Abstract

In some application scenarios it may be desirable to send multiple differently encoded versions of the same media source in different RTP streams. This is called simulcast. This document describes how to accomplish simulcast in RTP and how to signal it in SDP. The described solution uses an RTP/RTCP identification method to identify RTP streams belonging to the same media source, and makes an extension to SDP to relate those RTP streams as being different simulcast formats of that media source. The SDP extension consists of a new media level SDP attribute that expresses capability to send and/or receive simulcast RTP streams.
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1. Introduction

Most of today’s multiparty video conference solutions make use of centralized servers to reduce the bandwidth and CPU consumption in the endpoints. Those servers receive RTP streams from each participant and send some suitable set of possibly modified RTP streams to the rest of the participants, which usually have heterogeneous capabilities (screen size, CPU, bandwidth, codec, etc). One of the biggest issues is how to perform RTP stream adaptation to different participants’ constraints with the minimum possible impact on both video quality and server performance.

Simulcast is defined in this memo as the act of simultaneously sending multiple different encoded streams of the same media source, e.g. the same video source encoded with different video encoder types or image resolutions. This can be done in several ways and for different purposes. This document focuses on the case where it is desirable to provide a media source as multiple encoded streams over RTP [RFC3550] towards an intermediary so that the intermediary can provide the wanted functionality by selecting which RTP stream(s) to forward to other participants in the session, and more specifically how the identification and grouping of the involved RTP streams are done.
The intended scope of the defined mechanism is to support negotiation and usage of simulcast when using SDP offer/answer and media transport over RTP. The media transport topologies considered are point to point RTP sessions as well as centralized multi-party RTP sessions, where a media sender will provide the simulcasted streams to an RTP middlebox or endpoint, and middleboxes may further distribute the simulcast streams to other middleboxes or endpoints. Simulcast could, as part of a distributed multi-party scenario, be used point-to-point between middleboxes. Usage of multicast or broadcast transport is out of scope and left for future extensions.

This document describes a few scenarios that motivate the use of simulcast, and also defines the needed RTP/RTCP and SDP signaling for it.

2. Definitions

2.1. Terminology

This document makes use of the terminology defined in RTP Taxonomy [RFC7656], and RTP Topologies [RFC7667]. The following terms are especially noted or here defined:

RTP Mixer: An RTP middle node, defined in [RFC7667] (Section 3.6 to 3.9).

RTP Session: An association among a group of participants communicating with RTP, as defined in [RFC3550] and amended by [RFC7656].

RTP Stream: A stream of RTP packets containing media data, as defined in [RFC7656].

RTP Switch: A common short term for the terms "switching RTP mixer", "source projecting middlebox", and "video switching MCU" as discussed in [RFC7667].

Simulcast Stream: One encoded stream or dependent stream from a set of concurrently transmitted encoded streams and optional dependent streams, all sharing a common media source, as defined in [RFC7656]. For example, HD and thumbnail video simulcast versions of a single media source sent concurrently as separate RTP Streams.

Simulcast Format: Different formats of a simulcast stream serve the same purpose as alternative RTP payload types in non-simulcast SDP: to allow multiple alternative media formats for a given RTP stream. As for multiple RTP payload types on the m-line in offer/answer
answer [RFC3264], any one of the negotiated alternative formats can be used in a single RTP stream at a given point in time, but not more than one (based on RTP timestamp). What format is used can change dynamically from one RTP packet to another.

2.2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Use Cases

The use cases of simulcast described in this document relate to a multi-party communication session where one or more central nodes are used to adapt the view of the communication session towards individual participants, and facilitate the media transport between participants. Thus, these cases target the RTP Mixer type of topology.

There are two principal approaches for an RTP Mixer to provide this adapted view of the communication session to each receiving participant:

- Transcoding (decoding and re-encoding) received RTP streams with characteristics adapted to each receiving participant. This often include mixing or composition of media sources from multiple participants into a mixed media source originated by the RTP Mixer. The main advantage of this approach is that it achieves close to optimal adaptation to individual receiving participants. The main disadvantages are that it can be very computationally expensive to the RTP Mixer, typically degrades media Quality of Experience (QoE) such as end-to-end delay for the receiving participants, and requires RTP Mixer access to media content.

- Switching a subset of all received RTP streams or sub-streams to each receiving participant, where the used subset is typically specific to each receiving participant. The main advantages of this approach are that it is computationally cheap to the RTP Mixer, has very limited impact on media QoE, and does not require RTP Mixer (full) access to media content. The main disadvantage is that it can be difficult to combine a subset of received RTP streams into a perfect fit to the resource situation of a receiving participant. It is also a disadvantage that sending multiple RTP streams consumes more network resources from the sending participant to the RTP Mixer.
The use of simulcast relates to the latter approach, where it is more important to reduce the load on the RTP Mixer and/or minimize QoE impact than to achieve an optimal adaptation of resource usage.

3.1. Reaching a Diverse Set of Receivers

The media sources provided by a sending participant potentially need to reach several receiving participants that differ in terms of available resources. The receiver resources that typically differ include, but are not limited to:

Codec: This includes codec type (such as RTP payload format MIME type) and can include codec configuration. A couple of codec resources that differ only in codec configuration will be "different" if they are somehow not "compatible", like if they differ in video codec profile, or the transport packetization configuration.

Sampling: This relates to how the media source is sampled, in spatial as well as in temporal domain. For video streams, spatial sampling affects image resolution and temporal sampling affects video frame rate. For audio, spatial sampling relates to the number of audio channels and temporal sampling affects audio bandwidth. This may be used to suit different rendering capabilities or needs at the receiving endpoints.

Bitrate: This relates to the number of bits sent per second to transmit the media source as an RTP stream, which typically also affects the QoE for the receiving user.

Letting the sending participant create a simulcast of a few differently configured RTP streams per media source can be a good tradeoff when using an RTP switch as middlebox, instead of sending a single RTP stream and using an RTP mixer to create individual transcodings to each receiving participant.

This requires that the receiving participants can be categorized in terms of available resources and that the sending participant can choose a matching configuration for a single RTP stream per category and media source. For example, a set of receiving participants differ only in screen resolution; some are able to display video with at most 360p resolution and some support 720p resolution. A sending participant can then reach all receivers with best possible resolution by creating a simulcast of RTP streams with 360p and 720p resolution for each sent video media source.
The maximum number of simulcasted RTP streams that can be sent is mainly limited by the amount of processing and uplink network resources available to the sending participant.

3.2. Application Specific Media Source Handling

The application logic that controls the communication session may include special handling of some media sources. It is, for example, commonly the case that the media from a sending participant is not sent back to itself.

It is also common that a currently active speaker participant is shown in larger size or higher quality than other participants (the sampling or bitrate aspects of Section 3.1) in a receiving client. Many conferencing systems do not send the active speaker's media back to the sender itself, which means there is some other participant's media that instead is forwarded to the active speaker; typically the previous active speaker. This way, the previously active speaker is needed both in larger size (to current active speaker) and in small size (to the rest of the participants), which can be solved with a simulcast from the previously active speaker to the RTP switch.

3.3. Receiver Media Source Preferences

The application logic that controls the communication session may allow receiving participants to state preferences on the characteristics of the RTP stream they like to receive, for example in terms of the aspects listed in Section 3.1. Sending a simulcast of RTP streams is one way of accommodating receivers with conflicting or otherwise incompatible preferences.

