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1. Introduction

1.1. Terminology

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, [RFC2119].

1.1.1. Tree Diagrams

A simplified graphical representation of the data model is used in this document. The meaning of the symbols in these diagrams is as follows:

- Brackets "["] and "]" enclose list keys.
- Abbreviations before data node names: "rw" means configuration data (read-write) and "ro" state data (read-only).
- Symbols after data node names: "?" means an optional node, "!" means a presence container, and "*" denotes a list and leaf-list.
o Parentheses enclose choice and case nodes, and case nodes are also marked with a colon (":").

o Ellipsis ("...") stands for contents of subtrees that are not shown.

2. Background

YANG has two mechanisms for extending a data model with additional nodes; "uses" and "augment". The "uses" statement explicitly incorporates the contents of a "grouping" defined in some other module. The "augment" statement explicitly adds contents to a target node defined in some other module. In both these cases, the source and/or target model explicitly defines the relationship between the models.

In some cases these mechanisms are not sufficient. For example, suppose we have a model like ietf-interfaces [RFC7223] that is defined to be implemented in a device. Now suppose we want to model a device that supports multiple logical devices [I-D.rtgyangdt-rtgwg-device-model], where each such logical device has its own instantiation of ietf-interfaces (and other models), but at the same time, we’d like to be able to manage all these logical devices from the main device. We would like something like this:

```
+--rw interfaces
 | +--rw interface* [name]
 |   ...
+--rw logical-device* [name]
   +--rw name string
   |   ...
   +--rw interfaces
     +--rw interface* [name]
     ...
```

With the "uses" approach, ietf-interfaces would have to define a grouping with all its nodes, and the new model for logical devices would have to use this grouping. This is a not a scalable solution, since every time there is a new model defined, we would have to update our model for logical devices to use a grouping from the new model. Another problem is that this approach cannot handle vendor-specific modules.

With the "augment" approach, ietf-interfaces would have to augment the logical-device list with all its nodes, and at the same time define all its nodes on the top-level. This approach is also not scalable, since there may be other models to which we would like to add the interface list.
3. Schema Mount

The schema mount mechanism defined in this document takes a different approach to the extensibility problem described in the previous section. It decouples the definition of the relation between the source and target models from the definitions of the models themselves.

This is accomplished with a YANG extension statement that is used to specify a mount point in a data model. The purpose of a mount point is to define a place in the node hierarchy where other YANG data models may be attached, without any special notation in the other YANG data models. Only "anydata" nodes can be used as mount points.

For each mount point supported by a server, the server populates an operational state node hierarchy with information about which models it has mounted. This node hierarchy can be read by a client in order to learn what is implemented on a server.

Schema mount applies to the data model, and specifically does not assume anything about how the mounted data is implemented. It may be implemented using the same instrumentation as the rest of the system, or it may be implemented by querying some other system. Future specifications may define mechanisms to control or monitor the implementation of specific mount points.

This document allows mounting of complete data models only. Other specifications may extend this model by defining additional mechanisms, for example mounting of sub-hierarchies of a module.

3.1. Augment and Validation in Mounted Data

All paths (in leafrefs, instance-identifiers, XPath expressions, and target nodes of augments) in the data models mounted at a mount point are interpreted with the mount point as the root node, and the mounted data nodes as its children. This means that data within a mounted subtree can never refer to data outside of this subtree.

3.2. Top-level RPCs

If any mounted data model defines RPCs, these RPCs can be invoked by clients by treating them as actions defined where the mount point is specified. An example of this is given in Appendix C.1.
3.3. Top-level Notifications

If the server emits a notification defined at the top-level in any mounted data model, it is treated as if the notification was attached to the data node where the mount point is specified.

4. Data Model

This document defines the YANG 1.1 module [RFC7950] "ietf-yang-schema-mount", which has the following structure:
5. Schema Mount YANG Module

This module references [RFC6991] and [RFC7895].
module ietf-yang-schema-mount {
    yang-version 1.1;
    prefix yangmnt;

    import ietf-inet-types {
        prefix inet;
        reference "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types";
    }

    import ietf-yang-types {
        prefix yang;
        reference "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types";
    }

    import ietf-yang-library {
        prefix yanglib;
        reference "RFC 7895: YANG Module Library";
    }

    organization "IETF NETMOD (NETCONF Data Modeling Language) Working Group";

    contact "WG Web:  <https://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List:  <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>

