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Abstract

This document proposes a protocol extension to RPL that enables to install a limited amount of centrally-computed routes in a RPL graph, enabling loose source routing down a non-storing mode DODAG, or transversal routes inside the DODAG. As opposed to the classical route injection in RPL that are injected by the end devices, this draft enables the root of the DODAG to projects the routes that are needed on the nodes where they should be installed.
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1. Introduction

The "Routing Protocol for Low Power and Lossy Networks" [RFC6550] (LLN) (RPL) is a generic Distance Vector protocol that is well suited for application in a variety of low energy Internet of Things (IoT) networks. RPL forms Destination Oriented Directed Acyclic Graphs (DODAGs) in which the root often acts as the Border Router to connect the RPL domain to the Internet. The root is responsible to select the RPL Instance that is used to forward a packet coming from the Internet into the RPL domain and set the related RPL information in the packets.

The 6TiSCH architecture [I-D.ietf-6tisch-architecture] leverages RPL for its routing operation and considers the Deterministic Networking
Architecture [I-D.ietf-detnet-architecture] as one possible model whereby the device resources and capabilities are exposed to an external controller which installs routing states into the network based on some objective functions that reside in that external entity.

Based on heuristics of usage, path length, and knowledge of device capacity and available resources such as battery levels and reservable buffers, a Path Computation Element ([PCE]) with a global visibility on the system could install additional P2P routes that are more optimized for the current needs as expressed by the objective function.

This draft enables a RPL root to install and maintain projected routes (P-routes) within its DODAG, along a selected set of nodes that may or may not include self, for a chosen duration. This potentially enables routes that are more optimized than those obtained with the distributed operation of RPL, either in terms of the size of a source-route header or in terms of path length, which impacts both the latency and the packet delivery ratio. P-routes may be installed in either Storing and Non-Storing Modes Instances of the classical RPL operation, resulting in potentially hybrid situations where the mode of some P-routes is different from that of the other routes in the RPL Instance.

Projected routes must be used with the parsimony to limit the amount of state that is installed in each device to fit within its resources, and to limit the amount of rerouted traffic to fit within the capabilities of the transmission links. The algorithm used to compute the paths and the protocol used to learn the topology of the network and the resources that are available in devices and in the network are out of scope for this document. Possibly with the assistance of a Path Computation Element ([PCE]) that could have a better visibility on the larger system, the root computes which segment could be optimized and uses this draft to install the corresponding projected routes.

2. Terminology

2.1. BCP 14

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119][RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.
2.2. References

In this document, readers will encounter terms and concepts that are discussed in the following documents:

- "Routing Protocol for Low Power and Lossy Networks" [RFC6550], and
- "Terminology in Low power And Lossy Networks" [RFC7102].

2.3. Subset of a 6LoWPAN Glossary

This document often uses the following acronyms:

- 6BBR: 6LoWPAN Backbone Router
- 6LBR: 6LoWPAN Border Router
- 6LN: 6LoWPAN Node
- 6LR: 6LoWPAN Router
- 6CIO: Capability Indication Option
- EARO: (Extended) Address Registration Option -- (E)ARO
- EDAR: (Extended) Duplicate Address Request -- (E)DAR
- EDAC: (Extended) Duplicate Address Confirmation -- (E)DAC
- DAD: Duplicate Address Detection
- DODAG: Destination-Oriented Directed Acyclic Graph
- LLN: Low-Power and Lossy Network
- NA: Neighbor Advertisement
- NCE: Neighbor Cache Entry
- ND: Neighbor Discovery
- NDP: Neighbor Discovery Protocol
- NS: Neighbor Solicitation
- RPL: IPv6 Routing Protocol for LLNs (pronounced ripple) [RFC6550]
- RA: Router Advertisement
2.4. New Terms

Projected Route: A route that is installed remotely by a RPL root.

3. Extending RFC 6550

Section 6.7 of RPL [RFC6550] specifies Control Message Options (CMO) to be placed in RPL messages such as the Destination Advertisement Object (DAO) message. The RPL Target Option and the Transit Information Option (TIO) are such options; the former indicates a node to be reached and the latter specifies a parent that can be used to reach that node. Options may be factorized; one or more contiguous TIOs apply to the one or more contiguous Target options that immediately precede the TIOs in the RPL message.

This specification introduces 2 new Control Message Options referred to as Route Projection Options (RPO). One RPO is the Information option (VIO) and the other is the Source-Routed VIO (SRVIO). The VIO installs a route on each hop along a projected route (in a fashion analogous to RPL Storing Mode) whereas the SRVIO installs a source-routing state at the ingress node, which uses it to insert a routing header in a fashion similar to Non-Storing Mode.

