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Abstract

This document updates RFC 7450 (Automatic Multicast Tunneling, or AMT) by extending the relay discovery process to use a new DNS resource record named AMTRELAY when discovering AMT relays for source-specific multicast channels. The reverse IP DNS zone for a multicast sender’s IP address is configured to use AMTRELAY resource records to advertise a set of AMT relays that can receive and forward multicast traffic from that sender over an AMT tunnel.
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1. Introduction

This document defines DNS Reverse IP AMT Discovery (DRIAD), a mechanism for AMT gateways to discover AMT relays that are capable of forwarding multicast traffic from a known source IP address.

AMT (Automatic Multicast Tunneling) is defined in [RFC7450], and provides a method to transport multicast traffic over a unicast tunnel, in order to traverse non-multicast-capable network segments.

Section 4.1.5 of [RFC7450] explains that the relay selection process for AMT is intended to be more flexible than the particular discovery method described in that document, and further explains that the selection process might need to depend on the source of the multicast traffic in some deployments, since a relay must be able to receive multicast traffic from the desired source in order to forward it.

That section goes on to suggest DNS-based queries as a possible solution. DRIAD is a DNS-based solution, as suggested there. This solution also addresses the relay discovery issues in the "Disadvantages" lists in Section 3.3 of [RFC8313] and Section 3.4 of [RFC8313].

The goal for DRIAD is to enable multicast connectivity between separate multicast-enabled networks when neither the sending nor the receiving network is connected to a multicast-enabled backbone, without pre-configuring any peering arrangement between the networks.

This document updates Section 5.2.3.4 of [RFC7450] by adding a new extension to the relay discovery procedure.
1.1. Background

The reader is assumed to be familiar with the basic DNS concepts described in [RFC1034], [RFC1035], and the subsequent documents that update them, particularly [RFC2181].

The reader is also assumed to be familiar with the concepts and terminology regarding source-specific multicast as described in [RFC4607] and the use of IGMPv3 [RFC3376] and MLDv2 [RFC3810] for group management of source-specific multicast channels, as described in [RFC4604].

The reader should also be familiar with AMT, particularly the terminology listed in Section 3.2 of [RFC7450] and Section 3.3 of [RFC7450].

1.2. Terminology

1.2.1. Relays and Gateways

When reading this document, it’s especially helpful to recall that once an AMT tunnel is established, the relay receives native multicast traffic and sends unicast tunnel-encapsulated traffic to the gateway, and the gateway receives the tunnel-encapsulated packets, decapsulates them, and forwards them as native multicast packets, as illustrated in Figure 1.

```
  Multicast +----------+  Unicast +----------+  Multicast
     |        |        |        |
>-------->| AMT relay | >======>| AMT gateway | >---------|
        +----------+        +----------+
```

Figure 1: AMT Tunnel Illustration

1.2.2. Definitions
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(S,G)</td>
<td>A source-specific multicast channel, as described in [RFC4607]. A pair of IP addresses with a source host IP and destination group IP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>discovery</td>
<td>A broker or load balancer for AMT relay discovery, as mentioned in section 4.2.1.1 of [RFC7450].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>broker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>downstream</td>
<td>Further from the source of traffic, as described in [RFC7450].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FQDN</td>
<td>Fully Qualified Domain Name, as described in [RFC8499]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gateway</td>
<td>An AMT gateway, as described in [RFC7450]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L flag</td>
<td>The &quot;Limit&quot; flag described in Section 5.1.1.4 of [RFC7450]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>relay</td>
<td>An AMT relay, as described in [RFC7450]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPF</td>
<td>Reverse Path Forwarding, as described in [RFC5110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RR</td>
<td>A DNS Resource Record, as described in [RFC1034]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRType</td>
<td>A DNS Resource Record Type, as described in [RFC1034]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSM</td>
<td>Source-specific multicast, as described in [RFC4607]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>upstream</td>
<td>Closer to the source of traffic, as described in [RFC7450].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] and [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Relay Discovery Operation
2.1. Overview

The AMTRELAY resource record (RR) defined in this document is used to publish the IP address or domain name of a set of AMT relays or discovery brokers that can receive, encapsulate, and forward multicast traffic from a particular sender.

The sender is the owner of the RR, and configures the zone so that it contains a set of RRs that provide the addresses or domain names of AMT relays (or discovery brokers that advertise relays) that can receive multicast IP traffic from that sender.

This enables AMT gateways in remote networks to discover an AMT relay that is capable of forwarding traffic from the sender. This in turn enables those AMT gateways to receive the multicast traffic tunneled over a unicast AMT tunnel from those relays, and then to pass the multicast packets into networks or applications that are using the gateway to subscribe to traffic from that sender.

This mechanism only works for source-specific multicast (SSM) channels. The source address of the (S,G) is reversed and used as an index into one of the reverse mapping trees (in-addr.arpa for IPv4, as described in Section 3.5 of [RFC1035], or ip6.arpa for IPv6, as described in Section 2.5 of [RFC3596]).

This mechanism should be treated as an extension of the AMT relay discovery procedure described in Section 5.2.3.4 of [RFC7450]. A gateway that supports this method of AMT relay discovery SHOULD use this method whenever it’s performing the relay discovery procedure, and the source IP addresses for desired (S,G)s are known to the gateway, and conditions match the requirements outlined in Section 2.3.

