Abstract
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Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 28, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents.
carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction ................................. 2
   1.1. Some possible use cases for YANG module tags ...... 3
   1.2. Conventions Used in This Document .............. 4
2. Tag Values ................................. 4
   2.1. IETF Tags ................................ 4
   2.2. Vendor Tags ................................ 4
   2.3. User Tags .................................. 5
   2.4. Reserved Tags .............................. 5
3. Tag Management .............................. 5
   3.1. Module Definition Tagging ..................... 5
   3.2. Implementation Tagging ....................... 5
   3.3. User Tagging ............................... 5
4. Tags Module Structure ....................... 6
   4.1. Tags Module Tree ............................ 6
   4.2. YANG Module ............................... 6
5. Other Classifications ....................... 9
6. Guidelines to Model Writers ................. 9
   6.1. Define Standard Tags ....................... 9
7. IANA Considerations ....................... 9
   7.1. YANG Module Tag Prefixes Registry .......... 9
   7.2. IETF YANG Module Tags Registry ............. 10
   7.3. Updates to the IETF XML Registry .......... 12
   7.4. Updates to the YANG Module Names Registry ... 12
8. Security Considerations ................... 13
9. References ................................ 13
   9.1. Normative References ...................... 13
   9.2. Informative References .................... 14
Appendix A. Examples .......................... 14
Appendix B. Acknowledgements ................. 15
Appendix C. Non-NMDA State Module ............. 15
Authors’ Addresses ........................... 18

1. Introduction

The use of tags for classification and organization is fairly ubiquitous not only within IETF protocols, but in the internet itself (e.g., "#hashtags"). One benefit of using tags for organization over a rigid structure is that it is more flexible and can more easily adapt over time as technologies evolve. Tags can be usefully registered, but they can also serve as a non-registered mechanism.
available for users to define themselves. This document provides a mechanism to define tags and associate them with YANG modules in a flexible manner. In particular, tags may be registered as well as assigned during module definition; assigned by implementations; or dynamically defined and set by users.

This document defines a YANG module [RFC7950] which provides a list of module entries to allow for adding or removing of tags as well as viewing the set of tags associated with a module.

This document defines an extension statement to be used to indicate tags that SHOULD be added by the module implementation automatically (i.e., outside of configuration).

This document also defines an IANA registry for tag prefixes as well as a set of globally assigned tags.

Section 6 provides guidelines for authors of YANG data models.

This document updates [RFC8407].

The YANG data model in this document conforms to the Network Management Datastore Architecture defined in [RFC8342].

1.1. Some possible use cases for YANG module tags

During this document’s development there were requests for example uses of module tags. The following are a few example use cases for tags. This list is certainly not exhaustive.

One example use of tags would be to help filter different discrete categories of YANG modules supported by a device. For example, if modules are suitably tagged, then an XPath query can be used to list all of the vendor modules supported by a device.

Tags can also be used to help coordination when multiple semi-independent clients are interacting with the same devices. For example, one management client could mark that some modules should not be used because they have not been verified to behave correctly, so that other management clients avoid querying the data associated with those modules.

Tag classification is useful for users searching module repositories (e.g., YANG catalog). A query restricted to the ‘ietf:ietf’ module tag could be used to return only the IETF YANG modules associated with routing. Without tags, a user would need to know the name of all the IETF routing protocol YANG modules.
Future management protocol extensions could allow for filtering queries of configuration or operational state on a server based on tags. For example, return all operational state related to system-management.

1.2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Tag Values

All tags SHOULD begin with a prefix indicating who owns their definition. An IANA registry (Section 7.1) is used to support registering tag prefixes. Currently 3 prefixes are defined. No further structure is imposed by this document on the value following the registered prefix, and the value can contain any YANG type ‘string’ characters except carriage-returns, newlines and tabs.

Again, except for the conflict-avoiding prefix, this document is not specifying any structure on (i.e., restricting) the tag values on purpose. The intent is to avoid arbitrarily restricting the values that designers, implementers and users can use. As a result of this choice, designers, implementers, and users are free to add or not add any structure they may require to their own tag values.

2.1. IETF Tags

An IETF tag is a tag that has the prefix "ietf:". All IETF tags are registered with IANA in a registry defined later in this document (Section 7.2).

