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Abstract

This document provides a survey of BGP-4 Graceful Restart implementations.

1. Survey Summary

This document provides a survey of BGP-4 Graceful Restart implementations. After a brief summary, each response is listed. The editor makes no claim as to the accuracy of the information provided.

The following organizations reported having implementations of Graceful Restart: Cisco Systems, IP Infusion, Juniper Networks, Laurel Networks, Redback Networks, Riverstone Networks and Tenor Networks. Andrew Partan responded regarding independent interoperability testing.
Respondents reported having tested interoperability between the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cisco</th>
<th>Juniper</th>
<th>Laurel</th>
<th>Redback</th>
<th>Riverstone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cisco</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniper</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to responses from implementors, Andrew Partan <asp@partan.com> reports that he has tested interoperation between Cisco, Juniper and Redback.

2. Survey Forms

2.1. Cisco Systems, Inc.

Person filling out this form: Ruchi Kapoor <ruchi@cisco.com>

Does your Graceful Restart implementation do the following as defined in draft-ietf-idr-restart-05.txt?

Send the End-of-RIB marker upon completion of initial routing update according to section 4?

Yes.

Exchange the Graceful Restart capability according to section 5?

Yes.

Restart BGP sessions normally (i.e., a full restart not a Graceful Restart) when terminated with a NOTIFICATION message according to section 6?

Yes.

Function as a Restarting Speaker according to section 6.1?

Yes.

Function as a Receiving Speaker according to section 6.2?

Yes.

List other implementations that you have tested for Graceful Restart interoperability.
2.2. IP Infusion, Inc.

Person filling out this form: Kunihiro Ishiguro
<kunihiro@ipinfusion.com>, Wei Cao <wei@ipinfusion.com>

Does your Graceful Restart implementation do the following as defined in draft-ietf-idr-restart-05.txt?

Send the End-of-RIB marker upon completion of initial routing update according to section 4?

Yes.

Exchange the Graceful Restart capability according to section 5?

Yes, except the "only be a receiver" case.

Restart BGP sessions normally (i.e., a full restart not a Graceful Restart) when terminated with a NOTIFICATION message according to section 6?

Yes.

Function as a Receiving Speaker according to section 6.2?

Yes.

List other implementations that you have tested for Graceful Restart interoperability.

None.

2.3. Juniper Networks

Person filling out this form: Chaitanya Kodeboyina
<ck@juniper.net>

Does your Graceful Restart implementation do the following as defined in draft-ietf-idr-restart-05.txt?
Graceful restart functionality is not on by default and one has to configure ‘graceful-restart’ for BGP and other protocols to implement this functionality. So all answers below are conditional on this knob being configured. (There is one knob for all protocols.)

Send the End-of-RIB marker upon completion of initial routing update according to section 4?

Yes.

Exchange the Graceful Restart capability according to section 5?

Yes.

Restart BGP sessions normally (i.e., a full restart not a Graceful Restart) when terminated with a NOTIFICATION message according to section 6?

Yes.

Function as a Restarting Speaker according to section 6.1?

Yes, except that we don’t have a capability today (needs a knob at most) to restrict graceful-restart to planned restarts only. But this is an internal matter which has no bearing on interop and I don’t see why this paragraph should be part of the draft:

If one wants to apply graceful restart only when the restart is planned (as opposed to both planned and unplanned restart), then one way to accomplish this would be to set the Forwarding State bit to 1 after a planned restart, and to 0 in all other cases. Other approaches to accomplish this are outside the scope of this document.

Function as a Receiving Speaker according to section 6.2?

Yes.

List other implementations that you have tested for Graceful Restart interoperability.

None.
2.4. Laurel Networks, Inc.

Person filling out this form: Ardas Cilingiroglu
<ardas@laurelnetworks.com>

Does your Graceful Restart implementation do the following as defined in draft-ietf-idr-restart-05.txt?

Send the End-of-RIB marker upon completion of initial routing update according to section 4?

Yes. End-of-RIB is generated upon completion of the initial update messages if graceful-restart is configured, even when it’s a normal bgp (re)start.

Exchange the Graceful Restart capability according to section 5?

Yes.

Restart BGP sessions normally (i.e., a full restart not a Graceful Restart) when terminated with a NOTIFICATION message according to section 6?

Yes.

Function as a Restarting Speaker according to section 6.1?

Yes. We support both planned and unplanned restarts. Also, implemented a configurable upper bound to defer route selection.

Function as a Receiving Speaker according to section 6.2?

Yes. Implemented a configurable upper bound to retain stale Rib-In routes.

List other implementations that you have tested for Graceful Restart interoperability.

Cisco and Juniper.
2.5. Redback Networks, Inc.

Person filling out this form: Jenny Yuan <jenny@redback.com>

Does your Graceful Restart implementation do the following as defined in draft-ietf-idr-restart-05.txt?

Send the End-of-RIB marker upon completion of initial routing update according to section 4?

Yes.

Exchange the Graceful Restart capability according to section 5?

Yes.

Restart BGP sessions normally (i.e., a full restart not a Graceful Restart) when terminated with a NOTIFICATION message according to section 6?

Yes.

Function as a Restarting Speaker according to section 6.1?

Yes.

Function as a Receiving Speaker according to section 6.2?

Yes.

List other implementations that you have tested for Graceful Restart interoperability.

Cisco.

2.6. Riverstone Networks

Person filling out this form: Greg Hankins <ghankins@riverstonenet.com>

Does your Graceful Restart implementation do the following as defined in draft-ietf-idr-restart-05.txt?

Send the End-of-RIB marker upon completion of initial routing update according to section 4?
Yes.

Exchange the Graceful Restart capability according to section 5?

Yes.

Restart BGP sessions normally (i.e., a full restart not a Graceful Restart) when terminated with a NOTIFICATION message according to section 6?

Yes.

Function as aRestarting Speaker according to section 6.1?

Yes.

Function as a Receiving Speaker according to section 6.2?

Yes.

List other implementations that you have tested for Graceful Restart interoperability.

Cisco, Juniper.

Other information:

I defined a ‘Resync-Time’ to cover the following:

a) Restarter

This timer is started after establishment, and sets an upper limit on time the restarter will wait for EOR markers before sending routes.

b) Helper

This timer is started at the same point, and is the upper limit on the time taken by the restarter to refresh the ‘stale’ routes.
2.7. Tenor Networks

Person filling out this form: Jim Tsillas  
<jtsillas@tenornetworks.com>

Does your Graceful Restart implementation do the following as defined in draft-ietf-idr-restart-05.txt?

Send the End-of-RIB marker upon completion of initial routing update according to section 4?

Yes

Exchange the Graceful Restart capability according to section 5?

Yes

Restart BGP sessions normally (i.e., a full restart not a Graceful Restart) when terminated with a NOTIFICATION message according to section 6?

Yes

Function as a Restarting Speaker according to section 6.1?

Yes

Function as a Receiving Speaker according to section 6.2?

Yes

List other implementations that you have tested for Graceful Restart interoperability.

(None given.)
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