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Abstract

TLS session tickets enable stateless connection resumption for clients without server-side, per-client state. Servers vend an arbitrary number of session tickets to clients, at their discretion, upon connection establishment. Clients store and use tickets when resuming future connections. This document describes a mechanism by which clients may specify the desired number of tickets needed for future connections. This extension aims to provide a means for servers to determine the number of tickets to generate in order to reduce ticket waste, while simultaneously priming clients for future connection attempts.
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1. Introduction

As per [RFC5077], and as described in [RFC8446], TLS servers send clients an arbitrary number of session tickets at their own discretion in NewSessionTicket messages. There are two limitations with this design. First, servers choose some (often hard-coded) number of tickets vended per connection. Second, clients do not have a way of expressing their desired number of tickets, which may impact future connection establishment. For example, clients may open multiple TLS connections to the same server for HTTP, or may race TLS connections across different network interfaces. The latter is especially useful in transport systems that implement Happy Eyeballs [RFC8305]. Since clients control connection concurrency and resumption, a standard mechanism for requesting more than one ticket is desirable.

This document specifies a new TLS extension - "ticket_request" - that may be used by clients to express their desired number of session tickets. Servers may use this extension as a hint of the number of NewSessionTicket messages to vend. This extension is only applicable to TLS 1.3 [RFC8446], DTLS 1.3 [I-D.ietf-tls-dtls13], and future versions thereof.
1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Use Cases

The ability to request one or more tickets is useful for a variety of purposes:

- Parallel HTTP connections: To minimize ticket reuse while still improving performance, it may be useful to use multiple, distinct tickets when opening parallel connections. Clients must therefore bound the number of parallel connections they initiate by the number of tickets in their possession, or risk ticket re-use.

- Connection racing: Happy Eyeballs V2 [RFC8305] describes techniques for performing connection racing. The Transport Services Architecture implementation from [TAPS] also describes how connections may race across interfaces and address families. In cases where clients have early data to send and want to minimize or avoid ticket re-use, unique tickets for each unique connection attempt are useful. Moreover, as some servers may implement single-use tickets (and even session ticket encryption keys), distinct tickets will be needed to prevent premature ticket invalidation by racing.

- Connection priming: In some systems, connections may be primed or bootstrapped by a centralized service or daemon for faster connection establishment. Requesting tickets on demand allows such services to vend tickets to clients to use for accelerated handshakes with early data. (Note that if early data is not needed by these connections, this method SHOULD NOT be used. Fresh handshakes SHOULD be performed instead.)

- Less ticket waste: Currently, TLS servers use application-specific, and often implementation-specific, logic to determine how many tickets to issue. By moving the burden of ticket count to clients, servers do not generate wasteful tickets for clients. Moreover, as ticket generation may involve expensive computation, e.g., public key cryptographic operations, avoiding waste is desirable.
o Decline resumption: Clients may indicate they have no intention of resuming connections by sending a ticket request with count of zero.

3. Ticket Requests

Clients may indicate to servers their desired number of tickets for a single connection via the following "ticket_request" extension:

```c
enum {
    ticket_request(TBD), (65535)
} ExtensionType;
```

Clients may send this extension in ClientHello. It contains the following structure:

```c
struct {
    uint8 count;
} TicketRequestContents;
```

count  The number of tickets desired by the client.

A supporting server MAY vend TicketRequestContents.count NewSessionTicket messages to a requesting client, and SHOULD NOT send more than TicketRequestContents.count NewSessionTicket messages to a requesting client. Servers SHOULD place a limit on the number of tickets they are willing to vend to clients. Thus, the number of NewSessionTicket messages sent should be the minimum of the server’s self-imposed limit and TicketRequestContents.count. Servers MUST NOT send more than 255 tickets to clients.

Servers that support ticket requests MUST NOT echo "ticket_request" in the EncryptedExtensions message. A client MUST abort the connection with an "illegal_parameter" alert if the "ticket_request" extension is present in the EncryptedExtensions message.

Clients MUST NOT change the value of TicketRequestContents.count in second ClientHello messages sent in response to a HelloRetryRequest.

4. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to Create an entry, ticket_request(TBD), in the existing registry for ExtensionType (defined in [RFC8446]), with "TLS 1.3" column values being set to "CH", and "Recommended" column being set to "Yes".
5. Security Considerations

Ticket re-use is a security and privacy concern. Moreover, clients must take care when pooling tickets as a means of avoiding or amortizing handshake costs. If servers do not rotate session ticket encryption keys frequently, clients may be encouraged to obtain and use tickets beyond common lifetime windows of, e.g., 24 hours. Despite ticket lifetime hints provided by servers, clients SHOULD dispose of pooled tickets after some reasonable amount of time that mimics the ticket rotation period.
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