2.2. Vendor Tags

A vendor tag is a tag that has the prefix "vendor:". These tags are defined by the vendor that implements the module, and are not registered; however, it is RECOMMENDED that the vendor include extra identification in the tag to avoid collisions such as using the enterprise or organization name following the "vendor:" prefix (e.g., vendor:example.com:vendor-defined-classifier).
2.3. User Tags

A user tag is any tag that has the prefix "user:". These tags are defined by the user/administrator and are not meant to be registered. Users are not required to use the "user:" prefix; however, doing so is RECOMMENDED as it helps avoid collisions.

2.4. Reserved Tags

Any tag not starting with the prefix "ietf:," "vendor:" or "user:" is reserved for future use. These tag values are not invalid, but simply reserved in the context of specifications (e.g., RFCs).

3. Tag Management

Tags can become associated with a module in a number of ways. Tags may be defined and associated at module design time, at implementation time, or via user administrative control. As the main consumer of tags are users, users may also remove any tag, no matter how the tag became associated with a module.

3.1. Module Definition Tagging

A module definition MAY indicate a set of tags to be added by the module implementer. These design time tags are indicated using the module-tag extension statement.

If the module is defined in an IETF standards track document, the tags MUST be IETF Tags (2.1). Thus, new modules can drive the addition of new IETF tags to the IANA registry defined in Section 7.2, and the IANA registry can serve as a check against duplication.

3.2. Implementation Tagging

An implementation MAY include additional tags associated with a module. These tags SHOULD be IETF Tags (i.e., registered) or vendor specific tags.

3.3. User Tagging

Tags of any kind, with or without a prefix, can be assigned and removed by the user using normal configuration mechanisms. In order to remove a tag from the operational datastore the user adds a matching "masked-tag" entry for a given module.
4. Tags Module Structure

4.1. Tags Module Tree

The tree associated with the "ietf-module-tags" module follows. The meaning of the symbols can be found in [RFC8340].

```
module: ietf-module-tags
  +--rw module-tags
      +--rw module* [name]
          +--rw name          yang:yang-identifier
          +--rw tag*          tag
          +--rw masked-tag*   tag
```

Figure 1: YANG Module Tags Tree Diagram

4.2. YANG Module

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-module-tags@2019-09-25.yang"
module ietf-module-tags {
  yang-version 1.1;
  prefix tags;
  import ietf-yang-types {
    prefix yang;
  }

  organization
    "IETF NetMod Working Group (NetMod)";
  contact
    "WG Web: <https://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
    Author: Christian Hopps
    <mailto:chopps@chopps.org>
    Author: Lou Berger
    <mailto:lberger@labn.net>
    Author: Dean Bogdanovic
    <ivandean@gmail.com>"

  // RFC Ed.: replace XXXXX with actual RFC number and
  // remove this note.

  description
    "This module describes a mechanism associating tags with YANG
    
    
```
modules. Tags may be IANA assigned or privately defined.

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX (https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfcXXXX); see the RFC itself for full legal notices.


// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication // and RFC number and remove this note.

revision 2019-09-25 {
  description
    "Initial revision.";
  reference "RFC XXXX: YANG Module Tags";
}

typedef tag {
  type string {
    length "1..max";
    pattern ‘[^\S ]+’;
  }
  description
    "A tag is a type ‘string’ value that does not include carriage return, newline or tab characters. It SHOULD begin with a registered prefix; however, tags without a registered prefix SHOULD NOT be treated as invalid.";
}

extension module-tag {
  argument tag;
  description
    "The argument ‘tag’ is of type ‘tag’. This extension statement is used by module authors to indicate the tags that SHOULD be
added automatically by the system. As such the origin of the value for the pre-defined tags should be set to ‘system’ [RFC8342].

```yang
container module-tags {
  description "Contains the list of modules and their associated tags";
  list module {
    key "name";
    description "A list of modules and their associated tags";
    leaf name {
      type yang:yang-identifier;
      mandatory true;
      description "The YANG module name.";
    }
    leaf-list tag {
      type tag;
      description "Tags associated with the module. See the IANA ‘YANG Module Tag Prefixes’ registry for reserved prefixes and the IANA ‘IETF YANG Module Tags’ registry for IETF tags.

