attributes specified in the IRD and avoids confusion with Calendar attributes of other cost-types.

- Multiplying 'time-interval-size' by 'number-of-intervals' provides the duration of the provided Calendar. For example, an ALTO Server may provide a Calendar for ALTO values changing every 'time-interval-size' equal to 5 minutes. If 'number-of-intervals' has the value 12, then the duration of the provided Calendar is "1 hour".

3.2. Calendars in a delegate IRD

It may be useful to distinguish IRD resources supported by the base ALTO protocol from resources supported by its extensions. To achieve this, one option, is that a "root" ALTO Server implementing base protocol resources delegates "specialized" information resources such as the ones providing Cost Calendars, to another ALTO Server running in a subdomain that is specified with its URI in the "root" ALTO Server. This option is described in Section 9.2.4 "Delegation using IRDs" of [RFC7285].

This document provides an example, where a "root" ALTO Server runs in a domain called "alto.example.com". It delegates the announcement of Calendars capabilities to an ALTO Server running in a subdomain called "custom.alto.example.com". The location of the "delegate Calendar IRD" is assumed to be indicated in the "root" IRD by the resource entry: "custom-calendared-resources".

Another advantage is that some Cost Types for some resources may be more advantageous as Cost Calendars and it makes few sense to get them as a single value. For example, Cost Types with predictable and frequently changing values, calendared in short time intervals such as a minute.

3.3. Example IRD with ALTO Cost Calendars

This section provides an example ALTO Server IRD that supports various cost metrics and cost modes. In particular, since [RFC7285] makes it mandatory, the Server uses metric "routingcost" in the "numerical" mode.

For illustrative purposes, this section introduces 3 other fictitious example metrics and modes that should be understood as examples and should not be used or considered as normative.
The cost type names used in the example IRD as thus as follows:

- "num-routingcost": refers to metric "routingcost" in the numerical mode as defined in [RFC7285] and registered with IANA.

- "num-owdelay": refers to fictitious performance metric "owdelay" in the "numerical" mode, to reflect the one-way packet transmission delay on a path. A related performance metric is currently under definition in [draft-ietf-alto-performance-metrics].

- "num-throughputrating": refers to fictitious metric "throughputrating" in the "numerical" mode, to reflect the provider preference in terms of end to end throughput.

- "string-servicestatus": refers to fictitious metric "servicestatus" containing a string, to reflect the availability, defined by the provider, of for instance path connectivity.

The example IRD includes 2 particular URIs providing Calendars:

- "https://custom.alto.example.com/calendar/costmap/filtered": a filtered cost map in which Calendar capabilities are indicated for cost type names: "num-routingcost", "num-throughputrating" and "string-servicestatus",

- "https://custom.alto.example.com/calendar/endpointcost/lookup": an endpoint cost map in which Calendar capabilities are indicated for cost type names: "num-routingcost", "num-owdelay", "num-throughputrating", "string-servicestatus".

The design of the Calendar capabilities allows some Calendars with the same cost type name to be available in several information resources with different Calendar Attributes. This is the case for Calendars on "num-routingcost", "num-throughputrating" and "string-servicestatus", available in both the Filtered Cost map and Endpoint Cost Service, but with different time interval sizes for "num-throughputrating" and "string-servicestatus".

--- Client to Server request for IRD ---------

GET /calendars-directory HTTP/1.1
Host: custom.alto.example.com
Accept: application/alto-directory+json,application/alto-error+json