Some detailed example use cases are provided in Section 3, and other applicable example topologies appear in Section 3.3 of [RFC8313], Section 3.4 of [RFC8313], and Section 3.5 of [RFC8313].

2.2. Signaling and Discovery

This section describes a typical example of the end-to-end process for signaling a receiver’s join of an SSM channel that relies on an AMTRELAY RR.

The example in Figure 2 contains 2 multicast-enabled networks that are both connected to the internet with non-multicast-capable links, and which have no direct association with each other.
A content provider operates a sender, which is a source of multicast traffic inside a multicast-capable network.

An end user who is a customer of the content provider has a multicast-capable internet service provider, which operates a receiving network that uses an AMT gateway. The AMT gateway is DRIAD-capable.

The content provider provides the user with a receiving application that tries to subscribe to at least one (S,G). This receiving application could for example be a file transfer system using FLUTE [RFC6726] or a live video stream using RTP [RFC3550], or any other application that might subscribe to an SSM channel.

```
+---------------+
|    Sender     |
| 198.51.100.15 |
+---------------+

\ | Data
\ | Flow
\ | Multicast
\ | Network |
\| 5: Propagate RPF for Join(S,G)
\| AMT Relay
\| 203.0.113.15

+---------------+
|    AMT Relay   |
| 203.0.113.15 |
+---------------+

| 4: Gateway connects to Relay, sends Join(S,G) over tunnel
| Unicast
| Tunnel |

^ 3: --> DNS Query: type=AMTRELAY,
| / 15.100.51.198.in-addr.arpa.
| / <--- Response:
| Join/Leave
| Signals +---------------+ AMTRELAY=203.0.113.15
| | AMT gateway |
| | +---------------+
| | 2: Propagate RPF for Join(S,G)

| | Multicast
| | Network
| 1: Join(S=198.51.100.15, G)

+---------------+
|    Receiver   |
| (end user)    |
+---------------+
```

Figure 2: DRIAD Messaging
In this simple example, the sender IP is 198.51.100.15, and the relay IP is 203.0.113.15.

The content provider has previously configured the DNS zone that contains the domain name "15.100.51.198.in-addr.arpa.", which is the reverse lookup domain name for his sender. The zone file contains an AMTRELAY RR with the Relay’s IP address. (See Section 4.3 for details about the AMTRELAY RR format and semantics.)

The sequence of events depicted in Figure 2 is as follows:

1. The end user starts the app, which issues a join to the (S,G): (198.51.100.15, 232.252.0.2).

2. The join propagates with RPF through the receiver’s multicast-enabled network with PIM [RFC7761] or another multicast routing mechanism, until the AMT gateway receives a signal to join the (S,G).

3. The AMT gateway performs a reverse DNS lookup for the AMTRELAY RRType, by sending an AMTRELAY RRType query for the FQDN "15.100.51.198.in-addr.arpa.", using the reverse IP domain name for the sender’s source IP address (the S from the (S,G)), as described in Section 3.5 of [RFC1035].

   The DNS resolver for the AMT gateway uses ordinary DNS recursive resolution until it has the authoritative result that the content provider configured, which informs the AMT gateway that the relay address is 203.0.113.15.

4. The AMT gateway performs AMT handshakes with the AMT relay as described in Section 4 of [RFC7450], then forwards a Membership report to the relay indicating subscription to the (S,G).

5. The relay propagates the join through its network toward the sender, then forwards the appropriate AMT-encapsulated traffic to the gateway, which decapsulates and forwards it as native multicast through its downstream network to the end user.

2.3. Optimal Relay Selection

2.3.1. Overview

The reverse source IP DNS query of an AMTRELAY RR is a good way for a gateway to discover a relay that is known to the sender.
However, it is NOT necessarily a good way to discover the best relay for that gateway to use, because the RR will only provide information about relays known to the source.

If there is an upstream relay in a network that is topologically closer to the gateway and able to receive and forward multicast traffic from the sender, that relay is better for the gateway to use, since more of the network path uses native multicast, allowing more chances for packet replication. But since that relay is not known to the sender, it won’t be advertised in the sender’s reverse IP DNS record. An example network that illustrates this scenario is outlined in Section 3.1.2.

It’s only appropriate for an AMT gateway to discover an AMT relay by querying an AMTRELAY RR owned by a sender when all of these conditions are met:

1. The gateway needs to propagate a join of an (S,G) over AMT, because in the gateway’s network, no RPF next hop toward the source can propagate a native multicast join of the (S,G); and

2. The gateway is not already connected to a relay that forwards multicast traffic from the source of the (S,G); and

3. The gateway is not configured to use a particular IP address for AMT discovery, or a relay discovered with that IP is not able to forward traffic from the source of the (S,G); and

4. The gateway is not able to find an upstream AMT relay with DNS-SD [RFC6763], using "_amt._udp" as the Service section of the queries, or a relay discovered this way is not able to forward traffic from the source of the (S,G) (as described in Section 2.5.4.1 or Section 2.5.5); and

5. The gateway is not able to find an upstream AMT relay with the well-known anycast addresses from Section 7 of [RFC7450].