4. Overview

This memo defines SDP [RFC4566] signaling that covers the above described simulcast use cases and functionalities. A number of requirements for such signaling are elaborated in Appendix A.

The RID mechanism, as defined in [I-D.ietf-mmusic-rid], enables an SDP offerer or answerer to specify a number of different RTP stream restrictions for a rid-id by using the "a=rid" line. Examples of such restrictions are maximum bitrate, maximum spatial video resolution (width and height), maximum video framerate, etc. Each rid-id may also be restricted to use only a subset of the RTP payload types in the associated SDP media description. Those RTP payload types can have their own configurations and parameters affecting what can be sent or received, using the "a=fmtp" line as well as other SDP attributes.
A new SDP media level attribute "a=simulcast" is defined. The attribute describes, independently for send and receive directions, the number of simulcast RTP streams as well as potential alternative formats for each simulcast RTP stream. Each simulcast RTP stream, including alternatives, is identified using the RID identifier (rid-id), defined in [I-D.ietf-mmusic-rid].

```
a=simulcast:send 1;2,3 recv 4
```

If the above line is included in an SDP offer, the "send" part indicates the offerer’s capability and proposal to send two simulcast RTP streams. Each simulcast stream is described by one or more RTP stream identifiers (rid-id), each group of rid-ids for a simulcast stream is separated by a semicolon (";"). When a simulcast stream has multiple rid-ids that are separated by a comma (","), they describe alternative representations for that particular simulcast RTP stream. Thus, the above "send" part is interpreted as an intention to send two simulcast RTP streams. The first simulcast RTP stream is identified and restricted according to rid-id 1. The second simulcast RTP stream can be sent as two alternatives, identified and restricted according to rid-ids 2 and 3. The "recv" part of the above line indicates that the offerer desires to receive a single RTP stream (no simulcast) according to rid-id 4.

A more complete example SDP offer media description is provided below:

```
m=video 49300 RTP/AVP 97 98 99
a=rtpmap:97 H264/90000
a=rtpmap:98 H264/90000
a=rtpmap:99 VP8/90000
a=fmtp:97 profile-level-id=42c01f;max-fs=3600;max-mbps=108000
a=fmtp:98 profile-level-id=42c00b;max-fs=240;max-mbps=3600
a=fmtp:99 max-fs=240; max-fr=30
a=rid:1 send pt=97;max-width=1280;max-height=720
a=rid:2 send pt=98;max-width=320;max-height=180
a=rid:3 send pt=99;max-width=320;max-height=180
a=rid:4 recv pt=97
a=simulcast:send 1;2,3 recv 4
a=extmap:1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:rtp-stream-id
```

Figure 1: Example Simulcast Media Description in Offer

The above SDP media description can be interpreted at a high level to say that the offerer is capable of sending two simulcast RTP streams, one H.264 encoded stream in up to 720p resolution, and one additional stream encoded as either H.264 or VP8 with a maximum resolution of
The offerer can receive one H.264 stream with maximum 720p resolution.

The receiver of this SDP offer can generate an SDP answer that indicates what it accepts. It uses the "a=simulcast" attribute to indicate simulcast capability and specify what simulcast RTP streams and alternatives to receive and/or send. An example of such answering "a=simulcast" attribute, corresponding to the above offer, is:

```
a=simulcast:recv 1;2 send 4
```

With this SDP answer, the answerer indicates in the "recv" part that it wants to receive the two simulcast RTP streams. It has removed an alternative that it doesn’t support (rid-id 3). The send part confirms to the offerer that it will receive one stream for this media source according to rid-id 4. The corresponding, more complete example SDP answer media description could look like:

```
m=video 49674 RTP/AVP 97 98
a=rtpmap:97 H264/90000
a=rtpmap:98 H264/90000
a=fmtp:97 profile-level-id=42c01f;max-fs=3600;max-mbps=108000
a=fmtp:98 profile-level-id=42c00b;max-fs=240;max-mbps=3600
a=rid:1 recv pt=97;max-width=1280;max-height=720
a=rid:2 recv pt=98;max-width=320;max-height=180
a=rid:4 send pt=97
a=simulcast:recv 1;2 send 4
a=extmap:1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:rtp-stream-id
```

Figure 2: Example Simulcast Media Description in Answer

It is assumed that a single SDP media description is used to describe a single media source. This is aligned with the concepts defined in [RFC7656] and will work in a WebRTC context, both with and without BUNDLE [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation] grouping of media descriptions.

To summarize, the "a=simulcast" line describes send and receive direction simulcast streams separately. Each direction can in turn describe one or more simulcast streams, separated by semicolon. The identifiers describing simulcast streams on the "a=simulcast" line are rid-id, as defined by "a=rid" lines in [I-D.ietf-rtmp-rid]. Each simulcast stream can be offered as a list of alternative rid-id, with each alternative separated by comma (not in the examples above). A detailed specification can be found in Section 5 and more detailed examples are outlined in Section 5.6.
5. Detailed Description

This section further details the overview above (Section 4). First, formal syntax is provided (Section 5.1), followed by the rest of the SDP attribute definition in Section 5.2. Relating Simulcast Streams (Section 5.5) provides the definition of the RTP/RTCP mechanisms used. The section is concluded with a number of examples.

5.1. Simulcast Attribute

This document defines a new SDP media-level "a=simulcast" attribute, with value according to the following ABNF [RFC5234] syntax and its update for Case-Sensitive String Support in ABNF [RFC7405]:

```
sc-value     = ( sc-send [SP sc-recv] ) / ( sc-recv [SP sc-send] )
sc-send      = "%s"send" SP sc-str-list
sc-recv      = "%s"recv" SP sc-str-list
sc-str-list  = sc-alt-list *( ; sc-alt-list )
sc-alt-list  = sc-id *( , sc-id )
sc-id-paused = "~" sc-id
sc-id        = [sc-id-paused] rid-id
; SP defined in [RFC5234]
; rid-id defined in [I-D.ietf-mmusic-rid]
```

Figure 3: ABNF for Simulcast Value

Note to RFC Editor: Replace "I-D.ietf-mmusic-rid" in the above figure with RFC number of draft-ietf-mmusic-rid before publication of this document.

The "a=simulcast" attribute has a parameter in the form of one or two simulcast stream descriptions, each consisting of a direction ("send" or "recv"), followed by a list of one or more simulcast streams. Each simulcast stream consists of one or more alternative simulcast formats. Each simulcast format is identified by a simulcast stream identifier (rid-id). The rid-id MUST have the form of an RTP stream identifier, as described by RTP Payload Format Restrictions [I-D.ietf-mmusic-rid].

In the list of simulcast streams, each simulcast stream is separated by a semicolon (";"), and in turn offered in one or more alternative formats, represented by rid-ids, separated by a comma (","), as specified as initially paused [RFC7728], indicated by prepending a "~" to the rid-id. The reason to allow separate initial pause states for each rid-id is that each RTP payload type referenced by an rid-id can be specified individually for each RTP payload type referenced by an rid-id. Since pause capability specified via the "a=rtcp-fb" attribute applies only to specified payload types and
rid-id specified by "a=rid" can refer to multiple different payload types, it is unfeasible to pause streams with rid-id where any of the related RTP payload type(s) do not have pause capability.