--- Server response to Client ---------------

HTTP/1.1 200 OK

{
  "meta": {
    "default-alto-network-map": "my-default-network-map",
    "cost-types": {
      "num-routingcost": {
        "cost-mode": "numerical",
        "cost-metric": "routingcost"
      },
      "num-owdelay": {
        "cost-mode": "numerical",
        "cost-metric": "owdelay"
      },
      "num-throughputrating": {
        "cost-mode": "numerical",
        "cost-metric": "throughputrating"
      },
      "string-servicestatus": {
        "cost-mode": "string",
        "cost-metric": "servicestatus"
      }
    }
  },
  "resources": {
    "filtered-cost-map-calendar": {
      "uri": "https://custom.alto.example.com/calendar/costmap/filtered",
      "media-type": "application/alto-costmap+json",
      "accepts": "application/alto-costmapfilter+json",
      "capabilities": {
        "cost-constraints": true,
        "cost-type-names": ["num-routingcost",
                            "num-throughputrating",
                            "string-servicestatus"],
        "calendar-attributes": [
          {"cost-type-names": ["num-routingcost",
                               "num-throughputrating"],
           "time-interval-size": 7200,
           "number-of-intervals": 12},
          {"cost-type-names": ["string-servicestatus"],
           "time-interval-size": 1800,
           "number-of-intervals": 48}
        ]
      }  
    }
  }
}
"uses": [ "my-default-network-map" ]
},
"endpoint-cost-calendar-map": {
"uri": "https://custom.alto.example.com/calendar/endpointcost/lookup",
"media-type": "application/alto-endpointcost+json",
"accepts": "application/alto-endpointcostparams+json",
"capabilities": {
"cost-constraints": true,
"cost-type-names": [ "num-routingcost",
"num-owdelay",
"num-throughputrating",
"string-servicestatus" ],
"calendar-attributes": [
{"cost-type-names": [ "num-routingcost" ],
"time-interval-size": 3600,
"number-of-intervals": 24
},
{"cost-type-names": [ "num-owdelay" ],
"time-interval-size": 300,
"number-of-intervals": 12
},
{"cost-type-names": [ "num-throughputrating" ],
"time-interval-size": 60,
"number-of-intervals": 60
},
{"cost-type-names": [ "string-servicestatus" ],
"time-interval-size": 120,
"number-of-intervals": 30
}
]
}
}
}

In this example IRD, for the Filtered Cost Map Service:

- the Calendar for "num-routingcost" and "num-throughputrating" is an array of 12 values each provided on a time interval lasting 7200 seconds (2 hours).

- the Calendar for "string-servicestatus": "is an array of 48 values each provided on a time interval lasting 1800 seconds (30 minutes).

For the Endpoint Cost Service:
4. ALTO Calendar specification: Service Information Resources

This section documents the individual information resources defined to provide the calendared information services defined in this document.

The reference time zone for the provided time values is UTC. The option chosen to express the time format is the HTTP header fields format specified in [RFC7231] where, however, timestamps are still displayed with the acronym "GMT" rather than "UTC":

```
Date: Tue, 15 Nov 2014 08:12:31 GMT
```

The value of a Calendar time interval size is expressed in seconds.

4.1. Calendar extensions for Filtered Cost Maps (FCM)

A legacy ALTO Client requests and gets Filtered Cost Map responses as specified in [RFC7285].

4.1.1. Calendar extensions in Filtered Cost Map requests

The input parameters of a "legacy" request for a filtered cost map, defined by object ReqFilteredCostMap in section 11.3.2 of [RFC7285], are augmented with one additional member.

A Calendar-aware ALTO Client requesting a Calendar on a given Cost Type for a filtered cost map resource having Calendar capabilities MUST add the following field to its input parameters:

```
JSONBoolean calendared<1..*>
```

This field is an array of 1 to N boolean values, where N is the number of requested metrics. Each entry corresponds to the requested metric at the same array position. Each boolean value indicates...
whether or not the ALTO Server should provide the values for this Cost Type as a Calendar. The array MUST contain exactly N boolean values, otherwise, the Server returns an error.

This field MUST NOT be included if no member "calendar-attributes" is specified in this information resource.

If a value of field 'calendared' is 'true' for a cost type name for which no Calendar attributes have been specified: an ALTO Server, whether it implements the extensions of this document or only implements [RFC7285], MUST ignore it and return a response with a single cost value as specified in [RFC7285].

If this field is not present, it MUST be assumed to have only values equal to 'false'.

A Calendar-aware ALTO Client that supports requests for only one cost type at a time and wants to request a Calendar MUST provide an array of 1 element:

"calendared" : [true];

A Calendar-aware ALTO Client that supports requests for more than one Cost Types at a time, as specified in [RFC8189] MUST provide an array of N values set to 'true' or 'false', depending whether it wants the applicable Cost Type values as a single or calendared value.

