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Abstract

This document defines a new Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) option, which can be used to configure Proxy Servers in TCP/IP for standard protocols like HTTP, FTP, NNTP, SOCKS, SNMP, SSL and etc. Proxy Servers provide controlled and efficient access to the Internet, include access control mechanisms for different types of user requests and cache frequently accessed information (Web pages and possibly files that might have been downloaded using FTP and other protocols).
1. Terminologies Used
DHCP Client: A DHCP [RFC-2131] client is an Internet host that uses DHCP to obtain configuration information such as a network address.

DHCP Server: A DHCP server [RFC-2131] is an Internet host that returns configuration parameters to DHCP clients.

Proxy Server: In an enterprise network that connects to Internet, a proxy server is a server that acts as an intermediary between a workstation user and the Internet so that the enterprise can ensure security and administrative control. A Proxy server MAY provide caching services or be associated with or part of a gateway server that separates the enterprise network from the outside network (usually the Internet) and a firewall that protects the enterprise network from outside intrusion.

RDF:A language (Resource Description Framework [RDF-SYN]) for describing properties of web resources.

2. Introduction

The Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol [RFC-2131] provides a framework for passing configuration information to hosts on a TCP/IP network. This document describes a DHCP configuration option that can be used to inform a DHCP client of the IP addresses and properties of one or more proxy services that are either available to it or that must be used in order to access internet services, for example through a corporate firewall.

The following diagram depicts the typical setup of a proxy server providing proxy services to clients on a network that is protected by a firewall.

```
+---------------------------+                +-----------+
|                           |                |Remote HTTP|
|                           |        HTTP    |Server     |
|  +------------+        +-------------+<--->+-----------+
|  | Clients    |        |Proxy Server |
|  | Inside the |<------|.Firewall    |
|  | Firewall   |        |Firewall     |
|  +------------+        +-------------+     |FTP +-----------+
|                           |  ^             +-----------+
|                           |  |             +-----------+
+---------------------------+  |  NNTP       |Remote NNTP|
|Server     +-------------------+
```

The primary use of proxies is to allow access to the World Wide Web from within a firewall. A proxy service typically runs on firewall machine. It waits for a request from inside the firewall, forwards
the request to the remote server outside the firewall, reads the response and then sends it back to the client. Usually, all the clients use the same proxy within a given network, which helps in efficient caching of documents that are requested by a number of clients. Similarly, proxies can provide document caching functions on the outside Internet.

A proxy server can increase network security and user productivity by filtering content and controlling both internal and external access to information. Also, it provides several other functionalities that are not discussed here.

3. Requirements terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119].

4. Proxy Server Configuration Option

This document defines a new DHCP Option called the Proxy Server Configuration Option. The format of the Proxy Server configuration option is:

Code    Len    Proxy Server Configuration Entry
+-------+------+------+------+------+------+-....-+------+
|  TBD  |   N  |  e1  |  e2  |  e3  |  e4  |      |  en  |
+-------+------+------+------+------+------+-....-+------+

The Protocol(p) is a two octet integer in network byte order, length (l) and flag (f) are one octet each; each IP address is four octets, and each port number is a two-octet integer encoded in network byte order.

The protocol type(p) specifies the type of protocol and MUST be one of the following assigned numbers.
If the protocol type field is RDF[RDF-SYN], then it MUST be followed by len (length of RDF metadata) and the actual RDF metadata.

The length field (l) specifies the length of the Proxy Server Configuration entry. If some new protocol is introduced in the future, and if some version of a given dhcpclient doesn’t support it, then that particular entry can be ignored. If it exists, the next following Proxy Server Configuration Entry can be processed.

The flag field (f) is by default 0. Otherwise, it can either have "-" or "#".

If it is "-", then the entry becomes a destination address for exclusion from forwarding to the proxy. If it is "#", then the proxy requires authentication.

In cases where it makes sense to specify more than one proxy server for a given protocol, these proxy servers MUST be specified as additional IP addresses and ports within the same entry. The list is ordered by precedence, with the most preferred proxy server appearing first in the list, and the least preferred proxy server appearing last in the list. The DHCP client SHOULD honor this ordering.

More than one Proxy Server Configuration Entries MAY be specified in the option. In that case, the list is ordered by precedence, with the most preferred proxy server appearing first in the list, and the least preferred proxy server appearing last in the list. The DHCP client SHOULD honor this ordering.
The format of the Proxy Server Configuration using Metadata type is:

```
+-------+------+----------------------------------+
|  RDF  |  N   |             RDF                  |
+-------+------+----------------------------------+
```

The RDF payload is freeform RDF metadata for describing proxy properties. The length N gives the number of octets in the RDF metadata field.