Like the TIO, the RPOs MUST be preceded by one or more RPL Target Options to which they apply, and they can be factorized: multiple contiguous RPOs indicate alternate paths to the target(s).

4. New RPL Control Message Options

The format of RPOs is as follows:
Option Type: 0x0A for VIO, 0x0B for SRVIO (to be confirmed by IANA)

Option Length: In bytes; variable, depending on the number of Via Addresses.

Path Sequence: 8-bit unsigned integer. When a RPL Target option is issued by the root of the DODAG (i.e. in a DAO message), that root sets the Path Sequence and increments the Path Sequence each time it issues a RPL Target option with updated information. The indicated sequence deprecates any state for a given Target that was learned from a previous sequence and adds to any state that was learned for that sequence.

Path Lifetime: 8-bit unsigned integer. The length of time in Lifetime Units (obtained from the Configuration option) that the prefix is valid for route determination. The period starts when a new Path Sequence is seen. A value of all one bits (0xFF) represents infinity. A value of all zero bits (0x00) indicates a loss of reachability. A DAO message that contains
a Via Information option with a Path Lifetime of 0x00 for a
Target is referred as a No-Path (for that Target) in this
document.

Via Address: 16 bytes. IPv6 Address of the next hop towards the
destination(s) indicated in the target option that immediately
precede the RPO. Via Addresses are indicated in the order of
the data path from the ingress to the egress nodes. TBD: See
how the /64 prefix can be elided if it is the same as that of
(all of) the target(s). In that case, the Next-Hop Address
could be expressed as the 8-bytes suffix only.

An RPO MUST contain at least one Via Address, and a Via Address MUST
NOT be present more than once, otherwise the RPO MUST be ignored.

5. Projected DAO

This draft adds a capability to RPL whereby the root of a DODAG
projects a route by sending an extended DAO message called a
Projected-DAO (P-DAO) to an arbitrary router in the DODAG, indicating
one or more sequence(s) of routers inside the DODAG via which the
target(s) indicated in the Target Information Option(s) (TIO) can be
reached.

A P-DAO is sent from a global address of the root to a global address
of the recipient, and MUST be confirmed by a DAO-ACK, which is sent
back to a global address of the root.

A P-DAO message MUST contain at least one TIO and at least one RPO
following it. There can be at most one such sequence of TIOs and
then RPOs.

Like a classical DAO message, a P-DAO is processed only if it is
"new" per section 9.2.2. "Generation of DAO Messages" of the RPL
specification [RFC6550]; this is determined using the Path Sequence
information from the RPO as opposed to a TIO. Also, a Path Lifetime
of 0 in an RPO indicates that a route is to be removed.

There are two kinds of operation for the projected routes, the
Storing Mode and the Non-Storing Mode.

The Non-Storing Mode is discussed in section Section 5.1. It uses
an SRVIO that carries a list of Via Addresses to be used as a
source-routed path to the target. The recipient of the P-DAO is
the ingress router of the source-routed path. Upon a Non-Storing
Mode P-DAO, the ingress router installs a source-routed state to
the target and replies to the root directly with a DAO-ACK
message.
The Storing Mode is discussed in section Section 5.2. It uses a VIO with one Via Address per consecutive hop, from the ingress to the egress of the path, including the list of all intermediate routers in the data path order. The Via Addresses indicate the routers in which the routing state to the target have to be installed via the next Via Address in the VIO. In normal operations, the P-DAO is propagated along the chain of Via Routers from the egress router of the path till the ingress one, which confirms the installation to the root with a DAO-ACK message. Note that the root may be the ingress and it may be the egress of the path, that it can also be neither but it cannot be both.

5.1. Non-storing Mode Projected Route

As illustrated in Figure 2, a P-DAO that carries an SRVIO enables the root to install a source-routed path towards a target in any particular router; with this path information the router can add a source routed header reflecting the P-route to any packet for which the current destination either is the said target or can be reached via the target.

---
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Internet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-DAO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Route</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Path</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desti-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Projecting a Non-Storing Route

A route indicated by an SRVIO may be loose, meaning that the node that owns the next listed Via Address is not necessarily a neighbor. Without proper loop avoidance mechanisms, the interaction of loose source routing and other mechanisms may effectively cause loops. In order to avoid those loops, if the router that installs a P-route
does not have a connected route (a direct adjacency) to the next loose routed hop and fails to locate it as a neighbor or a neighbor of a neighbor, then it MUST ensure that it has another projected route to the next loose hop under the control of the same route computation system, otherwise the P-DAO is rejected.

