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Abstract

This document specifies requirements for an IPv6 Customer Edge (CE) router. Specifically, the current version of this document focuses on the basic provisioning of an IPv6 CE router and the provisioning of IPv6 hosts attached to it.
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1. Introduction

This document defines basic IPv6 features for a residential or small office router referred to as an IPv6 CE router. Typically these routers also support IPv4.

Mixed environments of dual-stack hosts and IPv6-only hosts (behind the CE router) can be more complex if the IPv6-only devices are using a translator to access IPv4 servers [I-D.ietf-behave-v6v4-framework]. Support for such mixed environments is not in scope of this document.

This document specifies how an IPv6 CE router automatically provisions its WAN interface, acquires address space for provisioning of its LAN interfaces and fetches other configuration information from the service provider network. Automatic provisioning of more complex topology than a single router with multiple LAN interfaces is out of scope for this document.

See [RFC4779] for a discussion of options available for deploying IPv6 in Service Provider access networks.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Terminology

End-user Network one or more links attached to the IPv6 CE router that connect IPv6 hosts.

IPv6 Customer Edge router a node intended for home or small office use which forwards IPv6 packets not explicitly addressed to itself. The IPv6 CE router connects the end-user network to a service provider network.

IPv6 host any device implementing an IPv6 stack receiving IPv6 connectivity through the IPv6 CE router.

LAN interface an IPv6 CE router’s attachment to a link in the end-user network. Examples are Ethernets (simple or bridged), 802.11 wireless or other LAN technologies. An IPv6 CE router may have one or more network
layer LAN Interfaces.

Service Provider
an entity that provides access to the Internet. In this document, a Service Provider specifically offers Internet access using IPv6, and may also offer IPv4 Internet access. The Service Provider can provide such access over a variety of different transport methods such as DSL, cable, wireless, and others.

WAN interface
an IPv6 CE router’s attachment to a link used to provide connectivity to the Service Provider network; example link technologies include Ethertnets (simple or bridged), PPP links, Frame Relay, or ATM networks as well as Internet-layer (or higher-layer) "tunnels", such as tunnels over IPv4 or IPv6 itself.

3. Architecture

3.1. Current IPv4 End-user Network Architecture

An end-user network will likely support both IPv4 and IPv6. It is not expected that an end-user will change their existing network topology with the introduction of IPv6. There are some differences in how IPv6 works and is provisioned which has implications for the network architecture. A typical IPv4 end-user network consist of a "plug and play" router with NAT functionality and a single link behind it, connected to the Service Provider network.

A typical IPv4 NAT deployment by default blocks all incoming connections. Opening of ports is typically allowed using UPnP IGD [UPnP-IGD] or some other firewall control protocol.

Another consequence of using private address space in the end-user network is that it provides stable addressing, i.e. it never changes even when you change Service Providers, and the addresses are always there even when the WAN interface is down or the customer edge router has not yet been provisioned.

Rewriting addresses on the edge of the network also allows for some rudimentary multi-homing; even though using NATs for multi-homing does not preserve connections during a fail-over event [RFC4864].

Many existing routers support dynamic routing, and advanced end users
can build arbitrary, complex networks using manual configuration of address prefixes combined with a dynamic routing protocol.

### 3.2. IPv6 End-user Network Architecture

The end-user network architecture for IPv6 should provide equivalent or better capabilities and functionality than the current IPv4 architecture.

The end-user network is a stub network. Figure 1 illustrates the model topology for the end-user network.

An example of a typical end-user network.

```
+-------+-------+                   
|   Service     |                    
|   Provider    |                     | Service
|    Router     |                     | Provider
+-------+-------+                     | network
                   /                     /
| Customer                   /
| Internet connection       /
+-------+-------+                  
                   
| IPv6            |
| Customer Edge   |
| Router          |
+-------+-------+ 
| Network A       | Network B       | End-User
| IPv6 Host       | IPv6 Host       | network(s)
| IPv6 Host       | IPv6 Host       |
+----------------+----------------+----------+

Figure 1
```

This architecture describes the:

- Basic capabilities of an IPv6 CE router
- Provisioning of the WAN interface connecting to the Service Provider
o Provisioning of the LAN interfaces

Unique Local IPv6 Unicast Addresses (ULA) [RFC4193] are used by hosts communicating within the End-user Network; this is functionally similar to RFC1918 addresses used within an IPv4 End-user Network. The IPv6 CE router defaults to acting as the demarcation point between two networks by providing a ULA boundary, a multicast zone boundary and ingress and egress traffic filters.

For IPv6 multicast traffic the IPv6 CE router may act as an MLD proxy [RFC4605] and may support a dynamic multicast routing protocol.

