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Abstract

This document specifies Version 1.0 of the Token Binding protocol. The Token Binding protocol allows client/server applications to create long-lived, uniquely identifiable TLS [RFC5246] bindings spanning multiple TLS sessions and connections. Applications are then enabled to cryptographically bind security tokens to the TLS layer, preventing token export and replay attacks. To protect privacy, the TLS Token Binding identifiers are only transmitted encrypted and can be reset by the user at any time.
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1. Introduction

Web services generate various security tokens (e.g. HTTP cookies, OAuth tokens) for web applications to access protected resources. Any party in possession of such token gains access to the protected resource. Attackers export bearer tokens from the user’s machine, present them to web services, and impersonate authenticated users. The idea of Token Binding is to prevent such attacks by cryptographically binding security tokens to the TLS layer.

A TLS Token Binding is established by the user agent generating a private-public key pair (possibly within a secure hardware module, such as TPM) per target server, and proving possession of the private key on every TLS connection to the target server. The proof of possession involves signing the tls_unique value [RFC5929] for the TLS connection with the private key. Such TLS Token Binding is identified by the corresponding public key. TLS Token Bindings are long-lived, i.e. they encompass multiple TLS connections and TLS sessions between a given client and server. To protect privacy, TLS
Token Binding identifiers are never transmitted in clear text and can be reset by the user at any time, e.g. when clearing browser cookies.

When issuing a security token to a client that supports TLS Token Binding, a server includes the client’s TLS Token Binding ID in the token. Later on, when a client presents a security token containing a TLS Token Binding ID, the server makes sure the ID in the token matches the ID of the TLS Token Binding established with the client. In the case of a mismatch, the server discards the token.

In order to successfully export and replay a bound security token, the attacker needs to also be able to export the client’s private key, which is hard to do in the case of the key generated in a secure hardware module.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Token Binding Protocol Overview

The client and server use ALPN protocol IDs [RFC7301] to negotiate the use of the Token Binding protocol, in addition to the actual application protocol such as HTTP/1.1 [RFC2616] or HTTP/2 [I-D.ietf-httpbis-http2]. ALPN IDs are also used to negotiate the parameters (signature algorithm, length) of the Token Binding key. This negotiation does not require TLS protocol changes or additional round-trips.

The "IANA Considerations" section of this document defines an initial set of ALPN protocol IDs that allow the use of the Token Binding protocol with HTTP/1.1 and HTTP/2. The initial set of supported key parameters includes ECDSA with NIST P256 curve and 2048-bit RSA. New ALPN protocol IDs can be defined in the future to support Token Binding usage with other application protocols and key parameters.

The Token Binding protocol consists of one message sent by the client to the server, proving possession of one or more client-generated asymmetric keys. This message is only sent if the client and server agree on the use of the Token Binding protocol and the key parameters. The Token Binding message is sent with the application protocol data in TLS application_data records.

A server receiving the Token Binding message verifies that the key parameters in the message match the Token Binding parameters negotiated via ALPN, and then validates the signatures contained in
the Token Binding message. If either of these checks fails, the
server terminates the connection, otherwise the TLS Token Binding is
successfully established with the ID contained in the Token Binding
message.

When a server supporting the Token Binding protocol receives a bound
token, the server compares the TLS Token Binding ID in the security
token with the TLS Token Binding ID established with the client. If
the bound token came from a TLS connection without a Token Binding,
or if the IDs don’t match, the token is discarded.

This document describes the negotiation of the Token Binding protocol
and key parameters, the format of the Token Binding protocol message,
the process of establishing a TLS Token Binding, the format of the
Token Binding ID, and the process of validating a security token.
Token Binding over HTTP [HTTPSTB] explains how the Token Binding
message is encapsulated within application protocol messages.
[HTTPSTB] also describes Token Binding between multiple communicating
parties: User Agent, Identity Provider and Relying Party.

3. Negotiating the Token Binding Protocol and Key Parameters

The Token Binding protocol is used within TLS connections, in
combination with an application protocol such as HTTP/1.1 or HTTP/2.
The "IANA Considerations" section of this document defines a set of
ALPN protocol IDs that combine application protocol and token binding
key parameters:

- "h2_tb_p256" indicates support for HTTP/2 with Token Binding using
  ECDSA key and NIST P256 curve;

- "h2_tb_rsa2048" indicates support for HTTP/2 with Token Binding
  using 2048-bit RSA key;

- "http/1.1_tb_p256" indicates support for HTTP/1.1 with Token
  Binding using ECDSA key and NIST P256 curve;

- "http/1.1_tb_rsa2048" indicates support for HTTP/1.1 with Token
  Binding using 2048-bit RSA key.

The client advertises support of the Token Binding protocol by
sending some of these IDs in the ALPN extension in the ClientHello.
Application protocol IDs without Token Binding, such as "http/1.1"
and "h2", can also be included for compatibility with the servers
that do not support the Token Binding protocol.