5.2. Simulcast Capability

Simulcast capability is expressed through a new media level SDP attribute, "a=simulcast" (Section 5.1). The use of this attribute at the session level is undefined. Implementations of this specification MUST NOT use it at the session level and MUST ignore it if received at the session level. Extensions to this specification may define such session level usage. Each SDP media description MUST contain at most one "a=simulcast" line.

There are separate and independent sets of simulcast streams in send and receive directions. When listing multiple directions, each direction MUST NOT occur more than once on the same line.

Simulcast streams using undefined rid-id MUST NOT be used as valid simulcast streams by an RTP stream receiver. The direction for an rid-id MUST be aligned with the direction specified for the corresponding RTP stream identifier on the "a=rid" line.

The listed number of simulcast streams for a direction sets a limit to the number of supported simulcast streams in that direction. The order of the listed simulcast streams in the "send" direction suggests a proposed order of preference, in decreasing order: the rid-id listed first is the most preferred and subsequent streams have progressively lower preference. The order of the listed rid-id in the "recv" direction expresses which simulcast streams that are preferred, with the leftmost being most preferred. This can be of importance if the number of actually sent simulcast streams have to be reduced for some reason.

rid-id that have explicit dependencies [RFC5583] [I-D.ietf-mmusic-rid] to other rid-id (even in the same media description) MAY be used.

Use of more than a single, alternative simulcast format for a simulcast stream MAY be specified as part of the attribute parameters by expressing the simulcast stream as a comma-separated list of alternative rid-id. The order of the rid-id alternatives within a simulcast stream is significant; the rid-id alternatives are listed from (left) most preferred to (right) least preferred. For the use of simulcast, this overrides the normal codec preference as expressed by format type ordering on the "m=" line, using regular SDP rules. This is to enable a separation of general codec preferences and simulcast stream configuration preferences. However, the choice of
which alternative to use per simulcast stream is independent, and there is currently no mechanism to align the choice between alternative rid-ids between different simulcast streams.

A simulcast stream can use a codec defined such that the same RTP SSRC can change RTP payload type multiple times during a session, possibly even on a per-packet basis. A typical example can be a speech codec that makes use of Comfort Noise [RFC3389] and/or DTMF [RFC4733] formats.

If RTP stream pause/resume [RFC7728] is supported, any rid-id MAY be prefixed by a "~" character to indicate that the corresponding simulcast stream is initially paused already from start of the RTP session. In this case, support for RTP stream pause/resume MUST also be included under the same "m=" line where "a=simulcast" is included. All RTP payload types related to such an initially paused simulcast stream MUST be listed in the SDP as pause/resume capable as specified by [RFC7728], e.g. by using the "*" wildcard format for "a=rtcp-fb".

An initially paused simulcast stream in "send" direction for the endpoint sending the SDP MUST be considered equivalent to an unsolicited locally paused stream, and be handled accordingly. Initially paused simulcast streams are resumed as described by the RTP pause/resume specification. An RTP stream receiver that wishes to resume an unsolicited locally paused stream needs to know the SSRC of that stream. The SSRC of an initially paused simulcast stream can be obtained from an RTP stream sender RTCP Sender Report (SR) including both the desired SSRC as "SSRC of sender", and the rid-id value in an RtpStreamId RTCP SDES item [I-D.ietf-avtext-rid].

If the endpoint sending the SDP includes an "recv" direction simulcast stream that is initially paused, then the remote RTP sender receiving the SDP SHOULD put its RTP stream in an unsolicited locally paused state. The simulcast stream sender does not put the stream in the locally paused state if there are other RTP stream receivers in the session that do not mark the simulcast stream as initially paused. However, in centralized conferencing the RTP sender usually does not see the SDP signalling from RTP receivers and cannot make this determination. The reason to require an initially paused "recv" stream to be considered locally paused by the remote RTP sender, instead of making it equivalent to implicitly sending a pause request, is because the pausing RTP sender cannot know which receiving SSRC owns the restriction when Temporary Maximum Media Stream Bit Rate Request (TMMBR) and Temporary Maximum Media Stream Bit Rate Notification (TMMBN) are used for pause/resume signaling (Section 5.6 of [RFC7728]) since the RTP receiver’s SSRC in send direction is sometimes not yet known.
Use of the redundant audio data [RFC2198] format could be seen as a form of simulcast for loss protection purposes, but is not considered conflicting with the mechanisms described in this memo and MAY therefore be used as any other format. In this case the "red" format, rather than the carried formats, SHOULD be the one to list as a simulcast stream on the "a=simulcast" line.

The media formats and corresponding characteristics of simulcast streams SHOULD be chosen such that they are different, e.g. as different SDP formats with differing "a=rtpmap" and/or "a=fmtp" lines, or as differently defined RTP payload format restrictions. If this difference is not required, it is RECOMMENDED to use RTP duplication [RFC7104] procedures instead of simulcast. To avoid complications in implementations, a single rid-id MUST NOT occur more than once per "a=simulcast" line. Note that this does not eliminate use of simulcast as an RTP duplication mechanism, since it is possible to define multiple different rid-id that are effectively equivalent.

5.3. Offer/Answer Use

Note: The inclusion of "a=simulcast" or the use of simulcast does not change any of the interpretation or Offer/Answer procedures for other SDP attributes, like "a=fmtp" or "a=rid".

5.3.1. Generating the Initial SDP Offer

An offerer wanting to use simulcast for a media description SHALL include one "a=simulcast" attribute in that media description in the offer. An offerer listing a set of receive simulcast streams and/or alternative formats as rid-id in the offer MUST be prepared to receive RTP streams for any of those simulcast streams and/or alternative formats from the answerer.

5.3.2. Creating the SDP Answer

An answerer that does not understand the concept of simulcast will also not know the attribute and will remove it in the SDP answer, as defined in existing SDP Offer/Answer [RFC3264] procedures. Since SDP session level simulcast is undefined in this memo, an answerer that receives an offer with the "a=simulcast" attribute on SDP session level SHALL remove it in the answer. An answerer that understands the attribute but receives multiple "a=simulcast" attributes in the same media description SHALL disable use of simulcast by removing all "a=simulcast" lines for that media description in the answer.

An answerer that does understand the attribute and that wants to support simulcast in an indicated direction SHALL reverse
directionality of the unidirectional direction parameters; "send" becomes "recv" and vice versa, and include it in the answer.

An answerer that receives an offer with simulcast containing an "a=simulcast" attribute listing alternative rid-id MAY keep all the alternative rid-id in the answer, but it MAY also choose to remove any non-desirable alternative rid-id in the answer. The answerer MUST NOT add any alternative rid-id in send direction in the answer that were not present in the offer receive direction. The answerer MUST be prepared to receive any of the receive direction rid-id alternatives and MAY send any of the send direction alternatives that are part of the answer.

An answerer that receives an offer with simulcast that lists a number of simulcast streams, MAY reduce the number of simulcast streams in the answer, but MUST NOT add simulcast streams.

An answerer that receives an offer without RTP stream pause/resume capability MUST NOT mark any simulcast streams as initially paused in the answer.

