Abstract

This draft describes an optional implementation technique within IS-IS [ISO90, Cal90a, Cal90b] used today by several ISPs for routing within their clouds. IS-IS is an interior gateway routing protocol developed originally by OSI and used with IP extensions as IGP. This draft describes how to encapsulate IS-IS PDUs in IPv4 [Pos81] format. Such an encapsulation has many advantages, one of those being the possibility to run integrated IS-IS on anything that understands IPv4, including avian carriers [Wai90]. Encapsulation of IS-IS PDUs in IPv6 is outside the scope of this document.

1. Introduction

Encapsulation of IS-IS as defined in ISO 10589 [ISO90, Cal90a, Cal90b] uses directly over Link Layer protocols as opposed to IP routing protocols [Moy97] that are encapsulated over IP directly.
By defining an encapsulation of IS-IS in IP, we save on special OSI encapsulation on several media types. Such an encapsulation would solve fragmentation problems of large LSPs and remove the necessity for OSI PPP extensions [Kat92] when IS-IS is run over negotiated PPP links. Additionally, on certain media, such as P2P ATM links, no LLC/SNAP encapsulation is necessary to provide multi-protocol routing, allowing for gains in efficiency.

2. Encapsulation of IS-IS and ISO 9542 packets over IP

IS-IS is encapsulated directly over the Internet Protocol’s network layer. IS-IS packets are therefore encapsulated by IP and local data-link headers. Within this encapsulation, IS-IS packets are propagated as usual, however without the appropriate link-layer fields but starting at NLPI.

IS-IS does not normally provide a way to transmit packets larger than MTU size. This proposal allows to use IP fragmentation when transmitting such packets. If necessary, the length of IS-IS packets over IP can be up to 65,535 bytes (including the IP header). The IS-IS packet types that are likely to be large (LSPs, CSNPs, PSNPs) can usually be split into several separate protocol packets, without loss of functionality. This is recommended; IP fragmentation SHOULD be avoided whenever possible since it can lead to different problems, such as loss of fragments causing the retransmission of complete IP packets. Following rules apply:

- IIHs MUST not exceed the size of \([\text{InterfaceMTU} - \text{IP headersize}] \) (1) and have the DF bit set. MTU size padding rules should be followed as described in ISO 10589.

- SNPs MUST NOT be larger than the respective originatingLSPBufferSize.

- SNPs MUST be sent allowing IP fragmentation (DF bit not set).

- SNPs SHOULD NOT be larger than the minimum of \([\text{InterfaceMTU} - \text{IP headersize}] \) and respective originatingLSPBufferSize.

- LSP fragments MAY BE built with a size up to the value of corresponding originatingLSPBufferSize.

1. not of maximum of Buffer and DataLinkSize used normally
This set of rules allows to configure a network with respective originatingLSPBufferSize larger than some interfaces’ MTUs.
In a mixed environment, care must be taken that respective originatingLSPBufferSize does not exceed the MTU size of interfaces without IP encapsulation. A default originatingLSPBufferSize of 1472 is recommended.

The other important features of IS-IS in IP’s IP encapsulation are:

- IS-IS in IP has been assigned IP protocol number 124. IP protocol number assignments are documented in [RP94].

Historical note: For development purposes, IP Protocol number 9 (Private IGP protocol number) [RP94] has been used until an official number was granted.

- Use of IP multicast. Some IS-IS in IP messages are multicast, when sent over broadcast networks. Three distinct IP multicast addresses are used. Packets sent to these multicast addresses should never be forwarded; they are meant to travel a single hop only. To ensure that these packets will not travel multiple hops, their IP TTL must be set to 1.