When the above conditions are met, the gateway has no path within its local network that can receive multicast traffic from the source IP of the (S,G).

In this situation, the best way to find a relay that can forward the required traffic is to use information that comes from the operator of the sender. When the sender has configured an AMTRELAY RR, gateways can use the DRIAD mechanism defined in this document to discover the relay information provided by the sender.
2.3.2. Preference Ordering

This section defines a preference ordering for relay addresses during the relay discovery process. Gateways are encouraged to implement a Happy Eyeballs algorithm to try candidate relays concurrently, but even gateways that do not implement a Happy Eyeballs algorithm SHOULD use this ordering, except as noted.

When establishing an AMT tunnel to forward multicast data, it’s very important for the discovery process to prioritize the network topology considerations ahead of address selection considerations, in order to gain the packet replication benefits from using multicast instead of unicast tunneling in the multicast-capable portions of the network path.

The intent of the advice and requirements in this section is to describe how a gateway should make use of the concurrency provided by a Happy Eyeballs algorithm to reduce the join latency, while still prioritizing network efficiency considerations over Address Selection considerations.

Section 4 of [RFC8305] requires a Happy Eyeballs algorithm to sort the addresses with the Destination Address Selection defined in Section 6 of [RFC6724], but for the above reasons, that requirement is superseded in the AMT discovery use case by the following considerations:

1. Prefer Local Relays

   Figure 5 and Section 3.1.2 provide a motivating example to prefer DNS-SD [RFC6763] for discovery strictly ahead of using the AMTRELAY RR controlled by the sender for AMT discovery.

   For this reason, it’s RECOMMENDED that AMT gateways by default perform service discovery using DNS Service Discovery (DNS-SD) [RFC6763] for _amt._udp.<domain> (with <domain> chosen as described in Section 11 of [RFC6763]) and use the AMT relays discovered that way in preference to AMT relays discoverable via the mechanism defined in this document (DRIAD).

2. Prefer Relays Managed by the Containing Network

   When no local relay is discoverable with DNS-SD, it still may be the case that a relay local to the receiver is operated by the network providing transit services to the receiver.

   In this case, when the network cannot make the relay discoverable via DNS-SD, the network SHOULD use the well-known anycast
addresses from Section 7 of [RFC7450] to route discovery traffic to the relay most appropriate to the receiver’s gateway.

Accordingly, the gateway SHOULD by default discover a relay with the well-known AMT anycast addresses as the second preference after DNS-SD when searching for a local relay.

- Let Sender Manage Relay Provisioning

A related motivating example in the sending-side network is provided by considering a sender that needs to instruct the gateways on how to select between connecting to Figure 6 or Figure 7 (from Section 3.2), in order to manage load and failover scenarios in a manner that operates well with the sender’s provisioning strategy for horizontal scaling of AMT relays.

In this example about the sending-side network, the precedence field described in Section 4.2.1 is a critical method of control so that senders can provide the appropriate guidance to gateways during the discovery process.

Therefore, after DNS-SD, the precedence from the RR MUST be used for sorting preference ahead of the Destination Address Selection ordering from Section 6 of [RFC6724], so that only relay IPs with the same precedence are directly compared according to the Destination Address Selection ordering.

Accordingly, AMT gateways SHOULD by default prefer relays in this order:

1. DNS-SD
2. Anycast addresses from Section 7 of [RFC7450]
3. DRIAD

This default behavior MAY be overridden by administrative configuration where other behavior is more appropriate for the gateway within its network.

Among relay addresses that have an equivalent preference as described above, a Happy Eyeballs algorithm for AMT MUST use the Destination Address Selection defined in Section 6 of [RFC6724], as required by [RFC8305].

Among relay addresses that still have an equivalent preference after the above orderings, a gateway MUST make a non-deterministic choice for relay preference ordering, in order to support load balancing by DNS configurations that provide many relay options.
The gateway MAY introduce a bias in the non-deterministic choice according to information obtained out of band or from a historical record about network topology, timing information, or the response to a probing mechanism, that indicates some expected benefits from selecting some relays in preference to others. Details about the structure and collection of this information are out of scope for this document, but a gateway in possession of such information MAY use it to prefer topologically closer relays.

Note also that certain relay addresses might be excluded from consideration by the hold-down timers described in Section 2.5.4.1 or Section 2.5.5. These relays constitute "unusable destinations" under Rule 1 of the Destination Address Selection, and are also not part of the superseding considerations described above.

The discovery and connection process for the relay addresses in the above described ordering MAY operate in parallel, subject to delays prescribed by the Happy Eyeballs requirements described in Section 5 of [RFC8305] for successively launched concurrent connection attempts.

2.3.3. Connecting to Multiple Relays

In some deployments, it may be useful for a gateway to connect to multiple upstream relays and subscribe to the same traffic, in order to support an active/active failover model. A gateway SHOULD NOT be configured to do so without guaranteeing that adequate bandwidth is available.

A gateway configured to do this SHOULD still use the same preference ordering logic from Section 2.3.2 for each connection. (Note that this ordering allows for overriding by explicit administrative configuration where required.)