4.1.2. Calendar extensions in Filtered Cost Map responses

In a calendared ALTO Filtered Cost Map, a cost value between a source and a destination is a JSON array of JSON values. An ALTO Calendar values array has a number of values equal to the value of member 'number-of-intervals' of the Calendar attributes that are indicated in the IRD. These attributes will be conveyed as metadata in the Filtered Cost Map response. Each element of the array is valid for the time-interval that matches its array position.

The FCM response conveys metadata among which:

- some are not specific to Calendars and ensure compatibility with [RFC7285] and [RFC8189]
- some are specific to Calendars.

The non Calendar specific "meta" fields of a calendared Filtered Cost Map response MUST include at least:
if the ALTO Client requests cost values for one Cost Type at a
time only: the "meta" fields specified in [RFC7285] for these
information service responses:

* "dependent-vtags ",
* "cost-type" field.

If the ALTO Client implements the Multi-Cost ALTO extension
specified in [RFC8189] and requests cost values for several Cost
Types at a time: the "meta" fields specified in [RFC8189] for
these information service responses:

* "dependent-vtags ",
* "cost-type" field with value set to '{}', for backwards
  compatibility with [RFC7285].
* "multi-cost-types" field.

If the client request does not provide member "calendared" or if it
provides it with a value equal to ‘false’, for all the requested Cost
Types, then the ALTO Server response is exactly as specified in
[RFC7285] and [RFC8189].

If the value of member "calendared" is equal to ‘false’ for a given
requested Cost Type, the ALTO Server MUST return, for this Cost Type,
a single cost value as specified in [RFC7285].

If the value of member "calendared" is equal to ‘true’ for a given
requested Cost Type, the ALTO Server returns, for this Cost Type, a
cost value Calendar as specified above in this section. In addition
to the above cited non Calendar specific "meta" members, the Server
MUST provide a Calendar specific metadata field.

The Calendar specific "meta" field that a calendared Filtered Cost
Map response MUST include is a member called "calendar-response-
attributes", that describes properties of the Calendar and where:

* member "calendar-response-attributes" is an array of one or more
  objects of type "CalendarResponseAttributes".

* each "CalendarResponseAttributes" object in the array is specified
  for one or more Cost Types for which the value of member
  "calendared" is equal to ‘true’ and for which a Calendar is
  provided for the requested information resource.
The format of member "CalendarResponseAttributes" is defined as follows:

```
CalendarResponseAttributes calendar-response-attributes <1..*>
```

Object CalendarResponseAttributes has the following attributes:

- "cost-type-names": is an array of one or more cost-type-names to which the capabilities apply and for which a Calendar has been requested. The value of this member is a subset of the "cost-type-names" array specified in the corresponding IRD Calendar attributes.

- "calendar-start-time": indicates the date at which the first value of the Calendar applies. The value provided for the "calendar-start-time" attribute SHOULD NOT be later than the request date.

- "time-interval-size": as specified in Section 3.1 and with the same value.

- "number-of-intervals": as specified in Section 3.1 and with the same value.

- "repeated": is an optional field provided for Calendars. It is an integer N greater or equal to '1' that indicates how many iterations of the Calendar value array starting at the date indicated by "calendar-start-time" have the same values. The number N includes the provided iteration.

For example: suppose the "calendar-start-time" member has value "Mon, 30 Jun 2014 at 00:00:00 GMT", the "time-interval-size" member has value '3600', the "number-of-intervals" member has value '24' and the value of member "repeated" is equal to '4'. This means that the
Calendar values are the same on Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday on a period of 24 hours starting at 00:00:00 GMT. The ALTO Client thus may use the same Calendar for the next 4 days starting at "calendar-start-time" and will only need to request a new one for Friday July 4th at 00:00:00 GMT.

