Network Working Group                                  J. Arkko
Internet-Draft                                             Ericsson
Intended status: Informational                         C. Jennings
Expires: April 25, 2019                                Cisco
                                                    Z. Shelby
                                                        ARM
                                                        October 22, 2018

Uniform Resource Names for Device Identifiers
draft-ietf-core-dev-urn-03

Abstract

This memo describes a new Uniform Resource Name (URN) namespace for
hardware device identifiers. A general representation of device
identity can be useful in many applications, such as in sensor data
streams and storage, or equipment inventories. A URN-based
representation can be easily passed along in any application that
needs the information.
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1. Introduction

This memo describes a new Uniform Resource Name (URN) [RFC8141] [RFC3406] namespace for hardware device identifiers. A general representation of device identity can be useful in many applications, such as in sensor data streams and storage, or equipment inventories [RFC7252], [RFC8428]. A URN-based representation can be easily passed along in any application that needs the information, as it fits in protocols mechanisms that are designed to carry URNs [RFC2616], [RFC3261], [RFC7252]. Finally, URNs can also be easily carried and stored in formats such as XML [W3C.REC-xml-19980210] or JSON [RFC8428] [RFC4627]. Using URNs in these formats is often preferable as they are universally recognized, self-describing, and
therefore avoid the need for agreeing to interpret an octet string as a specific form of a MAC address, for instance.

This memo defines identity URN types for situations where no such convenient type already exist. For instance, [RFC6920] defines cryptographic identifiers, [RFC7254] defines International Mobile station Equipment Identity (IMEI) identifiers for use with 3GPP cellular systems, and [I-D.atarius-dispatch-meid-urn] defines Mobile Equipment Identity (MEID) identifiers for use with 3GPP2 cellular systems. Those URN types should be employed when such identities are transported; this memo does not redefine these identifiers in any way.

Universally Unique IDentifier (UUID) URNs [RFC4122] are another alternative way for representing device identifiers, and already support MAC addresses as one of type of an identifier. However, UUIDs can be inconvenient in environments where it is important that the identifiers are as simple as possible and where additional requirements on stable storage, real-time clocks, and identifier length can be prohibitive. UUID-based identifiers are recommended for all general purpose uses when MAC addresses are available as identifiers. The device URN defined in this memo is recommended for constrained environments.

Future device identifier types can extend the device URN type defined here, or define their own URNs.

Note that long-term stable unique identifiers are problematic for privacy reasons and should be used with care or avoided as described in [RFC7721].

The rest of this memo is organized as follows. Section 3 defines the "DEV" URN type, and Section 4 defines subtypes for IEEE MAC-48, EUI-48 and EUI-64 addresses and 1-wire device identifiers. Section 5 gives examples. Section 6 discusses the security considerations of the new URN type. Finally, Section 7 specifies the IANA registration for the new URN type and sets requirements for subtype allocations within this type.

2. Requirements language

In this document, the key words "MAY", "MUST", "MUST NOT", "OPTIONAL", "RECOMMENDED", "SHOULD", and "SHOULD NOT", are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
3. DEV URN Definition

Namespace Identifier: "dev" requested

Version: 1

Date: 2018-03-19

Registration Information: This is the first registration of this namespace, 2018-03-19.

Registrant: IETF and the CORE working group. Should the working group cease to exist, discussion should be directed to the general IETF discussion forums or the IESG.

3.1. Purpose

Purpose: The DEV URNs identify devices with device-specific identifiers such as network card hardware addresses. These URNs may be used in any relevant networks that benefit from the ability to refer to these identifiers in the form of URNs; DEV URN is global in scope.

Some typical applications include equipment inventories and smart object systems.

DEV URNs can be used in various ways in applications, software systems, and network components, in tasks ranging from discovery (for instance when discovering 1-wire network devices or detecting MAC-addressable devices on a LAN) to intrusion detection systems and simple catalogues of system information.

While it is possible to implement resolution systems for specific applications or network locations, DEV URNs are typically not used in a way that requires resolution beyond direct observation of the relevant identity fields in local link communication. However, it is often useful to be able to pass device identity information in generic URN fields in databases or protocol fields, which makes the use of URNs for this purpose convenient.

The DEV URN name space complements existing name spaces such as those involving IMEI or UUID identifiers. DEV URNs are expected to be a part of the IETF-provided basic URN types, covering identifiers that have previously not been possible to use in URNs.
3.2. Syntax

Syntax: The identifier is expressed in ASCII characters and has a hierarchical structure as follows:

devurn = "urn:dev:" body componentpart
body = macbody / owbody / orgbody / osbody / opsbody / otherbody
macbody = "mac:" hexstring
owbody = "ow:" hexstring
orgbody = "org:" number "-" identifier
osbody = "os:" number "-" serial
opsbody = "ops:" number "-" product "-" serial
otherbody = subtype ":" identifier
subtype = ALPHA *(DIGIT / ALPHA)
identifier = 1*unreservednout
product = identifier
serial = identifier
unreservednout = ALPHA / DIGIT / "_"
componentpart = [ "_" component [ componentpart ]]
component = *1(DIGIT / ALPHA)
hexstring = hexbyte /
    hexbyte hexstring
hexbyte = hexdigit hexdigit
hexdigit = DIGIT / hexletter
hexletter = "a" / "b" / "c" / "d" / "e" / "f"
number = *1DIGIT

The above Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) uses the DIGIT and ALPHA rules defined in [RFC5234], which are not repeated here. The rule for pct-encoding is defined in Section 2.1 of [RFC3986].