    WG Chair:  Lou Berger
                <mailto:lberger@labn.net>

    WG Chair:  Kent Watsen
                <mailto:kwatsen@juniper.net>

    Editor:  Martin Bjorklund
              <mailto:mbj@tail-f.com>

    Editor:  Ladislav Lhotka
              <mailto:lhotka@nic.cz>";

    description "This module defines a YANG extension statement that can be used
to incorporate data models defined in other YANG modules in a
module. It also defines operational state data that specify the
overall structure of the data model.";
extension mount-point {
  argument name;
  description
    "The argument ‘name’ is a yang-identifier. The name of the
    mount point MUST be unique within the module where it is
    defined.

    The ‘mount-point’ statement can only be present as a
    substatement of ‘anydata’.

    If a mount point is defined in a grouping, its name is bound
to the module where the grouping is used. Note that this
implies that such a grouping can be used at most once in a
module.

    A mount point defines a place in the node hierarchy where
other data models may be attached. A server that implements a
module with a mount point, populates the
/schema-mounts/mount-point list with detailed information on
which data models are mounted at each mount point.

}/*

* Groupings
*/

grouping mount-point-list {
  description
    "This grouping is used inside the 'schema-mounts' container and
    inside the 'schema' list."
  list mount-point {
    key "module name";
    description
      "Each entry of this list specifies a subschema for a
      particular mount point.

      Each mount point MUST be defined using the 'mount-point'
      extension in one of the modules listed in the corresponding
      YANG library instance with conformance type 'implement'. The
      corresponding YANG library instance is:

      - standard YANG library state data as defined in RFC 7895, if
        the 'mount-point' list is a child of 'schema-mounts',

      - the contents of the sibling 'yanglib:modules-state'
        container, if the 'mount-point' list is a child of
        'schema'.";
    leaf module {
      type yang:yang-identifier;
      description
        "Name of a module containing the mount point."
    }
    leaf name {
      type yang:yang-identifier;
      description
        "Name of the mount point defined using the 'mount-point'
        extension."
    }
    choice subschema-ref {
      description
        "Alternative way for specifying the subschema."
      leaf inline {
        type empty;
        description
          "This leaf indicates that the server has mounted
          'ietf-yang-library' and 'ietf-schema-mount' at the mount


point, and their instantiation (i.e., state data containers ‘yanglib:modules-state’ and ‘schema-mounts’) provides the information about the mounted schema.

```{yang}
list use-schema {
  key "name";
  description
  "Each entry of this list contains a reference to a subschema defined in the /schema-mounts/schema list. The entry can be made conditional by specifying an XPath expression in the ‘when’ leaf."

  leaf name {
    type leafref {
      path "/schema-mounts/schema/name";
    }
    description
    "Name of the referenced schema."
  }

  leaf when {
    type yang:xpath1.0;
    description
    "This leaf contains an XPath expression. If it is present, then the current entry applies if and only if the expression evaluates to true."

    The XPath expression is evaluated once for each instance of the anydata node containing the mount point for which the ‘when’ leaf is defined.

    The XPath expression is evaluated using the rules specified in sec. 6.4 of RFC 7950, with these modifications:

    - The context node is the anydata instance containing the corresponding ‘mount-point’ statement.

    - The accessible tree contains only data belonging to the parent schema, i.e., all instances of anydata nodes containing the mount points are considered empty.

    - The set of namespace declarations is the set of all prefix/namespace pairs defined in the /schema-mounts/namespace list. Names without a namespace prefix belong to the same namespace as the context node."
  }
}
```
/*
 * State data nodes
 */

container schema-mounts {
  config "false";
  description "Contains information about the structure of the overall data model implemented in the server.";

  list namespace {
    key "prefix";
    description "This list provides a mapping of namespace prefixes that are used in XPath expressions of 'when' leafs to the corresponding namespace URI references.";

    leaf prefix {
      type yang:yang-identifier;
      description "Namespace prefix.";
    }

    leaf ns-uri {
      type inet:uri;
      description "Namespace URI reference.";
    }
  }

  uses mount-point-list;

  list schema {
    key "name";
    description "Each entry specifies a schema that can be mounted at a mount point. The schema information consists of two parts:

    - an instance of YANG library that defines YANG modules used in the schema,

    - mount-point list with content identical to the top-level mount-point list (this makes the schema structure recursive).";

    leaf name {
      type string;
      description "Arbitrary name of the entry.";
    }
  }
}
uses yanglib:module-list;
uses mount-point-list;
}
}

<CODE ENDS>

6. IANA Considerations

This document registers a URI in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registration is requested to be made.