Attribute "repeated" may take a very high value if a Calendar represents a cyclic value pattern that the Server considers valid for a long period and hence will only update once this period has elapsed or if an unexpected event occurs on the network. In the latter case, the client will be notified if it uses the "ALTO Incremental Updates Using Server-Sent Events (SSE)" Service, specified in [draft-ietf-alto-incr-update-sse]. See also discussion in Section 7 "Operational Considerations".

4.1.3. Use case and example: FCM with a bandwidth Calendar

An example of non-real time information that can be provisioned in a Calendar is the expected path throughput. While the transmission rate can be measured in real time by end systems, the operator of a data center is in the position of formulating preferences for given paths, at given time periods to avoid traffic peaks due to diurnal usage patterns. In this example, we assume that an ALTO Client requests a Calendar of network provider defined throughput ratings, as specified in the IRD, to schedule its bulk data transfers as described in the use cases.

In the example IRD, Calendars for cost type name "num-throughputrating" are available for the information resources: "filtered-cost-calendar-map" and "endpoint-cost-calendar-map". The ALTO Client requests a Calendar for "num-throughputrating" via a POST request for a filtered cost map.

We suppose in the present example that the ALTO Client sends its request on Tuesday July 1st 2014 at 13:15. The Server returns Calendars with arrays of 12 numbers for each source and destination pair. The values for metric "throughputrating", in this example, are assumed to be encoded in 2 digits.
POST /calendar/costmap/filtered HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: 208
Content-Type: application/alto-costmapfilter+json
Accept: application/alto-costmap+json,application/alto-error+json

{
  "cost-type": {
    "cost-mode": "numerical",
    "cost-metric": "throughputrating"},
  "calendared": [true],
  "pids": {
    "srcs": ["PID1", "PID2"],
    "dsts": ["PID1", "PID2", "PID3"]
  }
}

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: 815
Content-Type: application/alto-costmap+json

{
  "meta": {
    "dependent-vtags": [
      {"resource-id": "my-default-network-map",
       "tag": "3ee2cb7e8d63d9fab71b9b34cbf764436315542e"}
    ],
    "cost-type": {
      "cost-mode": "numerical",
      "cost-metric": "throughputrating"},
    "calendar-response-attributes": [
      {"calendar-start-time": "Tue, 1 Jul 2014 13:00:00 GMT",
       "time-interval-size": 7200,
       "number-of-intervals": 12}
    ]
  },
  "cost-map": {
    "PID1": {"PID1": [01, 12, 14, 18, 14, 14, 14, 18, 19, 20, 11, 12],
             "PID2": [13, 04, 15, 16, 17, 19, 19, 20, 11, 12, 13, 14],
             "PID3": [20, 20, 18, 14, 12, 12, 14, 14, 12, 12, 14, 16] },
    "PID2": {"PID1": [17, 18, 19, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18],
             "PID2": [20, 20, 18, 16, 14, 14, 14, 16, 16, 16, 14, 16],
             "PID3": [20, 20, 18, 14, 12, 12, 14, 14, 12, 12, 14, 16] }
  }
}
4.2. Calendar extensions in the Endpoint Cost Service

This document extends the Endpoint Cost Service, as defined in 11.5.1 of [RFC7285], by adding new input parameters and capabilities, and by returning JSONArrays instead of JSONNumbers as the cost values. The media type 11.5.1.1 and HTTP method 11.5.1.2 are unchanged.

4.2.1. Calendar specific input in Endpoint Cost requests

The extensions to the requests for calendared Endpoint Cost Maps are the same as for the Filtered Cost Map Service, specified in section Section 4.1.1 of this draft.

The ReqEndpointCostMap object for a calendared ECM request will have the following format:

```json
object {
    [CostType cost-type;]
    [CostType multi-cost-types<1..*>;]
    [JSONBoolean calendared<1..*>;]
    EndpointFilter endpoints;
} ReqEndpointCostMap;
```

```json
object {
    [TypedEndpointAddr srcs<0..*>;]
    [TypedEndpointAddr dsts<0..*>;]
} EndpointFilter;
```

4.2.2. Calendar attributes in the Endpoint Cost response

The "meta" field of a calendared Endpoint Cost response MUST include at least:

- if the ALTO Client supports cost values for one Cost Type at a time only: the "meta" fields specified in 11.5.1.6 of [RFC7285] for the Endpoint Cost response:
  - "cost-type" field.

