Abstract

It is hard to adjust traffic and optimize traffic paths on a traditional IP network from time to time through manual configurations. It is desirable to have an automatic mechanism for setting up routing policies, which adjust traffic and optimize traffic paths automatically. This document describes BGP Extensions for Routing Policy Distribution (BGP RPD) to support this.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."
1. Introduction

It is difficult to optimize traffic paths on a traditional IP network because of:

- Heavy configuration and error prone. Traffic can only be adjusted device by device. All routers that the traffic traverses need to be configured. The configuration workload is heavy. The
operation is not only time consuming but also prone to misconfiguration for Service Providers.

- Complex. The routing policies used to control network routes are complex, posing difficulties to subsequent maintenance, high maintenance skills are required.

It is desirable to have an automatic mechanism for setting up routing policies, which can simplify the routing policies configuration. This document describes extensions to BGP for Routing Policy Distribution to resolve these issues.

2. Terminology

The following terminology is used in this document.

- ACL: Access Control List
- BGP: Border Gateway Protocol
- FS: Flow Specification
- PBR: Policy-Based Routing
- RPD: Routing Policy Distribution
- VPN: Virtual Private Network

3. Problem Statements

It is obvious that providers have the requirements to adjust their business traffic from time to time because:

- Business development or network failure introduces link congestion and overload.

- Network transmission quality is decreased as the result of delay, loss and they need to adjust traffic to other paths.

- To control OPEX and CPEX, prefer the transit provider with lower price.

3.1. Inbound Traffic Control

In the scenario below, for the reasons above, the provider of AS100 saying P may wish the inbound traffic from AS200 enters AS100 through link L3 instead of the others. Since P doesn’t have any...
administration over AS200, so there is no way for P to modify the route selection criteria directly.

Traffic from PE1 to Prefix1
-----------------------------------

![Traffic Diagram]

Prefix1 advertised from AS100 to AS200
<----------------------------------------

Inbound Traffic Control case

3.2. Outbound Traffic Control

In the scenario below, the provider of AS100 saying P prefers link L3 for the traffic to the destination Prefix2 among multiple exits and links. This preference can be dynamic and changed frequently because of the reasons above. So the provider P expects an efficient and convenient solution.
Outbound Traffic Control case

4. Protocol Extensions

A solution is proposed to use a new AFI and SAFI with the BGP Wide Community for encoding a routing policy.

4.1. Using a New AFI and SAFI

A new AFI and SAFI are defined: the Routing Policy AFI whose codepoint TBD1 is to be assigned by IANA, and SAFI whose codepoint TBD2 is to be assigned by IANA.

The AFI and SAFI pair uses a new NLRI, which is defined as follows:
Where:

NLRI Length: 1 octet represents the length of NLRI.

Policy Type: 1 octet indicates the type of a policy. 1 is for export policy. 2 is for import policy.

Distinguisher: 4 octet value uniquely identifies the policy in the peer.


The NLRI containing the Routing Policy is carried in a BGP UPDATE message, which MUST contain the BGP mandatory attributes and MAY also contain some BGP optional attributes.

When receiving a BGP UPDATE message, a BGP speaker processes it only if the peer IP address in the NLRI is the IP address of the BGP speaker or 0.

The content of the Routing Policy is encoded in a BGP Wide Community.

4.2. BGP Wide Community

The BGP wide community is defined in [I-D.ietf-idr-wide-bgp-communities]. It can be used to facilitate the delivery of new network services, and be extended easily for distributing different kinds of routing policies.

4.2.1. New Wide Community Atoms

A wide community Atom is a TLV (or sub-TLV), which may be included in a BGP wide community container (or BGP wide community for short) containing some BGP Wide Community TLVs. Three BGP Wide Community TLVs are defined in [I-D.ietf-idr-wide-bgp-communities], which are BGP Wide Community Target(s) TLV, Exclude Target(s) TLV, and
Parameter(s) TLV. Each of these TLVs comprises a series of Atoms, each of which is a TLV (or sub-TLV). A new wide community Atom is defined for BGP Wide Community Target(s) TLV and a few new Atoms are defined for BGP Wide Community Parameter(s) TLV. For your reference, the format of the TLV is illustrated below:

```
+---+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|     Type      |             Length             |
+---+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                         Value (variable)                      |
+---+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Format of Wide Community Atom TLV

A RouteAttr Atom TLV (or RouteAttr TLV/sub-TLV for short) is defined and may be included in a Target TLV. It has the following format.

```
+---+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|  Type (TBD1)  |        Length (variable)        |
+---+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                          sub-TLVs                             |
+---+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Format of RouteAttr Atom TLV

The Type for RouteAttr is TBD1 (suggested value 48) to be assigned by IANA. In RouteAttr TLV, three sub-TLVs are defined: IP Prefix, AS-Path and Community sub-TLV.