When forwarding a packet to a destination for which the router determines that routing happens via the target, the router inserts the source routing header in the packet to reach the target. In the case of a loose source-routed path, there MUST be either a neighbor that is adjacent to the loose next hop, on which case the packet is forwarded to that neighbor, or a source-routed path to the loose next hop; in the latter case, another encapsulation takes place and the process possibly recurses; otherwise the packet is dropped.

In order to add a source-routing header, the router encapsulates the packet with an IP-in-IP header and a non-storing mode source routing header (SRH) [RFC6554].

In the uncompressed form the source of the packet would be self, the destination would be the first Via Address in the SRVIO, and the SRH would contain the list of the remaining Via Addresses and then the target.

In practice, the router will normally use the "IPv6 over Low-Power Wireless Personal Area Network (6LoWPAN) Paging Dispatch" [RFC8025] to compress the RPL artifacts as indicated in the "6LoWPAN Routing Header" [RFC8138] specification. In that case, the router indicates self as encapsulator in an IP-in-IP 6LoRH Header, and places the list of Via Addresses in the order of the VIO and then the target in the SRH 6LoRH Header.

5.2. Storing-Mode Projected Route

As illustrated in Figure 3, the Storing Mode projected iq used by the root to install a routing state towards a target in the routers along a segment between an ingress and an egress router; this enables the routers to forward along that segment any packet for which the next loose hop is the said target, for instance a loose source routed packet for which the next loose hop is the target, or a packet for which the router has a routing state to the final destination via the target.
In order to install the relevant routing state along the segment between an ingress and an egress routers, the root sends a unicast P-DAO message to the egress router of the routing segment that must be installed. The P-DAO message contains the ordered list of hops along the segment as a direct sequence of Via Information options that are preceded by one or more RPL Target options to which they relate. Each Via Information option contains a Path Lifetime for which the state is to be maintained.

The root sends the P-DAO directly to the egress node of the segment. In that P-DAO, the destination IP address matches the Via Address in the last VIO. This is how the egress recognizes its role. In a similar fashion, the ingress node recognizes its role as it matches Via Address in the first VIO.

The egress node of the segment is the only node in the path that does not install a route in response to the P-DAO; it is expected to be already able to route to the target(s) on its own. It may either be the target, or may have some existing information to reach the target(s), such as a connected route or an already installed projected route. If one of the targets cannot be located, the node MUST answer to the root with a negative DAO-ACK listing the target(s) that could not be located (suggested status 10 to be confirmed by IANA).

If the egress node can reach all the targets, then it forwards the P-DAO with unchanged content to its loose predecessor in the segment as indicated in the list of Via Information options, and recursively
the message is propagated unchanged along the sequence of routers indicated in the P-DAO, but in the reverse order, from egress to ingress.

The address of the predecessor to be used as destination of the propagated DAO message is found in the Via Information option the precedes the one that contain the address of the propagating node, which is used as source of the packet.

Upon receiving a propagated DAO, an intermediate router as well as the ingress router install a route towards the DAO target(s) via its successor in the P-DAO; the router locates the VIO that contains its address, and uses as next hop the address found in the Via Address field in the following VIO. The router MAY install additional routes towards the addresses that are located in VIOs that are after the next one, if any, but in case of a conflict or a lack of resource, a route to a target installed by the root has precedence.

The process recurses till the P-DAO is propagated to ingress router of the segment, which answers with a DAO-ACK to the root.

Also, the path indicated in a P-DAO may be loose, in which case the reachability to the next hop has to be asserted. Each router along the path indicated in a P-DAO is expected to be able to reach its successor, either with a connected route (direct neighbor), or by routing, for instance following a route installed previously by a DAO or a P-DAO message. If that route is not connected then a recursive lookup may take place at packet forwarding time to find the next hop to reach the target(s). If it does not and cannot reach the next router in the P-DAO, the router MUST answer to the root with a negative DAO-ACK indicating the successor that is unreachable (suggested status 11 to be confirmed by IANA).

A Path Lifetime of 0 in a Via Information option is used to clean up the state. The P-DAO is forwarded as described above, but the DAO is interpreted as a No-Path DAO and results in cleaning up existing state as opposed to refreshing an existing one or installing a new one.