The server indicates support of the Token Binding protocol by sending
one of the above IDs in the ALPN extension in the ServerHello. The
server implements the protocol selection logic as described in section 3.2 "Protocol Selection" of [RFC7301], taking into account the application protocols and key parameters supported by the server.

4. Token Binding Protocol Message

The Token Binding message is sent by the client and proves possession of one or more private keys held by the client. This message MUST be sent if the client and server successfully negotiated the use of the Token Binding protocol via ALPN, and MUST NOT be sent otherwise. This message MUST be sent in the client’s first application protocol message. This message MAY also be sent in subsequent application protocol messages, proving possession of other keys by the same client, to facilitate token binding between more than two communicating parties. Token Binding over HTTP [HTTPSTB] specifies the encapsulation of the Token Binding message in the application protocol messages, and the scenarios involving more than two communicating parties. The Token Binding message format is defined using TLS specification language, and reuses existing TLS structures and IANA registrations where possible:

```c
enum {
    sha256(4), (255)
} HashAlgorithm;

enum {
    rsa(1), ecdsap256(3), (255)
} SignatureAlgorithm;

struct {
    HashAlgorithm hash;
    SignatureAlgorithm signature;
} SignatureAndHashAlgorithm;

struct {
    opaque modulus<1..2^16-1>;
    opaque publicexponent<1..2^8-1>;
} RSAPublicKey;

enum {
    secp256r1 (23), (0xFFFF)
} NamedCurve;

struct {
    opaque point <1..2^8-1>;
} ECPoint;
```
The Token Binding message consists of a series of TokenBinding structures containing the TokenBindingID, a signature over the hash of the NUL-terminated, ASCII label ("token binding") and the tls_unique, optionally followed by Extension structures. An implementation MUST ignore any unknown extensions. Initially, no extension types are defined. At least one TokenBinding MUST be included in the Token Binding message. The signature algorithm and key length used in the TokenBinding MUST match the parameters negotiated via ALPN. The client SHOULD generate and store Token Binding keys in a secure manner that prevents key export. In order
to prevent cooperating servers from linking user identities, different keys SHOULD be used by the client for connections to different servers, according to the token scoping rules of the application protocol.

5. Establishing a TLS Token Binding

Triple handshake vulnerability in the TLS protocol affects the security of the Token Binding protocol, as described in the "Security Considerations" section below. Therefore, the server MUST NOT negotiate the use of the Token Binding protocol unless the server also negotiates Extended Master Secret TLS extension [I-D.ietf-tls-session-hash].

The server MUST terminate the connection if the use of the Token Binding protocol has been successfully negotiated via ALPN within the TLS handshake, but the client’s first application message does not contain the Token Binding message. The server MUST terminate the connection if the use of the Token Binding protocol was not negotiated, but the client sends the Token Binding message.

If the Token Binding type is "provided_token_binding", the server MUST verify that the signature algorithm (including elliptic curve in the case of ECDSA) and key length in the Token Binding message match those negotiated via ALPN. In the case of a mismatch, the server MUST terminate the connection. As described in [HTTPSTB], Token Bindings of type "referred_token_binding" may have different key parameters than those negotiated via ALPN.

If the Token Binding message does not contain at least one TokenBinding structure, or the signature contained in a TokenBinding structure is invalid, the server MUST terminate the connection. Otherwise, the TLS Token Binding is successfully established and its ID can be provided to the application for security token validation.

6. TLS Token Binding ID Format
The ID of the TLS Token Binding established as a result of Token Binding message processing is a binary representation of the following structure:

```
struct {
    TokenBindingType tokenbinding_type;
    SignatureAndHashAlgorithm algorithm;
    select (algorithm.signature) {
        case rsa: RSAPublicKey rsapubkey;
        case ecdsa: ECDSAParams ecdsaparams;
    }
} TokenBindingID;
```

TokenBindingID includes the type of the token binding and the key parameters negotiated via ALPN. This document defines two token binding types: provided_token_binding used to establish a Token Binding when connecting to a server, and referred_token_binding used when requesting tokens to be presented to a different server. Token Binding over HTTP [HTTPSTB] describes Token Binding between multiple communicating parties: User Agent, Identity Provider and Relying Party. TLS Token Binding ID can be obtained from the TokenBinding structure described in the "Token Binding Protocol Message" section of this document by discarding the signature and extensions. TLS Token Binding ID will be available at the application layer and used by the server to generate and verify bound tokens.

7. Security Token Validation

Security tokens can be bound to the TLS layer either by embedding the Token Binding ID in the token, or by maintaining a database mapping tokens to Token Binding IDs. The specific method of generating bound security tokens is application-defined and beyond the scope of this document.

Upon receipt of a security token, the server attempts to retrieve TLS Token Binding ID information from the token and from the TLS connection with the client. Application-provided policy determines whether to honor non-bound (bearer) tokens. If the token is bound and a TLS Token Binding has not been established for the client connection, the server MUST discard the token. If the TLS Token Binding ID for the token does not match the TLS Token Binding ID established for the client connection, the server MUST discard the token.
8. IANA Considerations

This document establishes a registry for Token Binding type identifiers entitled "Token Binding Types" under the "Token Binding Protocol" heading.