   IPAllL1ISs

OSI multicast value of this address was 01-80-C2-00-00-14. This multicast address has been assigned the IP address value 224.0.0.19 for IP encapsulated IS-IS. All routers running L1 IS-IS in IP should be prepared to receive packets sent to this address. Hello packets are always sent to this destination. Also, certain IS-IS in IP protocol packets are sent to this address during the flooding procedure.
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IPAllL2ISs

OSI multicast value of this address was 01-80-C2-00-00-15. This multicast address has been assigned the IP address value 224.0.0.20 for IP encapsulated IS-IS. All routers running L2 IS-IS in IP should be prepared to receive packets sent to this address. Hello packets are always sent to this destination. Also, certain IS-IS in IP protocol packets are sent to this address during the flooding procedure.

IPAllIntermediateSystems

OSI multicast value of this address was 09-00-2B-00-00-05. This multicast address has been assigned the IP address value 224.0.0.21 for IP encapsulated IS-IS. All routers running IS-IS in IP should be prepared to receive packets sent to this address. ISO 9542 is using this address.

- All IS-IS in IP routing protocol packets are sent using the
normal service TOS value of binary 0000 defined in [Alm92].

- Routing protocol packets are sent with IP precedence set to Internetwork Control. IS-IS in IP protocol packets should be given precedence over regular IP data traffic, in both sending and receiving. Setting the IP precedence field in the IP header to Internetwork Control [Pos81] may help to implement this objective.

3. Internal Encapsulation

On point-to-point links no MAC addresses are used by IS-IS. Therefore the Intradomain Routing Protocol Discriminator or ISO 9542 Network Layer Protocol Identifier starts directly after the IP header. For P2P link running PPP, the Payload format will consist of PPP header, followed by the IP header and the usual NLPID as indicated in figure 1.

For P2P ATM links using VC muxing, the payload format MUST NOT include the PPP header as indicated in figure 2.

In the case of broadcast media, MAC addresses are used for adjacency identification. It is rather painful from the implementation perspective to assume that an IS-IS must have access to MAC headers when receiving frames. However, based on the observation that ethernets have at least one IP address assigned, MAC address necessary for adjacency maintenance can be built algorithmically using the source address of the IP packet received. To prevent collisions with universally administered addresses, the addresses are designated by having bit one in byte zero of the MAC set to one to indicate locally administered address as specified by the according IEEE standard. When receiving an IP encapsulated ISIS PDU, the artificial MAC address is assumed to consist (in network order) of 4 bytes of source IP address aligned as least significant bytes, "locally administered" bit being set and all remaining bits being zero. Figure 3 visualizes such an address for a source IP address 128.127.128.1.

```
0 2 4 6 0 2 4 6 0 2 4 6 0 2 4 6 0 2 4 6
+------------------------------------+
| 01000000 | 00000000 | 10000000 | 01111111 | 10000000 | 00000001 |
+------------------------------------+
```

Figure 1: Encapsulation of ISIS frames over PPP

```
+------------------------------------+
| PPP Header | IP Header | ISIS PDU  |
+------------------------------------+
```

Figure 2: Encapsulation of ISIS frames over ATM

```
+-----------------+
| AAL5 Header | IP Header | ISIS PDU |
+-----------------+
```

Figure 3: Visualization of an artificial MAC address
When operating on an interface encapsulating IS-IS within IP, encapsulated PDUs MUST be sent with a constant IP source address. Any change of the IP address on the interface MUST be considered equivalent to change of MAC address in ISO mode. In all places in which MAC addresses are being used in ISO mode, source IP address MUST be used to compute artificial MACs when sending and parsing received PDUs.

Any PDU received on IP encapsulated broadcast interface and containing MACs with either the ‘locally administered’ bit not being set or any of the remaining bits in the first two bytes being set SHOULD be discarded.

4. Interoperability with Devices without IP Encapsulation

An interoperability solution for devices using IP encapsulation and OSI encapsulation of ISIS frames would be only useful if it could significantly ease the migration path in the existing networks. Given the fact that graceful migration is not a paramount issue for existing networks and any solution would invariably lead to the problem of partitioning of broadcast media, such a solution is not defined.
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6. Security Consideration

ISIS security applies to the work presented. No specific security issues with the proposed solutions are known. Things like IPSec may influence the work in strange and unknown ways ;-)
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