2.4. Happy Eyeballs

2.4.1. Overview

Often, multiple choices of relay will exist for a gateway using DRIAD for relay discovery. Happy Eyeballs [RFC8305] provides a widely deployed and generalizable strategy for probing multiple possible connections in parallel, therefore it is RECOMMENDED that DRIAD-capable gateways implement a Happy Eyeballs algorithm to support fast discovery of the most preferred available relay, by probing multiple relays concurrently.

The parallel discovery logic of a Happy Eyeballs algorithm serves to reduce join latency for the initial join of an SSM channel. This
section and the preference ordering of relays defined in
Section 2.3.2 taken together provide guidance on use of a Happy
Eyeballs algorithm for the case of establishing AMT connections.

Note that according to the definition in Section 2.4.3 of this
document, establishing the connection occurs before sending a
membership report. As described in Section 5 of [RFC8085], only one
of the successful connections will be used, and the others are all
canceled or ignored. In the context of an AMT connection, this means
the gateway will send the membership reports that subscribe to
traffic only for the chosen connection, after the Happy Eyeballs
algorithm resolves.

2.4.2. Algorithm Guidelines

During the "Initiation of asynchronous DNS queries" phase described
in Section 3 of [RFC8305]), a gateway attempts to resolve the domain
names listed in Section 2.3. This consists of resolving the SRV
queries for DNS-SD domains for the AMT service, as well as the
AMTRELAY query for the reverse IP domain defined in this document.

Each of the SRV and AMTRELAY responses might contain one or more IP
addresses, (as with type 1 or type 2 AMTRELAY responses, or when the
SRV Additional Data section of the SRV response contains the address
records for the target, as urged by [RFC2782]), or they might contain
only domain names (as with type 3 responses from Section 4.2.3, or an
SRV response without an additional data section).

When present, IP addresses in the initial response provide resolved
destination address candidates for the "Sorting of resolved
destination addresses" phase described in Section 4 of [RFC8085]),
whereas domain names without IP addresses in the initial response
result in another set of queries for AAAA and A records, whose
responses provide the candidate resolved destination addresses.

Since the SRV or AMTRELAY responses don’t have a bound on the count
of queries that might be generated aside from the bounds imposed by
the DNS resolver, it’s important for the gateway to provide a rate
limit on the DNS queries. The DNS query functionality is expected to
follow ordinary standards and best practices for DNS clients. A
gateway MAY use an existing DNS client implementation that does so,
and MAY rely on that client’s rate limiting logic to avoid issuing
excessive queries. Otherwise, a gateway MUST provide a rate limit
for the DNS queries, and its default settings MUST NOT permit more
than 10 queries for any 100-millisecond period (though this MAY be
overridable by administrative configuration).
As the resolved IP addresses arrive, the Happy Eyeballs algorithm sorts them according to the requirements and recommendations given in Section 2.3.2, and attempts connections with the corresponding relays under the algorithm restrictions and guidelines given in [RFC8085] for the "Establishment of one connection, which cancels all other attempts" phase.

2.4.3. Connection Definition

Section 5 of [RFC8305] non-normatively describes success at a connection attempt as "generally when the TCP handshake completes".

There is no normative definition of a connection in the AMT specification [RFC7450], and there is no TCP connection involved in an AMT tunnel.

However, the concept of an AMT connection in the context of a Happy Eyeballs algorithm is a useful one, and so this section provides the following normative definition:

- An AMT connection is completed successfully when the gateway receives from a newly discovered relay a valid Membership Query message (Section 5.1.4 of [RFC7450]) that does not have the L flag set.

See Section 2.5.5 of this document for further information about the relevance of the L flag to the establishment of a Happy Eyeballs connection. See Section 2.5.4 for an overview of how to respond if the connection does not provide multicast connectivity to the source.

To "cancel" this kind of AMT connection for the Happy Eyeballs algorithm, a gateway that has not sent a membership report with a subscription would simply stop sending AMT packets for that connection. A gateway only sends a membership report to a connection it has chosen as the most preferred available connection.

2.5. Guidelines for Restarting Discovery

2.5.1. Overview

It’s expected that gateways deployed in different environments will use a variety of heuristics to decide when it’s appropriate to restart the relay discovery process, in order to meet different performance goals (for example, to fulfill different kinds of service level agreements).

In general, restarting the discovery process is always safe for the gateway and relay during any of the events listed in this section,
but may cause a disruption in the forwarded traffic if the discovery process results in choosing a different relay, because this changes the RPF forwarding tree for the multicast traffic upstream of the gateway. This is likely to result in some dropped or duplicated packets from channels actively being tunneled from the old relay to the gateway.

The degree of impact on the traffic from choosing a different relay may depend on network conditions between the gateway and the new relay, as well as the network conditions and topology between the sender and the new relay, as this may cause the relay to propagate a new RPF join toward the sender.

Balancing the expected impact on the tunneled traffic against likely or observed problems with an existing connection to the relay is the goal of the heuristics that gateways use to determine when to restart the discovery process.

The non-normative advice in this section should be treated as guidelines to operators and implementors working with AMT systems that can use DRIAD as part of the relay discovery process.

2.5.2. Updates to Restarting Events

Section 5.2.3.4.1 of [RFC7450] lists several events that may cause a gateway to start or restart the discovery procedure.