6. Applications

6.1. Loose Source Routing in Non-storing Mode

A RPL implementation operating in a very constrained LLN typically uses the Non-Storing Mode of Operation as represented in Figure 4. In that mode, a RPL node indicates a parent-child relationship to the root, using a Destination Advertisement Object (DAO) that is unicast from the node directly to the root, and the root typically builds a
source routed path to a destination down the DODAG by recursively concatenating this information.

Figure 4: RPL non-storing mode of operation

Based on the parent-children relationships expressed in the non-storing DAO messages, the root possesses topological information about the whole network, though this information is limited to the structure of the DODAG for which it is the destination. A packet that is generated within the domain will always reach the root, which can then apply a source routing information to reach the destination if the destination is also in the DODAG. Similarly, a packet coming from the outside of the domain for a destination that is expected to be in a RPL domain reaches the root.

It results that the root, or then some associated centralized computation engine such as a PCE, can determine the amount of packets that reach a destination in the RPL domain, and thus the amount of energy and bandwidth that is wasted for transmission, between itself and the destination, as well as the risk of fragmentation, any potential delays because of a path longer than necessary (shorter paths exist that would not traverse the root).

As a network gets deep, the size of the source routing header that the root must add to all the downward packets becomes an issue for nodes that are many hops away. In some use cases, a RPL network forms long lines and a limited amount of well-targeted routing state would allow to make the source routing operation loose as opposed to strict, and save packet size. Limiting the packet size is directly beneficial to the energy budget, but, mostly, it reduces the chances of frame loss and/or packet fragmentation, which is highly detrimental to the LLN operation. Because the capability to store a
routing state in every node is limited, the decision of which route is installed where can only be optimized with a global knowledge of the system, a knowledge that the root or an associated PCE may possess by means that are outside of the scope of this specification.

This specification enables to store source-routed or storing mode state in intermediate routers, which enables to limit the excursion of the source route headers in deep networks. Once a P-DAO exchange has taken place for a given target, if the root operates in non storing mode, then it may elide the sequence of routers that is installed in the network from its source route headers to destination that are reachable via that target, and the source route headers effectively become loose.

6.2. Transversal Routes in storing and non-storing modes

RPL is optimized for Point-to-Multipoint (P2MP), root to leaves and Multipoint-to-Point (MP2P) leaves to root operations, whereby routes are always installed along the RPL DODAG. Transversal Peer to Peer (P2P) routes in a RPL network will generally suffer from some stretch since routing between 2 peers always happens via a common parent, as illustrated in Figure 5:

- In non-storing mode, all packets routed within the DODAG flow all the way up to the root of the DODAG. If the destination is in the same DODAG, the root must encapsulate the packet to place a Routing Header that has the strict source route information down the DODAG to the destination. This will be the case even if the destination is relatively close to the source and the root is relatively far off.

- In storing mode, unless the destination is a child of the source, the packets will follow the default route up the DODAG as well. If the destination is in the same DODAG, they will eventually reach a common parent that has a route to the destination; at worse, the common parent may also be the root. From that common parent, the packet will follow a path down the DODAG that is optimized for the Objective Function that was used to build the DODAG.
It results that it is often beneficial to enable transversal P2P routes, either if the RPL route presents a stretch from shortest path, or if the new route is engineered with a different objective. For that reason, earlier work at the IETF introduced the "Reactive Discovery of Point-to-Point Routes in Low Power and Lossy Networks" [RFC6997], which specifies a distributed method for establishing optimized P2P routes. This draft proposes an alternate based on a centralized route computation.

This specification enables to store source-routed or storing mode state in intermediate routers, which enables to limit the stretch of a P2P route and maintain the characteristics within a given SLA. An
example of service using this mechanism could be a control loop that would be installed in a network that uses classical RPL for asynchronous data collection. In that case, the P2P path may be installed in a different RPL Instance, with a different objective function.

7. RPL Instances

It must be noted that RPL has a concept of instance but does not have a concept of an administrative distance, which exists in certain proprietary implementations to sort out conflicts between multiple sources of routing information. This draft conforms the instance model as follows:

- If the PCE needs to influence a particular instance to add better routes in conformance with the routing objectives in that instance, it may do so. When the PCE modifies an existing instance then the added routes must not create a loop in that instance. This is achieved by always preferring a route obtained from the PCE over a route that is learned via RPL.