      The ‘operational’ state [RFC8342] view of this list is constructed using the following steps:

      1) System tags (i.e., tags of ‘system’ origin) are added.
      2) User configured tags (i.e., tags of ‘intended’ origin) are added.
      3) Any tag that is equal to a masked-tag is removed."
    }
    leaf-list masked-tag {
      type tag;
      description "The list of tags that should not be associated with this module. The user can remove (mask) tags from the operational state datastore [RFC8342] by adding them to this list. It is not an error to add tags to this list that are not associated with the module, but they have no operational effect.";
    }
  }
}
```

<CODE ENDS>
5. Other Classifications

It is worth noting that a different YANG module classification document exists [RFC8199]. That document only classifies modules in a logical manner and does not define tagging or any other mechanisms. It divides YANG modules into two categories (service or element) and then into one of three origins: standard, vendor or user. It does provide a good way to discuss and identify modules in general. This document defines IETF tags to support [RFC8199] style classification.

6. Guidelines to Model Writers

This section updates [RFC8407].

6.1. Define Standard Tags

A module MAY indicate, using module-tag extension statements, a set of tags that are to be automatically associated with it (i.e., not added through configuration).

```Yang
module example-module {
  //...
  import module-tags { prefix tags; }

  tags:module-tag "ietf:some-new-tag";
  tags:module-tag "ietf:some-other-tag";
  // ...
}
```

The module writer can use existing standard tags, or use new tags defined in the model definition, as appropriate. For IETF standardized modules new tags MUST be assigned in the IANA registry defined below, see Section 7.2.

7. IANA Considerations

7.1. YANG Module Tag Prefixes Registry

IANA is asked to create a new registry "YANG Module Tag Prefixes" grouped under a new "Protocol" category named "YANG Module Tags".

This registry allocates tag prefixes. All YANG module tags SHOULD begin with one of the prefixes in this registry.

Prefix entries in this registry should be short strings consisting of lowercase ASCII alpha-numeric characters and a final ":" character.
The allocation policy for this registry is Specification Required [RFC8126]. The Reference and Assignee values should be sufficient to identify and contact the organization that has been allocated the prefix.

The initial values for this registry are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Assignee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ietf:</td>
<td>IETF Tags allocated in the IANA IETF YANG Module Tags registry.</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
<td>IETF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vendor:</td>
<td>Non-registered tags allocated by the module implementer.</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
<td>IETF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>user:</td>
<td>Non-registered tags allocated by and for the user.</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
<td>IETF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other standards organizations (SDOs) wishing to allocate their own set of tags should allocate a prefix from this registry.

7.2. IETF YANG Module Tags Registry

IANA is asked to create a new registry "IETF YANG Module Tags" grouped under a new "Protocol" category "IETF YANG Module Tags". This registry should be included below "YANG Module Tag Prefixes" when listed on the same page.

This registry allocates tags that have the registered prefix "ietf:". New values should be well considered and not achievable through a combination of already existing IETF tags.

The allocation policy for this registry is IETF Review [RFC8126].

The initial values for this registry are as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tag</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ietf:network-element-class</td>
<td>[RFC8199] network element.</td>
<td>[RFC8199]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:network-service-class</td>
<td>[RFC8199] network service.</td>
<td>[RFC8199]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:sdo-defined-class</td>
<td>Module is defined by a</td>
<td>[RFC8199]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tag</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:vendor-defined-class</td>
<td>Module is defined by a vendor.</td>
<td>RFC8199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:user-defined-class</td>
<td>Module is defined by the user.</td>
<td>RFC8199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:hardware</td>
<td>Relates to hardware (e.g., inventory).</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:software</td>
<td>Relates to software (e.g., installed OS).</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:protocol</td>
<td>Represents a protocol (often combined with another tag to refine).</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:qos</td>
<td>Relates to quality of service.</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:network-service-app</td>
<td>Relates to a network service application (e.g., an NTP server, DNS server, DHCP server, etc).</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:system-management</td>
<td>Relates to system management (e.g., a system management protocol such as syslog, TACAC+, SNMP, netconf, ...).</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:oam</td>
<td>Relates to Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (e.g., BFD).</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:routing</td>
<td>Relates to routing.</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:security</td>
<td>Related to security.</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:signaling</td>
<td>Relates to control plane signaling.</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ietf:link-management</td>
<td>Relates to link</td>
