Abstract

Cost Metric is a basic concept in Application-Layer Traffic Optimization (ALTO). It is used in both the Cost Map Service and the Endpoint Cost Service.

Different applications may benefit from different Cost Metrics. For example, a Resource Consumer may prefer Resource Providers that offer a low delay delivery to the Resource Consumer. However the base ALTO protocol [ALTO] has documented only one single cost metric, i.e., the generic "routingcost" metric (Sec. 14.2 of ALTO base specification [ALTO]).

This document proposes a set of Cost Metrics, derived and aggregated from routing protocols with different granularity and scope, such as BGP-LS, OSPF-TE and ISIS-TE, or from end-to-end traffic management tools. It currently documents Network Performance Cost Metrics reporting on network delay, jitter, packet loss, hop count, and bandwidth. These metrics may be exposed by an ALTO Server to allow applications to determine "where" to connect based on network performance criteria. Additional Cost Metrics involving ISP specific considerations or other network technologies may be documented in further versions of this draft.

Requirements Language The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].
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1. Introduction

Cost Metric is a basic concept in Application-Layer Traffic Optimization (ALTO). It is used in both the Cost Map Service and the Endpoint Cost Service. In particular, applications may benefit from knowing network performance measured on several Cost Metrics. For example, a more delay-sensitive application may focus on latency, and a more bandwidth-sensitive application may focus on available bandwidth.

This document introduces a set of new cost metrics, listed in Table 1, to support the aforementioned applications and allow them to determine "where" to connect based on network performance criteria. Hence, this document extends the base ALTO protocol [ALTO], which defines only a single cost metric, i.e., the generic "routingcost" metric (Sec. 14.2 of ALTO base specification [ALTO]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Namespace</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>owdelay</td>
<td>See Section 3,[RFC2679] Section 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rtt</td>
<td>See Section 4,[RFC2681] Section 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pdv</td>
<td>See Section 5,[RFC3393] Section 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hopcount</td>
<td>See Section 6,[RFC7285]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pktloss</td>
<td>See Section 7,[RFC7680] Section 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>maxresbw</td>
<td>See Section 8.1,[RFC5305] Section 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>residbw</td>
<td>See Section 8.2,[RFC7810] Section 4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.

The purpose of this draft is to list the metrics likely to be exposed to ALTO Clients, including those already specified in other standardization groups and as such it does not claim novelty on all the specified metrics. Some metrics may have values produced by standard measurement methods such as those specified in IPPM, some may be ISP dependent such as those registered in ISIS or OSPF-TE. In this case, this document will refer to the relevant specifications.

An ALTO server may provide a subset of the cost metrics described in this document. These cost metrics can be retrieved and aggregated
from routing protocols or other traffic measurement management tools (See Figure 1). Note that these cost metrics are optional and not all them need to be exposed to applications. For example, those that are subject to privacy concerns should not be provided to unauthorized ALTO clients.

When an ALTO server supports a cost metric defined in this document, it MUST announce this metric in its IRD.

Additionally, future versions of this document may define network metric values that stem from both measurements and provider policies such as many metrics related to end-to-end path bandwidth.

As for the reliability and trust in the exposed metric values, applications SHOULD rapidly give up using ALTO-based guidance if they feel the exposed information does not preserve their performance level or even degrades it.

Following the ALTO base protocol, this document uses JSON to specify the value type of each defined metric. See [RFC4627] for JSON data type specification.
2. Challenges on data sources and computation of ALTO performance metrics

2.1. Data sources Challenge

An ALTO server needs data sources to compute the cost metrics described in this document. This document does not define the exact data sources. For example, the ALTO server may use log servers or the OAM system as its data source [ALTO-DEPLOYMENT]. In particular, the cost metrics defined in this document can be computed using routing systems as the data sources. Mechanisms defined in [RFC2681], [RFC3393], [RFC7679], [RFC7680], [RFC3630], [RFC3784], [RFC7471], [RFC7810], [RFC7752] and [BGP-PM] that allow an ALTO Server to retrieve and derive the necessary information to compute the metrics that we describe in this document.