This document provides some updates and recommendations regarding the handling of these and similar events. The first 5 events are copied here and numbered for easier reference, and the remaining 4 events are newly added for consideration in this document:

1. When a gateway pseudo-interface is started (enabled).

2. When the gateway wishes to report a group subscription when none currently exist.

3. Before sending the next Request message in a membership update cycle.

4. After the gateway fails to receive a response to a Request message.

5. After the gateway receives a Membership Query message with the L flag set to 1.
6. When the gateway wishes to report an \((S,G)\) subscription with a source address that does not currently have other group subscriptions.

7. When there is a network change detected, for example when a gateway is operating inside an end user device or application, and the device joins a different network, or when the domain portion of a DNS-SD domain name changes in response to a DHCP message or administrative configuration.

8. When congestion or substantial loss is detected in the stream of AMT packets from a relay.

9. When the gateway has reported one or more \((S,G)\) subscriptions, but no traffic is received from the source for some timeout. (See Section 2.5.4.1).

This list is not exhaustive, nor are any of the listed events strictly required to always force a restart of the discovery process.

Note that during event #1, a gateway may use DNS-SD, but does not have sufficient information to use DRIAD, since no source is known.

2.5.3. Tunnel Stability

In general, subscribers to active traffic flows that are being forwarded by an AMT gateway are less likely to experience a degradation in service (for example, from missing or duplicated packets) when the gateway continues using the same relay, as long as the relay is not overloaded and the network conditions remain stable.

Therefore, gateways SHOULD avoid performing a full restart of the discovery process during routine cases of event #3 (sending a new Request message), since it occurs frequently in normal operation.

However, see Section 2.5.4, Section 2.5.6, and Section 2.5.4.3 for more information about exceptional cases when it may be appropriate to use event #3.

2.5.4. Traffic Health

2.5.4.1. Absence of Traffic

If a gateway indicates one or more \((S,G)\) subscriptions in a Membership Update message, but no traffic for any of the \((S,G)\)s is received in a reasonable time, it’s appropriate for the gateway to restart the discovery process.
If the gateway restarts the discovery process multiple times consecutively for this reason, the timeout period SHOULD be adjusted to provide a random exponential back-off.

The RECOMMENDED timeout is a random value in the range \([\text{initial\_timeout}, \text{MIN}(\text{initial\_timeout} \times 2^\text{retry\_count}, \text{maximum\_timeout})]\), with a RECOMMENDED initial_timeout of 4 seconds and a RECOMMENDED maximum_timeout of 120 seconds.

Note that the recommended initial_timeout is larger than the initial timeout recommended in the similar algorithm from Section 5.2.3.4.3 of [RFC7450]. This is to provide time for RPF Join propagation in the sending network. Although the timeout values may be administratively adjusted to support performance requirements, operators are advised to consider the possibility of join propagation delays between the sender and the relay when choosing an appropriate timeout value.

Gateways restarting the discovery process because of an absence of traffic MUST use a hold-down timer that removes this relay from consideration during subsequent rounds of discovery while active. The hold-down SHOULD last for no less than 3 minutes and no more than 10 minutes.

2.5.4.2. Loss and Congestion

In some gateway deployments, it is also feasible to monitor the health of traffic flows through the gateway, for example by detecting the rate of packet loss by communicating out of band with receivers, or monitoring the packets of known protocols with sequence numbers. Where feasible, it’s encouraged for gateways to use such traffic health information to trigger a restart of the discovery process during event #3 (before sending a new Request message).

However, to avoid synchronized rediscovery by many gateways simultaneously after a transient network event upstream of a relay results in many receivers detecting poor flow health at the same time, it’s recommended to add a random delay before restarting the discovery process in this case.

The span of the random portion of the delay should be no less than 10 seconds by default, but may be administratively configured to support different performance requirements.

2.5.4.3. Ancient Discovery Information

In most cases, a gateway actively receiving healthy traffic from a relay that has not indicated load with the \(L\) flag should prefer to remain connected to the same relay, as described in Section 2.5.3.
However, a relay that appears healthy but has been forwarding traffic for days or weeks may have an increased chance of becoming unstable. Gateways may benefit from restarting the discovery process during event #3 (before sending a Request message) after the expiration of a long-term timeout, on the order of multiple hours, or even days in some deployments.

It may be beneficial for such timers to consider the amount of traffic currently being forwarded, and to give a higher probability of restarting discovery during periods with an unusually low data rate, to reduce the impact on active traffic while still avoiding relying on the results of a very old discovery.

Other issues may also be worth considering as part of this heuristic; for example, if the DNS expiry time of the record that was used to discover the current relay has not passed, the long term timer might be restarted without restarting the discovery process.

### 2.5.5. Relay Loaded or Shutting Down

The L flag (see Section 5.1.4.4 of [RFC7450]) is the preferred mechanism for a relay to signal overloading or a graceful shutdown to gateways.

A gateway that supports handling of the L flag should generally restart the discovery process when it processes a Membership Query packet with the L flag set. If an L flag is received while a concurrent Happy Eyeballs discovery process is under way for multiple candidate relays (Section 2.4), the relay sending the L flag SHOULD NOT be considered for the relay selection.

It is also RECOMMENDED that gateways avoid choosing a relay that has recently sent an L flag, with approximately a 10-minute hold-down. Gateways SHOULD treat this hold-down timer in the same way as the hold-down in Section 2.5.4.1, so that the relay is removed from consideration for short-term subsequent rounds of discovery.

