The Bordercast Resolution Protocol (BRP) provides the bordercasting packet delivery service used to support network querying applications. The BRP uses a map of an extended routing zone, provided by the local proactive Intrazone Routing Protocol (IARP), to construct bordercast (multicast) trees, along which query packets are directed. Within the context of the hybrid ZRP, the BRP is used to guide the route requests of the global reactive Interzone Routing Protocol (IERP). The BRP employs special query control mechanisms to steer route requests away from areas of the network that have already been covered by the query. The combination of multicasting and zone based query control makes bordercasting an efficient and tunable service that is more suitable than flood searching for network probing applications like route discovery.
Applicability Statement

A. Networking Context
for guiding queries through the network. When each node proactively tracks the topology of its surrounding extended routing zone, queries can be directed to the edge of the node’s routing zone rather than blindly being relayed to *all* neighbors. Special routing zone based query control mechanisms steer query packets away from regions of the network that have already been covered by the query.

Within the context of ad hoc network routing, bordercasting is proposed as a more efficient and tunable alternative to broadcasting of route request messages for reactive (on-demand) routing protocols. We refer to any reactive routing protocol that bordercasts route requests as an Interzone Routing Protocol (IERP). The link state information needed to support bordercasting is provided by a local proactive Intrazone Routing Protocol (IARP). Thus, a routing protocol based on bordercasting is actually hybrid reactive/proactive. For a properly chosen routing zone radius, IARP’s cost of tracking routing zone topology is more than justified by the resulting savings in route discovery overhead through bordercasting.

B. Protocol Characteristics and Mechanisms

The Bordercast Resolution Protocol (BRP) is a packet delivery service, not a full featured routing protocol. Bordercasting is enabled by a local proactive Intrazone Routing Protocol (IARP) and supports a global reactive Interzone Routing Protocol (IERP). The characteristics of the IARP [2] and IERP [3] are summarized in their corresponding Internet drafts.
1. Introduction

The design of ad hoc network routing protocols is influenced by link
instability (due to node mobility) and limitations in available
bandwidth and transmission power. Traditional wired networks use
proactive routing protocols, like OSPF [7] and RIP [15], to maintain
up-to-date routes to all networks nodes. More efficient proactive
routing protocols have been developed for ad hoc networks [1][5][8]
[9][14]. However, continuously tracking the frequent topology changes
in a practical ad hoc network can still produce an overwhelming amount
of control traffic. Even worse, most of the acquired route
information expires before it is ever used, making the proactive
control traffic a poor investment of bandwidth. In contrast, reactive
routing protocols [6][10][13] only initiate a global, query-based,
route discovery as routes are needed. While some delay is incurred
for route acquisition, the amount of overhead traffic is generally
much less than proactive routing protocols, because routing information
is not wasted. For this reason, reactive protocols are generally
viewed as being more suitable than proactive routing protocols for the
power/bandwidth limited mobile ad hoc network.

Although a global proactive routing protocol may overwhelm an ad hoc
network’s resources, a LIMITED SCOPE proactive routing protocol can
be used to benefit a global reactive routing protocol.
This hybrid routing approach forms the basis for the Zone Routing
Protocol (ZRP) framework [4].

The cost for each node to proactively track the topology of its
surrounding R-hop neighborhood (routing zone) can be justified by
improved route discovery efficiency and more effective route
maintenance [11][12]. Routes to local destinations are immediately
available, avoiding route discoveries. When the global route
discovery is needed, the routing zones can be used to efficiently
guide route queries outward through bordercasting, rather than blindly
relaying queries from neighbor to neighbor. The proactive maintenance
of routing zones also helps improve the quality and survivability of
discovered routes, by making them more robust to changes in network
topology. Once routes have been discovered, routing zone offers
enhanced, real-time, route maintenance. Link failures can be bypassed
by multiple hop paths within the routing zone. Similarly, sub-optimal
route segments can be identified and traffic re-routed along shorter
paths.
Bordercast Resolution Protocol (BRP). The BRP uses a map of an extended routing zone, provided by the local proactive Intrazone Routing Protocol (IARP) [2], to construct bordercast (multicast) trees along which query packets are directed. (Within the context of the hybrid ZRP, the BRP is used to guide the route requests of the global reactive Interzone Routing Protocol (IERP) [3]). The BRP uses special query control mechanisms to steer route requests away from areas of the network that have already been covered by the query. The combination of multicasting and zone based query control makes bordercasting an efficient and tunable service that is more suitable than flood searching for network probing applications like route discovery.