One challenge lies in the data sources originating the ALTO metric values. The very important purpose of ALTO is to guide application traffic with provider network centric information that may be exposed to ALTO Clients in the form of network performance metric values. Not all of these metrics have values produced by standardized measurement methods or routing protocols. Some of them involve provider-centric policy considerations. Some of them may describe wireless or cellular networks. To reliably guide users and applications while preserving provider privacy, ALTO performance metric values may also add abstraction to measurements or provide unitless performance scores.

2.2. ALTO performance metrics Computation Challenges

The metric values exposed by an ALTO server may result from additional processing on measurements from data sources to compute exposed metrics. This may involve data processing tasks such as aggregating the results across multiple systems, removing outliers, and creating additional statistics. There are two challenges on computation of ALTO performance metrics.

2.2.1. Configuration Parameters Challenge

Performance metrics often depend on configuration parameters. For example, the value of packet loss rate depends on the measurement interval and varies over time. To handle this issue, an ALTO server may collect data on time periods covering the previous and current time or only collect data on present time. The ALTO server may further aggregate these data to provide an abstract and unified view that can be more useful to applications. To make the ALTO client better understand how to use these performance data, the ALTO server
may provide the client with the validity period of the exposed metric values.

2.2.2. Availability of end to end path values Challenge

Applications value information relating to bandwidth availability where as bandwidth related metrics can often be only measured at the link level. This document specifies a set of link-level bandwidth related values that may be exposed as such by an ALTO server. The server may also expose other metrics derived from their aggregation and having different levels of endpoint granularity, e.g. link endpoints or session endpoints. The metric specifications may also expose the utilized aggregation laws.

3. Cost Metric: POWDelay

Metric name:

Periodic One Way Delay

Metric Description:

To specify spatial and temporal aggregated delay of a stream of packets exchanged between the specified source and destination or the time that the packet spends to travel from source to destination. The spatial aggregation level is specified in the query context (e.g., PID to PID, or endpoint to endpoint).

Method of Measurement or Calculation:

See section 8.3 of [I-D.ietf-ippm-initial-registry] for Measurement Method.

Units of Measurement:

See section 8.4.3 of [I-D.ietf-ippm-initial-registry] for Measurement Unit. The unit is expressed in milliseconds in this document.

Measurement Point(s) with Potential Measurement Domain:

See section 2.1, Data sources.
Measurement Timing:

See section 8.3.5 of [I-D.ietf-ippm-initial-registry] for Measurement Timing.

Use and Applications:

The Metric value Type is a single `JSONNumber` type value containing a non-negative integer component that may be followed by an exponent part. The Cost Mode is encoded as a US-ASCII string.

This metric could be used as a cost metric constraint attribute used either together with cost metric attribute `routingcost` or on its own or as a returned cost metric in the response.

Example 1: Delay value on source-destination endpoint pairs

```plaintext
POST /endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json,application/alto-error+json

{
    "cost-type": {"cost-mode" : "numerical",
                 "cost-metric" : "powdelay"},
    "endpoints" : {
                  "srcs": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.2" ],
                  "dsts": [ 
                    "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
                    "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
                    "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd"
                  ]
        }
}
```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json

```json
{
  "meta": {
    "cost-type": {
      "cost-mode": "numerical",
      "cost-metric": "powdelay"
    }
  },
  "endpoint-cost-map": {
    "ipv4:192.0.2.2": {
      "ipv4:192.0.2.89": 10,
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34": 20,
      "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd": 30,
    }
  }
}
```

4. Cost Metric: RTT

Metric name:

Round Trip Delay

Metric Description:

To specify spatial and temporal aggregated round trip delay between the specified source and destination or the time that the packet spends to travel from source to destination and then from destination to source. The spatial aggregation level is specified in the query context (e.g., PID to PID, or endpoint to endpoint).