Registrant Contact: The IESG.

XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [RFC6020].

name:       ietf-yang-schema-mount
prefix:     yangmnt
reference:  RFC XXXX

7. Security Considerations

TBD

8. Contributors

The idea of having some way to combine schemas from different YANG modules into one has been proposed independently by several groups of people: Alexander Clemm, Jan Medved, and Eric Voit ([I-D.clemm-netmod-mount]); Ladislav Lhotka ([I-D.lhotka-netmod-ysdl]); and Lou Berger and Christian Hopps.
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Appendix A. Example: Logical Devices

Logical devices within a device typically use the same set of data models in each instance. This can be modelled with a mount point:

```yang
module example-logical-devices {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:example:logical-devices";
  prefix exld;

  import ietf-yang-schema-mount {
    prefix yangmnt;
  }

  container logical-devices {
    list logical-device {
      key name;
      leaf name {
        type string;
      }

      anydata root {
        yangmnt:mount-point logical-device;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

A server with two logical devices that both implement "ietf-interfaces" [RFC7223], "ietf-ip" [RFC7277], and "ietf-system" [RFC7317] YANG modules might populate the "schema-mounts" container with:
<schema-mounts
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-schema-mount">
  <mount-point>
    <module>example-logical-devices</module>
    <name>logical-device</name>
    <use-schema>
      <name>logical-device</name>
    </use-schema>
  </mount-point>
  <schema>
    <name>logical-device</name>
    <module>
      <name>ietf-interface</name>
      <revision>2014-05-08</revision>
      <namespace>
        urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-interfaces
      </namespace>
      <conformance-type>implement</conformance-type>
    </module>
    <module>
      <name>ietf-ip</name>
      <revision>2014-06-16</revision>
      <namespace>
      </namespace>
      <conformance-type>implement</conformance-type>
    </module>
    <module>
      <name>ietf-system</name>
      <revision>2014-08-06</revision>
      <namespace>
        urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-system
      </namespace>
      <conformance-type>implement</conformance-type>
    </module>
    <module>
      <name>ietf-yang-types</name>
      <revision>2013-07-15</revision>
      <namespace>
        urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-types
      </namespace>
      <conformance-type>import</conformance-type>
    </module>
  </schema>
</schema-mounts>

and the "logical-devices" container might have:
<logical-devices xmlns="urn:example:logical-devices">
  <logical-device>
    <name>vrtrA</name>
    <root>
      <interfaces xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-interfaces">
        <interface>
          <name>eth0</name>
          <ipv6 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ip">
            <enabled>true</enabled>
          </ipv6>
        </interface>
      </interfaces>
      <system xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-system">
        ...
      </system>
    </root>
  </logical-device>
  <logical-device>
    <name>vrtrB</name>
    <root>
      <interfaces xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-interfaces">
        <interface>
          <name>eth0</name>
          <ipv6 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ip">
            <enabled>true</enabled>
          </ipv6>
        </interface>
      </interfaces>
      <system xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-system">
        ...
      </system>
    </root>
  </logical-device>
</logical-devices>

Appendix B. Example: Network Manager with Fixed Device Models

This example shows how a Network Manager application can use schema mount to define a data model for a network consisting of devices whose data models are known a priori and fixed.
Assume for simplicity that only two device types are used (switch and router), and they are identified by identities defined in the module "example-device-types":

```yang
module example-device-types {
  namespace "http://example.org/device-types";
  prefix edt;
  identity device-type;
  identity switch-device {
    base device-type;
  }
  identity router-device {
    base device-type;
  }
}
```

Schema mount is used to mount the device data models conditionally, depending on the "type" leaf that is a sibling of the mount point. This approach is similar to "ietf-interfaces" [RFC7223] where the same effect is achieved via conditional augments.

The top-level module may look as follows:

```yang
module example-network-manager-fixed {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:example:network-manager-fixed";
  prefix exf;

  import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix inet;
  }
  import ietf-yang-schema-mount {
    prefix yangmnt;
  }
  import example-device-types {
    prefix edt;
  }

  container managed-devices {
    description "The managed devices and device communication settings.";

    list device {
      key name;
      leaf name {
        type string;
      }
      leaf type {
```
type identityref {
    base edt:device-type;
}

container transport {
    choice protocol {
        mandatory true;
        container netconf {
            leaf address {
                type inet:ip-address;
                mandatory true;
            }
            container authentication {
                // ...
            }
        }
        container restconf {
            leaf address {
                type inet:ip-address;
                mandatory true;
            }
            // ...
        }
    }
}

anydata root {
    yangmnt:mount-point managed-device;
}

The "schema-mounts" container may have the following data:
<data-model
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-schema-mount">
  <namespace>
    <prefix>edt</prefix>
    <ns-uri>http://example.org/device-types</ns-uri>
  </namespace>
  <mount-point>
    <module>example-network-manager</module>
    <name>managed-device</name>
    <use-schema>
      <name>switch</name>
      <when>derived-from-or-self(../type, 'edt:switch-device')</when>
    </use-schema>
    <use-schema>
      <name>router</name>
      <when>derived-from-or-self(../type, 'edt:router-device')</when>
    </use-schema>
  </mount-point>
  <schema>
    <name>switch</name>
    <module>
      ...
    </module>
  </schema>
  <schema>
    <name>router</name>
    <module>
      ...
    </module>
  </schema>
</data-model>

The "devices" list may contain any number of instances of either type.

**Appendix C. Example: Network Manager with Arbitrary Device Models**

This example shows how a Network Manager application can use schema mount to define a data model for a network consisting of devices whose data models are not known in advance -- each device is expected to provide its data model dynamically.

Schema mount is used to mount the data models that each device supports, and these data models can be discovered by inspecting state data under the corresponding mount point. Every such device must
therefore implement "ietf-yang-library" and optionally "ietf-schema-mount".
module example-network-manager-arbitrary {
    yang-version 1.1;
    namespace "urn:example:network-manager-arbitrary";
    prefix exa;

    import ietf-inet-types {
        prefix inet;
    }
    import ietf-yang-schema-mount {
        prefix yangmnt;
    }

    container managed-devices {
        description "The managed devices and device communication settings."
        list device {
            key name;
            leaf name {
                type string;
            }
            container transport {
                choice protocol {
                    mandatory true;
                    container netconf {
                        leaf address {
                            type inet:ip-address;
                            mandatory true;
                        }
                        container authentication {
                            // ...
                        }
                    }
                    container restconf {
                        leaf address {
                            type inet:ip-address;
                            mandatory true;
                        }
                        // ...
                    }
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
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The "schema-mounts" container may have the following data:

```xml
<data-model
 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-schema-mount">
 <mount-point>
   <module>example-network-manager</module>
   <name>managed-device</name>
   <inline/>
 </mount-point>
</data-model>
```

The "devices" container might have:

```xml
<devices xmlns="urn:example:network-manager">
 <device>
   <name>rtrA</name>
   <transport>
     <netconf>
       <address>2001:db8::2</address>
       <authentication>...
       </authentication>
       ...
     </netconf>
   </transport>
   <root>
     <modules-state
      xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-library">
       <module>
         <name>ietf-system</name>
         ...
     </module>
   </modules-state>
   <system xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-system">
     ...
   </system>
 </root>
 </device>
 <device>
   <name>rtrB</name>
   <transport>
     <restconf>
       <address>2001:db8::3</address>
       <authentication>...
       </authentication>
       ...
     </restconf>
   </transport>
   <root>
     ...
   </root>
 </device>
</devices>
```
C.1. Invoking an RPC

A client that wants to invoke the "restart" operation [RFC7317] on the managed device "rtrA" over NETCONF [RFC6241] can send:

```xml
<rpc message-id="101"
     xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <action xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:1">
    <managed-devices xmlns="urn:example:network-manager">
      <device>
        <name>rtrA</name>
      </device>
    </managed-devices>
  </action>
</rpc>
```

Appendix D. Open Issues

- Is the 'mount-point' extension really needed? Now that mount points can only appear under anydata nodes, there seems to be little need to otherwise restrict mount point locations. In the 'mount-point' list, schema node identifiers (as in 'augment' statements) can be used instead of the (module, name) pair for identifying mount points. As a useful side effect, a grouping containing mount points could be used any number of times in the same module. OTOH, by using this extension, the intention of the
data modeller is clear, and it provides a formal machine readable instruction about where mounts are allowed to occur.
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