2. Bordercasting (BRP) Terminology

bordercast
A packet delivery service, based on routing zones, which distributes a message through a network in such a way that all reachable network nodes belong to the routing zone of at least one node that has relayed the message. Bordercasting uses the known structure of routing zones to efficiently relay messages away from regions of the network where the message as already appeared. This type of delivery is intended for efficient network querying, where nodes proactively distribute queriable information throughout their routing zones.

bordercasting node
A node that is relaying / has relayed a message as part of a bordercast

bordercast tree
A multicast tree, rooted at a bordercasting node X, which spans the uncovered peripheral nodes of node X.

covered node
A node that belongs to the routing zone of a bordercasting node.

interior node
A node which lies inside of a routing zone. A routing zone member is either an interior node or a peripheral node.

peripheral node
A node which lies at the edge of a routing zone
routing zone
With respect to a given node X, the collection of nodes whose connectivity can be monitored by X through a localized proactive routing protocol (i.e. an Intrazone Routing Protocol (IARP)).

routing zone radius
The distance (in hops) from a node to the peripheral nodes of its routing zone

uncovered node
A node that does not belong to the routing zone of a bordercasting node.

zone radius
see routing zone radius

3. Bordercasting -- Routing Zone Based Querying

In this section, we describe the basic operation of route discovery based on bordercasting. A node, in need of a route to a destination, first checks whether the destination lies within its routing zone. If a path to the destination is known, no further route discovery processing is required. On the other hand, if the destination is not within the source’s routing zone, the source node constructs a "bordercast tree" spanning all of its peripheral nodes (i.e. the nodes on the edge of its routing zone). It then forwards a route query to the neighbors in this tree.

The first time that a node receives a copy of the route query, the node determines whether it belongs to the bordercast tree of the neighbor that forwarded the query, because only tree members need to actively process the route query. If the query was forwarded via layer 2 unicast, tree membership is implied by receipt of the query. If the query was forwarded via layer 2 broadcast, the node must reconstruct the bordercast tree of its forwarding neighbor. If the node determines that it does not belong to the bordercast tree, it simply notes reception of the query and then discards the message.
If the node does belong to the forwarding neighbors bordercast tree, it proceeds to process the route query. If the query destination lies in the node’s routing zone, it sends a route reply back to the query source, indicating a route to the destination. Otherwise, the node constructs its own bordercast tree, which spans the subset of its peripheral nodes that have not been covered by the query. (After a node processes a route query, the nodes in its routing zone are considered covered. The objective of bordercasting is to forward the route query toward peripheral nodes that have not been covered by the query.) The node then forwards the query to the neighbors in its bordercast tree. After forwarding the query, the node’s routing becomes covered, thereby making it unnecessary for the node to forward subsequent copies of the route query.

In the example illustrated above, node A has data to send to node L. Assuming each node’s zone radius is 2 hops, node L does not lie within node A’s routing zone (which includes nodes B, C, D, E, F, G). Therefore, node A constructs a bordercast tree spanning its peripheral nodes: D, E, F, and G. Node A then sends the route query to neighbors in this multicast tree: B and C. Each of these neighbors check if L belongs to its routing zone. Since node L is not found in any of these nodes’ routing zones, the nodes construct bordercast trees spanning their uncovered peripheral nodes and send the query to neighbors in their bordercast trees. In particular, node B constructs a bordercast tree spanning its uncovered peripheral nodes F, H, and J. Nodes C and M are excluded because they belong to the routing zone of A (the node that relayed the query to B). Node B sends the query to its bordercast tree neighbors: E and G. Likewise, node C identifies its uncovered peripheral nodes (node E) and forwards the route query to its neighbor, node F.
The full trace of this bordercast route discovery example is summarized in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rcv’d From</th>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Peripheral Nodes Covered</th>
<th>Peripheral Nodes Uncovered</th>
<th>Relays to (Tree Neighbors)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>E,D,F,G</td>
<td></td>
<td>B,C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C,M</td>
<td>F,H,J</td>
<td>E,G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B,M</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A,G</td>
<td>I,C</td>
<td>H,F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>destination discovered -- reply sent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A,D</td>
<td>B,H</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>F,B</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>A,D,B,H</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>A,G,C,I</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Eventually, a route query is received by node G, which has the destination L in its routing zone. Node G does not forward the query, but sends a route reply back to A, indicating the discovered path: A--B--G--J--L.