- if the ALTO Client supports cost values for several Cost Types at a time, as specified in [RFC8189] : the "meta" fields specified in [RFC8189] for the the Endpoint Cost response:
  - "cost-type" field with value set to ‘{’’, for backwards compatibility with [RFC7285].
* "multi-cost-types" field.

If the client request does not provide member "calendared" or if it provides it with a value equal to 'false', for all the requested Cost Types, then the ALTO Server response is exactly as specified in [RFC7285] and [RFC8189].

If the ALTO Client provides member "calendared" in the input parameters with a value equal to 'true' for given requested Cost Types, the "meta" member of a calendared Endpoint Cost response MUST include, for these Cost Types, an additional member "calendar-response-attributes", the contents of which obey the same rules as for the Filtered Cost Map Service, specified in Section 4.1.2. The Server response is thus changed as follows, w.r.t [RFC7285] and [RFC8189]:

- the "meta" member has one additional field "CalendarResponseAttributes", as specified for the Filtered Cost Map Service,
- the calendared costs are JSONArrays instead of the JSONNumbers format used by legacy ALTO implementations. All arrays have a number of values equal to 'number-of-intervals'.

If the value of member "calendared" is equal to 'false' for a given requested Cost Type, the ALTO Server MUST return, for this Cost Type, a single cost value as specified in [RFC7285].

4.2.3. Use case and example: ECS with a routingcost Calendar

Let us assume an Application Client is located in an end system with limited resources and having access to the network that is either intermittent or provides an acceptable quality in limited but predictable time periods. Therefore, it needs to both schedule its resource-greedy networking activities and its ALTO transactions.

The Application Client has the choice to trade content or resources with a set of Endpoints and needs to decide with which one it will connect and at what time. For instance, the Endpoints are spread in different time-zones, or have intermittent access. In this example, the 'routingcost' is assumed to be time-varying, with values provided as ALTO Calendars.

The ALTO Client associated with the Application Client queries an ALTO Calendar on 'routingcost' and will get the Calendar covering the 24 hours time period "containing" the date and time of the ALTO client request.
For Cost Type "num-routingcost", the solicited ALTO Server has defined 3 different daily patterns each represented by a Calendar, to cover the week of Monday June 30th at 00:00 to Sunday July 6th 23:59:

- C1 for Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, (weekdays)
- C2 for Saturday, Sunday, (weekend)
- C3 for Friday (maintenance outage on July 4, 2014 from 02:00:00 GMT to 04:00:00 GMT, or big holiday such as New Year evening).

In the following example, the ALTO Client sends its request on Tuesday July 1st 2014 at 13:15.

The "routingcost" values are assumed to be encoded in 3 digits.

For representation brevity, the arrays in the provided example are symbolized by expression "[v1,v2, ... v24]", that is otherwise not valid in JSON. The value of field "Content-Length" in the responses is computed as if "routingcost" values were encoded in 3 digits.
POST /calendar/endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: 290
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json,application/alto-error+json

{
    "cost-type" : {
        "cost-mode" : "numerical",
        "cost-metric" : "routingcost"},
    "calendared" : [true],
    "endpoints" : {
        "srcs": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.2" ],
        "dsts": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
                  "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
                  "ipv4:203.0.113.45",
                  "ipv6:2001:db8::10"
                 ]
    }
}

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: 877
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json

{
    "meta" : {
        "cost-type" : {
            "cost-mode" : "numerical",
            "cost-metric" : "routingcost"},
        "calendar-response-attributes" : [
            {"calendar-start-time" : "Mon, 30 Jun 2014 00:00:00 GMT",
             "time-interval-size" : 3600,
             "number-of-intervals" : 24,
             "repeated": 4
            }
        ]
    },
    "endpoint-cost-map" : {
        "ipv4:192.0.2.2": {
            "ipv4:192.0.2.89" : [v1, v2, ... v24],
            "ipv4:198.51.100.34" : [v1, v2, ... v24],
            "ipv4:203.0.113.45" : [v1, v2, ... v24],
            "ipv6:2001:db8::10" : [v1, v2, ... v24]
        }
    }
}
When the Client gets the Calendar for "routingcost", it sees that the "calendar-start-time" is Monday at 00h00 GMT and member "repeated" is equal to '4'. It understands that the provided values are valid until Thursday included and will only need to get a Calendar update on Friday.

