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Abstract

This document defines a new DLEP protocol Data Item that is used to support traffic classification. Traffic classification information is used to identify traffic flows based on frame/packet content such as destination address. The Data Item is defined in an extensible and reusable fashion. Its use will be mandated in other documents defining specific DLEP extensions. This document also introduces DLEP sub data items, and sub data items are defined to support DiffServ and Ethernet traffic classification.
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1. Introduction

The Dynamic Link Exchange Protocol (DLEP) is defined in [RFC8175]. It provides the exchange of link related control information between DLEP peers. DLEP peers are comprised of a modem and a router. DLEP defines a base set of mechanisms as well as support for possible extensions. DLEP defines Data Items which are sets of information that can be reused in DLEP messaging. The base DLEP specification does not include any flow identification beyond DLEP endpoints. This document defines DLEP Data Item formats which provide flow identification on a more granular basis. Specifically it enables traffic sent by a router to use traffic flow classification information provided by the modem to identify which traffic flows. In this case, a flow is identified based on information found in a data plane header and one or more matches are associated with a single flow. (For general background on traffic classification see [RFC2475] Section 2.3.) Credit windows may be shared or dedicated on a per flow basis. The Data Item is structured to allow for reuse of the defined traffic classification information with applications such
as credit window control, such as found in
[I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension]

This document defines traffic classification based on a DLEP
destination and flows identified by either DiffServ [RFC2475] DSCPs
differentiated services codepoints) or IEEE 802.1Q
[IEEE.802.1Q_2014] Ethernet Priority Code Points (PCP). The defined
mechanism allows for flows to be described in a flexible fashion and
when combined with applications such as credit window control, allows
credit windows to be shared across traffic sent to multiple DLEP
destinations and flows, or used exclusively for traffic sent to a
particular destination and/or flow. The extension also supports the
"wildcard" matching of any flow (DSCP or PCP). Traffic
classification information is provided such that it can be readily
extended to support other traffic classification techniques, or be
used by non-credit window related extensions, such as
[I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-pause-extension] or even 5-tuple IP flows.

This document defines support for traffic classification using a
single new Data Item in Section 2.1 for general support and two new
sub Data Items are defined to support identification of flows based
on DSCPs and PCPs.

1.1. Key Words

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and
"OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP
14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all
capitals, as shown here.

2. Traffic Classification

The Traffic Classification Data Item is used to represent a list of
flows that may be used at the same time for traffic sent from a
router to a modem. The data plane information used to identify each
flow is represented in a separate sub Data Item. The Data Item and
Sub Data Item structure is intended to be independent of any specific
usage of the flow identification, e.g., flow control. The Sub Data
Item structure is also intended to allow for future traffic
classification types, e.g., 5-tuple flows. While the structure of
the Data Items is extensible, actual flow information is expected to
be used in an extension dependent manner. Support for DSCP and PCP-
based flows are defined via individual sub Data Items below. Other
types of flow identification, e.g., based on IP protocol and ports,
may be defined in the future via new sub Data Items.
The list of flows contained in the Data Item can be used per sender or shared across multiple senders. Each list of flows is identified using a "Traffic Classification Identifier" or "TID" and is expected to represent a valid combination of data plane identifiers that may be used at the same time. Each flow is identified via a "Flow Identifier" or "FID". Each FID is defined in a sub Data Item which carries the data plane identifier or identifiers used to associate traffic with the flow. A DLEP destination address is also needed to complete traffic classification information used in extensions such as flow control. This information is expected to be provided in an extension specific manner. For example, this address can be provided by a modem when it identifies the traffic classification set in a Destination Up Message using the Credit Window Associate Data Item defined in [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-credit-flow-control]. The scope of TID and FID values is a modem.

2.1. Traffic Classification Data Item

This section defines the Traffic Classification Data Item. This Data Item is used by a modem to provide a router with traffic classification information. When an extension requires use of this Data Item the Traffic Classification Data Item SHOULD be included by a modem in any Session Initialization Response Message, e.g., see [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-da-credit-extension]. Updates to previously provided traffic classifications or new traffic classifications MAY be sent by a modem by including the Data Item in Session Update Messages. More than one Data Item MAY be included in a message to provide information on multiple traffic classifiers.