This example demonstrates the efficiency of bordercasting as compared with flood searching. If the network consists of point-to-point links, bordercasting generates 8 query transmissions. If the network consists of a single, shared channel, then bordercasting generates 5 query broadcasts. In contrast, flood searching would generate 13 point-to-point query transmissions, or 12 query broadcasts.
When a node’s BRP receives a bordercast query packet, it marks the routing zone of the previous bordercasting node as having been "covered". If the node is an intended recipient of the query, it proceeds to deliver the query message up to the querying application (e.g. IERP). To enhance bordercasting efficiency, this delivery is scheduled with some random delay. This provides more opportunity for the node to acquire query coverage information prior to forwarding the query (see below). If the node is not an intended recipient of the query, it is implied that the node’s own routing zone has been covered by other bordercasting nodes. As such, the node marks its entire routing zone as covered and discards the query.

After processing the query, the querying application may return the query to BRP. If the node knows the route to the destination, it forwards the query to the destination. Otherwise, the node forwards the query to neighbors that span its uncovered peripheral nodes in its bordercast tree. In either case, after forwarding the query packet, the node marks all nodes in its routing zone as covered.
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A. Packet Format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1</td>
<td>+-----------------+-+-+-</td>
<td>Query Source Address</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Query Destination Address
IP address of the node that is the ultimate query destination.

### Query ID
Sequence number which, along with the Query Source Address uniquely identifies any BRP query in the network.

### Query Extension
Indicates whether query should be forwarded to query destination.

### Prev Bordercast Address
IP address of the most recent bordercasting node.

### Encapsulated Packet
*** note: Within the context of the BRP, the Query Source Address, Query Destination Address and Query ID can assume the same values as corresponding fields in the encapsulated query packet. These BRP fields can be eliminated AS LONG AS:
  (a) The BRP has read access to the contents of the encapsulated packet.
  (b) The BRP knows the format of the query packet.
* net_graph is a data structure that represents routing zone connectivity and whether each routing zone member has been covered by the query.

C. Interfaces

C.1 Higher Layer (IERP)
   C.2.1 Send(packet, BRP_cache_ID)
       Used by the IERP to request packet transmission.

C.2 Lower Layer (IP)
   C.2.1 Deliver(packet)
       Used by IP to deliver packet to BRP

D. State Machine

The BRP protocol consists of only one state (IDLE). Therefore, no state transitions need to be specified. The BRP immediately acts upon an event and then returns back to the IDLE state.

Notes: 1) X is used as a label for the node running this state machine.

D.1
   Event: A query is received from the higher layer (IERP).
       An intrazone route to the query destination exists.
Action: If X has not already relayed the query to the
destination, X sends the query packet to the
next hop to the query destination.

D.2
Event: A query is received from the higher layer (IERP).
An intrazone route to the query destination
DOES NOT exist.
Action: X constructs the bordercast tree spanning its
"uncovered" peripheral nodes. The query packet
is forwarded to the node’s tree neighbors.
Once the query is forwarded, the node marks all
of its routing zone nodes as covered

D.3
Event: A query is received from IP.
Action: Mark the routing zone nodes of the previous
bordercaster as covered.

If X is an intended recepient for the query, it
records its BRP state for this query and
schedules (with a random delay) delivery of the
encapsulated query to the higher layer
(i.e. IERP).

