Abstract

Each PIM-SM router in a PIM Domain which supports ASM maintains Group-to-RP mappings which are used to identify a RP for a specific multicast group. PIM-SM has defined an algorithm to choose a RP from the Group-to-RP mappings learned using various mechanisms. This algorithm does not allow administrator to override a specific Group-to-RP mapping with the static Group-to-RP mapping which an administrator would want to use. This algorithm also does not consider the PIM mode and the mechanism through which a Group-to-RP mapping was learned.

The intention of this document is to suggest a standard algorithm to deterministically choose between several group-to-rp mappings for a specific group. This document first explains the requirements to extend the Group-to-RP mapping algorithm and then proposes the new algorithm.
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1. Introduction

Multiple mechanisms exist today to create and distribute Group-to-RP mappings. Each PIM-SM router may learn Group-to-RP mappings through various mechanisms.

It is critical that each router select the same ‘RP’ for a specific multicast group address. This is even true in the case of Anycast RP for redundancy. Routers should select the same RP address to use for a given group address. This RP address may correspond to a different physical router but it is one logical RP address and must be consistent across the PIM domain. This is usually achieved by using the same algorithm to select the RP in all the PIM routers in a domain.

PIM-SM[RFC4601] has defined an algorithm to select a ‘RP’ for a given multicast group address but it is not flexible enough for an administrator to apply various policies. Please refer to section 3 for more details.

PIM-STD-MIB [RFC5060] has defined an algorithm that allows administrators to override Group-to-RP mappings with static configuration. But this algorithm is not completely deterministic, because it includes an implementation-specific ‘precedence’ value.

Embedded-RP as defined in section-7.1 of Embedded-RP address in IPv6 Multicast address [RFC3956], mentions that to avoid loops and inconsistencies, for addresses in the range FF70::/12, the Embedded-RP mapping must be considered the longest possible match and higher priority than any other mechanism.
2. Terminology

In this document, the key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119. This document also uses following terms:

- PIM Mode

PIM Mode is the mode of operation a particular multicast group is used for. Wherever this term is used in this document, it refers to either Sparse Mode or BIDIR Mode.
3. Existing algorithm

Existing algorithm defined in PIM-SM (Section 4.7.1 in [RFC4601])
does not consider following constraints:

- It does not consider the origin of a Group-to-RP mapping and
  therefore will treat all of them equally.

- It does not provide the flexibility that a specific statically
  created Group-to-RP mapping can override any dynamically learned
  mappings.

- It does not provide the flexibility to give higher priority to a
  specific PIM mode. For example, an entry learned for PIM-BIDIR
  mode is treated with same priority as an entry learned for PIM-SM.
4. Assumptions

We have made following assumptions in defining this algorithm:

- PIM-SM [RFC4601] and BSR [RFC5059] suggested use of a hash function as the last step to select a RP from multiple Group-to-RP mappings. There seems to be no requirement for this function, so this draft assumes that the step to apply hash function can be removed.

- A static Group-to-RP mapping entry can be configured with override-dynamic flag. If this flag is set, the static Group-to-RP mapping entry will be preferred instead of dynamically learned entries.

- Group-to-RP mappings created with the embedded RP extracted from Multicast Group addresses are special and always has the highest priority. These mappings cannot be overridden by a static Group-to-RP mapping with override-dynamic flag set.

- A Group-to-RP mapping can be learned from various mechanisms. We assume that following list is in the decreasing preferences of these mechanisms:
  * Embedded Group-to-RP mappings
  * Bootstrap Router Mechanism [PIM-BSR]
  * Auto-RP [Cisco]
  * Static configuration.
  * Other mapping method

- A Group-to-RP mapping learned for PIM-BIDIR mode is preferred to an entry learned for PIM-SM mode.
5. Common use cases

- Default static Group-to-RP mappings with dynamically learned entries

Many network operators will have a dedicated infrastructure for the standard multicast group range (224/4) and so might be using statically configured Group-to-RP mappings for this range. In this case, to support some specific applications, they might like to learn Group-to-RP mappings dynamically using either BSR or Auto-RP mechanism. In this case to select Group-to-RP mappings for these specific applications, a longer prefix match should be given preference over statically configured Group-to-RP mappings. For example 239.100.0.0/16 could be learned for a corporate communications application. Network operators may change the Group-to-RP mappings for these applications more often and would need to be learned dynamically.

- Static Group-to-RP mappings with override-dynamic flag

Many Network operators would like to statically configure one or multiple Group-to-RP mappings and would always want to ignore any dynamically learned mappings through either BSR, AutoRP or embedded RP for these group prefixes. This is accomplished by providing a ‘override-dynamic’ flag for Group-to-RP mapping configuration. When this flag is enabled for a static Group-to-RP mapping, it will have the highest precedence and would always be use for the specified group prefix. For example: 224.1.0.0/16 is configured with override-dynamic flag enabled and uses RP address RP1. If the router learns the more specific group prefix 224.1.1.0/24 which uses RP2 through BSR, it will choose the RP1 for any group falling under 224.1.0.0/16 range.

