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Abstract

For the newly deployed IPv6 networks to interoperate with vastly deployed IPv4 networks, various transition mechanisms had been proposed. One such mechanism is configured tunnels. This document provides a tunnel discovery mechanism by which the DHCPv6 servers can provide information about the available configured tunnel end points to reach the IPv6 nodes which are separated by IPv4 networks.
1. Introduction

In the initial deployment of IPv6, the IPv6 nodes may need to communicate with the other IPv6 nodes via IPv4 networks. Configured tunnels [RFC4213] provide a way to encapsulate the IPv6 packets in IPv4 packets and tunnel them in the IPv4 network.

This document defines a new option called Configured Tunnel End Point by which the DHCPv6 [RFC3315] server can notify the client with the list of end point of the configured tunnels to the various IPv6 networks separated by the IPv4 networks.

2. Background

Configured Tunnel described in this document is a simple and temporary mechanism which allows isolated IPv6 networks or hosts, attached to a legacy IPv4 network which has no native IPv6 connectivity, to communicate with other such IPv6 networks or hosts with manual configuration. The configured tunnel end-point received from the DHCPv6 server is not used for IPv6 connectivity as long as IPv6 networks or hosts are communicating with other IPv6 networks or hosts via IPv6 network which has native IPv6 connectivity and only available when communicating with other IPv6 networks or hosts via IPv4 networks.

In this scenario, 6to4 [RFC3056] can be a possible alternative instead of configured tunnel.

As indicated in [RFC3056], the mechanisms are intended as a start-up transition tool used during the period of co-existence of IPv4 and IPv6. It is not intended as a permanent solution.

3. Requirements

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

4. Terminology

This document uses terminology specific to IPv6 and DHCPv6 as defined in "Terminology" section of the DHCPv6 specification [RFC3315].

5. Configured Tunnel End Point Option

The Configured Tunnel End Point Option gives the information to the clients about the Configured Tunnel End Point [RFC4213] to be contacted for reaching the nodes in the various IPv6 networks which
are separated by IPv4 networks. The clients are expected to install these routes in their machines.

The format of the Configured Tunnel End Point Option is as shown below:

```
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|           OPTION_CTEP         |           option-len          |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|   prefix-len  |                                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+                                               |
||                          Configured TEP Address (16 bytes) |
|... (if multiple tunnels are in use)
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

option-code: OPTION_CTEP (TBD)

option-len: Total length of the prefix-len, Configured Tunnel Address lists in octets; It should be a multiple of 17.

prefix-len: prefix length of this Configured TEP Address in bits.

Configured TEP Address: IPv6 Address of the Configured TEP.

The clients are expected to install the routes identified by the tuples (prefix-len, Configured TEP Address) once they receive this option from the server.

6. Appearance of this option

The Configured Tunnel End Point Option MUST NOT appear in other than the following messages: Solicit, Advertise, Request, Renew, Rebind, Information-Request and Reply.

The option numbers of Configured Tunnel End Point option MAY appear in the Option Request Option [RFC3315] in the following messages: Solicit, Request, Renew, Rebind, Information-Request and Reconfigure.
7. multiple Tunnel End Point Considerations

For the simple tunnel discovery, one tunnel endpoint is generally used and it assumes that all the networks will be reached through the same endpoint. In this case, one Configured TEP field in the TEP option is used for configured tunnel service.

The list of endpoints can be installed if the IPv6 host load-sharing is honored, but there may not be a need for installing multiple configured tunnel endpoints unless the administrator wants two for redundancy purposes. It is beyond the scope of this document.

8. Security Considerations

The Configured Tunnel End Point Option may be used by an intruder DHCPv6 server to provide invalid or incorrect configured tunnel endpoints. This makes the client unable to reach its destination IPv6 node or to reach an incorrect destination. The latter one has very severe security issues as IPv6 destination is spoofed here.

To avoid attacks through this option, the DHCPv6 client SHOULD use authenticated DHCP (see section "Authentication of DHCP messages" in the DHCPv6 specification [RFC3315]).

9. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to assign an option code to the following options from the option-code space defined in "DHCPv6 Options" section of the DHCPv6 specification [RFC3315].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option Name</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Described in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPTION_CTEP</td>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Section 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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