<td>[This document]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.3. Updates to the IETF XML Registry

This document registers a URI in the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688]. Following the format in [RFC3688], the following registrations have been made:

URI:

Registrant Contact:
The IESG.

XML:
   N/A; the requested URI is an XML namespace.

URI:

Registrant Contact:
The IESG.

XML:
   N/A; the requested URI is an XML namespace.

7.4. Updates to the YANG Module Names Registry

This document registers two YANG modules in the "YANG Module Names" registry [RFC6020]. Following the format in [RFC6020], the following registration have been made:

name:
   ietf-module-tags

namespace:

prefix:
   tags

reference:
   RFC XXXX (RFC Ed.: replace XXX with actual RFC number and remove this note.)

name:
   ietf-module-tags-state
namespace:

prefix:
tags

reference:
RFC XXXX (RFC Ed.: replace XXX with actual RFC number and remove this note.)

8. Security Considerations

The YANG module defined in this memo is designed to be accessed via the NETCONF protocol [RFC6241]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is SSH [RFC6242].

This document adds the ability to associate tag meta-data with YANG modules. This document does not define any actions based on these associations, and none are yet defined, and therefore it does not by itself introduce any new security considerations.

Users of the tag-meta data may define various actions to be taken based on the tag meta-data. These actions and their definitions are outside the scope of this document. Users will need to consider the security implications of any actions they choose to define.

9. References
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9.2. Informative References


Appendix A. Examples

The following is a fictional NETCONF example result from a query of the module tags list. For the sake of brevity only a few module results are imagined.
<ns0:data xmlns:ns0="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
    <t:module>
      <t:name>ietf-bfd</t:name>
      <t:tag>ietf:network-element-class</t:tag>
      <t:tag>ietf:oam</t:tag>
      <t:tag>ietf:protocol</t:tag>
      <t:tag>ietf:sdo-defined-class</t:tag>
    </t:module>
    <t:module>
      <t:name>ietf-isis</t:name>
      <t:tag>ietf:network-element-class</t:tag>
      <t:tag>ietf:protocol</t:tag>
      <t:tag>ietf:sdo-defined-class</t:tag>
      <t:tag>ietf:routing</t:tag>
    </t:module>
    <t:module>
      <t:name>ietf-ssh-server</t:name>
      <t:tag>ietf:network-element-class</t:tag>
      <t:tag>ietf:protocol</t:tag>
      <t:tag>ietf:sdo-defined-class</t:tag>
      <t:tag>ietf:system-management</t:tag>
    </t:module>
  </t:module-tags>
</ns0:data>

Figure 3: Example NETCONF Query Output
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Appendix C. Non-NMDA State Module.

As per [RFC8407] the following is a non-NMDA module to support viewing the operational state for non-NMDA compliant servers.

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-module-tags-state@2019-09-25.yang"
module ietf-module-tags-state {
  yang-version 1.1;
  prefix tags-s;

  import ietf-yang-types {
    prefix yang;
  }
</CODE BEGINS>
import ietf-module-tags {
    prefix tags;
}

organization
    "IETF NetMod Working Group (NetMod)";

contact
    "WG Web: <https://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>

    Author: Christian Hopps
    <mailto:chopps@chopps.org>

    Author: Lou Berger
    <mailto:lberger@labn.net>

    Author: Dean Bogdanovic
    <ivandean@gmail.com>");

// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and
// remove this note.

description
    "This module describes a mechanism associating tags with YANG
    modules. Tags may be IANA assigned or privately defined.

    This is a temporary non-NMDA module that is for use by
    implementations that don’t yet support NMDA.

    Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
    authors of the code. All rights reserved.

    Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
    without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to
    the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set
    forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions

    This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX
    (https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfcXXXX); see the RFC itself
    for full legal notices.

    ‘MAY’, and ‘OPTIONAL’ in this document are to be interpreted as
    described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when,
    they appear in all capitals, as shown here.";
revision 2019-09-25 {
    description
        "Initial revision.";
    reference
        "RFC XXXX: YANG Module Tags";
}

container module-tags-state {
    config false;
    status deprecated;
    description
        "Contains the list of modules and their associated tags";
    list module {
        key "name";
        status deprecated;
        description
            "A list of modules and their associated tags";
        leaf name {
            type yang:yang-identifier;
            mandatory true;
            status deprecated;
            description
                "The YANG module name.";
        }
        leaf-list tag {
            type tags:tag;
            status deprecated;
            description
                "Tags associated with the module. See the IANA 'YANG Module Tag Prefixes' registry for reserved prefixes and the IANA 'IETF YANG Module Tags' registry for IETF tags.

The contents of this list is constructed using the following steps:

1) System tags (i.e., tags of added by the system) are added.
2) User configured tags (i.e., tags added by configuration) are added.
3) Any tag that is equal to a masked-tag present in the corresponding ietf-module-tags:module-tags:module-tag leaf list for this module is removed.";
    }
}
}
Figure 4: Non-NMDA Module Tags State Module

Authors’ Addresses

Christian Hopps
LabN Consulting, L.L.C.

Email: chopps@chopps.org

Lou Berger
LabN Consulting, LLC.

Email: lberger@labn.net

Dean Bogdanovic
Volta Networks

Email: ivandean@gmail.com