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Abstract

All BGP routers in the Default Free Zone (DFZ) are required to carry all the routes in the Default Free Routing Table (DFRT). A technique is described that allows some BGP routers not to install all of those routes into the Forwarding Information Base (FIB).

Some routers in an Autonomous System (AS) announce an aggregate (the VA prefix) in addition to the routes they already announce. This enables other routers not to install the routes covered by the VA prefix into the FIB as long as those routes have the same next-hop as the VA prefix.

The VA prefixes that are announced within an AS are not announced to any other AS.
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1. Introduction

A technique called Simple Virtual Aggregation (S-VA) is described. It allows some routers not to have to store some routes in the Forwarding Information Base (FIB) while still advertising and receiving the full Default Free Routing Table (DFRT) in BGP.

A typical scenario is as follows. Core routers in the ISP maintain the full DFRT in the FIB and RIB. Edge routers maintain the full DFRT in the BGP Loc-RIB, but do not install certain routes in the RIB and FIB. Edge routers may install a default route to core routers, to Area Border Routers (ABR) which are installed on the Point of Presence (POP), to core boundary routers or to Autonomous System Border Routers (ASBR).

S-VA must be enabled on an edge router that needs to save its RIB and FIB space. The core routers must announce a new prefix called virtual aggregate (VA-prefix).

1.1. Scope of this Document

The VA-prefix is not intended to be announced from one AS into another, only between routers of the same AS.

S-VA can be used for IPv4 and IPv6 both unicast and multicast address families.

S-VA need not operate on every router in an AS.

1.2. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

1.3. Terminology

RIB/FIB-Installing Router (FIR): A router that does not suppress any routes and announces the VA-prefix. Typically a core router, a POP to core boundary router or an ASBR would be configured as an FIR.

RIB/FIB-Suppressing Router (FSR): An S-VA router that installs the VA-prefix, and does not install into its FIB routes that are covered by and have the same next-hop as the VA-prefix. Typically an edge router would be configured as an FSR.
Suppress: Not to install a route that is covered by the VA-prefix into the global RIB or FIB

Legacy Router: A router that does not run S-VA, and has no knowledge of S-VA.

Global Routing Information Base (RIB): All the routing protocols in a router install their selected routes into the RIB. The routes in the RIB are used to resolve next-hops for other routes, to be redistributed to other routing protocols and to be installed into the FIB.

Local/Protocol Routing Information Base (loc-RIB): The Loc-RIB contains the routes that have been selected by the local BGP speaker’s Decision Process as in [RFC4271].

NLRI: Network Layer Reachability Information [RFC4271]

2. Operation of S-VA

There are three types of routers in S-VA, FIB-Installing routers (FIR), FIB-Suppressing routers (FSR) and optionally, legacy routers. While any router can be an FIR or an FSR, the simplest form of deployment is for AS border routers to be configured as FIRs and for customer facing edge routers to be configured as FSRs.

When a FIR announces a VA-prefix, it sets the path attributes as follows: The ORIGIN MUST be set to INCOMPLETE (value 2). The NEXT_HOP MUST be set to the same as that of the routes which are intended to be covered by the VA-prefix. The ATOMIC_AGGREGATE and AGGREGATOR attributes SHOULD NOT be included. The FIR MUST attach a NO_EXPORT Community Attribute [RFC1997]. The NLRI SHOULD be 0/0.

A FIR SHOULD NOT FIB-suppress any routes.

An FSR must detect the VA-prefix or prefixes (including 0/0) and install them in all of Loc-RIB, RIB and FIB. The FSR MAY suppress any more specific routes that carry the same next-hop as the VA-prefix.

Generally, any more specific route which carries the same next-hop as the VA-prefix is eligible for suppression. However, provided that there is at least one less specific prefix with different next-hop between the VA-prefix and the suppressed prefixes then those suppressed prefixes must be reinstalled.

For example, consider 3 prefixes. VA-prefix is the least specific and covers prefix2. prefix2 is less specific than prefix3 and covers it. Like Russian dolls. If they all have the same next-hop, then you can just send the biggest one with all the others inside. However, if the middle one, prefix2 has a different next-hop, then
you have to take it out and send it separately. However, you must remember to take out the smallest doll, prefix3 and also send it separately.

Similarly, when IBGP multipath is enabled and when multiple VA prefixes form a multipath, only those more specific prefixes of which the set of next-hops are identical to the set of next-hops of the VA-prefix multipath are subject to suppression.

The expected behavior is illustrated in Figure 1. This figure shows an autonomous system with a FIR FIR1 and an FSR FSR1. FSR1 is an ASBR and is connected to two external ASBRs, EP1 and EP2.

Suppose that FSR1 has been enabled to perform S-VA. Originally it receives all routes from FIR1 (doing next-hop-self) as well as from EP1 and EP2. FIR1 now will advertise a VA prefix 0/0 with next-hop set to itself. That will cause FSR1 to suppress all routes with the same next-hop as the VA-prefix. However, FSR1 will not suppress any routes received from EP1 and EP2, because their next-hops are different from that of the VA-prefix.

Several FIRs may announce different S-VA prefixes. For example, in a POP, each edge router can announce into the POP an S-VA prefix that covers the addresses of the customers it services.

Several FIRs may announce the same S-VA prefix. In this case an FSR must choose to install only one of them. For example, two redundant ASBRs, both of which announce the complete DFRT may each also announce the default route as an S-VA prefix into the AS.

S-VA may be used to split traffic among redundant exit routers. For example, referring to Figure 1, suppose EP1 and EP2 are two redundant ASBRs that announce the complete DFRT. Each may also announce two S-VA prefixes into the AS: 0/1 and 128/1. EP1 might announce 0/1
with higher preference and EP2 might announce 128/1 with higher preference. FIR1 will now install into its FIB 0/1 pointing to EP1 and 128/1 pointing to EP2. If either one of EP1 or EP2 were to fail, then FSR1 would switch the traffic to the other exit router with a single FIB installation of one S-VA prefix.

3. Deployment considerations

BGP routes may be used to resolve next-hops for static routes or other BGP routes. Because the default route does not imply reachability of any destination, a router can be configured not to resolve next-hops using the default route. In this case, S-VA should not suppress from installation into the RIB a route that may be used to resolve a next-hop for another route. It may still suppress it from installation into the FIB.

Selected BGP routes in the RIB may be redistributed to other protocols. If they no longer exist in the RIB, they will not be redistributed. This is especially important when the conditional redistribution is taking place based on the length of the prefix, community value etc. In those cases where redistribution policy is in place S-VA code should refrain from suppressing from installation into the RIB routes matching such policy. It may still suppress them from installation into the FIB.

A router may originate a network route or an aggregate route into BGP. Some addresses covered by such a route may not exist. If this router were to receive a packet for an unreachable address within an originated route, it must not send that packet to the VA-prefix route. There are several ways to achieve this. One is to have the FIR aggregate the routes instead of the FSR. Another is to install a blackhole route for the nonexistent addresses on the originating router. This issue is not specific to S-VA, but applicable to the general use of default routes.

Like any aggregate, an S-VA prefix may include more address space than the sum of the prefixes it covers. As such, the S-VA prefix may provide a route for a packet for which no real destination exists. An FSR will forward such a packet to the FIR.

If an S-VA prefix changes its next-hop or is removed, then many routes may need to be downloaded into the FIB to achieve convergence.

4. IANA Considerations

There are no IANA considerations.
5. Security Considerations

The authors are not aware of any new security considerations due to S-VA.
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