Abstract

This document defines PRoPHET, a Probabilistic Routing Protocol using History of Encounters and Transitivity. PRoPHET is a variant of the epidemic routing protocol for intermittently connected networks that operates by pruning the epidemic distribution tree to minimize resource usage while still attempting to achieve the best case routing capabilities of epidemic routing. It is intended for use in sparse mesh networks where there is no guarantee that a fully connected path between source and destination exists at any time, rendering traditional routing protocols unable to deliver messages between hosts. These networks are examples of networks where there is a disparity between the latency requirements of applications and the capabilities of the underlying network (networks often referred to as Delay- and Disruption-Tolerant). The document presents an architectural overview followed by the protocol specification.
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1. Introduction

The Probabilistic Routing Protocol using History of Encounters and Transitivity (PRoPHET) algorithm enables communication between participating nodes wishing to communicate in an intermittently connected network where at least some of the nodes are mobile.

One of the most basic requirements for "traditional" (IP) networking is that there must exist a fully connected path between communication endpoints for the duration of a communication session in order for communication to be possible. There are, however, a number of scenarios where connectivity is intermittent so that this is not the case (thus rendering the end-to-end use of traditional networking protocols impossible), but where it still is desirable to allow communication between nodes.

Consider a network of mobile nodes using wireless communication with a limited range which is less than the typical excursion distances over which the nodes travel. Communication between a pair of nodes at a particular instant is only possible when the distance between the nodes is less than the range of the wireless communication. This means that, even if messages are forwarded through other nodes acting as intermediate routes, there is no guarantee of finding a viable continuous path when it is needed to transmit a message.

One way to enable communication in such scenarios, is by allowing messages to be buffered at intermediate nodes for a longer time than normally occurs in the queues of conventional routers (c.f., Delay-Tolerant Networking [RFC4838]). It would then be possible to exploit the mobility of a subset of the nodes to bring messages closer to their destination by transferring them to other nodes as they meet. Figure 1 shows how the mobility of nodes in such a scenario can be used to eventually deliver a message to its destination. In this figure, the four sub-figures (a) - (d) represent the physical positions of four nodes (A, B, C, and D) at four time instants, increasing from (a) to (d) and associated radio ranges. At the start time node A has a message (indicated by a * next to that node) to be delivered to node D, but there does not exist a path between nodes A and D because of the limited range of available wireless connections. As shown in sub-figures (a) - (d), the mobility of the nodes allows the message to first be transferred to node B, then to node C, and when finally node C moves within range of node D, it can deliver the message to its final destination. This technique is known as "transitive networking".

Mobility and contact patterns in real application scenarios are likely to be non-random, but rather be predictable, based on the underlying activities of the higher level application (this could for
example stem from human mobility having regular traffic patterns based on repeating behavioral patterns (e.g., going to work or the market and returning home) and social interactions, or from any number of other node mobility situations where a proportion of nodes are mobile and move in ways that are not completely random over time but have a degree of predictability over time). This means that if a node has visited a location or been in contact with a certain node several times before, it is likely that it will visit that location or meet that node again.

PRoPHET can also be used in some networks where such mobility as described above does not take place. Predictable patterns in node contacts can also occur among static nodes where varying radio conditions or power-saving sleeping schedules cause connection between nodes to be intermittent.

In previously discussed mechanisms to enable communication in intermittently connected networks, such as Epidemic Routing [vahdat_00], very general approaches have been taken to the problem at hand. In an environment where buffer space and bandwidth are infinite, Epidemic Routing will give an optimal solution to the problem of routing in an intermittently connected network with regard to message delivery ratio and latency. However, in most cases neither bandwidth nor buffer space is infinite, but instead they are rather scarce resources, especially in the case of sensor networks.

PRoPHET is fundamentally an epidemic protocol with strict pruning. An epidemic protocol works by transferring its data to each and every node it meets. As data is passed from node to node, it is eventually passed to all nodes, including the target node. One of the advantages of an epidemic protocol is that by trying every path, it is guaranteed to try the best path. One of the disadvantages of an epidemic protocol is the extensive use of resources with every node needing to carry every packet and the associated transmission costs. PRoPHET’s goal is to gain the advantages of an epidemic protocol without paying the price in storage and communication resources incurred by the basic epidemic protocol. That is, PRoPHET offers an alternative to basic Epidemic Routing, with lower demands on buffer space and bandwidth; with equal or better performance in cases where those resources are limited; and without loss of generality in scenarios where it is suitable to use PRoPHET.

In a situation where PRoPHET is applicable, the patterns are expected to have a characteristic time such as the expected time between encounters between mobile stations that is in turn related to the expected time that traffic will take to reach its destination in the part of the network that is using PRoPHET. This characteristic time provides guidance for configuration of the PRoPHET protocol in a
network. When appropriately configured the PRoPHET protocol effectively builds a local model of the expected patterns in the network that can be used to optimize the usage of resources by reducing the amount of traffic sent to nodes that are unlikely to lead to eventual delivery of the traffic to its destination.

Figure 1: Example of transitive communication

This document presents a framework for probabilistic routing in intermittently connected networks, using an assumption of non-random mobility of nodes to improve the delivery rate of messages while keeping buffer usage and communication overhead at a low level. First, a probabilistic metric called delivery predictability is defined. The document then goes on to define a probabilistic routing protocol using this metric.

1.1. Relation to the Delay Tolerant Networking architecture

The Delay-Tolerant Networking (DTN) architecture [RFC4838] defines an architecture for communication in environments where traditional communication protocols can not be used due to excessive delays, link
outages and other extreme conditions. The intermittently connected networks considered here are a subset of those covered by the DTN architecture. The DTN architecture defines routes to be computed based on a collection of "contacts" indicating the start time, duration, endpoints, forwarding capacity and latency of a link in the topology graph. These contacts may be deterministic, or may be derived from estimates. The architecture defines some different types of intermittent contacts. The ones called opportunistic and predicted are the ones addressed by this protocol.

Opportunistic contacts are those that are not scheduled, but rather present themselves unexpectedly and frequently arise due to node mobility. Predicted contacts are like opportunistic contacts, but based on some information, it might be possible to draw some statistical conclusion as to whether or not a contact will be present soon.

The DTN architecture also introduces the bundle protocol [RFC5050], which provides a way for applications to "bundle" an entire session, including both data and meta-data, into a single message, or bundle, that can be sent as a unit. The bundle protocol also provides end-to-end addressing and acknowledgments. PRoPHET is specifically intended to provide routing services in a network environment that uses bundles as its data transfer mechanism, but could be also be used in other intermittent environments.

1.2. Applicability of the protocol

The PRoPHET routing protocol is mainly targeted at situations where at least some of the nodes are mobile with mobility that creates connectivity patterns that are not completely random over time but have a degree of predictability. Such connectivity patterns can also occur in networks where nodes switch off radios to preserve power. Human mobility patterns (often containing daily or weekly periodic activities) provide one such example where PRoPHET is expected to be applicable, but the applicability is not limited to scenarios including humans.

In order for PRoPHET to benefit from such predictability in the contact patterns between nodes, it is expected the network exist under similar circumstances over a longer time-scale (in terms of node encounters) so that the predictability can be accurately estimated.

The PRoPHET protocol expects nodes to be able to establish a local TCP link in order to exchange the information needed by the PRoPHET protocol. Protocol signaling is done out-of-band over this TCP link, without involving the Bundle Protocol agent [RFC5050]. The PRoPHET
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protocol is however expected to interact with the Bundle Protocol agent to retrieve information about available bundles as well as requesting that a bundle is sent to another node (it is expected that the associated bundle agents are then able to establish a link (probably over the TCP convergence layer [I-D.irtf-dtnrg-tcp-clayer]) to perform this bundle transfer).

TCP provides a reliable bidirectional channel between two peers and guarantees in order delivery of transmitted data. When using TCP, the guarantee of reliable, in order delivery allows information exchanges of each category of information to be distributed across several messages without requiring the PRoPHET protocol layer to be concerned that all messages have been received before starting the exchange of the next category of information. At most the last message of the category needs to be marked as such. This allows the receiver to process earlier messages while waiting for additional information and allows implementations to limit the size of messages so that IP fragmentation will be avoided and memory usage can be optimized if necessary. However implementations MAY choose to build a single message for each category of information that is as large as necessary and rely on TCP to segment the message.

While PRoPHET is currently defined to run over TCP, in future versions the information exchange may take place over other transport protocols as well and these may not provide message segmentation or reliable, in order delivery. The simple message division used with TCP MUST NOT be used when the underlying transport does not offer reliable, in order delivery, as it would be impossible to verify that all the messages had arrived. Hence the capability is provided to segment protocol messages into submessages directly in the PRoPHET layer. Submessages are provided with sequence numbers, and this, together with a capability for positive acknowledgements would allow PRoPHET to operate over an unreliable protocol such as UDP or potentially directly over IP.