The IPv6 CE router may be manually configured in an arbitrary topology with a dynamic routing protocol. Automatic provisioning and configuration is described for a single IPv6 CE router only.

4. Requirements

4.1. General Requirements

The IPv6 CE router is responsible for implementing IPv6 routing; that is, the IPv6 CE router must look up the IPv6 Destination address in its routing table to decide to which interface it should send the packet.

In this role, the IPv6 CE router is responsible for ensuring that traffic using its ULA addressing does not go out the WAN interface, and does not originate from the WAN interface.

G-1: An IPv6 CE router is an IPv6 node according to the IPv6 Node Requirements [RFC4294] specification.

G-2: The IPv6 CE router MUST NOT forward any IPv6 traffic between its LAN Interface(s) and its WAN Interface until the router has successfully completed the IPv6 address acquisition process.

4.2. WAN Side Configuration

The IPv6 CE router will need to support connectivity to one or more access network architectures. This document describes an IPv6 CE router that is not specific to any particular architecture or Service Provider, and supports all commonly used architectures.

IPv6 Neighbor Discovery and DHCPv6 protocols operate over any type of IPv6 supported link-layer and there is no need for a link-layer specific configuration protocol for IPv6 network layer configuration options as in e.g. PPP IPCP for IPv4. This section makes the
assumption that the same mechanism will work for any link-layer, be it Ethernet, DOCSIS, PPP or others.

WAN side requirements:

W-1: When the router is attached to the WAN interface link it MUST act as an IPv6 host for the purposes of stateless or stateful interface address assignment ([RFC4862]/[RFC3315]).

W-2: The router MUST act as a requesting router for the purposes of DHCPv6 prefix delegation ([RFC3633]).

W-3: DHCPv6 address assignment (IA_NA) and DHCPv6 prefix delegation (IA_PD) SHOULD be done as a single DHCPv6 session.

Link-layer requirements:

WLL-1: If the WAN interface supports Ethernet encapsulation, then the IPv6 CE router MUST support IPv6 over Ethernet [RFC2464].

WLL-2: If the WAN interface supports PPP encapsulation the IPv6 CE router MUST support IPv6 over PPP [RFC5072].

WLL-3: If the WAN interface supports PPP encapsulation, in a dual-stack environment with IPCP and IPV6CP running over one PPP logical channel, the NCPs MUST be treated as independent of each other and start and terminate independently.

Address assignment requirements:

WAA-1: The IPv6 CE router MUST support SLAAC [RFC4862].

WAA-2: The IPv6 CE router MUST follow the recommendation in [I-D.ietf-6man-ipv6-subnet-model] and in particular the handling of the L-flag in the Router Advertisement Prefix Information Option.

WAA-3: The IPv6 CE router MUST support DHCPv6 [RFC3315] client behavior.

WAA-4: The IPv6 CE router MUST be able to support the following DHCPv6 options: IA_NA, Reconfigure Accept [RFC3315], DNS_SERVERS [RFC3646].

WAA-5: The IPv6 CE router SHOULD support the DHCPv6 SNTP option [RFC4075] and the Information Refresh Time Option [RFC4242].
WAA-6: If the IPv6 CE router receives an RA message (described in [RFC4861]) with the M-flag set to 1, the IPv6 CE router MUST do DHCPv6 address assignment (request an IA_NA option).

WAA-7: If the IPv6 CE router is unable to assign address(es) through SLAAC it MAY do DHCPv6 address assignment (request an IA_NA) even if the M-flag is set to 0.

WAA-8: If the IPv6 CE router does not acquire global IPv6 address(es) from either SLAAC or DHCPv6, then it MUST create global IPv6 address(es) from its delegated prefix(es) and configure those on one of its internal virtual network interfaces.

WAA-9: As a router the IPv6 CE router MUST follow the weak host model [RFC1122]. When originating packets out an interface it will use a source address from another of its interfaces if the outgoing interface does not have an address of suitable scope.

Prefix Delegation requirements:

WPD-1: The IPv6 CE router MUST support DHCPv6 prefix delegation requesting router behavior as specified in [RFC3633] (IA_PD option).

WPD-2: The IPv6 CE router MAY indicate as a hint to the delegating router the size of the prefix it requires. If so, it MUST ask for a prefix large enough to assign one /64 for each of its interfaces rounded up to the nearest nibble and MUST be configurable to ask for more.

WPD-3: The IPv6 CE router MUST be prepared to accept a delegated prefix size different from what is given in the hint. If the delegated prefix is too small to address all of its interfaces, the IPv6 CE router SHOULD log a system management error.

