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Abstract

LDAP search filters are transmitted in the LDAP protocol using a binary representation that is appropriate for use on the network. This document defines a human-readable string representation of LDAP search filters that is appropriate for use in LDAP URLs and in other applications.
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1. Introduction

The Lightweight Directory Access Protocol (LDAP) [Protocol] defines a network representation of a search filter transmitted to an LDAP server. Some applications may find it useful to have a common way of representing these search filters in a human-readable form; LDAP URLs are an example of one such application. This document defines a human-readable string format for representing the full range of possible LDAP version 3 search filters, including extended match filters.

This document is an integral part of the LDAP Technical Specification [Roadmap].

This document replaces RFC 2254. Changes to RFC 2254 are summarized in Appendix A.
The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119].

2. LDAP Search Filter Definition

An LDAPv3 search filter is defined in Section 4.5.1 of [Protocol] as follows:

```plaintext
Filter ::= CHOICE {
    and                [0] SET SIZE (1..MAX) OF filter Filter,
    or                 [1] SET SIZE (1..MAX) OF filter Filter,
    not                [2] Filter,
    equalityMatch      [3] AttributeValueAssertion,
    substrings         [4] SubstringFilter,
    greaterOrEqual     [5] AttributeValueAssertion,
    lessOrEqual        [6] AttributeValueAssertion,
    present            [7] AttributeDescription,
    approxMatch        [8] AttributeValueAssertion,
    extensibleMatch    [9] MatchingRuleAssertion }

SubstringFilter ::= SEQUENCE {
    type    AttributeDescription,
    substrings    SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF substring CHOICE {
        initial        [0] AssertionValue,
        any            [1] AssertionValue,
        final          [2] AssertionValue } }

AttributeValueAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
    attributeDesc   AttributeDescription,
    assertionValue  AssertionValue }

MatchingRuleAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
    matchingRule    [1] MatchingRuleId OPTIONAL,
    type            [2] AttributeDescription OPTIONAL,
    matchValue      [3] AssertionValue,
    dnAttributes    [4] BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE }
The AttributeDescription is a string representation of the attribute description and is defined in [Protocol]. The AttributeValue and AssertionValue OCTET STRING have the form defined in [Syntaxes]. The Filter is encoded for transmission over a network using the Basic Encoding Rules (BER) defined in [X.690], with simplifications described in [Protocol].

### 3. String Search Filter Definition

The string representation of an LDAP search filter is a string of UTF-8 [RFC3629] encoded Unicode characters [Unicode] that is defined by the following grammar, following the ABNF notation defined in [RFC2234]. The productions used that are not defined here are defined in section 1.4 (Common ABNF Productions) of [Models] unless otherwise noted. The filter format uses a prefix notation.

```
filter = LPAREN filtercomp RPAREN
filtercomp = and / or / not / item
and = AMPERSAND filterlist
or = VERTBAR filterlist
not = EXCLAMATION filter
filterlist = 1*filter
item = simple / present / substring / extensible
simple = attr filtertype assertionvalue
filtertype = equal / approx / greaterorequal / lessorequal
equal = EQUALS
approx = TILDE EQUALS
greaterorequal = RANGLE EQUALS
lessorequal = LANGLE EQUALS
extensible = attr [dnattrs]
    [matchingrule] COLON EQUALS assertionvalue
    / [dnattrs]
    matchingrule COLON EQUALS assertionvalue
    / COLON EQUALS assertionvalue
present = attr EQUALS ASTERISK
substring = attr EQUALS [initial] any [final]
initial = assertionvalue
any = ASTERISK *(assertionvalue ASTERISK)
final = assertionvalue
attr = attributedescription
    ; The attributedescription rule is defined in
    ; Section 2.5 of [Models].

dnattrs = COLON "dn"
matchingrule = COLON oid
assertionvalue = valueencoding
```
The `<valueencoding>` rule is used to encode an `<AssertionValue>` from Section 4.1.6 of [Protocol].