Since TCP offers reliable delivery, it is RECOMMENDED that the positive acknowledgment capability is not used when PRoPHET is run over a TCP transport or similar protocol. When running over TCP implementations MAY safely ignore positive acknowledgments.

Whatever transport protocol is used, PRoPHET expects to use a bidirectional link for the information exchange; this allows for the information exchange to take place in both directions over the same link avoiding the need to establish a second link for information exchange in the reverse direction.

In a large Delay- and Disruption-Tolerant Network (DTN), network conditions may vary widely, and in different parts of the network,
different routing protocols may be appropriate. In this specification, we consider routing within a single "PRoPHET zone", which is a set of nodes among which messages are routed using PRoPHET. In many cases, a PRoPHET zone will not span the entire DTN, but there will be other parts of the network with other characteristics that run other routing protocols. To handle this, there may be nodes within the zone that act as gateways to other nodes that are the destinations for bundles generated within the zone or that insert bundles into the zone. Thus, PRoPHET is not necessarily used end-to-end, but only within regions of the network where its use is appropriate.

1.3. PRoPHET as Compared to Regular Routing Protocols

While PRoPHET uses a mechanism for pruning the epidemic forwarding tree that is similar to the mechanism used in Metric-based Vector Routing protocols (where the metric might be distance or cost), it should not be confused with a metric vector protocol.

In a traditional metric-based vector routing protocol, the information passed from node to node is used to create a single non-looping path from source to destination that is optimal given the metric used. The path consists of a set of directed edges selected from the complete graph of communications links between the network nodes.

In PRoPHET, that information is used to prune the epidemic tree of paths by removing paths that look less likely to provide an effective route for delivery of data to its intended destination. One of the effects of this difference is that the regular notions of split horizon, as described in [RFC1058], do not apply to PRoPHET. The purpose of split horizon is to prevent a distance vector protocol from ever passing a packet back to the node that sent it the packet because it is well known that the source does not lie in that direction as determined when the directed path was computed.

In an epidemic protocol, where that previous system already has the data, the notion of passing the data back to the node is redundant: the protocol can readily determine that such a transfer is not required. Further, given the mobility and constant churn of encounters possible in a DTN that is dominated by opportunistic encounters, it is quite possible that on a future encounter, that node might have become a better option for reaching the destination. Such a later encounter may require a re-transfer of the data if resource constraints have resulted in the data being deleted from the original carrier between the encounters.

The logic of metric routing protocols does not map directly onto the
family of epidemic protocols. In particular it is inappropriate to try to assess such protocols against the criteria used to assess conventional routing protocols such as the metric vector protocols; this is not to say that the family of epidemic protocols do not have weaknesses but they have to be considered independently of traditional protocols.

1.4. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].
2. Architecture

2.1. PRoPHET

This section presents an overview of the main architecture of PRoPHET, a Probabilistic Routing Protocol using History of Encounters and Transitivity. The protocol leverages the observations made on the non-randomness of mobility patterns present in many application scenarios to improve routing performance. Instead of doing blind epidemic replication of bundles through the network as previous protocols have done, it applies "probabilistic routing".

To accomplish this, a metric called "delivery predictability", 0 \leq P_{(A,B)} \leq 1, is established at every node A for each known destination B. This metric is calculated so that a node with a higher value for a certain destination is estimated to be a better candidate for delivering a bundle to that destination (i.e., if P_{(A,B)} > P_{(C,B)}, bundles for destination B are preferable to forward to A rather than C). It is later used when making forwarding decisions. As routes in a DTN are likely to be asymmetric, the calculation of the delivery predictability reflects this, and P_{(A,B)} may be different from P_{(B,A)}.

The delivery predictability values in each node evolve over time both as a result of decay of the metrics between encounters between nodes and due to changes resulting from encounters when metric information for the encountered node is updated to reflect the encounter and metric information about other nodes is exchanged.

When two PRoPHET nodes have a communication opportunity, they first exchange the delivery predictabilities for all destinations known by the nodes. This information is used by the nodes to update the internal delivery predictability vector as described below. After that, the nodes exchange information (including destination and size) about the bundles each node carries and the information is used in conjunction with the updated delivery predictabilities to decide which bundles to request to be forwarded from the other node based on the forwarding strategy used (as discussed in Section 2.1.4).

2.1.1. Characteristic Time Interval

When an application scenario makes PRoPHET applicable, the mobility pattern will exhibit a characteristic time interval that reflects the distribution of time intervals between encounters between nodes. The evolution of the delivery predictabilities, which reflects this mobility pattern, should reflect this same characteristic time interval. Accordingly, the parameters used in the equations that specify the evolution of delivery predictability (see Section 2.1.2)
need to be configured appropriately so that the evolution reflects a model of the mobility pattern.

2.1.2. Delivery Predictability Calculation

As stated above, PRoPHET relies on calculating a metric based on the probability of encountering a certain node, and using that to support the decision of whether or not to forward a bundle to a certain node. This section describes the operations performed on the metrics stored in a node when it encounters another node and a communications opportunity arises. In the operations described by the equations that follow, the updates are being performed by node A, \( P_{(A,B)} \) is the delivery predictability value that node A will have stored for the destination B after the encounter and \( P_{(A,B)}_{\text{old}} \) is the corresponding value that was stored before the encounter. If no delivery predictability value is stored for a particular destination B, \( P_{(A,B)} \) is considered to be zero.

As a special case, the metric value for a node itself is always defined to be 1 (i.e., \( P_{(A,A)} = 1 \)).

The equations use a number of parameters that can be selected to match the characteristics of the mobility pattern in the PRoPHET zone where the node is located (see Section 2.1.1. Recommended settings for the various parameters are given in Section 3.3. The impact on the evolution of delivery predictabilities if encountering nodes have different parameter setting is discussed in Section 2.1.2.1.

The calculation of the updates to the delivery predictabilities during an encounter has three parts.

When two nodes meet, the first thing they do is to update the delivery predictability for each other, so that nodes that are often encountered have a high delivery predictability. If node B has not met node A for a long time or has never met node B, such that \( P_{(A,B)} < P_{\text{first_threshold}} \), then \( P_{(A,B)} \) should be set to \( P_{\text{encounter_first}} \). Because PRoPHET generally has no prior knowledge about whether this is an encounter that will be repeated relatively frequently or one that will be a rare event, \( P_{\text{encounter_first}} \) SHOULD be set to 0.5 unless the node has extra information obtained other than through the PRoPHET protocol about the likelihood of future encounters. Otherwise, \( P_{(A,B)} \) should be calculated as shown in Equation 1, where \( 0 \leq P_{\text{encounter}} \leq 1 \) is a scaling factor setting the rate at which the predictability increases on encounters after the first and delta is a small positive number that effectively sets an upper bound for \( P_{(A,B)} \). The limit is set so that predictabilities between different nodes stay strictly less than 1. The value of delta should normally be very small (e.g., 0.01) so as
not to significantly restrict the range of available predictabilities, but can be chosen to make calculations efficient where this is important.

\[ P_{(A,B)} = P_{(A,B)}_{old} + (1 - \delta - P_{(A,B)}_{old}) \times P_{\text{encounter}} \]

There are practical circumstances where an encounter that is logically a single encounter in terms of the proximity of the node hardware and/or from the point of view of the human users of the nodes results in several communication opportunities closely spaced in time. For example mobile nodes communicating with each other using Wi-Fi ad hoc mode may produce apparent multiple encounters with a short interval between them but these are frequently due to artifacts of the underlying physical network when using wireless connections, where transmission problems or small changes in location may result in repeated reconnections. In this case it would be inappropriate to increase the delivery predictability by the same amount for each opportunity as it would be increased when encounters occur at longer intervals in the normal mobility pattern.

In order to reduce the distortion of the delivery predictability in these circumstances, \( P_{\text{encounter}} \) is a function of the interval since the last encounter resulted in an update of the delivery predictabilities. The form of the function is as shown in Figure 2.
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Figure 2: \( P_{\text{encounter}} \) as function of time interval, \( I \), between updates

The form of the function is chosen so that both the increase of \( P_{(A,B)} \) resulting from Equation 1 and the decrease that results from Equation 2 are related to the interval between updates for short intervals. For intervals longer than the "typical" time (\( I_{\text{typ}} \))
between encounters $P_{encounter}$ is set to a fixed value $P_{encounter\_max}$. The break point reflects the transition between the "normal" communication opportunity regime where opportunities result from the overall mobility pattern and the closely spaced opportunities that result from what are effectively local artifacts of the wireless technology used to deliver those opportunities.

$P_{encounter\_max}$ is chosen so that the increment in $P_{(A,B)}$ provided by equation (1) significantly exceeds the decay of the delivery predictability over the typical interval between encounters resulting from Equation 2.

Making $P_{encounter}$ dependent on the interval time also avoids inappropriate extra increments of $P_{(A,B)}$ in situations where node A is in communication with several other nodes simultaneously. In this case updates from each of the communicating nodes have to be distributed to the other nodes possibly leading to several updates being carried out in a short period. This situation is discussed in more detail in Section 3.2.2.

