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Abstract

Delivery status notifications (DSNs) are critical to the correct operation of an email system. However, the existing draft standard is presently limited to US-ASCII text in the machine readable portions of the protocol. This specification adds a new address type for international email addresses so an original recipient address with non-US-ASCII characters can be correctly preserved even after
downgrading. This also provides updated content return media types 
for delivery status notifications and message disposition 
notifications to support use of the new address type.

This document experimentally extends RFC 3461, RFC 3464 and RFC 3798.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction .............................................. 3
2. Conventions Used in this Document ......................... 3
3. UTF-8 Address Type ....................................... 3
4. UTF-8 Delivery Status Notifications ....................... 5
5. UTF-8 Message Disposition Notifications ................... 6
6. IANA Considerations ...................................... 7
   6.1. UTF-8 Mail Address Type Registration ................. 7
   6.2. Update to ‘smtp’ Diagnostic Type Registration ........ 8
   6.3. message/utf-8-headers ................................ 8
   6.4. message/utf-8-delivery-status ....................... 9
   6.5. message/utf-8-disposition-notification .............. 10
7. Security Considerations .................................. 12
8. References ............................................... 12
   8.1. Normative References ................................ 12
   8.2. Informative References ............................... 13
Appendix A. Acknowledgements ................................. 14
Appendix B. Open Issues ..................................... 14
Appendix C. Changes from -00 ................................. 14
Authors’ Addresses ........................................... 14
Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements ............... 16
1. Introduction

When an email message is transmitted using the UTF8SMTP [I-D.ietf-eai-smtpext] extension and Internationalized Email Headers [I-D.ietf-eai-utf8headers], it is sometimes necessary to return that message or generate a Message Disposition Notification [RFC3798] (MDN). As a message sent to multiple recipients can generate a status and disposition notification for each recipient, it is helpful if a client can correlate these returns based on the recipient address it provided, thus preservation of the original recipient is important. This specification describes how to preserve the original recipient and updates the MDN and DSN formats to support the new address types.

2. Conventions Used in this Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", and "MAY" in this document are to be interpreted as defined in "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels" [RFC2119].

The formal syntax use the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) [RFC4234] notation including the core rules defined in Appendix B of RFC 4234 and the rules in section 4 of RFC 3629.

3. UTF-8 Address Type

An Extensible Message Format for Delivery Status Notifications [RFC3464] defines the concept of an address type. The address format introduced in Internationalized Email Headers [I-D.ietf-eai-utf8headers] is a new address type. The syntax for the new address type in the context of status notifications follows:

An SMTP [RFC2821] server which advertises both the UTF8SMTP extension [I-D.ietf-eai-smtpext] and the DSN extension [RFC3461] MUST accept a utf-8 address type in the ORCPT parameter including 8-bit UTF-8 characters. This address type also includes a 7-bit encoding suitable for use in a message/delivery-status body part or an ORCPT parameter sent to an SMTP server which does not advertise UTF8SMTP.

This address type has 3 forms: utf-8-addr-xtext, utf-8-addr-unitext and utf-8-address. The first 2 forms are 7-bit safe.

The utf-8-address form is only suitable for use in newly defined protocols capable of native representation of 8-bit characters. I.e. the utf-8-address form MUST NOT be used in the ORCPT parameter when the SMTP server doesn’t advertise support for UTF8SMTP or the SMTP server doesn’t accept UTF8SMTP.
server supports UTF8SMTP, but the address contains US-ASCII characters not permitted in the ORCPT parameter (e.g. the ORCPT parameter forbids SP and =); or in a 7-bit transport environment including a message/delivery-status Original-Recipient or Final-Recipient field. The utf-8-addr-xtext form (see below) MUST be used instead in the former case, the utf-8-addr-unitext form MUST be used in the latter case. The utf-8-address form MAY be used in the ORCPT parameter when the SMTP server also advertises support for UTF8SMTP and the address doesn't contains any US-ASCII characters not permitted in the ORCPT parameter. It SHOULD be used in a message/utf-8-delivery-status Original-Recipient or Final-Recipient DSN field; or an Original-Recipient header field [RFC3798] if the message is a UTF-8 header message.

In addition, the utf-8-addr-unitext form can be used anywhere where the utf-8-address form is allowed.

When using in the ORCPT parameter, the utf-8 address type requires that US-ASCII CTLs, SP, %, + and = be encoded using xtext encoding as described in [RFC3461]. This is described by the utf-8-addr-xtext form in the ABNF below. Plane 1 Unicode characters MAY be included in a utf-8 address type using a "%u####" syntax (QMIDCHAR, where # is a hexadecimal digit) and other Unicode characters MAY be encoded using "%U########" syntax (QHIGHCHAR). When sending data to a UTF8SMTP capable server, native UTF-8 characters SHOULD be used instead of the QMIDCHAR and QHIGHCHAR encodings described below. When sending data to an SMTP server which does not advertise UTF8SMTP, then the QMIDCHAR and QHIGHCHAR encodings MUST be used instead of UTF-8.