An RTP stream pause/resume capable answerer that receives an offer with RTP stream pause/resume capability MAY mark any rid-id that refer to pause/resume capable formats as initially paused in the answer.

An answerer that receives indication in an offer of an rid-id being initially paused SHOULD mark that rid-id as initially paused also in the answer, regardless of direction, unless it has good reason for the rid-id not being initially paused. One reason to remove an initial pause in the answer compared to the offer could, for example, be that all receive direction simulcast streams for a media source the answerer accepts in the answer would otherwise be paused.

5.3.3. Offerer Processing the SDP Answer

An offerer that receives an answer without "a=simulcast" MUST NOT use simulcast towards the answerer. An offerer that receives an answer with "a=simulcast" without any rid-id in a specified direction MUST NOT use simulcast in that direction.

An offerer that receives an answer where some rid-id alternatives are kept MUST be prepared to receive any of the kept send direction rid-id alternatives, and MAY send any of the kept receive direction rid-id alternatives.

An offerer that receives an answer where some of the rid-id are removed compared to the offer MAY release the corresponding resources.
(codec, transport, etc) in its receive direction and MUST NOT send any RTP packets corresponding to the removed rid-id.

An offerer that offered some of its rid-id as initially paused and that receives an answer that does not indicate RTP stream pause/resume capability, MUST NOT initially pause any simulcast streams.

An offerer with RTP stream pause/resume capability that receives an answer where some rid-id are marked as initially paused, SHOULD initially pause those RTP streams regardless if they were marked as initially paused also in the offer, unless it has good reason for those RTP streams not being initially paused. One such reason could, for example, be that the answerer would otherwise initially not receive any media of that type at all.

5.3.4. Modifying the Session

Offers inside an existing session follow the same rules as for initial SDP offer, with these additions:

1. rid-id marked as initially paused in the offerer’s send direction SHALL reflect the offerer’s opinion of the current pause state at the time of creating the offer. This is purely informational, and RTP stream pause/resume [RFC7728] signaling in the ongoing session SHALL take precedence in case of any conflict or ambiguity.

2. rid-id marked as initially paused in the offerer’s receive direction SHALL (as in an initial offer) reflect the offerer’s desired rid-id pause state. Except for the case where the offerer already paused the corresponding RTP stream through RTP stream pause/resume [RFC7728] signaling, this is identical to the conditions at an initial offer.

Creation of SDP answers and processing of SDP answers inside an existing session follow the same rules as described above for initial SDP offer/answer.

Session modification restrictions in section 6.5 of RTP payload format restrictions [I-D.ietf-mmusic-rid] also apply.

5.4. Use with Declarative SDP

This document does not define the use of "a=simulcast" in declarative SDP, partly motivated by use of the simulcast format identification [I-D.ietf-mmusic-rid] not being defined for use in declarative SDP. If concrete use cases for simulcast in declarative SDP are identified
in the future, the authors of this memo expect that additional specifications will address such use.

5.5. Relating Simulcast Streams

Simulcast RTP streams MUST be related on RTP level through RtpStreamId [I-D.ietf-avtext-rid], as specified in the SDP "a=simulcast" attribute (Section 5.2) parameters. This is sufficient as long as there is only a single media source per SDP media description. When using BUNDLE [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation], where multiple SDP media descriptions jointly specify a single RTP session, the SDES MID identification mechanism in BUNDLE allows relating RTP streams back to individual media descriptions, after which the above described RtpStreamId relations can be used. Use of the RTP header extension [RFC8285] for both MID and RtpStreamId identifications can be important to ensure rapid initial reception, required to correctly interpret and process the RTP streams. Implementers of this specification MUST support the RTCP source description (SDES) item method and SHOULD support RTP header extension method to signal RtpStreamId on RTP level.

NOTE: For the case where it is clear from SDP that RTP PT uniquely maps to corresponding RtpStreamId, an RTP receiver can use RTP PT to relate simulcast streams. This can sometimes enable decoding even in advance to receiving RtpStreamId information in RTCP SDES and/or RTP header extensions.

RTP streams MUST only use a single alternative rid-id at a time (based on RTP timestamps), but MAY change format (and rid-id) on a per-RTP packet basis. This corresponds to the existing (non-simulcast) SDP offer/answer case when multiple formats are included on the "m=" line in the SDP answer, enabling per-RTP packet change of RTP payload type.

5.6. Signaling Examples

These examples describe a client to video conference service, using a centralized media topology with an RTP mixer.
Alice is calling in to the mixer with a simulcast-enabled client capable of a single media source per media type. The client can send a simulcast of 2 video resolutions and frame rates: HD 1280x720p 30fps and thumbnail 320x180p 15fps. This is defined below using the "imageattr" [RFC6236]. In this example, only the "pt" "a=rid" parameter is used, effectively achieving a 1:1 mapping between RtpStreamId and media formats (RTP payload types), to describe simulcast stream formats. Alice’s Offer:

```
v=0
c=IN IP4 192.0.2.156
m=audio 49200 RTP/AVP 0
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
m=video 49300 RTP/AVP 97 98
a=rtpmap:97 H264/90000
a=rtpmap:98 H264/90000
a=fmtp:97 profile-level-id=42c01f;max-fs=3600;max-mbps=108000
a=fmtp:98 profile-level-id=42c00b;max-fs=240;max-mbps=3600
a=imageattr:97 send [x=1280,y=720] recv [x=1280,y=720]
a=imageattr:98 send [x=320,y=180] recv [x=320,y=180]
a=rid:1 send pt=97
a=rid:2 send pt=98
a=rid:3 recv pt=97
a=simulcast:send 1;2 recv 3
a=extmap:1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:rtp-stream-id
```

The only thing in the SDP that indicates simulcast capability is the line in the video media description containing the "simulcast" attribute. The included "a=fmtp" and "a=imageattr" parameters indicates that sent simulcast streams can differ in video resolution. The RTP header extension for RtpStreamId is offered to avoid issues.
with the initial binding between RTP streams (SSRCs) and the RtpStreamId identifying the simulcast stream and its format.

The Answer from the server indicates that it too is simulcast capable. Should it not have been simulcast capable, the "a=simulcast" line would not have been present and communication would have started with the media negotiated in the SDP. Also the usage of the RtpStreamId RTP header extension is accepted.

```
v=0
o=server 823479283 1209384938 IN IP4 192.0.2.2
s=Answer to Simulcast Enabled Client
c=IN IP4 192.0.2.43
t=0 0
m=audio 49672 RTP/AVP 0
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
m=video 49674 RTP/AVP 97 98
a=rtpmap:97 H264/90000
a=rtpmap:98 H264/90000
a=fmtp:97 profile-level-id=42c01f;max-fs=3600;max-mbps=108000
a=fmtp:98 profile-level-id=42c00b;max-fs=240;max-mbps=3600
a=imageattr:97 send [x=1280,y=720] recv [x=1280,y=720]
a=imageattr:98 send [x=320,y=180] recv [x=320,y=180]
a=rid:1 recv pt=97
a=rid:2 recv pt=98
a=rid:3 send pt=97
a=simulcast:recv 1;2 send 3
a=extmap:1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:rtp-stream-id
```

Figure 6: Single-Source Simulcast Answer

Since the server is the simulcast media receiver, it reverses the direction of the "simulcast" and "rid" attribute parameters.