If a pair of nodes do not encounter each other during an interval, they are less likely to be good forwarders of bundles to each other, thus the delivery predictability values must age, being reduced in the process. The second part of the updates of the metric values is application of the aging equation shown in Equation 2, where $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ is the aging constant, and $K$ is the number of time units that have elapsed since the last time the metric was aged. The time unit used can differ, and should be defined based on the application and the expected delays in the targeted network.

$$P_{(A,B)} = P_{(A,B)\_old} \cdot \gamma^K \quad (2)$$

The delivery predictabilities are aged according to Equation 2 before being passed to an encountered node so that they reflect the time that has passed since the node had its last encounter with any other node. The results of the aging process are sent to the encountered peer for use in the next stage of the process. The aged results received from node B in node A are referenced as $P_{(B,x)\_recv}$.

The delivery predictability also has a transitive property, that is based on the observation that if node A frequently encounters node B, and node B frequently encounters node C, then node C probably is a good node to which to forward bundles destined for node A. Equation 3 shows how this transitivity affects the delivery predictability, where $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ is a scaling constant that controls how large an impact the transitivity should have on the delivery predictability.

$$P_{(A,C)} = \max( P_{(A,C)\_old}, P_{(A,B)} \cdot P_{(B,C)\_recv} \cdot \beta ) \quad (3)$$
Node A uses Equation 3 and the metric values received from the encountered node B (e.g., $P_{(B,C)_{\text{recv}}}$) in the third part of updating the metric values stored in node A.

### 2.1.2.1. Impact of Encounters Between Nodes with Different Parameter Settings

The various parameters used in the three equations described in Section 2.1.2 are set independently in each node and it is therefore possible that encounters may take place between nodes that have been configured with different values of the parameters. This section considers whether this could be problematic for the operation of PRoPHET in that zone.

It is desirable that all the nodes operating in a PRoPHET zone should use closely matched values of the parameters and that the parameters should be set to values that are appropriate for the operating zone. More details of how to select appropriate values are given in Section 3.3. Using closely matched values means that delivery predictabilities will evolve in the same way in each node leading to consistent decision making about the bundles that should be exchanged during encounters.

Before going on to consider the impact of reasonable but different settings, it should be noted that malicious nodes can use inappropriate settings of the parameters to disrupt delivery of bundles in a PRoPHET zone as described in Section 6.

Firstly and importantly, use of different, but legitimate, settings in encountering nodes will not cause problems in the protocol itself. Apart from $P_{\text{encounter\_first}}$, the other parameters control the rate of change of the metric values or limit the range of valid values that will be stored in a node. None of the calculations in a node will be invalidated or result in illegal values if the metric values received from another node had been calculated using different parameters. Furthermore, the protocol is designed so that it is not possible to carry delivery predictabilities outside the permissible range of 0 to 1.

A node MAY consider setting received values greater than $(1 - \delta)$ to $(1 - \delta)$ if this would simplify operations. However there are some special situations where it may be appropriate for the delivery predictability for another node to be 1. For example if a DTN using PRoPHET has multiple gateways to the continuously connected Internet, the delivery predictability seen from PRoPHET in one gateway for the other gateway nodes can be taken as 1 since they are permanently connected through the Internet. This would allow traffic to be forwarded into the DTN through the most advantageous gateway even if...
it initially arrives at another gateway.

Simulation work indicates that the update calculations are quite stable in the face of changes to the rate parameters, so that minor discrepancies will not have a major impact on the performance of the protocol. The protocol is explicitly designed to deal with situations where there are random factors in the opportunistic nature of node encounters and this randomness dominates over the discrepancies in the parameters.

More major discrepancies may lead to sub-optimal behavior of the protocol as certain paths might be more preferred or more deprecated inappropriately. However, since the protocol overall is epidemic in nature, this would not generally lead to non-delivery of bundles as they would also be passed to other nodes and would still be delivered though possibly not on the optimal path.

2.1.3. Optional Delivery Predictability Optimizations

2.1.3.1. Smoothing

To give the delivery predictability a smoother rate of change, a node MAY apply one of the following methods to smooth the metric:

1. Keep a list of NUM_P (the recommended value is 4, which has been shown in simulations to give a good trade off between smoothness and rate of response to changes) values for each destination instead of only a single value. The list is held in order of acquisition. When a delivery predictability is updated, the value at the "newest" position in the list is used as input to the equations in Section 2.1.2. The oldest value in the list is then discarded and the new value is written in the "newest" position of the list. When a delivery predictability value is needed (either for sending to a peering PRoPHET node, or for making a forwarding decision), the average of the values in the list is calculated, and that value is then used. If less than NUM_P values have been entered into the list, only the positions that have been filled should be used for the averaging.

2. In addition to keeping the delivery predictability as described in Section 2.1.2, a node MAY also keep an exponential weighted moving average (EWMA) of the delivery predictability. The EWMA is then used for making forwarding decisions and to report to peering nodes, but the value calculated according to Section 2.1.2 is still used as input to the calculations of new delivery predictabilities. The EWMA is calculated according to Equation 4, where 0 <= alpha <= 1 is the weight of the most current value.
\[ P_{\text{ewma}} = P_{\text{ewma\_old}} \times (1 - \alpha) + P \times \alpha \] (4)

The appropriate choice of \( \alpha \) may vary depending on application scenario circumstances. Unless prior knowledge of the scenario is available, it is suggested that \( \alpha \) is set to 0.5.

2.1.3.2. Removal of Low Delivery Predictabilities

To reduce the data to be transferred between two nodes, a node MAY treat delivery predictabilities smaller than \( P_{\text{first\_threshold}} \), where \( P_{\text{first\_threshold}} \) is a small number, as if they were zero, and thus they do not need to be stored or included in the list sent during the information exchange phase. If this optimization is used, care must be taken to select \( P_{\text{first\_threshold}} \) to be smaller than delivery predictability values normally present in the network for destinations for which this node is a forwarder. It is possible that \( P_{\text{first\_threshold}} \) could be calculated based on delivery predictability ranges and the amount they change historically, but this has not been investigated yet.

2.1.4. Forwarding Strategies and Queueing Policies

In traditional routing protocols, choosing where to forward a message is usually a simple task; the message is sent to the neighbor that has the path to the destination with the lowest cost (often the shortest path). Normally the message is also only sent to a single node since the reliability of paths is relatively high. However, in the settings we envision here, things are radically different. The first possibility that must be considered when a bundle arrives at a node is that there might not be a path to the destination available, so the node has to buffer the bundle and upon each encounter with another node, the decision must be made whether or not to transfer a particular bundle. Furthermore, having duplicates of messages (on different nodes, as the bundle offer/request mechanism described in Section 4.3.5 ensures that a node does not receive a bundle it already carries) may also be sensible, as forwarding a bundle to multiple nodes can increase the delivery probability of that bundle.

Unfortunately, these decisions are not trivial to make. In some cases it might be sensible to select a fixed threshold and only give a bundle to nodes that have a delivery predictability over that threshold for the destination of the bundle. On the other hand, when encountering a node with a low delivery predictability, it is not certain that a node with a higher metric will be encountered within reasonable time. Thus, there can also be situations where we might want to be less strict in deciding who to give bundles to. Furthermore, there is the problem of deciding how many nodes to give a certain bundle to. Distributing a bundle to a large number of
nodes will of course increase the probability of delivering that particular bundle to its destination, but this comes at the cost of consuming more system resources for bundle storage and possibly reducing the probability of other bundles being delivered. On the other hand, giving a bundle to only a few nodes (maybe even just a single node) will use less system resources, but the probability of delivering a bundle is lower, and the delay incurred high.

When resources are constrained, nodes may suffer from storage shortage, and may have to drop bundles before they have been delivered to their destinations. They may also wish to consider the length of bundles being offered by an encountered node before accepting transfer of the bundle in order to avoid the need to drop the new bundle immediately or to ensure that there is adequate space to hold the bundle offered, which might require other bundles to be dropped. As with the decision as to whether or not to forward a bundle, deciding which bundles to accept and/or drop to still maintain good performance might require different policies in different scenarios.

Nodes MAY define their own forwarding strategies and queueing policies that take into account the special conditions applicable to the nodes, and local resource constraints. Some default strategies and policies that should be suitable for most normal operation are defined in Section 3.6 and Section 3.7.

2.2.  Bundle Agent to Routing Agent Interface

The bundle protocol [RFC5050] introduces the concept of a "bundle agent" that manages the interface between applications and the "convergence layers" that provide the transport of bundles between nodes during communication opportunities. This specification extends the bundle agent with a routing agent that controls the actions of the bundle agent during an (opportunistic) communications opportunity.

This specification defines the details of the PROPHET routing agent, but the interface defines a more general interface that is also applicable to alternative routing protocols.