When the ORCPT parameter is placed in a message/utf-8-delivery-status Original-Recipient field, the utf-8-addr-xtext form of the utf-8 address type SHOULD be converted to the 'utf-8-address' form (see the ABNF below) by removing all xtext encoding first (which will result in the 'utf-8-addr-unitext' form), followed by removal of the 'unitext' encoding. However, if an address is labeled with the utf-8 address type but does not conform to utf-8 syntax, then it MUST be copied into the message/utf-8-delivery-status field without alteration.

The ability to encode characters with the QMIDCHAR or QHIGHCHAR encodings should be viewed as a transitional mechanism. It is hoped that as systems lacking support for UTF8SMTP become less common over time, these encodings can eventually be phased out.
utf-8-type-addr = "utf-8;" utf-8-enc-addr

utf-8-address = uMailbox [ *WSP "<" Mailbox ">"]
    ; 'uMailbox' is defined in [I-D.ietf-eai-smtpext].
    ; 'Mailbox' is defined in [RFC2821].

utf-8-enc-addr = utf-8-addr-xtext / utf-8-addr-unitext / utf-8-address

///Add comment about which where each type is used

utf-8-addr-xtext = xtext
    ; xtext is defined in [RFC3461].
    ; When xtext encoding is removed,
    ; the syntax MUST conform to
    ; 'utf-8-addr-unitext'.

utf-8-addr-unitext = *(QUCHAR / EmbeddedUnicodeChar)
    ; MUST follow 'utf-8-address' ABNF when
dequoted

///Exclude '\'?
QUCHAR = %x21-2a / %x2c-3c / %x3e-7e / UTF8-2 / UTF8-3 / UTF8-4
    ; Printable except CTLs, SP, + and =

EmbeddedUnicodeChar = %x5C.78 "{" HEXPOINT "}"
    ; starts with \\x"

HEXPOINT = "5C" / ( NZHEXDIG 2*HEXDIG ) / ( "10" 4*HEXDIG )
    ; represents either "\\" or a Unicode code point outside the
    ; US-ASCII repertoire

NZHEXDIG = %x31-39 / "A" / "B" / "C" / "D" / "E" / "F"
    ; HEXDIG excluding "0"

4. UTF-8 Delivery Status Notifications

A traditional delivery status notification [RFC3464] comes in a
three-part multipart/report [RFC3462] container, where the first part
is human readable text describing the error, the second part is a
7-bit-only message/delivery-status and the optional third part is
used for content (message/rfc822) or header (text/rfc822-headers)
return. An SMTP server which advertises both UTF8SMTP and DSN SHOULD
return an undeliverable UTF8SMTP message without downgrading it
(assuming the return SMTP server supports UTF8SMTP). As the present
DSN format does not permit this, three new media types are needed.

The first type, message/utf-8-delivery-status has the syntax of message/delivery-status with two modifications. First, the charset for message/utf-8-delivery-status is UTF-8 and thus any field MAY contain UTF-8 characters when appropriate. (In particular, the Diagnostic-Code field MAY contain UTF-8 as described in UTF8SMTP [I-D.ietf-eai-smtpext].) Second, systems generating a message/utf-8-delivery-status body part SHOULD use the utf-8-address form of the utf-8 address type for all addresses containing characters outside the US-ASCII repertoire. These systems SHOULD up-convert the utf-8-addr-text or the utf-8-addr-unitext form of a utf-8 address type in the ORCPT parameter to the utf-8-address form of a utf-8 address type in the Original-Recipient field.

The second type, used for content return, is message/utf-8 which is similar to message/rfc822, except it contains a message with UTF-8 headers. This media type is described in [I-D.ietf-eai-utf8headers].

The third type, used for header return, is message/utf-8-headers and contains only the UTF-8 headers of a message (all lines prior to the first blank line in a UTF8SMTP message). Unlike message/utf-8, this body part provides no difficulties for present infrastructure.

All three new types will typically use the "8bit" Content-Transfer-Encoding (in the event all content is 7-bit, the equivalent traditional types for delivery status notifications are advised for greater backwards compatibility). While MIME [RFC2046] advises against the use of 8-bit in new message subtypes intended for the email infrastructure, that advice does not apply to these new types which are intended primarily for use by newer systems with full support for 8-bit MIME and UTF-8 headers.