- If the PCE installs a more specific (say, Traffic Engineered) route between a particular pair of nodes then it SHOULD use a Local Instance from the ingress node of that path. A packet associated with that instance will be routed along that path and MUST NOT be placed over a Global Instance again. A packet that is placed on a Global Instance may be injected in the Local Instance based on node policy and the Local Instance parameters.

In all cases, the path is indicated by a new Via Information option, and the flow is similar to the flow used to obtain loose source routing.

8. Security Considerations

This draft uses messages that are already present in RPL [RFC6550] with optional secured versions. The same secured versions may be used with this draft, and whatever security is deployed for a given network also applies to the flows in this draft.

9. IANA Considerations

This document extends the IANA registry created by RFC 6550 for RPL Control Codes as follows:
This document is updating the registry created by RFC 6550 for the RPL 3-bit Mode of Operation (MOP) as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOP value</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Non-Storing mode of operation with Projected routes</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Storing mode of operation with Projected routes</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DIO Mode of operation
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Appendix A. Examples

A.1. Using storing mode P-DAO in non-storing mode MOP

In non-storing mode, the DAG root maintains the knowledge of the whole DODAG topology, so when both the source and the destination of a packet are in the DODAG, the root can determine the common parent that would have been used in storing mode, and thus the list of nodes in the path between the common parent and the destination. For instance in the diagram shown in Figure 7, if the source is node 41 and the destination is node 52, then the common parent is node 22.

```
+----+
|    |
+----+
     |
     |
     | Internet
     +----+
     |    |
     |    |
     +----+
      |    |
      |    |
      +----+
       |    |
       |    |
       +----+
        |    |
        |    |
        +----+
         |    |
         |    |
         +----+
          |    |
          |    |
          +----+
           |    |
           |    |
           +----+
            |    |
            |    |
            +----+
             |    |
             |    |
             +----+
              |    |
              |    |
              +----+
               |    |
               |    |
               +----+
                |    |
                |    |
                +----+
                 |    |
                 |    |
                 +----+
                  |    |
                  |    |
                  +----+
                   |    |
                   |    |
                   +----+
                    |    |
                    |    |
                    +----+
                     |    |
                     |    |
                     +----+
                      | Internet
                      +----+
                   o 11 o 12 o 13
                   o 22 o 23 o 24 o 25
                   o 31 o 32 o 35
                   o 41 o 42 o 45 o 46
                   o 51 o 52 o 53 o 55 o 56

Figure 7: Example DODAG forming a logical tree topology
```

With this draft, the root can install a storing mode routing states along a segment that is either from itself to the destination, or from one or more common parents for a particular source/destination pair towards that destination (in this particular example, this would be the segment made of nodes 22, 32, 42).

In the example below, say that there is a lot of traffic to nodes 55 and 56 and the root decides to reduce the size of routing headers to those destinations. The root can first send a DAO to node 45.
indicating target 55 and a Via segment (35, 45), as well as another DAO to node 46 indicating target 56 and a Via segment (35, 46). This will save one entry in the routing header on both sides. The root may then send a DAO to node 35 indicating targets 55 and 56 a Via segment (13, 24, 35) to fully optimize that path.

Alternatively, the root may send a DAO to node 45 indicating target 55 and a Via segment (13, 24, 35, 45) and then a DAO to node 46 indicating target 56 and a Via segment (13, 24, 35, 46), indicating the same DAO Sequence.

A.2. Projecting a storing-mode transversal route

In this example, say that a PCE determines that a path must be installed between node S and node D via routers A, B and C, in order to serve the needs of a particular application.

The root sends a P-DAO with a target option indicating the destination D and a sequence Via Information option, one for S, which is the ingress router of the segment, one for A and then for B, which are an intermediate routers, and one for C, which is the egress router.

```
+-----+          +-----+          +-----+
|     |  Border Router |     | (RPL Root) |     |
|     |                  |     |            |     |
|     | Projected DAO message to C |     |
| o o o | o o o o o o | o o o | o o o o o o |
| o o o | o o o o o o | o o o V o o o o o o |
| S A B C D | o o o |
| o o o LLN |
```

Figure 8: Projected DAO from root

Upon reception of the P-DAO, C validates that it can reach D, e.g. using IPv6 Neighbor Discovery, and if so, propagates the P-DAO unchanged to B.

B checks that it can reach C and of so, installs a route towards D via C. Then it propagates the P-DAO to A.
The process recurses till the P-DAO reaches S, the ingress of the segment, which installs a route to D via A and sends a DAO-ACK to the root.

As a result, a transversal route is installed that does not need to follow the DODAG structure.
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