5.6.2. Multi-Source Client

Fred is calling in to the same conference as in the example above with a two-camera, two-display system, thus capable of handling two separate media sources in each direction, where each media source is simulcast-enabled in the send direction. Fred’s client is restricted to a single media source per media description.

The first two simulcast streams for the first media source use different codecs, H264-SVC [RFC6190] and H264 [RFC6184]. These two simulcast streams also have a temporal dependency. Two different video codecs, VP8 [RFC7741] and H264, are offered as alternatives for the third simulcast stream for the first media source. Only the...
highest fidelity simulcast stream is sent from start, the lower fidelity streams being initially paused.

The second media source is offered with three different simulcast streams. All video streams of this second media source are loss protected by RTP retransmission [RFC4588]. Also here, all but the highest fidelity simulcast stream are initially paused. Note that the lower resolution is more prioritized than the medium resolution simulcast stream.

Fred’s client is also using BUNDLE to send all RTP streams from all media descriptions in the same RTP session on a single media transport. Although using many different simulcast streams in this example, the use of RtpStreamId as simulcast stream identification enables use of a low number of RTP payload types. Note that the use of both BUNDLE [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation] and "a=rid" [I-D.ietf-mmusic-rid] recommends using the RTP header extension [RFC8285] for carrying these RTP stream identification fields, which is consequently also included in the SDP. Note also that for "a=rid", the corresponding RtpStreamId SDES attribute RTP header extension is named rtp-stream-id [I-D.ietf-avtext-rid].
v=0
o=fred 238947129 823479223 IN IP6 2001:db8::c000:27d
s=Offer from Simulcast Enabled Multi-Source Client
c=IN IP6 2001:db8::c000:27d
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar zen
m=audio 49200 RTP/AVP 99
a=mid:foo
a=rtpmap:99 G722/8000
m=video 49600 RTP/AVPF 100 101 103
a=mid:bar
a=rtpmap:100 H264-SVC/90000
a=rtpmap:101 H264/90000
a=rtpmap:103 VP8/90000
a=fmtp:100 profile-level-id=42400d;max-fs=3600;max-mbps=216000; \n   mst-mode=NI-TC
a=fmtp:101 profile-level-id=42c00d;max-fs=3600;max-mbps=108000
a=fmtp:103 max-fs=900; max-fr=30
a=rid:1 send pt=100;max-width=1280;max-height=720;max-fps=60;depend=2
a=rid:2 send pt=101;max-width=1280;max-height=720;max-fps=30
a=rid:3 send pt=101;max-width=640;max-height=360
a=rid:4 send pt=103;max-width=640;max-height=360
a=depend:100 lay bar:101
a=extmap:1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:mid
a=rtpc-fb:* ccm pause nowait
a=simulcast:send 1;2;~4,3
m=video 49602 RTP/AVPF 96 104
a=mid:zen
a=rtpmap:96 VP8/90000
a=fmtp:96 max-fs=3600; max-fr=30
a=rtpmap:104 rtx/90000
a=fmtp:104 apt=96;rtx-time=200
a=rid:1 send max-fs=921600;max-fps=30
a=rid:2 send max-fs=614400;max-fps=15
a=rid:3 send max-fs=230400;max-fps=30
a=extmap:1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:mid
a=rtpc-fb:* ccm pause nowait
a=simulcast:send 1;~3;~2

Figure 7: Fred’s Multi-Source Simulcast Offer
5.6.3. Simulcast and Redundancy

The example in this section looks at applying simulcast with audio and video redundancy formats. The audio media description uses codec and bitrate restrictions, combining it with RTP Payload for Redundant Audio Data [RFC2198] for enhanced packet loss resilience. The video media description applies both resolution and bitrate restrictions, combining it with FEC in the form of Flexible FEC [I-D.ietf-payload-flexible-fec-scheme] and RTP Retransmission [RFC4588].

The audio source is offered to be sent as two simulcast streams. The first simulcast stream is encoded with Opus, restricted to 50 kbps (rid-id=5), and the second simulcast stream is encoded either with G.711 (rid-id=7) or with G.711 combined with LPC for redundancy (rid-id=6). In this example, stand-alone LPC is not offered as a possible payload type for the second simulcast stream’s RID, which could e.g. be motivated by not providing sufficient quality.

The video source is offered to be sent as two simulcast streams, both with two alternative simulcast formats. Redundancy and repair are offered in the form of both Flexible FEC and RTP Retransmission. The Flexible FEC is not bound to any particular RTP streams and is therefore possible to use across all RTP streams that are being sent as part of this media description.
v=0
c=fred 238947129 823479223 IN IP6 2001:db8::c000:27d
s=Offer from Simulcast Enabled Client using Redundancy
c=IN IP6 2001:db8::c000:27d
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar
m=audio 49200 RTP/AVP 97 98 99 100 101 102
a=mid:foo
a=rtpmap:97 G711/8000
a=rtpmap:98 LPC/8000
a=rtpmap:99 OPUS/48000/1
a=rtpmap:100 RED/8000/1
a=rtpmap:101 CN/8000
a=rtpmap:102 telephone-event/8000
a=fmtp:99 useinbandfec=1;usedtx=0
a=fmtp:100 97/98
a=fmtp:102 0-15
a=ptime:20
a=maxptime:40
a=rid:1 send pt=99,102;max-br=64000
a=rid:2 send pt=100,97,101,102
a=extmap:1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:mid
a=simulcast:send 1;2
m=video 49600 RTP/AVPF 103 104 105 106 107
a=mid:bar
a=rtpmap:103 H264/90000
a=rtpmap:104 VP8/90000
a=rtpmap:105 rtx/90000
a=rtpmap:106 rtx/90000
a=rtpmap:107 flexfec/90000
a=fmtp:103 profile-level-id=42c00d;max-fs=3600;max-mbps=108000
a=fmtp:104 max-fs=3600; max-fr=30
a=fmtp:105 apt=103;rtx-time=200
a=fmtp:106 apt=104;rtx-time=200
a=fmtp:107 repair-window=2000
a=rid:1 send pt=103;max-width=1280;max-height=720;max-fps=30
a=rid:2 send pt=104;max-width=1280;max-height=720;max-fps=30
a=rid:3 send pt=103;max-width=640;max-height=360;max-br=300000
a=rid:4 send pt=104;max-width=640;max-height=360;max-br=300000
a=extmap:1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:mid
a=rtpc-fb:* ccm pause nowait
a=simulcast:send 1,2;3,4

Figure 8: Simulcast and Redundancy Example
6. RTP Aspects

This section discusses what the different entities in a simulcast media path can expect to happen on RTP level. This is explored from source to sink by starting in an endpoint with a media source that is simulcasted to an RTP middlebox. That RTP middlebox sends media sources both to other RTP middleboxes (cascaded middleboxes), as well as selecting some simulcast format of the media source and sending it to receiving endpoints. Different types of RTP middleboxes and their usage of the different simulcast formats results in several different behaviors.