The following entry specifies the sample format of the RDF Metadata field:

**HTTP proxy:**

```xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE rdf:RDF [<!ENTITY xsd "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#">]>
<rdf:RDF xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
    xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
    xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
    xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#">
<rdf:Description rdf:about="http://http-proxy.example.com:8080">
    <dc:title>License Gate Proxy</dc:title>
    <dc:creator>John Doe</dc:creator>
    <dc:publisher>example.com IS</dc:publisher>
    <dc:subject>Offsite Resource Access Proxy</dc:subject>
    <dc:type>Service</dc:type>
    <dc:rights>example.com employees</dc:rights>
    <dc:date>2005-07-11</dc:date>
</rdf:Description>
</rdf:RDF>
```

**FTP proxy:**

```xml
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE rdf:RDF [<!ENTITY xsd "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#">]>
<rdf:RDF xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
    xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
    xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
    xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#">
<rdf:Description rdf:about="ftp://ftp-proxy.example.com:8080">
    <dc:title>License Gate FTP Proxy</dc:title>
    <dc:creator>John Doe</dc:creator>
    <dc:publisher>example.com IS</dc:publisher>
    <dc:subject>Offsite Resource Access Proxy</dc:subject>
    <dc:type>Service</dc:type>
    <dc:rights>example.com employees</dc:rights>
    <dc:date>2005-07-11</dc:date>
</rdf:Description>
</rdf:RDF>
```

As such there is no minimum length to specify a proxy using RDF metadata. But the minimum sensible statement would be a literal description of the proxy (dc:title) giving a total of 418 characters including the overhead.
For example, with a description element of 60 characters, an URI of 80 characters plus a minimum XML/RDF syntax conformation/namespace declaration from below the minimum length would be 418 octets.

21 Octets <?xml version="1.0"?>
70 Octets <!DOCTYPE rdf:RDF [<!ENTITY xsd "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#">]>
64 Octets <rdf:RDF xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
45 Octets xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"/>
109 Octets <rdf:Description rdf:about="..80 characters..">
81 Octets <dc:title>..60 characters..</dc:title>
18 Octets </rdf:Description>
10 Octets </rdf:RDF>

5. Option Usage

The Proxy Server Configuration entries SHOULD not repeat the same type of proxy entries. The port MUST be a valid TCP/UDP port.
If the length of the Proxy Server Configuration Option exceeds the maximum permissible within a single option (255 octets), then the option MUST be represented in the DHCP message as specified in [RFC-3396].

The following example shows how an RDF version of proxy server configuration entry of 400 octets is represented in the option.

```
Code     Len   Proto   Len
+-------+------+------+------+------+------+-....-+------+
|  TBD  | 255  |  RDF |  253 | RDF Meta Data.............|
+-------+------+------+------+------+------+-....-+------+
```

```
Code     Len   Proto   Len
+-------+------+------+------+------+------+-....-+------+
|  TBD  | 149  |  RDF |  147 | RDF Meta Data.............|
+-------+------+------+------+------+------+-....-+------+
```

The following example shows how a proxy server configuration entry of 400 octets is represented in RDF along with the normal (p|l|f|IP|port) format.

```
+-------+------+------+------+------+------+-....-+------+
|  TBD  | 255  | HTTP |  7   | 192.168.5.10 | 8080 | RDF | 243 | RDF Meta Data|
+-------+------+------+------+------+------+-....-+------+
```

```
+-------+------+------+------+------+------+-....-+------+
|  TBD  | 159  | RDF  |  157 | RDF Meta Data.............|
+-------+------+------+------+------+------+-....-+------+
```

A Proxy Server Configuration Entry with more than one RDF type of MUST not be sent in this option. This is because the RDF Meta Data is generally more than 255 octets and always requires more than one option of this type as per [RFC-3396]. However, more than one proxy server configuration (FTP, HTTP, SOCKS) can be specified with
the same RDF Meta Data as follows:

HTTP and FTP Proxy

<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE rdf:RDF [<!ENTITY xsd "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#">]>
<rdf:RDF xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
  xmlns:dc="http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1/"
><rdf:Description rdf:about="http://http-proxy.example.com:8080">
  <dc:title>License Gate Proxy</dc:title>
  <dc:creator>John Doe</dc:creator>
  <dc:publisher>example.com IS</dc:publisher>
  <dc:subject>Offsite Resource Access Proxy</dc:subject>
  <dc:type>Service</dc:type>
  <dc:rights>example.com employees</dc:rights>
  <dc:date>2005-07-11</dc:date>
</rdf:Description>
<rdf:Description rdf:about="ftp://ftp-proxy.example.com:8080">
  <dc:title>License Gate FTP Proxy</dc:title>
  <dc:creator>John Doe</dc:creator>
  <dc:publisher>example.com IS</dc:publisher>
  <dc:subject>Offsite Resource Access Proxy</dc:subject>
  <dc:type>Service</dc:type>
  <dc:rights>example.com employees</dc:rights>
  <dc:date>2005-07-11</dc:date>
</rdf:Description>
</rdf:RDF>

6. Security Considerations

The DHCP Options defined here allow an intruder DHCP server to misdirect a client, causing it to access a nonexistent or malicious proxy server. This allows for a denial of service or man-in-the-middle attacks. The latter security consideration is a well known property of the DHCP protocol; this option does not create any additional risk of such attacks.

DHCP provides an authentication mechanism, as described in [RFC-3118], which may be used if authentication is required.

7. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to assign an option code to the Proxy Server Configuration Option and protocol numbers for the SSL and RDF protocol.
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