### 2.5.6. Relay Discovery Messages vs. Restarting Discovery

All AMT relays are required by [RFC7450] to support handling of Relay Discovery messages (e.g. in Section 5.3.3.2 of [RFC7450]).

So a gateway with an existing connection to a relay can send a Relay Discovery message to the unicast address of that AMT relay. Under stable conditions with an unloaded relay, it’s expected that the relay will return its own unicast address in the Relay Advertisement, in response to such a Relay Discovery message. Since this will not result in the gateway changing to another relay unless the relay
directs the gateway away, this is a reasonable exception to the advice against handling event #3 described in Section 2.5.3.

This behavior is discouraged for gateways that do support the L flag, to avoid sending unnecessary packets over the network.

However, gateways that do not support the L flag may be able to avoid a disruption in the forwarded traffic by sending such Relay Discovery messages regularly. When a relay is under load or has started a graceful shutdown, it may respond with a different relay address, which the gateway can use to connect to a different relay. This kind of coordinated handoff will likely result in a smaller disruption to the traffic than if the relay simply stops responding to Request messages, and stops forwarding traffic.

This style of Relay Discovery message (one sent to the unicast address of a relay that's already forwarding traffic to this gateway) SHOULD NOT be considered a full restart of the relay discovery process. It is RECOMMENDED for gateways to support the L flag, but for gateways that do not support the L flag, sending this message during event #3 may help mitigate service degradation when relays become unstable.

2.5.7. Independent Discovery Per Traffic Source

Relays discovered via the AMTRELAY RR are source-specific relay addresses, and may use different pseudo-interfaces from each other and from relays discovered via DNS-SD or a non-source-specific address, as described in Section 4.1.2.1 of [RFC7450].

Restarting the discovery process for one pseudo-interface does not require restarting the discovery process for other pseudo-interfaces. Gateway heuristics about restarting the discovery process should operate independently for different tunnels to relays, when responding to events that are specific to the different tunnels.

2.6. DNS Configuration

Often an AMT gateway will only have access to the source and group IP addresses of the desired traffic, and will not know any other name for the source of the traffic. Because of this, typically the best way of looking up AMTRELAY RRs will be by using the source IP address as an index into one of the reverse mapping trees (in-addr.arpa for IPv4, as described in Section 3.5 of [RFC1035], or ip6.arpa for IPv6, as described in Section 2.5 of [RFC3596]).

Therefore, it is RECOMMENDED that AMTRELAY RRs be added to reverse IP zones as appropriate. AMTRELAY records MAY also appear in other
zones, but the primary intended use case requires a reverse IP mapping for the source from an (S,G) in order to be useful to most AMT gateways.

When performing the AMTRELAY RR lookup, any CNAMEs or DNAMEs found MUST be followed. This is necessary to support zone delegation. Some examples outlining this need are described in [RFC2317].

See Section 4 and Section 4.3 for a detailed explanation of the contents for a DNS Zone file.

2.7. Waiting for DNS resolution

The DNS query functionality is expected to follow ordinary standards and best practices for DNS clients. A gateway MAY use an existing DNS client implementation that does so, and MAY rely on that client’s retry logic to determine the timeouts between retries.

Otherwise, a gateway MAY re-send a DNS query if it does not receive an appropriate DNS response within some timeout period. If the gateway retries multiple times, the timeout period SHOULD be adjusted to provide a random exponential back-off.

As with the waiting process for the Relay Advertisement message from Section 5.2.3.4.3 of [RFC7450], the RECOMMENDED timeout is a random value in the range [initial_timeout, \(\text{MIN}(\text{initial}\_\text{timeout} \times 2^{\text{retry}\_\text{count}}, \text{maximum}\_\text{timeout})\)], with a RECOMMENDED initial_timeout of 1 second and a RECOMMENDED maximum_timeout of 120 seconds.

3. Example Deployments

3.1. Example Receiving Networks

3.1.1. Tier 3 ISP

One example of a receiving network is an ISP that offers multicast ingest services to its subscribers, illustrated in Figure 3.

In the example network below, subscribers can join (S,G)s with MLDv2 or IGMPv3 as described in [RFC4604], and the AMT gateway in this ISP can receive and forward multicast traffic from one of the example sending networks in Section 3.2 by discovering the appropriate AMT relays with a DNS lookup for the AMTRELAY RR with the reverse IP of the source in the (S,G).
3.1.2. Small Office

Another example receiving network is a small branch office that regularly accesses some multicast content, illustrated in Figure 4.

This office has desktop devices that need to receive some multicast traffic, so an AMT gateway runs on a LAN with these devices, to pull traffic in through a non-multicast next-hop.

The office also hosts some mobile devices that have AMT gateway instances embedded inside apps, in order to receive multicast traffic over their non-multicast wireless LAN. (Note that the "Legacy Router" is a simplification that's meant to describe a variety of possible conditions; for example it could be a device providing a split-tunnel VPN as described in [RFC7359], deliberately excluding...
multicast traffic for a VPN tunnel, rather than a device which is incapable of multicast forwarding.)