```
valueencoding = 0*(normal / escaped)
normal        = UTF1SUBSET / UTFMB
escaped       = ESC HEX HEX
UTF1SUBSET    = %x01-27 / %x2B-5B / %x5D-7F
  ; UTF1SUBSET excludes 0x00 (NUL), LPAREN, RPAREN, ASTERISK, and ESC.
EXCLAMATION  = %x21 ; exclamation mark (!)
AMPERSAND    = %x26 ; ampersand (or AND symbol) (&)
ASTERISK     = %x2A ; asterisk (*)
COLON        = %x3A ; colon (:)
VERTBAR      = %x7C ; vertical bar (or pipe) (|)
TILDE        = %x7E ; tilde (~)
```

Note that although both the `<substring>` and `<present>` productions in the grammar above can produce the `"attr=*"` construct, this construct is used only to denote a presence filter.

The `<valueencoding>` rule ensures that the entire filter string is a valid UTF-8 string and provides that the octets that represent the ASCII characters "*" (ASCII 0x2a), ">(" (ASCII 0x28), ")" (ASCII 0x29), "\" (ASCII 0x5c), and NUL (ASCII 0x00) are represented as a backslash "\" (ASCII 0x5c) followed by the two hexadecimal digits representing the value of the encoded octet.

This simple escaping mechanism eliminates filter-parsing ambiguities and allows any filter that can be represented in LDAP to be represented as a NUL-terminated string. Other octets that are part of the `<normal>` set may be escaped using this mechanism, for example, non-printing ASCII characters.

For AssertionValues that contain UTF-8 character data, each octet of the character to be escaped is replaced by a backslash and two hex digits, which form a single octet in the code of the character.

For example, the filter checking whether the "cn" attribute contained a value with the character "*" anywhere in it would be represented as 