To enable the PROPHET routing agent to operate properly, it must be aware of the bundles stored at the node, and it must also be able to tell the bundle agent of that node to send a bundle to a peering node. Therefore, the bundle agent needs to provide the following interface/functionality to the routing agent:
Get Bundle List
   Returns a list of the stored bundles and their attributes to the
   routing agent.

Send Bundle
   Makes the bundle agent send a specified bundle.

Accept Bundle
   Gives the bundle agent a new bundle to store.

Bundle Delivered
   Tells the bundle agent that a bundle was delivered to its
   destination.

Drop Bundle Advice
   Advises the bundle agent that a specified bundle should not be
   offered for forwarding in future and may be dropped by the
   bundle agent if appropriate.

Route Import
   Can be used by a gateway node in a PRoPHET zone to import
   reachability information about EIDs that are external to the
   PRoPHET zone. Translation functions dependent on the external
   routing protocol will be used to set the appropriate delivery
   predictabilities for imported destinations as described in
   Section 2.3.

Route Export
   Can be used by a gateway node in a PRoPHET zone to export
   reachability information (destination EIDs and corresponding
   delivery predictabilities) for use by routing protocols in other
   parts of the DTN.

Implementation Note: Depending on the distribution of functions in
a complete bundle agent supporting PRoPHET, reception and delivery
of bundles may not be carried out directly by the PRoPHET module.
In this case PRoPHET can inform the bundle agent about bundles
that have been requested from communicating nodes. Then the
Accept Bundle and Bundle Delivered functions can be implemented as
notifications of the PRoPHET module when the relevant bundles
arrive at the node or are delivered to local applications.

2.3. PRoPHET Zone Gateways

PRoPHET is designed to handle routing primarily within a "PRoPHET
zone," i.e., a set of nodes that all implement the PRoPHET routing
scheme. However, since we recognise that a PRoPHET routing zone is
unlikely to encompass an entire DTN, there may be nodes within the
zone that act as gateways to other nodes that are the destinations for bundles generated within the zone or that insert bundles into the zone.

PRoPHET MAY elect to export and import routes across a bundle agent interface. The delivery predictability to use for routes that are imported depends on the routing protocol used to manage those routes. If a translation function between the external routing protocol and PRoPHET exists, it SHOULD be used to set the delivery predictability. If no such translation function exists, the delivery predictability SHOULD be set to 1. For those routes that are exported, the current delivery predictability will be exported with the route.

2.4. Lower Layer Requirements and Interface

PRoPHET can be run on a large number of underlying networking technologies. To accommodate its operation on all kinds of lower layers, it requires the lower layers to provide the following functionality and interfaces.

Neighbor discovery and maintenance
A PRoPHET node needs to know the identity of its neighbors and when new neighbors appear and old neighbors disappear. Some wireless networking technologies might already contain mechanisms for detecting neighbors and maintaining this state. To avoid redundancies and inefficiencies, neighbor discovery is thus not included as a part of PRoPHET, but PRoPHET relies on such a mechanism in lower layers. The lower layers MUST provide the two functions listed below. If the underlying networking technology does not support such services, a simple neighbor discovery scheme using local broadcasts of beacon messages could be run in-between PRoPHET and the underlying layer. An example of a simple neighbor discovery mechanism that could be used is shown in Appendix B.

New Neighbor
Signals to the PRoPHET agent that a new node has become a neighbor. A neighbor is here defined as another node that is currently within communication range of the wireless networking technology in use. The PRoPHET agent should now start the Hello procedure as described in Section 5.2.

Neighbor Gone
Signals to the PRoPHET agent that one of its neighbors have left.
Local Address

An address used by the underlying communication layer (e.g., an IP or MAC address) that identifies the sender address of the current message. This address must be unique among the nodes that can currently communicate, and is only used in conjunction with an Instance Number to identify a communicating pair of nodes as described in Section 4.1. This address and its format is dependent on the communication layer that is being used by the PRoPHET layer.
3. Protocol Overview

3.1. Neighbor Awareness

Since the operation of the protocol is dependent on the encounters of nodes running PRoPHET, the nodes must be able to detect when a new neighbor is present. The protocol may be run on several different networking technologies, and as some of them might already have methods available for detecting neighbors, PRoPHET does not include a mechanism for neighbor discovery. Instead, it requires the underlying layer to provide a mechanism to notify the protocol of when neighbors appear and disappear as described in Section 2.4.

When a new neighbor has been detected, the protocol starts to set up a link with that node through the Hello message exchange as described in Section 5.2. The Hello message exchange allows for negotiation of capabilities between neighbors. At present the only capability is a request that the offering node should or should not include bundle payload lengths with all offered bundles rather than just for fragments. Once the link has been set up the protocol may continue to the Information Exchange Phase (see Section 3.2). Once this has been completed the nodes will normally recalculate the delivery predictabilities using the equations and mechanisms described in Section 2.1.2 and Section 2.1.3.

As described in Section 2.1.2, there are some circumstances in which a single logical encounter may result in several actual communication opportunities. To avoid the delivery predictability of the encountered node being increased excessively under these circumstances the value of $P_{\text{encounter}}$ is dependent on the interval time between delivery predictability updates for intervals less than the typical interval between encounters.

In order to make use of this time dependence, PRoPHET maintains a list of recently encountered nodes identified by the Endpoint Identifier (EID) that the node uses to identify the communication session and containing the start time of the last communication session with that node. The size of this list is controlled because nodes that are not in contact and started their last connection more than a time $I_{\text{typ}}$ before the present can be dropped from the list. It also maintains a record of the time at which the decay function (Equation 2) was last applied to the delivery predictabilities in the node.

3.2. Information Exchange Phase

The Information Exchange Phase involves the transfer of sets of four types of information between the pair of connected nodes:
During a communication opportunity several sets of each type of information may be transferred in each direction as explained in the rest of this section. Each set can be transferred in one or more messages. When (and only when) using a connection oriented reliable transport protocol such as TCP as envisaged in this draft, a set can be be partitioned across messages by the software layer above the PRoPHET protocol engine.

In this case the last message in a set is flagged in the protocol. This allows the higher level software to minimize the buffer memory requirements by avoiding the need to build very large messages in one go, and allows the message size to be controlled outside of PRoPHET. However, this scheme is only usable if the transport protocol provides reliable, in-order delivery of messages as the messages are not explicitly sequence numbered and the overall size of the set is not passed explicitly.

The specification of PRoPHET also provides a sub-message mechanism and retransmission that allows large messages specified by the higher level to be transmitted in smaller chunks. This mechanism was originally provided to allow PRoPHET to operate over unreliable transport protocols such as UDP, but can also be used with reliable transports if the higher level software does not want to handle message fragmentation. However, the sequencing and length adds overhead that is redundant if the transport protocol already provides reliable, in-order delivery.

The first step in the Information Exchange Phase is for the protocol to send one or more messages containing a RIB Dictionary TLV (Type-Length-Value message component) to the node it is peering with. This set of messages contain a dictionary of the Endpoint Identifiers (EIDs) of the nodes that will be listed in the Routing Information Base (RIB - see Section 3.2.1 for more information about this dictionary). After this, one or more messages containing a Routing Information Base TLV are sent. This TLV contains a list of the EIDs that the node has knowledge of, and the corresponding delivery predictabilities for those nodes, together with flags describing the capabilities of the sending node. Upon reception of a complete set of these messages, the peer node updates its delivery predictability table according to the equations in Section 2.1.2. the peer node then
applies its forwarding strategy (see Section 2.1.4) to determine which of its stored bundles it wishes to offer the node that sent the RIB, which will then be the receiver for any bundles to be transferred.

After making this decision, one or more Bundle Offer TLVs are prepared, listing the bundle identifiers and their destinations for all bundles the peer node wishes to offer to the receiver node that sent the RIB. As described in [RFC5050], a bundle identifier consists of up to five component parts. For a complete bundle the identifier consists of

- Source EID,
- Creation Timestamp - Time of creation, and
- Creation Timestamp - Sequence Number.

Additionally, for a bundle fragment, the identifier also contains

- Offset within the payload at which the fragment payload data starts, and
- Length of the fragment payload data.

If any of the Bundle Offer TLVs lists a bundle for which the source or destination EID was not included in the previous set of RIB Dictionary information sent, one or more new RIBD TLVs are sent next with an incremental update of the dictionary. When the receiver node has a dictionary with all necessary EIDs, the Bundle Offer TLVs are sent to it. The Bundle Offer TLVs also contain a list of PRoPHET ACKs (see Section 3.5). If requested by the receiver node during the Hello phase the Bundle Offer TLV will also specify the payload length for all bundles rather than just for fragments. This information can be used by the receiving node to assist with the selection of bundles to be accepted from the offered list, especially if the available bundle storage capacity is limited.