By adding an AMT relay to this office network as in Figure 5, it’s possible to make use of multicast services from the example multicast-capable ISP in Section 3.1.1.
When multicast-capable networks are chained like this, with a network like the one in Figure 5 receiving internet services from a multicast-capable network like the one in Figure 3, it’s important for AMT gateways to reach the more local AMT relay, in order to avoid accidentally tunneling multicast traffic from a more distant AMT relay with unicast, and failing to utilize the multicast transport capabilities of the network in Figure 3.

3.2. Example Sending Networks

3.2.1. Sender-controlled Relays

When a sender network is also operating AMT relays to distribute multicast traffic, as in Figure 6, each address could appear as an AMTRELAY RR for the reverse IP of the sender, or one or more domain names could appear in AMTRELAY RRs, and the AMT relay addresses can be discovered by finding A or AAAA records from those domain names.
3.2.2.  Provider-controlled Relays

When an ISP offers a service to transmit outbound multicast traffic through a forwarding network, it might also offer AMT relays in order to reach receivers without multicast connectivity to the forwarding network, as in Figure 7. In this case it’s RECOMMENDED that the ISP also provide at least one domain name for the AMT relays for use with the AMTRELAY RR.

When the sender wishes to use the relays provided by the ISP for forwarding multicast traffic, an AMTRELAY RR should be configured to use the domain name provided by the ISP, to allow for address reassignment of the relays without forcing the sender to reconfigure the corresponding AMTRELAY RRs.
4. AMTRELAY Resource Record Definition

4.1. AMTRELAY RRTypem

The AMTRELAY RRType has the mnemonic AMTRELAY and type code 260 (decimal).

The AMTRELAY RR is class independent.

4.2. AMTRELAY RData Format

The AMTRELAY RData consists of a 8-bit precedence field, a 1-bit "Discovery Optional" field, a 7-bit type field, and a variable length relay field.

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
|        precedence            |     D     |    type     |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
|                               |            +
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
|                               |    relay    
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-
4.2.1. RData Format - Precedence

This is an 8-bit precedence for this record. It is interpreted in the same way as the PREFERENCE field described in Section 3.3.9 of [RFC1035].

Relays listed in AMTRELAY records with a lower value for precedence are to be attempted first.

4.2.2. RData Format - Discovery Optional (D-bit)

The D bit is a "Discovery Optional" flag.

If the D bit is set to 0, a gateway using this RR MUST perform AMT relay discovery as described in Section 4.2.1.1 of [RFC7450], rather than directly sending an AMT Request message to the relay.

That is, the gateway MUST receive an AMT Relay Advertisement message (Section 5.1.2 of [RFC7450]) for an address before sending an AMT Request message (Section 5.1.3 of [RFC7450]) to that address. Before receiving the Relay Advertisement message, this record has only indicated that the address can be used for AMT relay discovery, not for a Request message. This is necessary for devices that are not fully functional AMT relays, but rather load balancers or brokers, as mentioned in Section 4.2.1.1 of [RFC7450].

If the D bit is set to 1, the gateway MAY send an AMT Request message directly to the discovered relay address without first sending an AMT Discovery message.

This bit should be set according to advice from the AMT relay operator. The D bit MUST be set to zero when no information is available from the AMT relay operator about its suitability.

4.2.3. RData Format - Type

The type field indicates the format of the information that is stored in the relay field.

The following values are defined:

- type = 0: The relay field is empty (0 bytes).
- type = 1: The relay field contains a 4-octet IPv4 address.
- type = 2: The relay field contains a 16-octet IPv6 address.
4.2.4. RData Format — Relay

The relay field is the address or domain name of the AMT relay. It is formatted according to the type field.

When the type field is 0, the length of the relay field is 0, and it indicates that no AMT relay should be used for multicast traffic from this source.

When the type field is 1, the length of the relay field is 4 octets, and a 32-bit IPv4 address is present. This is an IPv4 address as described in Section 3.4.1 of [RFC1035]. This is a 32-bit number in network byte order.

When the type field is 2, the length of the relay field is 16 octets, and a 128-bit IPv6 address is present. This is an IPv6 address as described in Section 2.2 of [RFC3596]. This is a 128-bit number in network byte order.

When the type field is 3, the relay field is a normal wire-encoded domain name, as described in Section 3.3 of [RFC1035]. Compression MUST NOT be used, for the reasons given in Section 4 of [RFC3597].

For a type 3 record, the D-bit and preference fields carry over to all A or AAAA records for the domain name. There is no difference in the result of the discovery process when it’s obtained by type 1 or type 2 AMTRELAY records with identical D-bit and preference fields, vs. when the result is obtained by a type 3 AMTRELAY record that resolves to the same set of IPv4 and IPv6 addresses via A and AAAA lookups.

4.3. AMTRELAY Record Presentation Format

4.3.1. Representation of AMTRELAY RRs

AMTRELAY RRs may appear in a zone data master file. The precedence, D-bit, relay type, and relay fields are REQUIRED.

If the relay type field is 0, the relay field MUST be ".".