The device identity namespace includes three subtypes (see Section 4, and more may be defined in the future as specified in Section 7.

The optional components following the hexstring are strings depicting individual aspects of a device. The specific strings and their semantics are up to the designers of the device, but could be used to refer to specific interfaces or functions within the device.

There are no special character encoding rules or considerations for conforming with the URN syntax, beyond those applicable for URNs in general [RFC8141], or the context where these URNs are carried (e.g., inside JSON [RFC8259] or SenML [RFC8428]).

The lexical equivalence of the DEV URNs is defined as an exact and case sensitive string match. Note that the two subtypes defined in this document use only lower case letters, however. Future types
might use identifiers that require other encodings that require a more full-blown character set (such as BASE64), however.

DEV URNs do not use r-, q-, or f-components.

Specific subtypes of DEV URNs may be validated through mechanisms discussed in Section 4.

Finally, the string representation of the device identity URN and of the MEID sub namespace is fully compatible with the URN syntax.

3.3. Assignment

Assignment: The process for identifier assignment is dependent on the used subtype, and documented in the specific subsection under Section 4.

Device identifiers are generally expected to be unique, barring the accidental issue of multiple devices with the same identifiers.

This URN type SHOULD only be used for persistent identifiers, such as hardware-based identifiers or cryptographic identifiers based on keys intended for long-term usage.

3.4. Security and Privacy

Security and Privacy: As discussed in Section 6, care must be taken to use device identifier-based identifiers due to their nature as a long-term identifier that is often not changeable. Leakage of these identifiers outside systems where their use is justified should be controlled.

3.5. Interoperability

Interoperability: There are no specific interoperability concerns.

3.6. Resolution

Resolution: The device identities are not expected to be globally resolvable. No identity resolution system is expected. Systems may perform local matching of identities to previously seen identities or configured information, however.

3.7. Documentation

See RFC NNNN (RFC Editor: Please replace NNNN by a reference to the RFC number of this document).
3.8. Additional Information

See Section 1 for a discussion of related name spaces.

3.9. Revision Information

Revision Information: This is the first version of this registration.

4. DEV URN Subtypes

4.1. MAC Addresses

DEV URNs of the "mac" subtype are based on the EUI-64 identifier [IEEE.EUI64] derived from a device with a built-in 64-bit EUI-64. The EUI-64 is formed from 24 or 36 bits of organization identifier followed by 40 or 28 bits of device-specific extension identifier assigned by that organization.

In the DEV URN "mac" subtype the hexstring is simply the full EUI-64 identifier represented as a hexadecimal string. It is always exactly 16 characters long.

MAC-48 and EUI-48 identifiers are also supported by the same DEV URN subtype. To convert a MAC-48 address to an EUI-64 identifier, the OUI of the Ethernet address (the first three octets) becomes the organization identifier of the EUI-64 (the first three octets). The fourth and fifth octets of the EUI are set to the fixed value FFFF hexadecimal. The last three octets of the Ethernet address become the last three octets of the EUI-64. The same process is used to convert an EUI-48 identifier, but the fixed value FFFE is used instead.

Identifier assignment for all of these identifiers rests within the IEEE.

4.2. 1-Wire Device Identifiers

The 1-Wire* system is a device communications bus system designed by Dallas Semiconductor Corporation. 1-Wire devices are identified by a 64-bit identifier that consists of 8 byte family code, 48 bit identifier unique within a family, and 8 bit CRC code [OW].

*) 1-Wire is a registered trademark.

In DEV URNs with the "ow" subtype the hexstring is a representation of the full 64 bit identifier as a hexadecimal string. It is always exactly 16 characters long. Note that the last two characters
represent the 8-bit CRC code. Implementations MAY check the validity of this code.

Family code and identifier assignment for all 1-wire devices rests with the manufacturers.

4.3. Organization-Defined Identifiers

Device identifiers that have only a meaning within an organisation can also be used to represent vendor-specific or experimental identifiers or identifiers designed for use within the context of an organisation. Organisations are identified by their Private Enterprise Number (PEN) [RFC2578].

4.4. Organization Serial Numbers

The "os" subtype specifies an organization and a serial number. Organizations are identified by their PEN.

Note: The DEV URN "os" subtype has originally been defined in the LwM2M standard, but has been incorporated here to collect all syntax associated with DEV URNs in one place. At the same time, the syntax of this subtype was changed to avoid the possibility of characters that are not allowed in SenML Name field (see [RFC8428] Section 4.5.1).