- Migration situations

Network operators occasionally go through a migration due to an acquisition or a change in their network design. In order to facilitate this migration there is a needs to have a deterministic behavior of Group-to-RP mapping selection for entries learned using BSR and AutoRP mechanism. This will help in avoiding any unforeseen interoperability issues between different vendor’s network elements.

- Use by management systems

A network management system [or a stand alone box] can find out RP for a specific group in a specific router by running this algorithm on the Group-to-RP mapping table fetched using SNMP MIB objects.
o More use cases

By no means, the above list is complete. Please drop a mail to ‘authors’ if you see any other use case for this.
6. Proposed algorithm

We propose following algorithm here which addresses the above mentioned shortcomings in the existing mechanism:

1. If the Multicast Group Address being looked up contains an embedded RP, RP address extracted from the Group address is selected as Group-to-RP mapping.

2. If the Multicast Group Address being looked up is in the SSM range or is configured for Dense mode, no Group-to-RP mapping is selected, and this algorithm terminates. Alternatively, a RP with address type ‘unknown’ can be selected. Please look at section #8 for more details on this.

3. From the set of all Group-to-RP mapping entries, the subset whose group prefix contains the multicast group that is being looked up, are selected.

4. If there are no entries available, then the Group-to-RP mapping is undefined.

5. If there are multiple entries available, a subset of those Group-to-RP mapping is selected that are learned using ‘static’ configuration and are configured with ‘override-dynamic’ flag.
   * If there is only one entry available then that is selected as Group-to-RP mapping.
   * If there are multiple entries available, we continue with the algorithm with this smaller set of Group-to-RP Mappings
   * If there are no static entries with ‘override-dynamic’ flag set then we continue with the original subset of Group-to-RP Mappings from step 2.

6. A longest prefix match is performed on the subset of Group-to-RP Mappings.
   * If there is only one entry available then that is selected as Group-to-RP mapping.
   * If there are multiple entries available, we continue with the algorithm with this smaller set of Group-to-RP Mappings

7. From the remaining set of Group-to-RP Mappings we select the subset of entries based on the preference for the PIM modes which they are assigned. A Group-to-RP mapping entry with PIM
Mode 'BIDIR' will be preferred to an entry with PIM Mode 'PIM-SM'

* If there is only one entry available then that is selected as Group-to-RP mapping.

* If there are multiple entries available, we continue with the algorithm with this smaller set of Group-to-RP Mappings

8. From the remaining set of Group-to-RP Mappings we select the subset of the entries based on the origin. Origin preference will be 'bsr', 'auto-rp', 'static' and 'other'.

* If there is only one entry available then that is selected as Group-to-RP mapping.

* If there are multiple entries available, we continue with the algorithm with this smaller set of Group-to-RP Mappings

9. If the remaining Group-to-RP mappings were learned through BSR and the PIM Mode of the Group is 'PIM-SM' then the hash function will be used to choose the RP. The RP with the highest resulting hash value will be selected.

* If more than one RP has the same highest hash value we continue with the algorithm with those Group-to-RP mappings.

* If the remaining Group-to-RP mappings were NOT learned from BSR we continue the algorithm with the next step

10. From the remaining set of Group-to-RP Mappings we will select the RP with the highest IP address. This will serve as a final tiebreaker.
7. Deprecation of MIB Objects

Group-to-RP mapping algorithm defined in PIM-STD-MIB [RFC5060] does not specify the usage of ‘pimGroupMappingPrecedence’ and ‘pimStaticRPPrecedence’ objects in ‘pimGroupMappingTable’ table clearly. With the newly proposed algorithm in this document, these MIB objects would not be required. So we propose to deprecate these MIB objects from PIM-STD-MIB. Also the newly proposed algorithm in this document MUST be preferred over Group-to-RP mapping algorithm defined in either PIM-SM[RFC4601] or in PIM-STD-MIB[RFC5060].
8. Clarification for MIB Objects

When an Group-to-RP mapping entry is created in the pimGroupMappingTable in the PIM-STD MIB[RFC5060], it would be acceptable to have an entry with an RP with address type ‘unknown’ and a PimMode of Dense Mode or SSM. These entries would represent group ranges for Dense mode or SSM.

Also all the entries which are already included in the SSM Range table in the IP Mcast MIB would be copied over to pimGroupMappingTable. They would have a type of configSSM and an RP with address type ‘unknown’ as described above.

The advantage of keeping all the ranges in the table would be that this table will contain all the known multicast group ranges.
9. Use of dynamic group-to-rp mapping protocols

In practice, it is not usually necessary to run several dynamic Group-to-RP mapping mechanisms in one administrative domain. Specifically, interoperation of BSR and AutoRP is OPTIONAL and not recommended by this document.

However, if a router does receive two overlapping sets of Group-to-RP mappings, for example from AutoRP and BSR, then some algorithm is needed to deterministically resolve the situation. The algorithm in this document MUST be used. This can be important at domain border routers, and is likely to improve stability under misconfiguration and when configuration is changing.

An implementation of PIM that supports only one mechanism for learning Group-to-RP mappings SHOULD also use this algorithm. The algorithm has been chosen so that existing standard implementations are already compliant.
10. Security Consideration

This document does not suggest any protocol specific functionality so there is no security related consideration.
11. IANA Consideration

This draft does not create any namespace for IANA to manage.
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