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Abstract

The main purpose of this document is to deprecate the use of HMAC-MD5 as an algorithm for the TSIG (secret key transaction authentication) resource record in the DNS (domain name system), and the use of MD5 in TKEY (secret key establishment for DNS).

1. Introduction

The secret key transaction authentication for DNS (TSIG, [RFC2845]) was defined with the HMAC-MD5 [RFC2104] cryptographic algorithm. When the MD5 [RFC1321] security came to be considered lower than expected, [RFC4635] standardized new TSIG algorithms based on SHA [RFC3174][RFC3874][RFC4634] digests.

But [RFC4635] did not deprecate the HMAC-MD5 algorithm. This document is targeted to complete the process, in detail:

1. Mark HMAC-MD5.SIG-ALG.REG.INT as optional in the TSIG algorithm name registry managed by the IANA under the IETF Review Policy [RFC5226]
2. Make HMAC-MD5.SIG-ALG.REG.INT support "not Mandatory" for implementations
3. Provide a keying material derivation for the secret key establishment for DNS (TKEY, [RFC2930]) using a Diffie-Hellman exchange with SHA256 [RFC4634] in place of MD5 [RFC1321]
4. Finally recommend the use of HMAC-SHA256.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Implementation Requirements

The table of section 3 of [RFC4635] is replaced by:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement Level</th>
<th>Algorithm Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>HMAC-MD5.SIG-ALG.REG.INT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>gss-tsig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>hmac-sha1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>hmac-sha224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory</td>
<td>hmac-sha256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>hmac-sha384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optional</td>
<td>hmac-sha512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Implementations that support TSIG MUST also implement HMAC-SHA1 and HMAC-SHA256 (i.e., algorithms at the "Mandatory" requirement level) and MAY implement GSS-TSIG and the other algorithms listed above (i.e., algorithms at a "not Mandatory" requirement level).

3. TKEY keying material derivation

When the TKEY [RFC2930] uses a Diffie-Hellman exchange, the keying material is derived from the shared secret and TKEY resource record data using MD5 [RFC1321] at the end of section 4.1 page 9.

This is amended into:

\[
\text{keying material} = \text{XOR ( DH value, SHA256 ( query data | DH value ) | SHA256 ( server data | DH value ) )}
\]

using the same conventions.

4. IANA Consideration

This document extends the "TSIG Algorithm Names - per [] and [RFC2845]" located at http://www.iana.org/assignments/tsig-algorithm-names by adding a new column to the registry "Compliance Requirement".

The registry should contain the following:
5. Availability Considerations

MD5 is no longer universally available and its use may lead to increasing operation issues. SHA1 is likely to suffer from the same kind of problem. In summary MD5 has reached end-of-life and SHA1 will likely follow in the near term.

According to [RFC4635], implementations which support TSIG are REQUIRED to implement HMAC-SHA256.

6. Security Considerations

This document does not assume anything about the cryptographic security of different hash algorithms. Its purpose is a better availability of some security mechanisms in a predictable time frame.

Requirement levels are adjusted for TSIG and related specifications (i.e., TKEY):

- The support of HMAC-MD5 is changed from mandatory to optional.
- The use of MD5 and HMAC-MD5 is NOT RECOMMENDED.
- The use of HMAC-SHA256 is RECOMMENDED.
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