The set of traffic classification information provided in the data item is identified using a Traffic Classification Identifier, or TID. The actual data plane related information used in traffic classification is provided in a variable list of Traffic Classification Sub Data Items.

The format of the Traffic Classification Data Item is:
### Data Item Type: TBA1

**Length:** Variable

Per [RFC8175] Length is the number of octets in the Data Item, excluding the Type and Length fields.

**Traffic Classification Identifier (TID):**

A 16-bit unsigned integer identifying a traffic classification set. There is no restriction on values used by a modem, and there is no requirement for sequential or ordered values.

**Num SDIs:**

An 8-bit unsigned integer indicating the number of Traffic Classification Sub Data Items included in the Data Item. A value of zero (0) is allowed and indicates that no traffic should be matched against this TID.

**Reserved:**

MUST be set to zero by the sender (a modem) and ignored by the receiver (a router).

**Traffic Classification Sub Data Item:**

Zero or more Traffic Classification Sub Data Items of the format defined below MAY be included. The number MUST match the value carried in the Num SDIs field.

A router receiving the Traffic Classification Data Item MUST locate the traffic classification information that is associated with the TID indicated in each received Data Item. If no associated traffic
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classification information is found, the router MUST initialize a new
information set using the values carried in the Data Item. When
associated traffic classification information is found, the router
MUST update the information using the values carried in the Data
Item. In both cases, a router MUST also ensure that any data plane
state, e.g., [I-D.ietf-manet-dlep-credit-flow-control], that is
associated with the TID is updated as needed.

2.1.1. Traffic Classification Sub Data Item

All Traffic Classification Sub Data Items share a common format that
is patterned after the standard DLEP Data Item format, see [RFC8175]
Section 11.3. There is no requirement on, or meaning to sub Data
Item ordering. Any errors or inconsistencies encountered in parsing
sub Data Items are handled in the same fashion as any other Data Item
parsing error encountered in DLEP.

The format of the Traffic Classification Sub Data Item is:

```
  0                   1                   2                   3
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| Sub Data Item Type            | Length                        |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                           Value...                            |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Sub Data Item Type:

A 16-bit unsigned integer that indicates the type and
corresponding format of the Sub Data Item’s Value field. Sub Data
Item Types are scoped within the Data Item in which they are
carried, i.e., the Sub Data Item Type field MUST be used together
with the Data Item Type to identify the format of the Sub Data
Item. Traffic Classification Sub Data Item Types are managed
according to the IANA registry described in Section 5.2.

Length: Variable

Copying [RFC8175], Length is a 16-bit unsigned integer that is the
number of octets in the sub Data Item, excluding the Type and
Length fields.

2.2. DiffServ Traffic Classification Sub Data Item

The DiffServ Traffic Classification Sub Data Item is used to identify
the set of DSCPs that should be treated as a single flow, i.e.,
receive the same traffic treatment. DSCPs are identified in a list
of DiffServ fields. An implementation that does not support DSCPs and wants the same traffic treatment for all traffic to a destination or destinations would indicate 0 DSCPs.

The format of the DiffServ Traffic Classification Sub Data Item is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Must be one (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow Identifier (FID)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS Field 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Length:** Variable

Length is defined above. For this Sub Data Item, it is equal to three (3) plus the value of the Num DSCPs field.

**Flow Identifier (FID):**

A 16-bit unsigned integer representing the data plane information carried in the sub Data Item that is to be used in identifying a flow. The value of 0xFFFF is reserved and MUST NOT be used in this field.

**Num DSCPs:**

An 8-bit unsigned integer indicating the number of DSCPs carried in the sub Data Item. A zero (0) indicates a (wildcard) match against any DSCP value.

**DS Field:**

Each DS Field is an 8-bit whose definition is the same as [RFC2474].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DSCP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DSCP: differentiated services codepoint

CU: currently unused, MUST be zero
2.2.1. Router Receive Processing

A router receiving the Traffic Classification Sub Data Item MUST validate the information on receipt, prior to using the carried information, including potentially updating the data behavior as determined by the extension requiring the use of the Sub Data Item. Validation failures MUST be treated as an error as described above.

Once validated, the receiver MUST ensure that each DS Field value is listed only once across the whole Traffic Classification Data Item. Note, this check is across the Data Item and not the individual sub Data Item. If the same DS Field value is listed more than once within the same Traffic Classification Data Item, the Data Item MUST be treated as an error as described above.

2.3. Ethernet Traffic Classification Sub Data Item

The Ethernet Traffic Classification Sub Data Item is used to identify the VLAN and PCPs that should be treated as a single flow, i.e., receive the same traffic treatment. Ethernet Priority Code Point support is defined as part of the IEEE 802.1Q [IEEE.802.1Q_2014] tag format and includes a 3 bit "PCP" field. The tag format also includes a 12 bit VLAN identifier (VID) field. PCPs are identified in a list of priority fields. An implementation that does not support PCPs and wants the same traffic treatment for all traffic to a destination or destinations would indicate 0 PCPs. Such an implementation could identify a VLAN to use per destination.

The format of the Ethernet Traffic Classification Sub Data Item is:

```
+------------------+-+-----------------------------+
| Must be two (2)  | Length                     |
+------------------+-+-----------------------------+----
| Flow Identifier  | NumPCPs| VLAN Identifier (VID) |
| (FID)            |        |                           |
+------------------+-+-----------------------------+----
| Pri. 1| Pri. 2| ..... | ..... | ..... | Pad |
+------------------+-+-----------------------------+----
```

Length: Variable

Length is defined above. For this Sub Data Item, it is equal to four (4) plus the number of octets needed to carry the carried Priority fields is indicated by the NumPCPs field. Note that as length is in octets and each Priority field is 4 bits, the additional length is the value carried in the NumPCPs field divided by two and rounded up to the next higher integer quantity.
Flow Identifier (FID):

A 16-bit unsigned integer representing the data plane information carried in the sub Data Item that is to be used in identifying a flow. The value of 0xFFFF is reserved and MUST NOT be used in this field.

Num PCPs:

A 4-bit unsigned integer indicating the number of Priority fields carried in the sub Data Item. A zero (0) indicates a (wildcard) match against any PCP value.

VLAN identifier (VID):

A 12-bit unsigned integer field indicating the VLAN to be used in traffic classification. A value of zero (0) indicates that the VID is to be ignored and any VID is to be accepted during traffic classification.

Priority:

Each Priority Field is 4-bits long and indicates a PCP field defined in [IEEE.802.1Q_2014]. Note that zero (0) is a valid value for either PCP or DEI.

```
0 1 2 3
+--------+
|  PCP   |DEI|
+--------+
```

PCP: Priority code point
DEI: currently unused, MUST be zero

Pad:

A 4-bit long field included when NumPCPs is an odd number. This field MUST be set to zero when added, and MIST be ignored on receipt.

2.3.1. Router Receive Processing

A router receiving the Traffic Classification Sub Data Item MUST validate the information on receipt, prior to the using the carried information, including potentially updating the data behavior as determined by the extension requiring the use of the Sub Data Item. Validation failures MUST be treated as an error as described above.
Once validated, the receiver MUST ensure that each Priority Field value is listed only once across the whole Traffic Classification Data Item. Note, this check is across the Data Item and not the individual sub Data Item. If the same Priority Field value is listed more than once within the same Traffic Classification Data Item, the Data Item MUST be treated as an error as described above.

3. Compatibility

The formats defined in this document will only be used when extensions require their use.

4. Security Considerations

This document introduces finer grain flow identification mechanisms to DLEP. These mechanisms do not inherently introduce any additional threats above those documented in [RFC8175]. The approach taken to Security in that document applies equally to the mechanism defined in this document.

5. IANA Considerations

This document requests the assignment of several values by IANA. All assignments are to registries defined by [RFC8175].

5.1. Data Item Values

This document requests the following new assignments to the DLEP Data Item Registry named "Data Item Type Values" in the range with the "Specification Required" policy. The requested values are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TBA1</td>
<td>Traffic Classification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Requested Data Item Values

5.2. DLEP Traffic Classification Sub Data Item Registry

Upon approval of this document, IANA is requested to create a new DLEP registry, named "Traffic Classification Sub Data Item Type Values". The registry shall identify the type code value, the Data Item which may use the value, and a description of the value. While the same value may be reused in different Data Items, this is not recommended at this time.
The following table provides initial registry values and the [RFC8126] defined policies that should apply to the registry:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>DiffServ Traffic Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ethernet Traffic Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-65407</td>
<td>Specification Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65408-65534</td>
<td>Private Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65535</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Initial Registry Values
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