The receiving node then examines the list of offered bundles and selects bundles that it will accept according to its own policies, considering the bundles already present in the node and the current availability of resources in the node. The list is sorted according to the priority that the policies apply to the selected bundles, with the highest priority bundle first in the list. The offering node will forward the selected bundles in this order. The prioritized list is sent to the offering node in one or more Bundle Response TLVs using the same EID dictionary as was used for the Bundle Offer TLV.
When a new bundle arrives at a node, the node MAY inspect its list of available neighbors, and if one of them is a candidate to forward the bundle, a new Bundle Offer TLV MAY be sent to that node. If two nodes remain connected over a longer period of time, the Information Exchange Phase will be periodically re-initiated when the next_exchange timer expires to allow new delivery predictability information to be spread through the network and new bundle exchanges to take place.

The Information Exchange phase of the protocol is described in more detail in Section 5.3.

### 3.2.1. Routing Information Base Dictionary

To reduce the overhead of the protocol, the Routing Information Base and Bundle Offer/Response TLVs utilize an EID dictionary. This dictionary maps variable length EIDs as defined in [RFC4838], which may potentially be quite long, to shorter numerical identifiers, coded as Self-Delimiting Numeric Values (SDNVs - see Section 4.1. of RFC 5050 [RFC5050]), that are used in place of the EIDs in subsequent TLVs.

This dictionary is a shared resource between the two peering nodes. Each can add to the dictionary by sending a RIB Dictionary TLV to its peer. To allow either node to add to the dictionary at any time, the identifiers used by each node are taken from disjoint sets: identifiers originated by the node that started the Hello procedure have the least significant bit set to 0 (i.e., are even numbers) whereas those originated by the other peer have the least significant bit set to 1 (i.e., are odd numbers). This means that the dictionary can be expanded by either node at any point in the information exchange phase and the new identifiers can then be used in subsequent TLVs until the dictionary is reinitialized.

The dictionary that is established only persists through a single encounter with a node (i.e., while the same link set up by the Hello procedure, with the same instance numbers, remains open).

Having more than one identifier for the same EID does not cause any problems. This means that it is possible for the peers to create their dictionary entries independently if required by an implementation, but this may be inefficient as a dictionary entry for an EID might be sent in both directions between the peers. Implementers can choose to inspect entries sent by the node that started the Hello procedure and thereby eliminate any duplicates before sending the dictionary entries from the other peer. Whether postponing sending the other peer’s entries is more efficient depends on the nature of the physical link technology and the transport.
protocol used. With a genuinely full duplex link it may be faster to accept possible duplication and send dictionary entries concurrently in both directions. If the link is effectively half-duplex (e.g., Wi-Fi), then it will generally be more efficient to wait and eliminate duplicates.

If a node receives a RIB Dictionary TLV containing an identifier that is already in use, the node MUST confirm that the EID referred to is identical to the EID in the existing entry. Otherwise the node must send an error response to the message with the TLV containing the error and ignore the TLV containing the error. If a node receives a RIB, Bundle Offer or Bundle Response TLV that uses an identifier that is not in its dictionary, the node MUST send an error response and ignore the TLV containing the error.

3.2.2. Handling Multiple Simultaneous Contacts

From time to time a mobile node may, for example, be in wireless range of more than one other mobile node. The PRoPHET neighbor awareness protocol will establish multiple simultaneous contacts with these nodes and commence information exchanges with each of them.

When updating the delivery predictabilities as described in Section 2.1.2 using the values passed from each of the contacts in turn, some extra considerations apply when multiple contacts are in progress:

SC1 When aging the delivery predictabilities according to Equation 2, the value of K to be used in each set of calculations is always the amount of time since the last aging was done. For example if node Z makes contact with node A and then with node B, the value of K used when the delivery predictabilities are aged in node Z for the contact with node B will be the time since the delivery predictabilities were aged for the contact with node A.

SC2 When a new contact starts, the value of $P_{\text{encounter}}$ used when applying Equation 1 for the newly contacted node is always selected according to the time since the last encounter with that node. Thus the application of Equation 1 to update $P_{\text{encounter}}(Z,A)$ when the contact of Z and A starts in the aging example just given and the updating of $P_{\text{encounter}}(Z,B)$ when the contact of Z and B starts will use the appropriate value of $P_{\text{encounter}}$ according to how long it is since node Z previously encountered node A and node B respectively.
If, as with the contact between nodes Z and B, there is another active contact in progress, such as with node A when the contact with node B starts, Equation 1 should *also* be applied to $P_{(z,x)}$ for all the nodes "x" which have ongoing contacts with node Z (i.e., node A in the example given). However the value of $P_{\text{encounter}}$ used will be selected according to the time since the previous update of the delivery predictabilities as a result of information received from any other node. In the example given here, $P_{(Z,A)}$ would also have Equation 1 applied when the delivery predictabilities are received from node B, but the value of $P_{\text{encounter}}$ used would be selected according to the time since the encounters done when the encounter between nodes Z and A started rather than the time since the previous encounter between nodes A and Z.

If these simultaneous contacts persist for some time, then, as described in Section 3.2, the information exchange process will be periodically rerun for each contact according to the configured timer interval. When the delivery predictability values are recalculated during each rerun, Equation 1 will be applied as in special consideration SC3 above, but it will be applied to the delivery predictability for each active contact using the $P_{\text{encounter}}$ value selected according to time since the last set of updates were performed on the delivery predictabilities irrespective of which nodes triggered either the previous or current updates. This means that in the example discussed here $P_{(Z,A)}$ and $P_{(Z,B)}$ will be updated using the same value of $P_{\text{encounter}}$ whether node A or node B initiated the update while the three nodes remain connected.

The interval between reruns of the information exchange will be generally be set to a small fraction of the expected time between independent encounters of pairs of nodes. This ensures that, for example, the delivery predictability information obtained by node Z from node A will be passed on to node B whether or not nodes A and B can communicate directly during this encounter. This avoids problems that may arise from peculiarities of radio propagation during this sort of encounter, but the scaling of the $P_{\text{encounter}}$ factor according to the time between updates of the delivery predictabilities means that the predictabilities for the nodes that are in contact are not increased excessively as would be the case if each information exchange was treated as a separate encounter with the value of $P_{\text{encounter_max}}$ used each time. In conjunction with the form of Equation 3 where a "max" function is used, the scaling of $P_{\text{encounter}}$ results in the delivery predictabilities in each node stabilizing after a few exchanges in a situation where several nodes are in mutual contact. This has been demonstrated by simulation.

The effect of the updates of the delivery predictabilities when there
are multiple simultaneous contacts is that the information about good routes on which to forward bundles is correctly passed between sets of nodes that are simultaneously in contact through the transitive update of Equation 3 during each information exchange but the delivery predictabilities for the direct contacts are not exaggerated.

3.3. Routing Algorithm

The basic routing algorithm of the protocol is described in Section 2.1. The algorithm uses some parameter values in the calculation of the delivery predictability metric. These parameters are configurable depending on the usage scenario, but Figure 3 provides some recommended default values. A brief explanation of the parameters and some advice on setting appropriate values is given below.

I_typ

I_typ provides a fundamental timescale for the mobility pattern in the PRoPHET scenario where the protocol is being applied. It represents the typical or mean time interval between encounters between a given pair of nodes in the normal course of mobility. The interval should reflect the "logical" time between encounters and should not give significant weight to multiple connection events as explained in Section 2.1.2. This time interval informs the settings of many of the other parameters but is not necessarily directly used as a parameter. Consideration needs to be given to the higher moments of the distribution of intervals between encounters and the nature of that distribution.

P_encounter_max

P_encounter_max is used as the upper limit of a scaling factor that increases the delivery predictability for a destination when the destination node is encountered. A larger value of P_encounter_max will increase the delivery predictability faster and fewer encounters will be required for the delivery predictability to reach a certain level. Given that relative rather than absolute delivery predictability values are what is interesting for the forwarding mechanisms defined, the protocol is very robust to different values of P_encounter as long as the same value is chosen for all nodes. The value should be chosen so that increase in the delivery predictability resulting from using P_encounter_max in Equation 1 more than compensates for the decay of the delivery predictability resulting from Equation 3 with a time interval of I_typ.
P_encounter(intvl)

As explained in Section 2.1.2, the parameter P_encounter used in Equation 1 is a function of the time interval "intvl". The function should be an approximation to

\[
P_{\text{encounter}}(\text{intvl}) = \begin{cases} 
P_{\text{encounter\_max}} \times (\text{intvl} / I_{\text{typ}}) & \text{for } 0 \leq \text{intvl} \leq I_{\text{typ}} \\
P_{\text{encounter\_max}} & \text{for } \text{intvl} > I_{\text{typ}} 
\end{cases}
\]

The function can be quantized and adapted to suit the mobility pattern and to make implementation easier. The overall effect should be that if Equation 1 is applied a number of times during a long lived communication opportunity lasting I_{\text{typ}}, the overall increase in the delivery predictability should be approximately the same as if there had been two distinct encounters spaced I_{\text{typ}} apart. This second case would result in one application of Equation 1 using P_{\text{encounter\_max}}.