4.2.4. Use case and example: ECS with a multi-cost Calendar for routingcost and owdelay

In this example, it is assumed that the ALTO Server implements multi-cost capabilities, as specified in [RFC8189]. That is, an ALTO Client can request and receive values for several cost types in one single transaction. An illustrating use case is a path selection done on the basis of 2 metrics: routing cost and owdelay.

As in the previous example, the IRD indicates that the ALTO Server provides "routingcost" Calendars in terms of 24 time intervals of 1 hour (3600 seconds) each.

For metric "owdelay", the IRD indicates that the ALTO Server provides Calendars in terms of 12 time intervals values lasting each 5 minutes (300 seconds).

In the following example transaction, the ALTO Client sends its request on Tuesday July 1st 2014 at 13:15.

This example assumes that the values of metric "owdelay" and "routingcost" are encoded in 3 digits.

For representation brevity, the arrays in the provided example are symbolized by expression "[[r1, r2, ... r24], [o1, o2, ... o12]]", that is otherwise not valid in JSON. The value of field "Content-Length" in the responses is computed as if "routingcost" and "owdelay" values were encoded in 3 digits.

POST calendar/endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: 373
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json, application/alto-error+json

{
  "cost-type" : {},
  "multi-cost-types" : [
    {"cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "routingcost"},
    {"cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "owdelay"}
  ],
"calendared" : [true, true],
"endpoints" : {
  "srcs": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.2" ],
  "dsts": [
    "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
    "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
    "ipv4:203.0.113.45",
    "ipv6:2001:db8::10"
  ]
}
}

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: 1377
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json

{
  "meta" : {
    "multi-cost-types" : [
      {"cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "routingcost"},
      {"cost-mode" : "numerical", "cost-metric" : "owdelay"}
    ],
    "calendar-response-attributes" : [
      {"cost-type-names" : "num-routingcost",
       "calendar-start-time" : "Mon, 30 Jun 2014 00:00:00 GMT",
       "time-interval-size" : 3600,
       "number-of-intervals" : 24,
       "repeated": 4 },
      {"cost-type-names" : "num-owdelay"
       "calendar-start-time" : "Tue, 1 Jul 2014 13:00:00 GMT",
       "time-interval-size" : 300,
       "number-of-intervals" : 12}
    ],
  },
  "endpoint-cost-map" : {
    "ipv4:192.0.2.2": {
      "ipv4:192.0.2.89" : [[r1, r2, ... r24], [o1, o2, ... o12]],
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34" : [[r1, r2, ... r24], [o1, o2, ... o12]],
      "ipv4:203.0.113.45" : [[r1, r2, ... r24], [o1, o2, ... o12]],
      "ipv6:2001:db8::10" : [[r1, r2, ... r24], [o1, o2, ... o12]]
    }
  }
}

When receiving the response, the client sees that the Calendar values for metric "routingcost" are repeated for 4 iterations. Therefore,
in its next requests until the "routingcost" Calendar is expected to change, the client will only need to request a Calendar for "owdelay".

Without the ALTO Calendar extensions, the ALTO Client would have no clue on the dynamicity of the metric value change and would spend needless time requesting values at an inappropriate pace. In addition, without the Multi-Cost ALTO capabilities, the ALTO Client would duplicate this waste of time as it would need to send one request per cost metric.

5. IANA Considerations

This document does not define any new media types or introduce any new IANA considerations.

6. Security Considerations

As an extension of the base ALTO protocol [RFC7285], this document fits into the architecture of the base protocol, and hence the Security Considerations (Section 15) of the base protocol fully apply when this extension is provided by an ALTO Server. For example, the same authenticity and integrity considerations (Section 15.1 of [RFC7285]) still fully apply; the same considerations for the privacy of ALTO users (Section 15.4 of [RFC7285]) also still fully apply.