An IP prefix sub-TLV gives matching criteria on IPv4 prefixes. Its format is illustrated below:
Format of IPv4 Prefix sub-TLV

Type: TBD2 (suggested value 1) for IPv4 Prefix is to be assigned by IANA.

Length: N x 8, where N is the number of tuples <M-Type, Flags, IPv4 Address, Mask, GeMask, LeMask>.

M-Type: 4 bits for match types, four of which are defined:

M-Type = 0: Exact match.

M-Type = 1: Match prefix greater and equal to the given masks.

M-Type = 2: Match prefix less and equal to the given masks.

M-Type = 3: Match prefix within the range of the given masks.

Flags: 4 bits. No flags are currently defined.

IPv4 Address: 4 octets for an IPv4 address.

Mask: 1 octet for the mask length.

GeMask: 1 octet for match range, must be less than Mask or be 0.

LeMask: 1 octet for match range, must be greater than Mask or be 0.

For example, tuple <M-Type=0, Flags=0, IPv4 Address = 1.1.0.0, Mask = 22, GeMask = 0, LeMask = 0> represents an exact IP prefix match for 1.1.0.0/22.
<M-Type=1, Flags=0, IPv4 Address = 16.1.0.0, Mask = 24, GeMask = 24, LeMask = 0> represents match IP prefix 1.1.0.0/24 greater-equal 24.

<M-Type=2, Flags=0, IPv4 Address = 17.1.0.0, Mask = 24, GeMask = 0, LeMask = 26> represents match IP prefix 17.1.0.0/24 less-equal 26.

<M-Type=3, Flags=0, IPv4 Address = 18.1.0.0, Mask = 24, GeMask = 24, LeMask = 32> represents match IP prefix 18.1.0.0/24 greater-equal to 24 and less-equal 32.

Similarly, an IPv6 Prefix sub-TLV represents match criteria on IPv6 prefixes. Its format is illustrated below:

```
|            +----------------+-----------------+------------------|
|            |                |                |                  |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 |
| +-----------------------------------------------+--|
| | Type(TBD3) | Length (N x 20) | M-Type | Flags |
| +-----------------------------------------------+--|
| | IPv6 Address (16 octets) ~                   |  |
| +-----------------------------------------------+--|
| | Mask | GeMask | LeMask | M-Type | Flags |
| +-----------------------------------------------+--|
| ~                                            |
|                                           . . . |
|                                           +---------------------------------+--|
|                                           | IPv6 Address (16 octets) ~       |
|                                           +---------------------------------+--|
|                                           | Mask | GeMask | LeMask |
|                                           +---------------------------------+--|
|                                           +---------------------------------+--|
|                                           |                       ~             |
```

Format of IPv6 Prefix sub-TLV

An AS-Path sub-TLV represents a match criteria in a regular expression string. Its format is illustrated below:

```
|            +----------------+-----------------+------------------|
|            |                |                |                  |
| 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 |
| +-----------------------------------------------+--|
| | Type (TBD4) | Length (Variable) |                  |
| +-----------------------------------------------+--|
| | AS-Path Regex String ~                        |
| +-----------------------------------------------+--|
| ;                                              |
| +-----------------------------------------------+--|
|                                            ~     |
```

Format of AS Path sub-TLV

Type: TBD4 (suggested value 2) for AS-Path is to be assigned by IANA.
Length: Variable, maximum is 1024.

AS-Path Regex String: AS-Path regular expression string.

A community sub-TLV represents a list of communities to be matched all. Its format is illustrated below:

```
0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|  Type (TBD5)  |        Length (N x 4 + 1)       |    Flags    |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                      Community 1 Value                        |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
~                              . . .                            ~
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                      Community N Value                        |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Format of Community sub-TLV

Type: TBD5 (suggested value 3) for Community is to be assigned by IANA.

Length: N x 4 + 1, where N is the number of communities.

Flags: 1 octet. No flags are currently defined.

In Parameter(s) TLV, two action sub-TLVs are defined: MED change sub-TLV and AS-Path change sub-TLV. When the community in the container is MATCH AND SET ATTR, the Parameter(s) TLV includes some of these sub-TLVs. When the community is MATCH AND NOT ADVERTISE, the Parameter(s) TLV’s value is empty.

A MED change sub-TLV indicates an action to change the MED. Its format is illustrated below:

```
0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|  Type (TBD6)  |          Length (5)           |      OP       |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                           Value                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Format of MED Change sub-TLV
Type: TBD6 (suggested value 1) for MED Change is to be assigned by IANA.

Length: 5.

OP: 1 octet. Three are defined:

OP = 0: assign the Value to the existing MED.