P_first_threshold

As described in Section 2.1.2, the delivery predictability for a destination is gradually reduced over time unless increased as a result of direct encounters or through the transitivity property. If the delivery predictability falls below the value P_{\text{first\_threshold}}, then the node MAY discard the delivery predictability information for the destination and treat subsequent encounters as if they had never encountered the node previously. This allows the node to reduce the storage needed for delivery predictabilities and decreases the amount of information that has to be exchanged between nodes; otherwise the reduction algorithm would result in very small but non-zero predictabilities being maintained for nodes that were last encountered a long time ago.

P_encounter_first

As described in Section 2.1.2, PRoPHET does not, by default, make any assumptions about the likelihood that an encountered node will be encountered repeatedly in the future or, alternatively, that this is a once-off chance encounter that is unlikely to be repeated. During an encounter where the encountering node has no delivery predictability information for the encountered destination node, either because this is really the first encounter between the nodes or because the previous encounter was so long ago that the predictability had fallen below P_{\text{first\_threshold}} and therefore been discarded, the encountering node sets the delivery predictability for the destination node to P_{\text{encounter\_first}}. The suggested value for P_{\text{encounter\_first}} is 0.5: this value is RECOMMENDED as appropriate in the usual case where PRoPHET has no extra (e.g.,
out-of-band) information about whether future encounters with this node will be regular or otherwise.

alpha
The alpha parameter is used in the optional smoothing of the delivery predictabilities described in Section 2.1.3.1. It is used to determine the weight of the most current P-value in the calculation of an EWMA.

beta
The beta parameter adjusts the weight of the transitive property of PRoPHET, that is, how much consideration should be given to information about destinations that is received from encountered nodes. If beta is set to zero, the transitive property of PRoPHET will not be active and only direct encounters will be used in the calculation of the delivery predictability. The higher the value of beta the more rapidly encounters will increase predictabilities through the transitive rule.

gamma
The gamma parameter determines how quickly delivery predictabilities age. A lower value of gamma will cause the delivery predictability to age faster. The value of gamma should be chosen according to the scenario and environment in which the protocol will be used. If encounters are expected to be very frequent, a lower value should be chosen for gamma than if encounters are expected to be rare.

delta
The delta parameter sets the maximum value that the delivery predictability for a destination other than for the node itself (i.e., $P(A,B)$ for all cases except $P(A,A)$) as $(1 - \delta)$. Delta should be set to a small value to allow the maximum possible range for predictabilities but can be configured to make calculation efficient if needed.

To set an appropriate gamma value, one should consider the "average expected delivery" time $I_{aed}$ in the PRoPHET zone where the protocol is to be used, and the time unit used (the resolution with which the delivery predictability is being updated). The $I_{aed}$ time interval can be estimated according to the average number of hops that bundles have to pass and average interval between encounters $I_{typ}$. Clearly if bundles have a time to live (TTL - i.e., the time left until the expiry time stored in the bundle occurs) that is less than $I_{aed}$ they are unlikely to survive in the network to be delivered to a node in this PRoPHET zone, but the TTL for bundles created in nodes in this zone should not be chosen solely on this basis because they may pass through other networks.
After estimating $I_{aed}$ and selecting how much we want the delivery predictability to age in one $I_{aed}$ time period (call this $A$), we can calculate $K$, the number of time units in one $I_{aed}$, using $K = \frac{I_{aed}}{\text{time unit}}$. This can then be used to calculate gamma as $\gamma = K^{th\text{-root}(A)}$.

$I_{typ}$, $I_{aed}$, $K$, and gamma can then be used to inform the settings of $P_{\text{encounter first}}$, $P_{\text{encounter max}}$, $P_{\text{first threshold}}$ and $\delta$, and the detailed form of the function $P_{\text{encounter(intvl)}}$.

First, considering the evolution of the delivery predictability $P_{\text{A,B}}$ after a single encounter between nodes A and B, $P_{\text{A,B}}$ is initially set to $P_{\text{encounter first}}$ and will then steadily decay until it reaches $P_{\text{first threshold}}$. The ratio between $P_{\text{encounter first}}$ and $P_{\text{first threshold}}$ should be set so that $P_{\text{first threshold}}$ is reached after a small multiple (e.g., 3 to 5) of $I_{aed}$ has elapsed, making it likely that any subsequent encounter between the nodes would have occurred before $P_{\text{A,B}}$ decays below $P_{\text{first threshold}}$. If the statistics of the distribution of times between encounters is known then a small multiple of the standard deviation of the distribution would be a possible period instead of using a multiple of $I_{aed}$.

Second, if a second encounter between A and B occurs, the setting of $P_{\text{encounter max}}$ should be sufficiently high to reverse the decay that would have occurred during $I_{typ}$ and increase $P_{\text{A,B}}$ above the value of $P_{\text{encounter first}}$. After several further encounters $P_{\text{A,B}}$ will reach $(1 - \delta)$, its upper limit. As with setting up $P_{\text{first threshold}}$, $P_{\text{encounter max}}$ should be set so that the upper limit is reached after a small number of encounters spaced apart by $I_{typ}$ have occurred but this should generally be more than 2 or 3.

Finally beta can be chosen to give some smoothing of the influence of transitivity.

These instructions on how to set the parameters are only given as a possible method for selecting appropriate values, but network operators are free to set parameters as they choose. Appendix C goes into some more detail on linking the parameters defined here and the more conventional ways of expressing the mobility model in terms of distributions of times between events of various types.
Recommended starting parameter values when specific network measurements have not been done are below. Note: there are no "one size fits all" default values and the ideal values vary based on network characteristics. It is not inherently necessary for the parameter values to be identical at all nodes, but it is recommended that similar values are used at all nodes within a PRoPHET zone as discussed in Section 2.1.2.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Recommended value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P_encounter_max</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_encounter_first</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P_first_threshold</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gamma</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delta</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Default parameter settings

3.4. Bundle Passing

Upon reception of the Bundle Offer TLV, the node inspects the list of bundles and decides which bundles it is willing to store for future forwarding, or that it is able to deliver to their destination. This decision has to be made using local policies and considering parameters such as available buffer space and, if the node requested bundle lengths, the lengths of the offered bundles. For each such acceptable bundle, the node sends a Bundle Response TLV to its peering node, which in response to that sends the requested bundle. If a node has some bundles it would prefer to receive ahead of others offered (e.g., bundles that it can deliver to their final destination), it MAY request the bundles in that priority order. This is often desirable as there is no guarantee that the nodes will remain in contact with each other for long enough to transfer all the acceptable bundles. Otherwise, the node SHOULD assume that the bundles are listed in a priority order determined by the peering node’s forwarding strategy, and request bundles in that order.
3.4.1. Custody

To free up local resources, a node may give custody of a bundle to another node that offers custody. This is done to move the retransmission requirement further toward the destination. The concept of custody transfer, and more details on the motivation for its use can be found in [RFC4838]. PRoPHET takes no responsibilities for making custody decisions. Such decisions should be made by a higher layer.

3.5. When a Bundle Reaches its Destination

When a bundle reaches its destination within the PRoPHET zone (i.e., within the part of the network where PRoPHET is used for routing; not necessarily the final destination of the bundle), a PRoPHET ACK for that bundle is issued. A PRoPHET ACK is a confirmation that a bundle has been delivered to its destination in the PRoPHET zone (bundles might traverse several different types of networks using different routing protocols; thus, this might not be the final destination of the bundle). When nodes exchange Bundle Offer TLVs, bundles that have been ACKed are also listed, having the "PRoPHET ACK" flag set. The node that receives this list updates its own list of ACKed bundles to be the union of its previous list and the received list. To prevent the list of ACKed bundles growing indefinitely, each PRoPHET ACK should have a timeout that MUST NOT be longer than the timeout of the bundle to which the ACK corresponds.

When a node receives a PRoPHET ACK for a bundle it is carrying, it MAY delete that bundle from its storage, unless the node holds custody of that bundle. The PRoPHET ACK only indicates that a bundle has been delivered to its destination within the PRoPHET zone, so the reception of a PRoPHET ACK is not a guarantee that the bundle has been delivered to its final destination.

Nodes MAY keep track of which nodes they have sent PRoPHET ACKs for certain bundles to, and MAY in that case refrain from sending multiple PRoPHET ACKs for the same bundle to the same node.

If necessary in order to preserve system resources, nodes MAY drop PRoPHET ACKs prematurely, but SHOULD refrain from doing so if possible.

It is important to keep in mind that PRoPHET ACKs and bundle ACKs [RFC5050] are different things. PRoPHET ACKs are only valid within the PRoPHET part of the network, while bundle ACKs are end-to-end acknowledgments that may go outside of the PRoPHET zone.
3.6. Forwarding Strategies

During the information exchange phase, nodes need to decide on which bundles they wish to exchange with the peering node. Because of the large number of scenarios and environments that PRoPHET can be used in, and because of the wide range of devices that may be used, it is not certain that this decision will be based on the same strategy in every case. Therefore, each node MUST operate a _forwarding strategy_ to make this decision. Nodes may define their own strategies, but this section defines a few basic forwarding strategies that nodes can use. Note: If the node being encountered is the destination of any of the bundles being carried, those bundles SHOULD be offered to the destination, even if that would violate the forwarding strategy. Some of the forwarding strategies listed here have been evaluated (together with a number of queueing policies) through simulations, and more information about that and recommendations on which strategies to use in different situations can be found in [lindgren_06]. If not chosen differently due to the characteristics of the deployment scenario, nodes SHOULD choose GRTR as the default forwarding strategy.