5. UTF-8 Message Disposition Notifications

Message Disposition Notifications [RFC3798] have a similar design and structure to DSNs. As a result, they use the same basic return format. When generating a MDN for a UTF-8 header message, content or header return is the same as for DSNs. The second part of the multipart/report uses a new media type, message/utf-8-disposition-notification, which has the syntax of message/disposition-notification with two modifications. First, the charset for message/utf-8-disposition-notification is UTF-8 and thus any field MAY contain UTF-8 characters when appropriate. Second, systems generating a message/utf-8-disposition-notification body part (typically a mail user agent) SHOULD use the utf-8 address type for all addresses containing characters outside the US-ASCII repertoire.
The MDN specification also defines the Original-Recipient header field which is added with a copy of the contents of ORCPT at delivery time. When generating an Original-Recipient header field, a delivery agent writing a UTF-8 header message in native format SHOULD convert the utf-8-addr-xtext or the utf-8-addr-unitext form of a utf-8 address type in the ORCPT parameter to the corresponding utf-8-address form.

The MDN specification also defines the Disposition-Notification-To header which is an address header and thus follows the same 8-bit rules as other address headers such as "From" and "To" when used in a UTF-8 header message.

6. IANA Considerations

This specification does not create any new IANA registries. However the following items are registered as a result of this document:

6.1. UTF-8 Mail Address Type Registration

The mail address type registry was created by RFC 3464. The registration template response follows:

(a) The proposed address-type name.

UTF-8

(b) The syntax for mailbox addresses of this type, specified using BNF, regular expressions, ASN.1, or other non-ambiguous language.

See Section 3.

(c) If addresses of this type are not composed entirely of graphic characters from the US-ASCII repertoire, a specification for how they are to be encoded as graphic US-ASCII characters in a DSN Original-Recipient or Final-Recipient DSN field.

This address type has 3 forms (as defined in Section 3): utf-8-addr-xtext, utf-8-addr-unitext and utf-8-address. The first 2 forms are 7-bit safe.

The utf-8-address form MUST NOT be used in the ORCPT parameter when the SMTP server doesn’t advertise support for UTF8SMTP or the SMTP server supports UTF8SMTP, but the address contains US-ASCII characters not permitted in the ORCPT parameter (e.g. the ORCPT parameter forbids SP and =); or in a 7-bit transport environment including a message/delivery-status Original-Recipient or Final-
Recipient field. The utf-8-addr-xtext form MUST be used instead in
the former case, the utf-8-addr-unitext form MUST be used in the
latter case. The utf-8-address form MAY be used in the ORCPT
parameter when the SMTP server also advertises support for UTF8SMTP
and the address doesn't contains any US-ASCII characters not
permitted in the ORCPT parameter; in a message/utf-8-delivery-status
Original-Recipient or Final-Recipient DSN field; or an Original-
Recipient header field [RFC3798] if the message is a UTF-8 header
message.

In addition, the utf-8-addr-unitext form can be used anywhere where
the utf-8-address form is allowed.

6.2. Update to ‘smtp’ Diagnostic Type Registration

The mail diagnostic type registry was created by RFC 3464. The
registration for the ‘smtp’ diagnostic type should be updated to
reference RFC XXXX in addition to RFC 3464.

When the ‘smtp’ diagnostic type is used in the context of a message/
delivery-status body part, it remains as presently defined. When the
‘smtp’ diagnostic type is used in the context of a message/
utf-8-delivery-status body part, the codes remain the same, but the
text portion MAY contain UTF-8 characters.

6.3. message/utf-8-headers

Type name: message

Subtype name: utf-8-headers

Required parameters: none

Optional parameters: none

Encoding considerations: This media type contains Internationalized
Email Headers [I-D.ietf-eai-utf8headers] with no message body.
Whenever possible, the 8-bit content transfer encoding SHOULD be
used. When this media type passes through a 7-bit-only SMTP
infrastructure it MAY be encoded with the base64 or quoted-
printable content transfer encoding.

Security considerations: See Section 7

Interoperability considerations: It is important this media type is
not converted to a charset other than UTF-8. As a result,
implementations MUST NOT include a charset parameter with this
media type. Although it might be possible to downconvert this
media type to the text/rfc822-header media type, such conversion is discouraged as it loses information.

Published specification: RFC XXXX

Applications that use this media type: UTF8SMTP servers and email clients that support multipart/report generation or parsing.

Additional information:

Magic number(s): none

File extension(s): In the event this is saved to a file, the extension ".u8hdr" is suggested.

Macintosh file type code(s): The ‘TEXT’ type code is suggested as files of this type are typically used for diagnostic purposes and suitable for analysis in a UTF-8 aware text editor. A uniform type identifier (UTI) of "public.utf8-email-message-header" is suggested. This type conforms to "public.utf8-plain-text" and "public.plain-text".

Person & email address to contact for further information: See the Author’s address section of this document.

Intended usage: COMMON

Restrictions on usage: This media type contains textual data in the UTF-8 charset. It typically contains octets with the 8th bit set. As a result a transfer encoding is required when a 7-bit transport is used.

Author: See Author’s Address section of this document.