4.5. Organization Product and Serial Numbers

The DEV URN "ops" subtype has originally been defined in the LwM2M standard, but has been incorporated here to collect all syntax associated with DEV URNs in one place. The "ops" subtype specifies an organization, product class, and a serial number. Organizations are identified by their PEN.

Note: As with the "os" subtype, the "ops" subtype has originally been defined in the LwM2M standard, and its format has been slightly changed.

5. Examples

The following three examples provide examples of MAC-based, 1-Wire, and Cryptographic identifiers:
6. Security Considerations

On most devices, the user can display device identifiers. Depending on circumstances, device identifiers may or may not be modified or tampered by the user. An implementation of the DEV URN MUST NOT change these properties from what they were intended. In particular, a device identifier that is intended to be immutable should not become mutable as a part of implementing the DEV URN type. More generally, nothing in this memo should be construed to override what the relevant device specifications have already said about the identifiers.

Other devices in the same network may or may not be able to identify the device. For instance, on Ethernet network, the MAC address of a device is visible to all other devices.

The URNs generated according to the rules defined in this document result in long-term stable unique identifiers for the devices. Such identifiers may have privacy and security implications because they may enable correlating information about a specific device over a long period of time, location tracking, and device specific vulnerability exploitation [RFC7721]. Also, usually there is no easy way to change the identifier. Therefore these identifiers need to be used with care and especially care should be taken avoid leaking them outside of the system that is intended to use the identifiers.
7. IANA Considerations

This document requests the registration of a new URN namespace for "DEV", as described in Section 3.

Additional subtypes for DEV URNs can be defined through IETF Review or IESG Approval [RFC5226].

Such allocations are appropriate when there is a new namespace of some type of device identifiers, defined in stable fashion and with a publicly available specification that can be pointed to.

Note that the organisation (Section 4.3) device identifiers can also be used in some cases, at least as a temporary measure. It is preferable, however, that long-term usage of a broadly employed device identifier be registered with IETF rather than used through the organisation device identifier type.
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Appendix A. Changes from Previous Version

Version -03 of the WG draft removed some unnecessary references, updated some other references, removed pct-encoding to ensure the DEV URNs fit [RFC8428] Section 4.5.1 rules, and clarified that the original source of the "os" and "ops" subtypes.

Version -02 of the WG draft folded in the "ops" and "os" branches of the dev:urn syntax from LwM2M, as they seemed to match well what already existed in this memo under the "org" branch. However, as a part of this three changes were incorporated:

- The syntax for the "org:" changes to use "-" rather than ":" between the OUI and the rest of the URN.
- The organizations for the "ops" and "os" branches have been changed to use PEN numbers rather than OUI numbers [OUI]. The reason for this is that PEN numbers are allocated through a simpler and less costly process. However, this is a significant change to how LwM2M identifiers were specified before.
- There were also changes to what general characters can be used in the otherbody branch of the ABNF.

The rationale for all these changes is that it would be helpful for the community collect and unify syntax between the different uses of DEV URNs. If there is significant use of either the org:, os:, or ops: subtypes, then changes at this point may not be warranted, but otherwise unified syntax, as well as the use of PEN numbers would probably be beneficial. Comments on this topic are appreciated.

Version -01 of the WG draft converted the draft to use the new URN registration template from [RFC8141].

Version -00 of the WG draft renamed the file name and fixed the ABNF to correctly use "org:" rather than "dn:"

Version -05 made a change to the delimiter for parameters within a DEV URN. Given discussions on allowed character sets in SenML [RFC8428], we would like to suggest that the "_" character be used instead of ";", to avoid the need to translate DEV URNs in SenML-formatted communications or files. However, this reverses the earlier decision to not use unreserved characters. This also means that device IDs cannot use "_" characters, and have to employ other characters instead. Feedback on this decision is sought.

Version -05 also introduced local or organisation-specific device identifiers. Organisations are identified by their PEN number...
(although we considered FQDNs as a potential alternative. The authors believe an organisation-specific device identifier type will make experiments and local use easier, but feedback on this point and the choice of PEN numbers vs. other possible organisation identifiers would be very welcome.

Version -05 also added some discussion of privacy concerns around long-term stable identifiers.

Finally, version -05 clarified the situations when new allocations within the registry of possible device identifier subtypes is appropriate.

Version -04 is a refresh, as the need and interest for this specification has re-emerged. And the editing author has emerged back to actual engineering from the depths of IETF administration.

Version -02 introduced several changes. The biggest change is that with the NI URNs [RFC6920], it was no longer necessary to define cryptographic identifiers in this specification. Another change was that we incorporated a more generic syntax for future extensions; non-hexstring identifiers can now also be supported, if some future device identifiers for some reason would, for instance, use BASE64. As a part of this change, we also changed the component part separator character from ‘-’ to ‘;’ so that the general format of the rest of the URN can employ the unreserved characters [RFC3986].
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