The short names applied to the Forwarding Strategies should be read as mnemonic handles rather as specific acronyms for any set of words in the specification.

We use the following notation in our descriptions below. A and B are the nodes that encounter each other, and the strategies are described as they would be applied by node A. The destination node is D. P_(X,Y) denotes the delivery predictability stored at node X for destination Y, and NF is the number of times A has given the bundle to some other node.

**GRTR**
Forward the bundle only if P_(B,D) > P_(A,D).

When two nodes meet, a bundle is sent to the other node if the delivery predictability of the destination of the bundle is higher at the other node. The first node does not delete the bundle after sending it as long as there is sufficient buffer space available (since it might encounter a better node, or even the final destination of the bundle in the future).

**GTMX**
Forward the bundle only if P_(B,D) > P_(A,D) && NF < NF_max.

This strategy is like the previous one, but each bundle is given to at most NF_max other nodes apart from the destination.
GTHR
Forward the bundle only if
\[ P_{(B,D)} > P_{(A,D)} \text{ OR } P_{(B,D)} > \text{FORW\_thres}, \]
where \text{FORW\_thres} is a threshold value, above which a bundle should always be given to the node unless it is already present at the other node.

This strategy is similar to GRTR, but among nodes with very high delivery predictability, bundles for that particular destination are spread epidemically.

GRTR+
Forward the bundle only if Equation 5 holds, where \( P_{\text{max}} \) is the largest delivery predictability reported by a node to which the bundle has been sent so far.

\[ P_{(B,D)} > P_{(A,D)} \text{ \&\& } P_{(B,D)} > P_{\text{max}} \quad (5) \]

This strategy is like GRTR, but nodes keep track of the largest delivery predictability of any node it has forwarded this bundle to, and only forward the bundle again if the currently encountered node has a greater delivery predictability than the maximum previously encountered.

GTMX+
Forward the bundle only if Equation 6 holds.

\[ P_{(B,D)} > P_{(A,D)} \text{ \&\& } P_{(B,D)} > P_{\text{max}} \text{ \&\& } \text{NF} < \text{NF\_max} \quad (6) \]

This strategy is like GTMX, but nodes keep track of \( P_{\text{max}} \) as in GRTR+.

GRTRSort
Select bundles in descending order of the value of
\[ P_{(B,D)} - P_{(A,D)}. \]
Forward the bundle only if \( P_{(B,D)} > P_{(A,D)}. \)

This strategy is like GRTR, but instead of just going through the bundle queue linearly, this strategy looks at the difference in delivery predictabilities for each bundle between the two nodes, and forwards the bundles with the largest difference first. As bandwidth limitations or disrupted connections may result in not all bundles that would be desirable being exchanged, it could be desirable to first send bundles that get a large improvement in delivery predictability.
GRTRMax
Select bundles in descending order of $P_(B,D)$.
Forward the bundle only if $P_(B,D) > P_(A,D)$.

This strategy begins by considering the bundles for which the
encountered node has the highest delivery predictability. The
motivation for doing this is the same as in GRTRSort, but based
on the idea that it is better to give bundles to nodes with high
absolute delivery predictabilities, instead of trying to
maximize the improvement.

3.7. Queueing Policies

Because of limited buffer resources, nodes may need to drop some
bundles. As is the case with the forwarding strategies, which bundle
to drop is also dependent on the scenario. Therefore, each node MUST
also operate a queueing policy that determines how its bundle queue
is handled. This section defines a few basic queueing policies, but
nodes MAY use other policies if desired. Some of the queueing
policies listed here have been evaluated (together with a number of
forwarding strategies) through simulations. More information about
that and recommendations on which policies to use in different
situations can be found in \cite{lindgren_06}. If not chosen differently
due to the characteristics of the deployment scenario, nodes SHOULD
choose FIFO as the default queueing policy.

The short names applied to the Queueing Policies should be read as
mnemonic handles rather as specific acronyms for any set of words in
the specification.

FIFO
Handle the queue in a First In First Out (FIFO) order.
The bundle that was first entered into the queue is the first
bundle to be dropped.

MOFO - Evict most forwarded first
In an attempt to maximize the delivery rate of bundles, this
policy requires that the routing agent keeps track of the number
of times each bundle has been forwarded to some other node. The
bundle that has been forwarded the largest number of times is
the first to be dropped.

MOPR - Evict most favorably forwarded first
Keep a variable $FAV$ for each bundle in the queue, initialized to
zero. Each time the bundle is forwarded, update $FAV$ according
to Equation 7, where $P$ is the predictability metric the node the
bundle is forwarded to has for its destination.
FAV_new = FAV_old + ( 1 - FAV_old ) * P (7)

The bundle with the highest FAV value is the first to be dropped.

Linear MOPR - Evict most favorably forwarded first; linear increase
Keep a variable FAV for each bundle in the queue, initialized to zero. Each time the bundle is forwarded, update FAV according to Equation 8, where P is the predictability metric the node the bundle is forwarded to has for its destination.

FAV_new = FAV_old + P (8)

The bundle with the highest FAV value is the first to be dropped.

SHLI - Evict shortest life time first
As described in [RFC5050], each bundle has a timeout value specifying when it no longer is meaningful to its application and should be deleted. Since bundles with short remaining time to life will soon be dropped anyway, this policy decides to drop the bundle with the shortest remaining life time first. To successfully use a policy like this, there needs to be some form of time synchronization between nodes so that it is possible to know the exact lifetimes of bundles. This is however not specific to this routing protocol, but a more general DTN problem.

LEPR - Evict least probable first
Since the node is least likely to deliver a bundle for which it has a low delivery predictability, drop the bundle for which the node has the lowest delivery predictability, and that has been forwarded at least MF times, which is a minimum number of forwards that a bundle must have been forwarded before being dropped (if such a bundle exists).

More than one queueing policy MAY be combined in an ordered set, where the first policy is used primarily, the second only being used if there is a need to tie-break between bundles given the same eviction priority by the primary policy, and so on. As an example, one could select the queueing policy to be (MOFO; SHLI; FIFO), which would start by dropping the bundle that has been forwarded the largest number of times. If more than one bundle has been forwarded the same number of times, the one with the shortest remaining life time will be dropped, and if that also is the same, the FIFO policy will be used to drop the bundle first received.

It is worth noting that obviously nodes MUST NOT drop bundles for
which it has custody unless the lifetime expires.
4. Message Formats

This section defines the message formats of the PRoPHET routing protocol. In order to allow for variable length fields, many numeric fields are encoded as Self-Delimiting Numeric Values (SDNVs). The format of SDNVs is defined in [RFC5050]. Since many of the fields are coded as SDNVs the size and alignment of fields indicated in many of the specification diagrams below are indicative rather than prescriptive. Where SDNVs and/or text strings are used, the octets of the fields will be packed as closely as possible with no intervening padding between fields.

Explicit length fields are specified for all variable length string fields. Accordingly, strings are not null-terminated and just contain the exact set of octets in the string.

The basic message format shown in Figure 4 consists of a header (see Section 4.1) followed by a sequence of one or more Type-Length-Value components (TLVs) taken from the specifications in Section 4.2.