OP = 1: add the Value to the existing MED. If the sum is greater than the maximum value for MED, assign the maximum value to MED.

OP = 2: subtract the Value from the existing MED. If the existing MED minus the Value is less than 0, assign 0 to MED.

Value: 4 octets.

An AS-Path change sub-TLV indicates an action to change the AS-Path. Its format is illustrated below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type (TBD7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-------------------------------------------------+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-------------------------------------------------+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>~...........</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-------------------------------------------------+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-------------------------------------------------+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Countn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Format of AS-Path Change sub-TLV

Type: TBD7 (suggested value 2) for AS-Path Change is to be assigned by IANA.

Length: n x 5.

ASi: 4 octet. An AS number.

Counti: 1 octet. ASi repeats Counti times.
The sequence of AS numbers are added to the existing AS Path.

4.3. Capability Negotiation

It is necessary to negotiate the capability to support BGP Extensions for Routing Policy Distribution (RPD). The BGP RPD Capability is a new BGP capability [RFC5492]. The Capability Code for this capability is to be specified by the IANA. The Capability Length field of this capability is variable. The Capability Value field consists of one or more of the following tuples:

```
+--------------------------------------------------+
| Address Family Identifier (2 octets) |
+--------------------------------------------------+
| Subsequent Address Family Identifier (1 octet) |
+--------------------------------------------------+
| Send/Receive (1 octet) |
+--------------------------------------------------+
```

BGP RPD Capability

The meaning and use of the fields are as follows:

Address Family Identifier (AFI): This field is the same as the one used in [RFC4760].

Subsequent Address Family Identifier (SAFI): This field is the same as the one used in [RFC4760].

Send/Receive: This field indicates whether the sender is (a) willing to receive Routing Policies from its peer (value 1), (b) would like to send Routing Policies to its peer (value 2), or (c) both (value 3) for the <AFI, SAFI>.

5. Consideration

5.1. Route-Policy

Routing policies are used to filter routes and control how routes are received and advertised. If route attributes, such as reachability, are changed, the path along which network traffic passes changes accordingly.

When advertising, receiving, and importing routes, the router implements certain policies based on actual networking requirements to filter routes and change the attributes of the routes. Routing policies serve the following purposes:
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- Control route advertising: Only routes that match the rules specified in a policy are advertised.
- Control route receiving: Only the required and valid routes are received. This reduces the size of the routing table and improves network security.
- Filter and control imported routes: A routing protocol may import routes discovered by other routing protocols. Only routes that satisfy certain conditions are imported to meet the requirements of the protocol.
- Modify attributes of specified routes: Attributes of the routes that are filtered by a routing policy are modified to meet the requirements of the local device.
- Configure fast reroute (FRR): If a backup next hop and a backup outbound interface are configured for the routes that match a routing policy, IP FRR, VPN FRR, and IP+VPN FRR can be implemented.

Routing policies are implemented using the following procedures:

1. Define rules: Define features of routes to which routing policies are applied. Users define a set of matching rules based on different attributes of routes, such as the destination address and the address of the router that advertises the routes.
2. Implement the rules: Apply the matching rules to routing policies for advertising, receiving, and importing routes.
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**7. Security Considerations**

Protocol extensions defined in this document do not affect the BGP security other than those as discussed in the Security Considerations section of [RFC5575].
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9. IANA Considerations

This document requests assigning a new AFI in the registry "Address Family Numbers" as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBD (36879 suggested)</td>
<td>Routing Policy AFI</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This document requests assigning a new SAFI in the registry "Subsequent Address Family Identifiers (SAFI) Parameters" as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBD(179 suggested)</td>
<td>Routing Policy SAFI</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This document defines a new registry called "Routing Policy NLRI". The allocation policy of this registry is "First Come First Served (FCFS)" according to [RFC8126].

Following code points are defined:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Export Policy</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Import Policy</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This document requests assigning a code-point from the registry "BGP Community Container Atom Types" as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TLV Code Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBD1 (48 suggested)</td>
<td>RouteAttr Atom</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This document defines a new registry called "Route Attributes Sub-TLV" under RouteAttr Atom TLV. The allocation policy of this registry is "First Come First Served (FCFS)" according to [RFC8126].

Following Sub-TLV code points are defined:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IP Prefix Sub-TLV</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AS-Path Sub-TLV</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Community Sub-TLV</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - 255</td>
<td>To be assigned in FCFS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This document defines a new registry called "Attribute Change Sub-TLV" under Parameter(s) TLV. The allocation policy of this registry is "First Come First Served (FCFS)" according to [RFC8126].

Following Sub-TLV code points are defined:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MED Change Sub-TLV</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AS-Path Change Sub-TLV</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - 255</td>
<td>To be assigned in FCFS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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