Method of Measurement or Calculation:

See section 4.3 of [I-D.ietf-ippm-initial-registry] for Measurement Method.

Units of Measurement:

See section 4.4.3 of [I-D.ietf-ippm-initial-registry] for Measurement Unit. The unit is expressed in milliseconds in this document.

Measurement Point(s) with Potential Measurement Domain:
See section 2.1, Data sources.

Measurement Timing:

See section 4.3.5 of [I-D.ietf-ippm-initial-registry] for Measurement Timing.

Use and Applications:

See section 3 for use and application.

Example 2: Round Trip Delay value on source-destination endpoint pairs

POST /endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json,application/alto-error+json

{
  "cost-type": {"cost-mode": "numerical",
    "cost-metric": "rtt"},
  "endpoints": {
    "srcs": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.2" ],
    "dsts": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
            "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
            "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd"
    ]
  }
}
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json
{
  "meta": {
    "cost-type": {
      "cost-mode": "numerical",
      "cost-metric": "rtt"
    }
  },
  "endpoint-cost-map": {
    "ipv4:192.0.2.2": {
      "ipv4:192.0.2.89": 4,
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34": 3,
      "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd": 2,
    }
  }
}

5. Cost Metric: PDV

Metric name:

Packet Delay Variation

Metric Description:

To specify spatial and temporal aggregated jitter (packet delay variation) with respect to the minimum delay observed on the stream over the specified source and destination. The spatial aggregation level is specified in the query context (e.g., PID to PID, or endpoint to endpoint).

Method of Measurement or Calculation:

See section 5.3 of [I-D.ietf-ippm-initial-registry] for Measurement Method.

Units of Measurement:

See section 5.4.4 of [I-D.ietf-ippm-initial-registry] for Measurement Unit. The unit is expressed in milliseconds in this document.
Measurement Point(s) with Potential Measurement Domain:

See section 2.1, Data sources.

Measurement Timing:

See section 5.3.5 of [I-D.ietf-ippm-initial-registry] for Measurement Timing.

Use and Applications:

See section 3 for use and application.

Example 3: Delay jitter value on source-destination endpoint pairs

POST /endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json,application/alto-error+json

{
  "cost-type": {"cost-mode" : "numerical",
  "cost-metric" : "delayjitter"},
  "endpoints" : {
    "srcs": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.2" ],
    "dsts": [ 
      "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
      "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd"
    ]
  }
}
Example 3: Delay jitter value on source-destination endpoint pairs

POST /endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json,application/alto-error+json

```
{
  "cost-type": {
    "cost-mode": "numerical",
    "cost-metric": "delayjitter"
  },
  "endpoints": {
    "srcs": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.2" ],
    "dsts": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
      "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd"
    ]
  }
}
```

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json

```
{
  "meta": {
    "cost type": {
      "cost-mode": "numerical",
      "cost-metric": "delayjitter"
    }
  },
  "endpoint-cost-map": {
    "ipv4:192.0.2.2": {
      "ipv4:192.0.2.89": 0,
      "ipv4:198.51.100.34": 1,
      "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd": 5
    }
  }
}
```

6. Cost Metric: Hop Count

The metric hopcount is mentioned in [ALTO] as an example. This section further clarifies its properties.

Metric name:
Hop count
Metric Description:

To specify the number of hops in the path between the source endpoint and the destination endpoint. The hop count is a basic measurement of distance in a network and can be exposed as Router Hops, IP hops in direct relation to the routing protocols originating this information. It might also result from the aggregation of such information.

Method of Measurement or Calculation:

The hop count can and calculated based on the number of routers from the source endpoint through which data must pass to reach the destination endpoint.

Units of Measurement:

The unit is integer number.

Measurement Point(s) with Potential Measurement Domain:

The hop count can be measured at the source endpoint by traceroute.

Measurement Timing:

Upon need, the traceroute can use UDP probe message or other implementations that use ICMP and TCP to discover the hop counts along the path from source endpoint to destination endpoint.