6.1. Outgoing from Endpoint with Media Source

The most straightforward simulcast case is the RTP streams being emitted from the endpoint that originates a media source. When simulcast has been negotiated in the sending direction, the endpoint can transmit up to the number of RTP streams needed for the negotiated simulcast streams for that media source. Each RTP stream (SSRC) is identified by associating (Section 5.5) it with an RtpStreamId SDES item, transmitted in RTCP and possibly also as an RTP header extension. In cases where multiple media sources have been negotiated for the same RTP session and thus BUNDLE [I-D.ietf-rtcp-bundle-sdp] is used, also the MID SDES item will be sent similarly to the RtpStreamId.

Each RTP stream might not be continuously transmitted due to any of the following reasons; temporarily paused using Pause/Resume [RFC7728], sender side application logic temporarily pausing it, or lack of network resources to transmit this simulcast stream. However, all simulcast streams that have been negotiated have active and maintained SSRC (at least in regular RTCP reports), even if no RTP packets are currently transmitted. The relation between an RTP Stream (SSRC) and a particular simulcast stream is not expected to change, except in exceptional situations such as SSRC collisions. At SSRC changes, the usage of MID and RtpStreamId should enable the receiver to correctly identify the RTP streams even after an SSRC change.

6.2. RTP Middlebox to Receiver

RTP streams in a multi-party RTP session can be used in multiple different ways, when the session utilizes simulcast at least on the media source to middlebox legs. This is to a large degree due to the different RTP middlebox behaviors, but also the needs of the application. This text assumes that the RTP middlebox will select a media source and choose which simulcast stream for that media source to deliver to a specific receiver. In many cases, at most one
simulcast stream per media source will be forwarded to a particular receiver at any instant in time, even if the selected simulcast stream may vary. For cases where this does not hold due to application needs, then the RTP stream aspects will fall under the middlebox to middlebox case Section 6.3.

The selection of which simulcast streams to forward towards the receiver, is application specific. However, in conferencing applications, active speaker selection is common. In case the number of media sources possible to forward, N, is less than the total amount of media sources available in an multi-media session, the current and previous speakers (up to N in total) are often the ones forwarded. To avoid the need for media specific processing to determine the current speaker(s) in the RTP middlebox, the endpoint providing a media source may include meta data, such as the RTP Header Extension for Client-to-Mixer Audio Level Indication [RFC6464].

The possibilities for stream switching are media type specific, but for media types with significant interframe dependencies in the encoding, like most video coding, the switching needs to be made at suitable switching points in the media stream that breaks or otherwise deals with the dependency structure. Even if switching points can be included periodically, it is common to use mechanisms like Full Intra Requests [RFC5104] to request switching points from the endpoint performing the encoding of the media source.

Inclusion of the RtpStreamId SDES item for an SSRC in the middlebox to receiver direction should only occur when use of RtpStreamId has been negotiated in that direction. It is worth noting that one can signal multiple RtpStreamIds when simulcast signalling indicates only a single simulcast stream, allowing one to use all of the RtpStreamIds as alternatives for that simulcast stream. One reason for including the RtpStreamId in the middlebox to receiver direction for an RTP stream is to let the receiver know which restrictions apply to the currently delivered RTP stream. In case the RtpStreamId is negotiated to be used, it is important to remember that the used identifiers will be specific to each signalling session. Even if the central entity can attempt to coordinate, it is likely that the RtpStreamIds need to be translated to the leg specific values. The below cases will have as base line that RtpStreamId is not used in the mixer to receiver direction.

6.2.1. Media-Switching Mixer

This section discusses the behavior in cases where the RTP middlebox behaves like the Media-Switching Mixer (Section 3.6.2) in RTP Topologies [RFC7667]. The fundamental aspect here is that the media
sources delivered from the middlebox will be the mixer’s conceptual or functional ones. For example, one media source may be the main speaker in high resolution video, while a number of other media sources are thumbnails of each participant.

The above results in that the RTP stream produced by the mixer is one that switches between a number of received incoming RTP streams for different media sources and in different simulcast versions. The mixer selects the media source to be sent as one of the RTP streams, and then selects among the available simulcast streams for the most appropriate one. The selection criteria include available bandwidth on the mixer to receiver path and restrictions based on the functional usage of the RTP stream delivered to the receiver. As an example of the latter, it is unnecessary to forward a full HD video to a receiver if the display area is just a thumbnail. Thus, restrictions may exist to not allow some simulcast streams to be forwarded for some of the mixer’s media sources.

This will result in a single RTP stream being used for each of the RTP mixer’s media sources. This RTP stream is at any point in time a selection of one particular RTP stream arriving to the mixer, where the RTP header field values are rewritten to provide a consistent, single RTP stream. If the RTP mixer doesn’t receive any incoming stream matched to this media source, the SSRC will not transmit, but be kept alive using RTCP. The SSRC and thus RTP stream for the mixer’s media source is expected to be long term stable. It will only be changed by signalling or other disruptive events. Note that although the above talks about a single RTP stream, there can in some cases be multiple RTP streams carrying the selected simulcast stream for the originating media source, including redundancy or other auxiliary RTP streams.

The mixer may communicate the identity of the originating media source to the receiver by including the CSRC field with the originating media source’s SSRC value. Note that due to the possibility that the RTP mixer switches between simulcast versions of the media source, the CSRC value may change, even if the media source is kept the same.

It is important to note that any MID SDES item from the originating media source needs to be removed and not be associated with the RTP stream’s SSRC. That is, there is nothing in the signalling between the mixer and the receiver that is structured around the originating media sources, only the mixer’s media sources. If they would be associated with the SSRC, the receiver would likely believe that there has been an SSRC collision, and that the RTP stream is spurious as it doesn’t carry the identifiers used to relate it to the correct context. However, this is not true for CSRC values, as long as they
are never used as SSRC. In these cases one could provide CNAME and MID as SDES items. A receiver could use this to determine which CSRC values that are associated with the same originating media source.

If RtpStreamIds are used in the scenario described by this section, it should be noted that the RtpStreamId on a particular SSRC will change based on the actual simulcast stream selected for switching. These RtpStreamId identifiers will be local to this leg’s signalling context. In addition, the defined RtpStreamIds and their parameters need to cover all the media sources and simulcast streams received by the RTP mixer that can be switched into this media source, sent by the RTP mixer.

6.2.2. Selective Forwarding Middlebox

This section discusses the behavior in cases where the RTP middlebox behaves like the Selective Forwarding Middlebox (Section 3.7) in RTP Topologies [RFC7667]. Applications for this type of RTP middlebox results in that each originating media source will have a corresponding media source on the leg between the middlebox and the receiver. A Selective Forwarding Middlebox (SFM) could go as far as exposing all the simulcast streams for an media source, however this section will focus on having a single simulcast stream that can contain any of the simulcast formats. This section will assume that the SFM projection mechanism works on media source level, and maps one of the media source’s simulcast streams onto one RTP stream from the SFM to the receiver.

This usage will result in that the individual RTP stream(s) for one media source can switch between being active to paused, based on the subset of media sources the SFM wants to provide the receiver for the moment. With SFMs there exist no reasons to use CSRC to indicate the originating stream, as there is a one to one media source mapping. If the application requires knowing the simulcast version received to function well, then RtpStreamId should be negotiated on the SFM to receiver leg. Which simulcast stream that is being forwarded is not made explicit unless RtpStreamId is used on the leg.

Any MID SDES items being sent by the SFM to the receiver are only those agreed between the SFM and the receiver, and no MID values from the originating side of the SFM are to be forwarded.