WPD-4: The IPv6 CE router MUST always initiate DHCPv6 prefix delegation, regardless of the M and O-flags in a received Router Advertisement message.

WPD-5: If the IPv6 CE Router initiates DHCPv6 before receiving a Router Advertisement it MUST also request an IA_NA option in DHCPv6.
WPD-6: Absent of other routing information the IPv6 CE router MUST use Router Discovery as specified in [RFC4861] to discover a default router(s) and install default route(s) in its routing table with the discovered router’s address as the next-hop.

WPD-7: If the delegated prefix(es) are aggregate route(s) of multiple, more-specific routes, the IPv6 CE router MUST discard packets that match the aggregate route(s), but not any of the more-specific routes. In other words, the next-hop for the aggregate route(s) should be the null destination. This is necessary to prevent forwarding loops when some addresses covered by the aggregate are not reachable [RFC4632].

(a) The IPv6 CE router SHOULD send an ICMPv6 Destination Unreachable according to section 3.1 [RFC4443] back to the source of the packet, if the packet is to be dropped due to this rule.

WPD-8: If the IPv6 CE router requests both an IA_NA and an IA_PD in DHCPv6, it MUST accept an IA_PD in DHCPv6 Advertise/Reply messages, even if the message does not contain any addresses (IA_NA options with status code equal to NoAddrsAvail).

WPD-9: By default an IPv6 CE router MUST NOT initiate any dynamic routing protocol on its WAN interface.

4.3. LAN Side Configuration

The IPv6 CE router distributes configuration information obtained during WAN interface provisioning to IPv6 hosts and assists IPv6 hosts in obtaining IPv6 addresses. It also supports connectivity of these devices in the absence of any working WAN interface.

An IPv6 CE router is expected to support an IPv6 end-user network and IPv6 hosts that exhibit the following characteristics:

1. Link-local addresses are insufficient for allowing IPv6 applications to communicate with each other in the end-user network. The IPv6 CE router will need to enable this communication by providing globally-scoped unicast addresses or ULAs [RFC4193] whether or not WAN connectivity exists.

2. IPv6 hosts should be capable of using SLAAC and may be capable of using DHCPv6 for acquiring their addresses.

3. IPv6 hosts may use DHCPv6 for other configuration information, such as the DNS_SERVERS option for acquiring DNS information.
Unless otherwise specified, the following requirements apply to the IPv6 CE router’s LAN interfaces only.

Requirements:

L-1: The IPv6 CE router MUST support ULA addressing [RFC4193].

L-2: The IPv6 CE router MUST have a ULA prefix that it maintains consistently across reboots.

L-3: The value of the ULA prefix SHOULD be user configurable.

L-4: By default the IPv6 CE router MUST act as a site border router according to section 4.3 of [RFC4193] and filter packets with Local IPv6 source or destination addresses accordingly.

L-5: The IPv6 CE router MUST support router behavior according to Neighbor Discovery for IPv6 [RFC4861].

L-6: The IPv6 CE router MUST assign a separate /64 from its delegated prefix(es) (and ULA prefix if configured to provide ULA addressing) for each of its LAN interfaces.

L-7: The IPv6 CE router MUST make each LAN interface an advertising interface according to [RFC4861].

L-8: In Router Advertisements messages, the Prefix Information Option’s A and L-flags MUST be set to 1 by default.

L-9: The A and L-flags setting SHOULD be user configurable.

L-10: The IPv6 CE router MUST support a DHCPv6 server capable of IPv6 address assignment according to [RFC3315] OR a stateless DHCPv6 server according to [RFC3736] on its LAN interfaces.

L-11: Unless the IPv6 CE router is configured to support the DHCPv6 IA_NA option, it SHOULD set M=0 and O=1 in its Router Advertisement messages [RFC4861].

L-12: The IPv6 CE router MUST support providing DNS information in the DHCPv6 DNS_SERVERS option [RFC3646].

L-13: The IPv6 CE router SHOULD make available a subset of DHCPv6 options (as listed in section 5.3 of [RFC3736]) received from the DHCPv6 client on its WAN interface to its LAN side DHCPv6 server.
4.4. Security Considerations

It is considered a best practice to filter obviously malicious traffic (e.g. spoofed packets, "martian" addresses, etc.). Thus, the IPv6 CE router should support basic stateless egress and ingress filters. The CE router should also offer mechanisms to filter traffic entering the customer network; however, the method by which vendors implement configurable packet filtering is beyond the scope of this document.

Security requirements:

S-1: The IPv6 CE router SHOULD support [I-D.ietf-v6ops-cpe-simple-security].

S-2: The IPv6 CE router MUST support ingress filtering in accordance with [RFC2827](BCP 38)
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