Use and Applications:

See section 3 for use and application.
Example 4: hopcount value on source-destination endpoint pairs

POST /endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json,application/alto-error+json

{
   "cost-type": {"cost-mode" : "numerical",
   "cost-metric" : "hopcount"},
   "endpoints" : {
      "srcs": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.2" ],
      "dsts": [
         "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
         "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
         "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd"
      ]
   }
}

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json
{
   "meta": {
      "cost type": {
         "cost-mode": "numerical",
         "cost-metric":"hopcount"}
   },
   "endpoint-cost-map": {
      "ipv4:192.0.2.2": { 
         "ipv4:192.0.2.89" : 5,
         "ipv4:198.51.100.34": 3,
         "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd" : 2,
      }
   }
}

7. Cost Metric: Packet Loss

Metric name:

Packet loss

Metric Description:
To specify spatial and temporal aggregated packet loss over the
specified source and destination. The spatial aggregation level
is specified in the query context (e.g., PID to PID, or endpoint
to endpoint).

Method of Measurement or Calculation:

See section 2.6 of [RFC7680] for Measurement Method.

Units of Measurement:

The unit is percentile.

Measurement Point(s) with Potential Measurement Domain:

See section 2.1, Data sources.

Measurement Timing:

See section 2 and section3 of [RFC7680] for Measurement Timing.

Use and Applications:

See section 3 for use and application.
Example 5: pktloss value on source-destination endpoint pairs

POST /endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json, application/alto-error+json

{
    "cost-type": {"cost-mode" : "numerical",
                  "cost-metric" : "pktloss"},
    "endpoints" : {
        "srcs": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.2" ],
        "dsts": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
                  "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
                  "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd"
        ]
    }
}

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json

{
    "meta": {
        "cost type": {
            "cost-mode": "numerical",
            "cost-metric": "pktloss"
        }
    },
    "endpoint-cost-map": {
        "ipv4:192.0.2.2": {
            "ipv4:192.0.2.89" : 0,
            "ipv4:198.51.100.34": 0,
            "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd" : 0,
        }
    }
}

8. Traffic Engineering Performance Cost Metrics

This section introduces ALTO network performance metrics that may be aggregated from network metrics measured on links and specified in other documents. In particular, the bandwidth related metrics specified in this section are only available through link level measurements. For some of these metrics, the ALTO Server may further expose aggregated values while specifying the aggregation laws.
8.1. Cost Metric: Link Maximum Reservable Bandwidth

Metric name:

Maximum Reservable Bandwidth

Metric Description:

To specify spatial and temporal maximum reservable bandwidth over the specified source and destination. The value is corresponding to the maximum bandwidth that can be reserved (motivated from RFC 3630 Sec. 2.5.7.). The spatial aggregation unit is specified in the query context (e.g., PID to PID, or endpoint to endpoint).

Method of Measurement or Calculation:

Maximum Reserveable Bandwidth is the bandwidth measured between two directly connected IS-IS neighbors or OSPF neighbors, See section 3.5 of [RFC5305] for Measurement Method.

Units of Measurement:

The unit of measurement is byte per seconds.

Measurement Point(s) with Potential Measurement Domain:

See section 2.1, Data sources.

Measurement Timing:

See section 3.5 of [RFC5305] and section 5 of [RFC7810] for Measurement Timing.