A SFM could expose corresponding RTP streams for all the media sources and their simulcast streams, and then for any media source that is to be provided forward one selected simulcast stream. However, this is not recommended as it would unnecessarily increase the number of RTP streams and require the receiver to timely detect switching between simulcast streams. The above usage requires the
same SFM functionality for switching, while avoiding the uncertainties of timely detecting that a RTP stream ends. The benefit would be that the received simulcast stream would be implicitly provided by which RTP stream would be active for a media source. However, using RtpStreamId to make this explicit also exposes which alternative format is used. The conclusion is that using one RTP stream per simulcast stream is unnecessary. The issue with timely detecting end of streams, independent if they are stopped temporarily or long term, is that there is no explicit indication that the transmission has intentionally been stopped. The RTCP based Pause and Resume mechanism [RFC7728] includes a PAUSED indication that provides the last RTP sequence number transmitted prior to the pause. Due to usage, the timeliness of this solution depends on when delivery using RTCP can occur in relation to the transmission of the last RTP packet. If no explicit information is provided at all, then detection based on non increasing RTCP SR field values and timers need to be used to determine pause in RTP packet delivery. This results in that one can usually not determine when the last RTP packet arrives (if it arrives) that this will be the last. That it was the last is something that one learns later.

6.3. RTP Middlebox to RTP Middlebox

This relates to the transmission of simulcast streams between RTP middleboxes or other usages where one wants to enable the delivery of multiple simultaneous simulcast streams per media source, but the transmitting entity is not the originating endpoint. For a particular direction between middlebox A and B, this looks very similar to the originating to middlebox case on a media source basis. However, in this case there is usually multiple media sources, originating from multiple endpoints. This can create situations where limitations in the number of simultaneously received media streams can arise, for example due to limitation in network bandwidth. In this case, a subset of not only the simulcast streams, but also media sources can be selected. This results in that individual RTP streams can be become paused at any point and later being resumed based on various criteria.

The MIDs used between A and B are the ones agreed between these two identities in signalling. The RtpStreamId values will also be provided to ensure explicit information about which simulcast stream they are. The RTP stream to MID and RtpStreamId associations should here be long term stable.
7. Network Aspects

Simulcast is in this memo defined as the act of sending multiple alternative encoded streams of the same underlying media source. When transmitting multiple independent streams that originate from the same source, it could potentially be done in several different ways using RTP. A general discussion on considerations for use of the different RTP multiplexing alternatives can be found in Guidelines for Multiplexing in RTP [I-D.ietf-avtcore-multiplex-guidelines]. Discussion and clarification on how to handle multiple streams in an RTP session can be found in [RFC8108].

The network aspects that are relevant for simulcast are:

Quality of Service: When using simulcast it might be of interest to prioritize a particular simulcast stream, rather than applying equal treatment to all streams. For example, lower bitrate streams may be prioritized over higher bitrate streams to minimize congestion or packet losses in the low bitrate streams. Thus, there is a benefit to use a simulcast solution with good QoS support.

NAT/FW Traversal: Using multiple RTP sessions incurs more cost for NAT/FW traversal unless they can re-use the same transport flow, which can be achieved by Multiplexing Negotiation Using SDP Port Numbers [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation].

7.1. Bitrate Adaptation

Use of multiple simulcast streams can require a significant amount of network resources. The aggregate bandwidth for all simulcast streams for a media source (and thus SDP media description) is bounded by any SDP "b=" line applicable to that media source. It is assumed that a suitable congestion control mechanism is used by the application to ensure that it doesn’t cause persistent congestion. If the amount of available network resources varies during an RTP session such that it does not match what is negotiated in SDP, the bitrate used by the different simulcast streams may have to be reduced dynamically. When a simulcasting media source uses a single media transport for all of the simulcast streams, it is likely that a joint congestion control across all simulcast streams is used for that media source. What simulcast streams to prioritize when allocating available bitrate among the simulcast streams in such adaptation SHOULD be taken from the simulcast stream order on the "a=simulcast" line and ordering of alternative simulcast formats Section 5.2. Simulcast streams that have pause/resume capability and that would be given such low bitrate
by the adaptation process that they are considered not really useful can be temporarily paused until the limiting condition clears.

8. Limitation

The chosen approach has a limitation that relates to the use of a single RTP session for all simulcast formats of a media source, which comes from sending all simulcast streams related to a media source under the same SDP media description.

It is not possible to use different simulcast streams on different media transports, limiting the possibilities to apply different QoS to different simulcast streams. When using unicast, QoS mechanisms based on individual packet marking are feasible, since they do not require separation of simulcast streams into different RTP sessions to apply different QoS.

It is also not possible to separate different simulcast streams into different multicast groups to allow a multicast receiver to pick the stream it wants, rather than receive all of them. In this case, the only reasonable implementation is to use different RTP sessions for each multicast group so that reporting and other RTCP functions operate as intended. Such simulcast usage in multicast context is out of scope for the current document and would require additional specification.

9. IANA Considerations

This document requests to register a new media-level SDP attribute, "simulcast", in the "att-field (media level only)" registry within the SDP parameters registry, according to the procedures of [RFC4566] and [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-mux-attributes].

Contact name, email: The IESG (iesg@ietf.org)

Attribute name: simulcast

Long-form attribute name: Simulcast stream description

Charset dependent: No

Attribute value: sc-value; see Section 5.1 of RFC XXXX.

Purpose: Signals simulcast capability for a set of RTP streams

MUX category: NORMAL
10. Security Considerations

The simulcast capability, configuration attributes, and parameters are vulnerable to attacks in signaling.

A false inclusion of the "a=simulcast" attribute may result in simultaneous transmission of multiple RTP streams that would otherwise not be generated. The impact is limited by the media description joint bandwidth, shared by all simulcast streams irrespective of their number. There may however be a large number of unwanted RTP streams that will impact the share of bandwidth allocated for the originally wanted RTP stream.

A hostile removal of the "a=simulcast" attribute will result in simulcast not being used.

Neither of the above will likely have any major consequences and can be mitigated by signaling that is at least integrity and source authenticated to prevent an attacker to change it.

Security considerations related to the use of "a=rid" and the RtpStreamId SDES item is covered in [I-D.ietf-mmusic-rid] and [I-D.ietf-avtext-rid]. There are no additional security concerns related to their use in this specification.
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Appendix A.  Requirements

The following requirements are met by the defined solution to support the use cases (Section 3):

REQ-1:  Identification:

  REQ-1.1:  It must be possible to identify a set of simulcasted RTP streams as originating from the same media source in SDP signaling.

  REQ-1.2:  An RTP endpoint must be capable of identifying the simulcast stream a received RTP stream is associated with, knowing the content of the SDP signalling.

REQ-2:  Transport usage.  The solution must work when using:

  REQ-2.1:  Legacy SDP with separate media transports per SDP media description.


REQ-3:  Capability negotiation.  It must be possible that:

  REQ-3.1:  Sender can express capability of sending simulcast.

  REQ-3.2:  Receiver can express capability of receiving simulcast.

  REQ-3.3:  Sender can express maximum number of simulcast streams that can be provided.
REQ-3.4: Receiver can express maximum number of simulcast streams that can be received.

REQ-3.5: Sender can detail the characteristics of the simulcast streams that can be provided.