```
(cn=\2a*)
```

As indicated by the valueencoding rule, implementations MUST escape all octets greater than 0x7f that are not part of a valid UTF-8 encoding sequence when they generate a string representation of a search filter. Implementations SHOULD accept as input strings that are not valid UTF-8 strings. This is necessary because RFC 2254 did not clearly define the term "string representation" (and in particular did not mention that the string representation of an LDAP
search filter is a string of UTF-8 encoded Unicode characters).

4. Examples

This section gives a few examples of search filters written using this notation.

(cn=Babs Jensen)
(!cn=Tim Howes)
(&(objectClass=Person){(sn=Jensen)(cn=Babs J*)})
(o=univ*of*mich*)
(seeAlso=)

The following examples illustrate the use of extensible matching.

(cn:1.2.3.4.5=Fred Flintstone)
(cn:=Betty Rubble)
(sn:dn:2.4.6.8.10=Barney Rubble)
(o:dn:=Ace Industry)
(:,1.2.3:=Wilma Flintstone)
(:,dn:2.4.6.8.10:=Dino)

The first example shows use of the matching rule "1.2.3.4.5".

The second example demonstrates use of a MatchingRuleAssertion form without a matchingRule.

The third example illustrates the use of the ":oid" notation to indicate that matching rule "2.4.6.8.10" should be used when making comparisons, and that the attributes of an entry’s distinguished name should be considered part of the entry when evaluating the match (indicated by the use of ":dn").

The fourth example denotes an equality match, except that DN components should be considered part of the entry when doing the match.

The fifth example is a filter that should be applied to any attribute supporting the matching rule given (since the attr has been omitted).

The sixth and final example is also a filter that should be applied to any attribute supporting the matching rule given. Attributes supporting the matching rule contained in the DN should also be considered.

The following examples illustrate the use of the escaping mechanism.

(o=Parens R Us \28for all your parenthetical needs\29)
The first example shows the use of the escaping mechanism to represent parenthesis characters. The second shows how to represent a "*" in an assertion value, preventing it from being interpreted as a substring indicator. The third illustrates the escaping of the backslash character.

The fourth example shows a filter searching for the four-byte value 0x00000004, illustrating the use of the escaping mechanism to represent arbitrary data, including NUL characters.

The fifth example illustrates the use of the escaping mechanism to represent various non-ASCII UTF-8 characters.

The sixth and final example demonstrates assertion of a BER encoded value.

5. Security Considerations

This memo describes a string representation of LDAP search filters. While the representation itself has no known security implications, LDAP search filters do. They are interpreted by LDAP servers to select entries from which data is retrieved. LDAP servers should take care to protect the data they maintain from unauthorized access.

Please refer to the Security Considerations sections of [Protocol] and [AuthMeth] for more information.

6. IANA Considerations

This document has no actions for IANA.

7. Normative References


8. Informative References

None.
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11. Appendix A: Changes Since RFC 2254

11.1. Technical Changes

Replaced [ISO 10646] reference with [Unicode].

The following technical changes were made to the contents of the "String Search Filter Definition" section:

Added statement that the string representation is a string of UTF-8 encoded Unicode characters.

Revised all of the ABNF to use common productions from [Models].

Replaced the "value" rule with a new "assertionvalue" rule within the "simple", "extensible", and "substring" ("initial", "any", and "final") rules. This matches a change made in [Syntaxes].

Revised the "attr", "matchingrule", and "assertionvalue" ABNF to more precisely reference productions from the [Models] and [Protocol] documents.

"String Search Filter Definition" section: replaced "greater" and "less" with "greaterorequal" and "lessorequal" to avoid confusion.

Introduced the "valueencoding" and associated "normal" and "escaped" rules to reduce the dependence on descriptive text. The "normal" production restricts filter strings to valid UTF-8 sequences.

Added a third option to the "extensible" production to allow creation of a MatchingRuleAssertion that only has a matchValue.

Added a statement about expected behavior in light of RFC 2254’s lack of a clear definition of "string representation."
11.2. Editorial Changes

Changed document title to include "LDAP:" prefix.

IESG Note: removed note about lack of satisfactory mandatory authentication mechanisms.

Header and "Authors’ Addresses" sections: added Mark Smith as the document editor and updated affiliation and contact information.

"Table of Contents", "IANA Considerations", and "Intellectual Property Rights" sections: added.

Copyright: updated per latest IETF guidelines.

"Abstract" section: separated from introductory material.

"Introduction" section: new section; separated from the Abstract. Updated second paragraph to indicate that RFC 2254 is replaced by this document (instead of RFC 1960). Added reference to the [Roadmap] document.

"LDAP Search Filter Definition" section: made corrections to the LDAPv3 search filter ABNF so it matches that used in [Protocol]. Clarified the definition of ‘value’ (now ‘assertionvalue’) to take into account the fact that it is not precisely an AttributeAssertion from [Protocol] section 4.1.6 (special handling is required for some characters). Added a note that each octet of a character to be escaped is replaced by a backslash and two hex digits, which represent a single octet.

"Examples" section: added four additional examples: (seeAlso=), (cn:=Betty Rubble), (:1.2.3:=Wilma Flintstone), and (1.3.6.1.4.1.1466.0=\04\02\48\69). Replaced one occurrence of "a value" with "an assertion value". Corrected the description of this example: (sn:dn:2.4.6.8.10:=Barney Rubble).

"Security Considerations" section: added references to [Protocol] and [AuthMeth].

"Informative References" section: added for clarity.

"Acknowledgments" section: added.

"Appendix A: Changes Since RFC 2254" section: added.

"Appendix B: Changes Since Previous Document Revision" section: added.

12. Appendix B: Changes Since Previous Document Revision

This appendix lists all changes relative to the previously published revision, draft-ietf-ldapbis-filter-07.txt. Note that when appropriate these changes are also included in Appendix A, but are also included here for the benefit of the people who have already reviewed draft-ietf-ldapbis-filter-07.txt. This section will be removed before this document is published as an RFC.

12.1. Editorial Changes

"Status of this Memo" section: replaced RFC 3668 (IPR) boilerplate paragraph with the version that says "each author" instead of "I."

"Status of this Memo" section: added 2 paragraphs that were accidently removed from the -07 revision (one begins with "The list of current Internet-Drafts..." and the other begins with "The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories...."
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