Use and Applications:

See section 3 for use and application.
Example 6: maxresbw value on source-destination endpoint pairs

POST/endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json,application/alto-error+json

```
{
    "cost-type": { "cost-mode": "numerical",
                   "cost-metric": "maxresbw"},
    "endpoints": {
        "srcs": [ "ipv4: 192.0.2.2" ],
        "dsts": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
                  "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
                  "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd"
                ]
    }
}
```

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json

```
{
    "meta": {
        "cost-type": { "cost-mode": "numerical",
                       "cost-metric": "maxresbw"
        }
    },
    "endpoint-cost-map": {
        "ipv4:192.0.2.2": { "ipv4:192.0.2.89": 0,
                             "ipv4:198.51.100.34": 2000,
                             "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd": 5000,
                             ...
                      }
    }
}
```

### 8.2. Cost Metric: Link Residue Bandwidth

**Metric name:**

Residue Bandwidth

**Metric Description:**
To specify spatial and temporal residual bandwidth over the specified source and destination. The value is calculated by subtracting tunnel reservations from Maximum Bandwidth (motivated from [RFC7810], Sec.4.5.). The spatial aggregation unit is specified in the query context (e.g., PID to PID, or endpoint to endpoint).

Method of Measurement or Calculation:

Residue Bandwidth is the Unidirectional Residue bandwidth measured between two directly connected IS-IS neighbors or OSPF neighbors, See section 4.5 of [RFC7810] for Measurement Method.

Units of Measurement:

The unit of measurement is byte per seconds.

Measurement Point(s) with Potential Measurement Domain:

See section 2.1, Data sources.

Measurement Timing:

See section 5 of [RFC7810] for Measurement Timing.

Use and Applications:

See section 3 for use and application.
Example 7: residuebw value on source-destination endpoint pairs

POST/ endpointcost/lookup HTTP/1.1
Host: alto.example.com
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcostparams+json
Accept: application/alto-endpointcost+json, application/alto-error+json

```json
{
   "cost-type": { "cost-mode": "numerical",
   "cost-metric": "residubw"},
   "endpoints": { "srcs": [ "ipv4 : 192.0.2.2" ],
   "dsts": [ "ipv4:192.0.2.89",
   "ipv4:198.51.100.34",
   "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd"
   ]
}
}
```

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Length: TBA
Content-Type: application/alto-endpointcost+json

```json
{
   "meta": {
       "cost-type": { "cost-mode": "numerical",
       "cost-metric": "residubw"
   }
   },
   "endpoint-cost-map": {
       "ipv4:192.0.2.2": { "ipv4:192.0.2.89": 0,
       "ipv4:198.51.100.34": 2000,
       "ipv6:2000::1:2345:6789:abcd": 5000,
   }
}
```

9. Security Considerations

The properties defined in this document present no security considerations beyond those in Section 15 of the base ALTO specification [ALTO].

However concerns addressed in Sections "15.1 Authenticity and Integrity of ALTO Information", "15.2 Potential Undesirable Guidance
from Authenticated ALTO Information" and "15.3 Confidentiality of ALTO Information" remain of utmost importance. Indeed, TE performance is a highly sensitive ISP information, therefore, sharing TE metric values in numerical mode requires full mutual confidence between the entities managing the ALTO Server and Client. Numerical TE performance information will most likely be distributed by ALTO Servers to Clients under strict and formal mutual trust agreements. On the other hand, ALTO Clients must be cognizant on the risks attached to such information that they would have acquired outside formal conditions of mutual trust.

10. IANA Considerations

IANA has created and now maintains the "ALTO Cost Metric Registry", listed in Section 14.2, Table 3 of [RFC7285]. This registry is located at <http://www.iana.org/assignments/alto-protocol/alto-protocol.xhtml#cost-metrics>. This document requests to add the following entries to "ALTO Cost Metric Registry".

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Namespace</th>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>owdelay</td>
<td>[thisdraft] Section 3, [RFC2679] Section 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>rtt</td>
<td>[thisdraft] Section 4, [RFC2681], Section 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pdv</td>
<td>[thisdraft] Section 5, [RFC3393], Section 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hopcount</td>
<td>[thisdraft] Section 6, [RFC7285]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pktloss</td>
<td>[thisdraft] Section 7, [RFC7680], Section 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>maxresbw</td>
<td>[thisdraft] Section 8.1, [RFC5305], Section 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>residbw</td>
<td>[thisdraft] Section 8.2, [RFC7810], Section 4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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