REQ-3.6: Receiver can detail the characteristics of the simulcast streams that it prefers to receive.

REQ-4: Distinguishing features. It must be possible to have different simulcast streams use different codec parameters, as can be expressed by SDP format values and RTP payload types.

REQ-5: Compatibility. It must be possible to use simulcast in combination with other RTP mechanisms that generate additional RTP streams:

REQ-5.1: RTP Retransmission [RFC4588].

REQ-5.2: RTP Forward Error Correction [RFC5109].

REQ-5.3: Related payload types such as audio Comfort Noise and/or DTMF.

REQ-5.4: A single simulcast stream can consist of multiple RTP streams, to support codecs where a dependent stream is dependent on a set of encoded and dependent streams, each potentially carried in their own RTP stream.

REQ-6: Interoperability. The solution must be possible to use in:

REQ-6.1: Interworking with non-simulcast legacy clients using a single media source per media type.

REQ-6.2: WebRTC environment with a single media source per SDP media description.

Appendix B. Changes From Earlier Versions

NOTE TO RFC EDITOR: Please remove this section prior to publication.

B.1. Modifications Between WG Version -13 and -14

  o c= and t= line order corrected in SDP examples
B.2. Modifications Between WG Version -12 and -13

- Examples corrected to follow RID ABNF
- Example Figure 7 now comments on priority for second media source.
- Clarified a SHOULD limitation.
- ABNF now uses RFC 7405 to indicate case sensitivity
- Various minor editorials and nits.

B.3. Modifications Between WG Version -11 and -12

- Modified Normative statement regarding RTP stream duplication in Section 5.2.
- Clarified assumption about use of congestion control by applications.
- Changed to use RFC 8174 boilerplate instead of RFC 2119.
- Clarified explanation of syntax for simulcast attribute in Section 4.
- Editorial clarification in Section 5.2 and 5.3.2.
- Various minor editorials and nits.

B.4. Modifications Between WG Version -10 and -11

- Added new SDP example section on Simulcast and Redundancy, including both RED (RFC2198), RTP RTX (RFC4588), and FEC (draft-ietf-payload-flexible-fec-scheme).
- Removed restriction that "related" payload formats in an RTP stream (such as CN and DTMF) must not have their own rid-id, since there is no reason to forbid this and corresponding clarification is made in draft-ietf-rtcp XR.
- Removed any mention of source-specific signaling and the reference to RFC5576, since draft-ietf-mmusic-rid is not defined for source-specific signaling.
o Changed some SDP examples to use a=rid restrictions instead of a=imageattr.

o Changed reference from the obsoleted RFC 5285 to RFC 8285.

B.5. Modifications Between WG Version -09 and -10

o Amended overview section with a bit more explanation on the examples, and added an rid-id alternative for one of the streams.

o Removed SCID also from the Terminology section, which was forgotten in -09 when changing SCID to rid-id.

B.6. Modifications Between WG Version -08 and -09

o Changed SCID to rid-id, to align with ietf-draft-ffmpeg-rid naming.

o Changed Overview to be based on examples and shortened it.

o Changed semantics of initially paused rid-id in modified SDP offers from requiring it to follow actual RFC 7728 pause state to an informational offerer’s opinion at the time of offer creation, not in any way overriding or amending RFC 7728 signaling.

o Replaced text on ignoring all but the first of multiple "a=simulcast" lines in a media description with mandating that at most one "a=simulcast" line is included.

o Clarified with a note that, for the case it is clear from the SDP that RTP PT uniquely maps to RtpStreamId, an RTP receiver can use RTP PT to relate simulcast streams.

o Moved Section 4 Requirements to become Appendix A.

o Editorial corrections and clarifications.

B.7. Modifications Between WG Version -07 and -08

o Correcting syntax of SDP examples in section 6.6.1, as found by Inaki Baz Castillo.

o Changing ABNF to only define the sc-value, not the SDP attribute itself, as suggested by Paul Kyzivat.

o Changing I-D reference to newly published RFC 8108.

o Adding list of modifications between -06 and -07.
B.8. Modifications Between WG Version -06 and -07

- A scope clarification, as result of the discussion with Roni Even.
- A reformulation of the identification requirements for simulcast stream.
- Correcting the statement related to source specific signalling (RFC 5576) to address Roni Even’s comment.
- Update of the last paragraph in Section 6.2 regarding simulcast stream differences as well as forbidding multiple instances of the same SCID within a single a=simulcast line.
- Removal of note in Section 6.4 as result of issue raised by Roni Even.
- Use of "m=" has been changed to media description and a few other editorial improvements and clarifications.

B.9. Modifications Between WG Version -05 and -06

- Added section on RTP Aspects
- Added a requirement (5-4) on that capability exchange must be capable of handling multi RTP stream cases.
- Added extmap attribute also on first signalling example as it is a recommended to use mechanism.
- Clarified the definition of the simulcast attribute and how simulcast streams relates to simulcast formats and SCIDs.
- Updated References list and moved around some references between informative and normative categories.
- Editorial improvements and corrections.

B.10. Modifications Between WG Version -04 and -05

- Aligned with recent changes in draft-ietf-ffmpeg and draft-ietf-avtext.
- Modified the SDP offer/answer section to follow the generally accepted structure, also adding a brief text on modifying the session that is aligned with draft-ietf-ffmpeg.
o Improved text around simulcast stream identification (as opposed
to the simulcast stream itself) to consistently use the acronym
SCID and defined that in the Terminology section.

o Changed references for RTP-level pause/resume and VP8 payload
format that are now published as RFC.

o Improved IANA registration text.

o Removed unused reference to draft-ietf-payload-flexible-fec-
scheme.

o Editorial improvements and corrections.

B.11. Modifications Between WG Version -03 and -04

o Changed to only use RID identification, as was consensus during
IETF 94.

o ABNF improvements.

o Clarified offer-answer rules for initially paused streams.

o Changed references for RTP topologies and RTP taxonomy documents
that are now published as RFC.

o Added reference to the new RID draft in AVTEXT.

o Re-structured section 6 to provide an easy reference by the
updated IANA section.

o Added a sub-section 7.1 with a discussion of bitrate adaptation.

o Editorial improvements.

B.12. Modifications Between WG Version -02 and -03

o Removed text on multicast / broadcast from use cases, since it is
not supported by the solution.

o Removed explicit references to unified plan draft.

o Added possibility to initiate simulcast streams in paused mode.

o Enabled an offerer to offer multiple stream identification (pt or
rid) methods and have the answerer choose which to use.

o Added a preference indication also in send direction offers.
B.13. Modifications Between WG Version -01 and -02

- Relying on the new RID solution for codec constraints and configuration identification. This has resulted in changes in syntax to identify if pt or RID is used to describe the simulcast stream.

- Renamed simulcast version and simulcast version alternative to simulcast stream and simulcast format respectively, and improved definitions for them.

- Clarification that it is possible to switch between simulcast version alternatives, but that only a single one be used at any point in time.

- Changed the definition so that ordering of simulcast formats for a specific simulcast stream do have a preference order.

B.14. Modifications Between WG Version -00 and -01

- No changes. Only preventing expiry.

B.15. Modifications Between Individual Version -00 and WG Version -00

- Added this appendix.
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