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Abstract

This memo defines an algorithm name, public key format, and signature format for use of RSA keys with SHA-2 512 for server and client authentication in SSH connections.
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1. Overview and Rationale

Secure Shell (SSH) is a common protocol for secure communication on the Internet. In [RFC4253], SSH originally defined the signature methods "ssh-rsa" for server and client authentication using RSA with SHA-1, and "ssh-dss" using 1024-bit DSA and SHA-1.

A decade later, these signature methods are considered deficient. For US government use, NIST has disallowed 1024-bit RSA and DSA, and use of SHA-1 for signing [800-131A].

This memo defines a new algorithm name allowing for interoperable use of RSA keys with SHA-2 256 and SHA-2 512, and a mechanism for servers to inform SSH clients of signature algorithms they support and accept.

1.1. Requirements Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Public Key Algorithms

This memo adopts the style and conventions of [RFC4253] in specifying how the use of a signature algorithm is indicated in SSH.

The following new signature algorithms are defined:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Key Format</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rsa-sha2-256</td>
<td>RECOMMENDED</td>
<td>sign</td>
<td>Raw RSA key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsa-sha2-512</td>
<td>OPTIONAL</td>
<td>sign</td>
<td>Raw RSA key</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These signature algorithms are suitable for use both in the SSH transport layer [RFC4253] for server authentication, and in the authentication layer [RFC4252] for client authentication.

Since RSA keys are not dependent on the choice of hash function, both new algorithms reuse the public key format of the existing "ssh-rsa" algorithm as defined in [RFC4253]:

```
string    "ssh-rsa"
mint     e
mpint    n
```

All aspects of the "ssh-rsa" format are kept, including the encoded string "ssh-rsa", in order to allow users' existing RSA keys to be used with the new signature formats, without requiring re-encoding, or affecting already trusted key fingerprints.

Signing and verifying using these algorithms is performed according to the RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 scheme in [RFC3447] using SHA-2 [FIPS-180-4] as hash; MGF1 as mask function; and salt length equal to hash size.
For the algorithm "rsa-sha2-256", the hash used is SHA-2 256.
For the algorithm "rsa-sha2-512", the hash used is SHA-2 512.

The resulting signature is encoded as follows:

```plaintext
string    "rsa-sha2-256" / "rsa-sha2-512"
string    rsa_signature_blob
```

The value for 'rsa_signature_blob' is encoded as a string containing $S$ - an octet string which is the output of RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5, of length equal to the length in octets of the RSA modulus.

2.1. Use for server authentication

To express support and preference for one or both of these algorithms for server authentication, the SSH client or server includes one or both algorithm names, "rsa-sha2-256" and/or "rsa-sha2-512", in the name-list field "server_host_key_algorithms" in the SSH_MSG_KEXINIT packet [RFC4253]. If one of the two host key algorithms is negotiated, the server sends an "ssh-rsa" public key as part of the negotiated key exchange method (e.g. in SSH_MSG_KEXDH_REPLY), and encodes a signature with the appropriate signature algorithm name - either "rsa-sha2-256", or "rsa-sha2-512".

2.2. Use for client authentication

To use this algorithm for client authentication, the SSH client sends an SSH_MSG_USERAUTH_REQUEST message [RFC4252] encoding the "publickey" method, and encoding the string field "public key algorithm name" with the value "rsa-sha2-256" or "rsa-sha2-512". The "public key blob" field encodes the RSA public key using the "ssh-rsa" algorithm name. The signature field, if present, encodes a signature using an algorithm name that MUST match the SSH authentication request - either "rsa-sha2-256", or "rsa-sha2-512".

For example, an SSH "publickey" authentication request using an "rsa-sha2-512" signature would be properly encoded as follows:

```plaintext
byte      SSH_MSG_USERAUTH_REQUEST
string    user name
string    service name
string    "publickey"
boolean   TRUE
string    "rsa-sha2-512"
string    public key blob:
    string    "ssh-rsa"
    mpint     e
    mpint     n
string    signature:
    string    "rsa-sha2-512"
    string    rsa_signature_blob
```
3. Discovery of signature algorithms supported by servers

Implementation experience has shown that there are servers which apply authentication penalties to clients attempting signature algorithms which the SSH server does not support.

Servers that accept rsa-sha2-* signatures for client authentication SHOULD implement the extension negotiation mechanism defined in [SSH-EXT-INFO], including especially the "server-sig-algs" extension.

When authenticating with an RSA key against a server that does not implement the "server-sig-algs" extension, clients MAY default to an ssh-rsa signature to avoid authentication penalties.

4. IANA Considerations

This document augments the Public Key Algorithm Names in [RFC4253] and [RFC4250].

IANA is requested to update the "Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Parameters" registry with the following entry:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Key Algorithm Name</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rsa-sha2-256</td>
<td>[this document]</td>
<td>Section 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rsa-sha2-512</td>
<td>[this document]</td>
<td>Section 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Security Considerations

The security considerations of [RFC4253] apply to this document.

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Special Publication 800-131A [800-131A] disallows the use of RSA and DSA keys shorter than 2048 bits for US government use after 2013. Keys of 2048 bits or larger are considered acceptable.

The same document disallows the SHA-1 hash function, as used in the "ssh-rsa" and "ssh-dss" algorithms, for digital signature generation after 2013. The SHA-2 family of hash functions is seen as acceptable.

6. Why no DSA?

A draft version of this memo also defined an algorithm name for use of 2048-bit and 3072-bit DSA keys with a 256-bit subgroup and SHA-2 256 hashing. It is possible to implement DSA securely by generating "k" deterministically as per [RFC6979]. However, a plurality of reviewers were concerned that implementers would not pay heed, and would use cryptographic libraries that continue to generate "k" randomly. This is vulnerable to biased "k" generation, and extremely vulnerable to "k" reuse. The relative speed advantage of DSA signing compared to RSA signing was not perceived to outweigh this shortcoming, especially since algorithms based on elliptic curves are faster yet.
Due to these disrecommendations, this document abstains from defining an algorithm name for large DSA keys, and recommends RSA instead.
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