Entries in this registry require the following fields:

- **Value**: The octet value that identifies the Token Binding type (0-255).
- **Description**: The description of the Token Binding type.
- **Specification**: A reference to a specification that defines the Token Binding type.

This registry operates under the "Expert Review" policy as defined in [RFC5226]. The designated expert is advised to encourage the inclusion of a reference to a permanent and readily available specification that enables the creation of interoperable implementations using the identified Token Binding type.

An initial set of registrations for this registry follows:

- **Value**: 0
  - **Description**: provided_token_binding
  - **Specification**: this document

- **Value**: 1
  - **Description**: referred_token_binding
  - **Specification**: this document

This document establishes a registry for Token Binding extensions entitled "Token Binding Extensions" under the "Token Binding Protocol" heading.

Entries in this registry require the following fields:

- **Value**: The octet value that identifies the Token Binding extension (0-255).
- **Description**: The description of the Token Binding extension.
This registry operates under the "Expert Review" policy as defined in [RFC5226]. The designated expert is advised to encourage the inclusion of a reference to a permanent and readily available specification that enables the creation of interoperable implementations using the identified Token Binding extension. This document creates no initial registrations in the "Token Binding Extensions" registry.

This document creates the following registrations for the identification of the Token Binding protocol in the "Application Layer Protocol Negotiation (ALPN) Protocol IDs" registry originally created in [RFC7301]:

- **Protocol**: HTTP/2 with Token Binding using ECDSA key and NIST P256 curve
  - **Identification Sequence**: 0x68 0x32 0x5f 0x74 0x62 0x5f 0x70 0x32 0x35 0x36 ("h2_tb_p256")
  - **Specification**: this document

- **Protocol**: HTTP/2 with Token Binding using 2048-bit RSA key
  - **Identification Sequence**: 0x68 0x32 0x5f 0x74 0x62 0x5f 0x72 0x73 0x61 0x32 0x30 0x34 0x38 ("h2_tb_rsa2048")
  - **Specification**: this document

- **Protocol**: HTTP/1.1 with Token Binding using ECDSA key and NIST P256 curve
  - **Identification Sequence**: 0x68 0x74 0x70 0x2f 0x31 0x2e 0x31 0x5f 0x74 0x62 0x5f 0x70 0x32 0x35 0x36 ("http/1.1_tb_p256")
  - **Specification**: this document

- **Protocol**: HTTP/1.1 with Token Binding using 2048-bit RSA key
  - **Identification Sequence**: 0x68 0x74 0x70 0x2f 0x31 0x2e 0x31 0x5f 0x74 0x62 0x5f 0x72 0x73 0x61 0x32 0x30 0x34 0x38 ("http/1.1_tb_rsa2048")
  - **Specification**: this document
This document uses "TLS SignatureAlgorithm" and "TLS HashAlgorithm" registries originally created in [RFC5246], and "TLS NamedCurve" registry originally created in [RFC4492]. This document creates no new registrations in these registries.

9. Security Considerations

9.1. Security Token Replay

The goal of the Token Binding protocol is to prevent attackers from exporting and replaying security tokens, thereby impersonating legitimate users and gaining access to protected resources. Bound tokens can still be replayed by the malware present in the User Agent. In order to export the token to another machine and successfully replay it, the attacker also needs to export the corresponding private key. Token Binding private keys are therefore high-value assets and SHOULD be strongly protected, ideally by generating them in a hardware security module that prevents key export.

9.2. Downgrade Attacks

The Token Binding protocol is only used when negotiated via ALPN within the TLS handshake. TLS prevents active attackers from modifying the messages of the TLS handshake, therefore it is not possible for the attacker to remove or modify the ALPN IDs used to negotiate the Token Binding protocol and key parameters. The signature algorithm and key length used in the TokenBinding of type "provided_token_binding" MUST match the parameters negotiated via ALPN.

9.3. Privacy Considerations

The Token Binding protocol uses persistent, long-lived TLS Token Binding IDs. To protect privacy, TLS Token Binding IDs are never transmitted in clear text and can be reset by the user at any time, e.g. when clearing browser cookies. In order to prevent cooperating servers from linking user identities, different keys SHOULD be used by the client for connections to different servers, according to the token scoping rules of the application protocol.

9.4. Triple Handshake Vulnerability in TLS

The Token Binding protocol relies on the tls_unique value to associate a TLS connection with a TLS Token Binding. The triple handshake attack [TRIPLE-HS] is a known TLS protocol vulnerability allowing the attacker to synchronize tls_unique values between TLS connections. The attacker can then successfully replay bound tokens.
For this reason, the Token Binding protocol MUST NOT be negotiated unless the Extended Master Secret TLS extension [I-D.ietf-tls-session-hash] has also been negotiated.
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