The calendaring information provided by this extension requires additional considerations on three security considerations discussed in the base protocol: potential undesirable guidance to clients (Section 15.2 of [RFC7285]), confidentiality of ALTO information (Section 15.2 of [RFC7285]), and availability of ALTO (Section 15.5 of [RFC7285]). For example, by providing network information in the future in a Calendar, this extension may improve availability of ALTO, when the ALTO Server is unavailable but related information is already provided in the Calendar.

For confidentiality of ALTO information, an operator should be cognizant that this extension may introduce a new risk: an ALTO Client may get information for future events that are scheduled through Calendaring. Possessing such information, the client may use it to achieve its goal: (1) initiating connections only at advantageous network costs, leading to unexpected network load; (2) generating massive connections to the network at times where its load is expected to be high.

To mitigate this risk, the operator should address the risk of ALTO information being leaked to malicious clients or third parties. As specified in Section 15.3.2 ("Protection Strategies") of [RFC7285],
the ALTO Server should authenticate ALTO Clients and use the Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol so that Man In The Middle (MITM) attacks to intercept an ALTO Calendar are not possible. [RFC7285] ensures the availability of such a solution in its Section 8.3.5. "Authentication and Encryption", which specifies that: "ALTO Server implementations as well as ALTO Client implementations MUST support the "https" URI scheme of [RFC2818] and Transport Layer Security (TLS) of [RFC5246]."

[RFC8446] specifies TLS 1.3 and writes in its section 1: "While TLS 1.3 is not directly compatible with previous versions, all versions of TLS incorporate a versioning mechanism which allows clients and servers to interoperably negotiate a common version if one is supported by both peers". So ALTO Clients and servers MAY use newer versions (e.g., 1.3) of TLS as long as the negotiation process succeeds. To ensure backward compatibility with [RFC7285], it is RECOMMENDED for both Calendar-aware Clients and Servers to both support at least TLS 1.2, until it gets deprecated.

To avoid malicious or erroneous guidance from ALTO information, an ALTO Client should be cognizant that using calendaring information can have risks: (1) Calendar values, especially in "repeated" Calendars may be only statistical, and (2) future events may change. Hence, a more robust ALTO Client should adapt and extend protection strategies specified in Section 15.2 of the base protocol. For example, to be notified immediately when a particular ALTO value that the client depends on changes, it is RECOMMENDED that both the ALTO Client and ALTO Server using this extension support "ALTO Incremental Updates Using Server-Sent Events(SSE)" Service [draft-ietf-alto-incr-update-sse].

7. Operational Considerations

Conveying ALTO Cost Calendars tends to reduce the on-the-wire data exchange volume compared to multiple single cost ALTO transactions. An application using Calendars has a set of time-dependent values upon which it can plan its connections in advance with no need for the ALTO Client to query information at each time. Additionally, the Calendar response attribute "repeated", when provided, saves additional data exchanges in that it indicates that the ALTO Client does not need to query Calendars during a period indicated by this attribute. Unexpected changes during this period can be handled by using the SSE Service as discussed in Section 6, if the Server and the Client support it.

High-resolution intervals may be needed when values change, sometimes during very small time intervals but in a significant manner. A way to avoid conveying too many entries is to leverage on the "repeated"
feature. A server can smartly set the Calendar start time and number of intervals so as to declare them "repeated" for a large number of periods, until the Calendar values change and are conveyed to requesting Clients.

Clients and Servers supporting ALTO Calendars use [RFC8259]. [RFC7285] encodes its requests and responses using the JSON Data Interchange Format specified in [RFC7159]. In the meantime, [RFC7159] has been obsoleted by [RFC8259], that among others makes UTF-8 mandatory for text encoding to improve interoperability. Therefore, ALTO Clients and Servers implementations using UTF-{16,32} need to be cognizant of the subsequent interoperability risks and it is RECOMMENDED for them to switch to UTF-8 encoding, if they want to interoperate with Calendar-aware Servers and Clients.
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