Change controller: IETF Standards Process

6.4. message/utf-8-delivery-status

Type name: message

Subtype name: utf-8-delivery-status

Required parameters: none

Optional parameters: none
Encoding considerations: This media type contains delivery status notification attributes in the UTF-8 charset. The 8-bit content transfer encoding MUST be used with this content-type, unless it is sent over a 7-bit transport environment in which case quoted-printable or base64 may be necessary.

Security considerations: See Section 7

Interoperability considerations: This media type provides functionality similar to the message/delivery-status content type for email message return information. Clients of the previous format will need to be upgraded to interpret the new format, however the new media type makes it simple to identify the difference.

Published specification: RFC XXXX

Applications that use this media type: SMTP servers and email clients that support delivery status notification generation or parsing.

Additional information:

Magic number(s): none

File extension(s): The extension ".u8dsn" is suggested.

Macintosh file type code(s): A uniform type identifier (UTI) of "public.utf8-email-message-delivery-status" is suggested. This type conforms to "public.utf8-plain-text".

Person & email address to contact for further information: See the Author’s address section of this document.

Intended usage: COMMON

Restrictions on usage: This is expected to be the second part of a multipart/report.

Author: See Author’s Address section of this document.

Change controller: IETF Standards Process

6.5. message/utf-8-disposition-notification
Type name: message

Subtype name: utf-8-disposition-notification

Required parameters: none

Optional parameters: none

Encoding considerations: This media type contains disposition notification attributes in the UTF-8 charset. The 8-bit content transfer encoding MUST be used with this content-type, unless it is sent over a 7-bit transport environment in which case quoted-printable or base64 may be necessary.

Security considerations: See Section 7

Interoperability considerations: This media type provides functionality similar to the message/disposition-notification content type for email message disposition information. Clients of the previous format will need to be upgraded to interpret the new format, however the new media type makes it simple to identify the difference.

Published specification: RFC XXXX

Applications that use this media type: Email clients or servers that support message disposition notification generation or parsing.

Additional information:

Magic number(s): none

File extension(s): The extension ".u8mdn" is suggested.

Macintosh file type code(s): A uniform type identifier (UTI) of "public.utf8-email-message-disposition-notification" is suggested. This type conforms to "public.utf8-plain-text".

Person & email address to contact for further information: See the Author’s address section of this document.

Intended usage: COMMON

Restrictions on usage: This is expected to be the second part of a multipart/report.
7. Security Considerations

Automated use of report types without authentication presents several security issues. Forging negative reports presents the opportunity for denial-of-service attacks when the reports are used for automated maintenance of directories or mailing lists. Forging positive reports may cause the sender to incorrectly believe a message was delivered when it was not.

Malicious users can generate report structures designed to trigger coding flaws in report parsers. Report parsers need to use secure coding techniques to avoid the risk of buffer overflow or denial-of-service attacks against parser coding mistakes. Code reviews of such parsers are also recommended.

Malicious users of the email system regularly send messages with forged envelope return paths and these messages trigger delivery status reports that result in a large amount of unwanted traffic on the Internet. Many users choose to ignore delivery status notifications because they are usually the result of "blowback" from forged messages and thus never notice when messages they sent go undelivered. As a result, support for correlation of delivery status and message disposition notification messages with sent-messages has become a critical feature of mail clients and possibly mail stores if the email infrastructure is to remain reliable. In the short term, simply correlating message-IDs may be sufficient to distinguish true status notifications from those resulting from forged originator addresses. But in the longer term, including cryptographic signature material that can securely associate the status notification with the original message is advisable.

As this specification permits UTF-8 in additional fields, the security considerations of UTF-8 [RFC3629] apply.

8. References

8.1. Normative References


[RFC2821] Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol", RFC 2821,
April 2001.


8.2. Informative References


Appendix A. Acknowledgements

Many thanks for input provided by Pete Resnick, James Galvin, Ned Freed, John Klensin and members of the EAI WG to help solidify this proposal.

Appendix B. Open Issues

Suggestion to change the utf-8-addr format from \-encoded Unicode to \-encoded UTF-8 as used in URIs.

Use a single syntax for I18N addresses in ORCPT/DSN instead of two (Chris)

Potential issue: an SMTP server can’t deliver an EAI DSN to the next hop - need to use a 7bit encoding, downgrade or discard? Need to describe choices.

Tracker issue #1485: UTF8HDR 4.6/DSN: Choice of body part for transport of UTF8SMTP messages

Tracker issue #1483: SMTPEXT 2.7: Non-ASCII in response texts

Appendix C. Changes from -00

Added paragraph about use of 8bit Content-Transfer-Encoding for new message sub-types.

Updated the list of open issues.

Clarified that this document is targeted to become an Experimental RFC.

Made the EAI downgrade document a normative reference.

Updated ABNF for utf-8-address.
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