The presentation for the record is as follows:

IN AMTRELAY precedence D-bit type relay
4.3.2. Examples

In a DNS authoritative nameserver that understands the AMTRELAY type, the zone might contain a set of entries like this:

```
$ORIGIN 100.51.198.in-addr.arpa.
10 IN AMTRELAY 10 0 1 203.0.113.15
10 IN AMTRELAY 10 0 2 2001:DB8::15
10 IN AMTRELAY 128 1 3 amtrelays.example.com.
```

This configuration advertises an IPv4 discovery address, an IPv6 discovery address, and a domain name for AMT relays which can receive traffic from the source 198.51.100.10. The IPv4 and IPv6 addresses are configured with a D-bit of 0 (meaning discovery is mandatory, as described in Section 4.2.2), and a precedence 10 (meaning they’re preferred ahead of the last entry, which has precedence 128).

For zone files in name servers that don’t support the AMTRELAY RRTYPE natively, it’s possible to use the format for unknown RR types, as described in [RFC3597]. This approach would replace the AMTRELAY entries in the example above with the entries below:

```
10 IN TYPE260  \# (  
   6 ; length  
   0a ; precedence=10  
   01 ; D=0, relay type=1, an IPv4 address  
   cb00710f ) ; 203.0.113.15
10 IN TYPE260  \# (  
   18 ; length  
   0a ; precedence=10  
   02 ; D=0, relay type=2, an IPv6 address  
   20010db800000000000000000000000f ) ; 2001:db8::15
10 IN TYPE260  \# (  
   24 ; length  
   80 ; precedence=128  
   83 ; D=1, relay type=3, a wire-encoded domain name  
   09616d7472656c617973076578616d706c6503636f6d ) ; domain name
```

See Appendix A for more details.

5. IANA Considerations

This document updates the IANA Registry for DNS Resource Record Types by assigning type 260 to the AMTRELAY record.

This document creates a new registry named "AMTRELAY Resource Record Parameters", with a sub-registry for the "Relay Type Field". The initial values in the sub-registry are:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No relay is present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A 4-byte IPv4 address is present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>A 16-byte IPv6 address is present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>A wire-encoded domain name is present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-255</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values 0, 1, 2, and 3 are further explained in Section 4.2.3 and Section 4.2.4. Relay type numbers 4 through 255 can be assigned with a policy of Specification Required (as described in [RFC8126]).

6. Security Considerations

6.1. Use of AMT

This document defines a mechanism that enables a more widespread and automated use of AMT, even without access to a multicast backbone. Operators of networks and applications that include a DRIAD-capable AMT gateway are advised to carefully consider the security considerations in Section 6 of [RFC7450].

AMT gateway operators also are encouraged to take appropriate steps to ensure the integrity of the data received via AMT, for example by the opportunistic use of IPSec [RFC4301] to secure traffic received from AMT relays, when IPSECKEY records [RFC4025] are available or when a trust relationship with the AMT relays can be otherwise established and secured.

6.2. Record-spoofing

The AMTRELAY resource record contains information that SHOULD be communicated to the DNS client without being modified. The method used to ensure the result was unmodified is up to the client.

There must be a trust relationship between the end consumer of this resource record and the DNS server. This relationship may be end-to-end DNSSEC validation, a TSIG [RFC2845] or SIG(0) [RFC2931] channel to another secure source, a secure local channel on the host, DNS over TLS [RFC7858] or HTTPS [RFC8484], or some other secure mechanism.

If an AMT gateway accepts a maliciously crafted AMTRELAY record, the result could be a Denial of Service, or receivers processing multicast traffic from a source under the attacker’s control.
6.3. Congestion

Multicast traffic, particularly interdomain multicast traffic, carries some congestion risks, as described in Section 4 of [RFC8085].

Application implementors and network operators that use DRIAD-capable AMT gateways are advised to take precautions including monitoring of application traffic behavior, traffic authentication at ingest, rate-limiting of multicast traffic, and the use of circuit-breaker techniques such as those described in Section 3.1.10 of [RFC8085] and similar protections at the network level, in order to ensure network health in the event of misconfiguration, poorly written applications that don’t follow UDP congestion control principles, or deliberate attack.
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Appendix A. Unknown RRType construction

In a DNS resolver that understands the AMTRELAY type, the zone file might contain this line:

IN AMTRELAY 128 0 3 amtrelays.example.com.
In order to translate this example to appear as an unknown RRType as defined in [RFC3597], one could run the following program:

<CODE BEGINS>
$ cat translate.py
#!/usr/bin/env python3
import sys
name=sys.argv[1]
wire=''
for dn in name.split('.'):  
  if len(dn) > 0:
    wire += ('%02x' % len(dn))
    wire += (''.join('%02x'%ord(x) for x in dn))
print(len(wire)//2) + 2
print(wire)

$ ./translate.py amtrelays.example.com
24
09616d7472656c617973076578616d706c6503636f6d
<CODE ENDS>

The length and the hex string for the domain name "amtrelays.example.com" are the outputs of this program, yielding a length of 22 and the above hex string.

22 is the length of the wire-encoded domain name, so to this we add 2 (1 for the precedence field and 1 for the combined D-bit and relay type fields) to get the full length of the RData, and encode the precedence, D-bit, and relay type fields as octets, as described in Section 4.

This results in a zone file entry like this:

IN TYPE260  
  ( 24 ; length
  80 ; precedence = 128
  03 ; D-bit=0, relay type=3 (wire-encoded domain name)
  09616d7472656c617973076578616d706c6503636f6d ) ; domain name
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