If X is not an intended recipient for the query,
it is implied that X’s routing zone is covered by
the routing zones of other relaying nodes. Thus,
X marks its own routing zone as covered and
discards the packet

E. Pseudocode Implementation

We define two complimentary operations on packets:
extract(packet) and load(packet)

extract (packet)
extracts the fields of the BRP packet to the following
variables: {source, query_id, prev_bordercst,
encap_packet}

load (packet)
loads the values of the aforementioned variables into
the fields of the BRP packet.

E.1 Send(encap_packet, BRP_cache_ID)

// If BRP_cache_ID is not NULL, then this is an existing
// query that is being relayed and BRP state is extracted
// from the Query Coverage Table. Otherwise, this is a
// new query and BRP state is initialized.
if(BRP_cache_ID)
{
    source     = Query_Coverage[BRP_cache_ID].source;
    query_id   = Query_Coverage[BRP_cache_ID].query_id;
}
else
{
    source     = MY_ID;
    query_id   = MY_BORDERCAST_ID++;
    Query_Coverage[source,query_id] =
        new_net_graph(IARP_link_state_table);
}
coverage = Query_Coverage[source,query_id].graph;

if((EXIST)IARP_route_table[query_dest])
{
    // Route to destination is KNOWN

    // If the query destination is not already covered,
    // select next hop to query destination as the
    // outgoing neighbor.
    if(!covered(coverage, query_dest))
        out_neighbors =
            IARP_Route_Table[query_dest].next_hop;
    else
        out_neighbors = {};
}
else
{
    // Route to destination is UNKNOWN

    // Construct the node’s bordercast tree, spanning
    // all remaining "uncovered" peripheral nodes.
    bordercast_tree =
        construct_bordercast_tree(coverage, MY_ID);
    out_neighbors =

bordercast_tree.my_downstream_neighbors();

// Relay query packet to outgoing neighbors.
prev_bcast = MY_ID;
load(packet);
send(packet, out_neighbors, IP);

// After relaying the route query, the node can mark its
// entire routing zone as covered.
my_zone_nodes = construct_routing_zone(coverage, MY_ID);
record_query_coverage(coverage, my_zone_nodes);

E.2 Deliver(packet)

extract(packet);

// Load the known coverage of this query
if(!(EXISTS) Query_Coverage[source, query_id])
{
    Query_Coverage[source, query_id].graph =
        new_net_graph(IARP_link_state_table);
    Query_Coverage[source, query_id].BRP_cache_ID =
        BRP_cache_ID++;
}

coverage = Query_Coverage[source, query_id].graph;

// Mark the previous bordercaster’s routing zone nodes as
// covered.
prev_bcast_zone_nodes =
    construct_routing_zone(coverage, prev_bcast);
record_query_coverage(coverage, prev_bcast_zone_nodes);

// If this node is the previous bordercaster’s outgoing
// neighbor, then this node becomes a bordercasting node
if(is_out_neighbor(prev_bcast, MY_ID, coverage))
{
    // Deliver query to querying application (eg. IERP)
    // with a randomly generated delay.
schedule(deliver(encap_packet, BRP_cache_ID),
        RELAY_JITTER);

// Schedule deletion of Query_Coverage entry for some
// future time after route query has died off in the
// network
schedule(remove(Query_Coverage[BRP_cache_ID]),
        MAX_QUERY_LIFETIME);
}
else
{
    // This node does not need to relay the query, because
    // its entire routing zone is covered by prev_bcast and
    // prev_bcast's bordercast tree neighbors.
    my_zone_nodes =
        construct_routing_zone(coverage, MY_ID);
    record_query_coverage(coverage, my_zone_nodes);
    discard(encap_packet);
}


---
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6. Draft Modifications

The following are major changes between this version (02) of the BRP draft and the previous version (01):

- The role of "intermediate node" has been eliminated from this version of bordercasting. All nodes that relay bordercast messages are now considered bordercasting nodes and therefore execute a common, simplified algorithm. The BRP description, example and implementation have been updated accordingly.

- A terminology section has been added.
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