Figure 4: Basic PRoPHET Message Format
4.1. Header

```
+----------------------------------+-
<p>|                     Protocol       |
| Number                | Version          |
|                      | Flags            |
|                     | Result           |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receiver Instance</td>
<td>Sender Instance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transaction Identifier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>SubMessage Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Length (SDNV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Message Body</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Figure 5: PROPHET Message Header

Protocol Number

The DTN Routing Protocol Number encoded as an 8-bit unsigned integer in network bit order. The value of this field is 0. The PROPHET header is organized in this way so that in principle PROPHET messages could be sent as the Protocol Data Unit of an IP packet if an IP protocol number was allocated for PROPHET. At present PROPHET is only specified to use a TCP transport for carriage of PROPHET packets so that the protocol number serves only to identify the PROPHET protocol within DTN. Transmitting PROPHET packets directly as an IP protocol on a public IP network such as the Internet would generally not work well because middle boxes such as firewalls and NAT boxes would be unlikely to allow the protocol to pass through and the protocol does not provide any congestion control. However it could be so used on private networks for experimentation or in situations where all communications are between isolated pairs of nodes. Also in future other protocols that require transmission of metadata between DTN nodes could potentially use the same format and protocol state machinery but with a different Protocol Number.

Version

The Version of the PROPHET Protocol. Encoded as a four-bit unsigned integer in network bit order. This document defines version 2.
Flags
Reserved field of 4 bits.

Result
Field that is used to indicate whether a response is required to the request message if the outcome is successful. A value of "NoSuccessAck" indicates that the request message does not expect a response if the outcome is successful, and a value of "AckAll" indicates that a response is expected if the outcome is successful. In both cases a failure response MUST be generated if the request fails. If running over a TCP transport or similar protocol that offers reliable in order delivery, deployments MAY choose not to send "Success" responses when an outcome is successful. To achieve this the Result field is set to the "NoSuccessAck" value in all request messages.

In a response message, the result field can have two values: "Success," and "Failure". The "Success" results indicates a success response. All messages that belong to the same success response will have the same Transaction Identifier. The "Success" result indicates a success response that may be contained in a single message or the final message of a success response spanning multiple messages.

ReturnReceipt is a value of the result field used to indicate that an acknowledgement is required for the message. The default for Messages is that the controller will not acknowledge responses. In the case where an acknowledgement is required, it will set the Result Field to ReturnReceipt in the header of the Message.

The result field is encoded as an 8 bit unsigned integer in network bit order. The following values are currently defined:

NoSuccessAck: Result = 1
AckAll: Result = 2
Success: Result = 3
Failure: Result = 4
ReturnReceipt Result = 5

Code
This field gives further information concerning the result in a response message. It is mostly used to pass an error code in a failure response but can also be used to give further information in a success response message or an event message. In a request message, the code field is not used and is set to zero.
If the Code field indicates that the Error TLV is included in
the message, further information on the error will be found in
the Error TLV, which MUST be the the first TLV after the header.

The Code field is encoded as an 8 bit unsigned integer in
network bit order. Separate number code spaces are used for
success and failure response messages. In each case a range of
values is provided reserved for use in specifications and
another range for private and experimental use. For success
messages the following values are defined:

- Generic Success                  0x00
- Submessage Received              0x01
- Reserved                     0x02 - 0x7F
- Private/Experimental Use     0x80 - 0xFF

The Submessage Received code is used to acknowledge reception of
a message segment. The Generic Success code is used to
acknowledge receipt of a complete message and successful
processing of the contents.

For failure messages, the following values are defined:

- Reserved                     0x00 - 0x01
- Unspecified Failure              0x02
- Reserved                     0x03 - 0x7F
- Private/Experimental Use     0x80 - 0xFE
- Error TLV in message             0xFF

The Unspecified Failure code can be used to report a failure for
which there is no more specific code or Error TLV value defined.

Sender Instance
For messages during the Hello phase with the Hello SYN, Hello
SYNACK, and Hello ACK functions (which are explained in
Section 5.2), it is the sender’s instance number for the link.
It is used to detect when the link comes back up after going
down or when the identity of the entity at the other end of the
link changes. The instance number is a 16-bit number that is
guaranteed to be unique within the recent past and to change
when the link or node comes back up after going down. Zero is
not a valid instance number. For the RSTACK function (also
explained in detail in Section 5.2), the Sender Instance field
is set to the value of the Receiver Instance field from the
incoming message that caused the RSTACK function to be
generated. Messages sent after the Hello phase is completed
should use the sender’s instance number for the link. The
Sender Instance is encoded as a 16 bit unsigned integer in
network bit order.

Receiver Instance
For messages during the Hello phase with the Hello SYN, Hello SYNACK, and Hello ACK functions, is what the sender believes is the current instance number for the link, allocated by the entity at the far end of the link. If the sender of the message does not know the current instance number at the far end of the link, this field MUST be set to zero. For the RSTACK message, the Receiver Instance field is set to the value of the Sender Instance field from the incoming message that caused the RSTACK message to be generated. Messages sent after the Hello phase is completed should use what the sender believes is the current instance number for the link, allocated by the entity at the far end of the link. The Sender Instance is encoded as a 16 bit unsigned integer in network bit order.

Transaction Identifier
Used to associate a message with its response message. This should be set in request messages to a value that is unique for the sending host within the recent past. Reply messages contain the Transaction Identifier of the request they are responding to. The Transaction Identifier is a 32 bit bit pattern.

S-flag
If S is set (value 1) then the SubMessage Number field indicates the total number of SubMessage segments that compose the entire message. If it is not set (value 0) then the SubMessage Number field indicates the sequence number of this SubMessage segment within the whole message. The S field will only be set in the first sub-message of a sequence.

SubMessage Number
When a message is segmented because it exceeds the MTU of the link layer or otherwise, each segment will include a SubMessage Number to indicate its position. Alternatively, if it is the first sub-message in a sequence of sub-messages, the S flag will be set and this field will contain the total count of SubMessage segments. The SubMessage Number is encoded as a 15-bit unsigned integer in network bit order. The SubMessage number is zero-based, i.e., for a message divided into n sub-messages, they are numbered from 0 to (n - 1). For a message that it is not divided into sub-messages the single message has the S-flag cleared (0) and the SubMessage Number is set to 0 (zero).
Length

Length in octets of this message including headers and message body. If the message is fragmented, this field contains the length of this SubMessage. The Length is encoded as an SDNV.

Message Body

As specified in Section 4, the Message Body consists of a sequence of one or more of the TLVs specified in Section 4.2.

The protocol also requires extra information about the link that the underlying communication layer MUST provide. This information is used in the Hello procedure described in more detail in Section 5.2. Since this information is available from the underlying layer, there is no need to carry it in PRoPHET messages. The following values are defined to be provided by the underlying layer:

Sender Local Address

An address used by the underlying communication layer as described in Section 2.4 that identifies the sender address of the current message. This address must be unique among the nodes that can currently communicate, and is only used in conjunction with the Receiver Local Address and the Receiver Instance and Sender Instance to identify a communicating pair of nodes.

Receiver Local Address

An address used by the underlying communication layer as described in Section 2.4 that identifies the receiver address of the current message. This address must be unique among the nodes that can currently communicate, and is only used in conjunction with the Sender Local Address and the Receiver Instance and Sender Instance to identify a communicating pair of nodes.

When PRoPHET is run over TCP, the IP addresses of the communicating nodes are used as Sender and Receiver Local Addresses.
4.2. TLV Structure

All TLVs have the following format, and can be nested.

```
  0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-----------------------------------------------+
|    TLV Type   |   TLV  Flags  |       TLV Length (SDNV)       |
|-----------------------------------------------|
|                     ~                           ~
|-----------------------------------------------|
|                     ~                           ~
+-----------------------------------------------+
```

Figure 6: TLV Format

TLV Type

Specific TLVs are defined in Section 4.3. The TLV Type is encoded as an 8 bit unsigned integer in network bit order. Each TLV will have fields defined that are specific to the function of that TLV.

TLV Flags

These are defined per TLV type. Flag n corresponds to bit 15-n in the TLV. Any flags which are specified as reserved in specific TLVs SHOULD be transmitted as 0 and ignored on receipt.

TLV Length

Length of the TLV in octets, including the TLV header and any nested TLVs. Encoded as an SDNV. Note that TLVs are not padded to any specific alignment unless explicitly required in the description of the TLV. No TLVs in this document specify any padding.

4.3. TLVs

This section describes the various TLVs that can be used in PRoPHET messages.

4.3.1. Hello TLV

The Hello TLV is used to set up and maintain a link between two PRoPHET nodes. Hello messages with the SYN function are transmitted periodically as beacons or keep alives. The Hello TLV is the first TLV exchanged between two PRoPHET nodes when they encounter each other. No other TLVs can be exchanged until the first Hello sequence is completed.
Once a communication link is established between two PRoPHET nodes, the Hello TLV will be sent once for each interval as defined in the interval timer. If a node experiences the lapse of HELLO_DEAD Hello intervals without receiving a Hello TLV on a connection in the INFO_EXCH state (as defined in the state machine in Section 5.1), the connection SHOULD be assumed broken.

TLV Flags

The TLV Flags field contains two single bit flags (S and L) and a three bit Hello Function (HF) number that specifies one of four functions for the Hello TLV. The remaining three bits (Resv) are unused and reserved:

HF

TLV Flags bits 0, 1, and 2 are treated as an unsigned 3 bit integer coded in network bit order. The value of the integer specifies the Hello Function (HF) of the Hello TLV. Four functions are specified for the Hello TLV.

The encoding of the Hello Function is:

| SYN: | HF = 1 |
| SYNACK: | HF = 2 |
| ACK: | HF = 3 |
| RSTACK: | HF = 4 |

The remaining values (0, 5, 6 and 7) are unused and reserved. If a Hello TLV with any of these values is received, the link should be reset.

Resv

TLV Flags bits 3, 4, 5, and 6 are reserved. They SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and ignored on reception.
The L bit flag (TLV Flags bit 7) is set (1) to request that the Bundle Offer TLV sent during the Information Exchange phase contains bundle payload lengths for all bundles, rather than only for bundle fragments if the L flag is cleared (0), when carried in a Hello TLV with Hello Function SYN or SYNACK. The flag is ignored for other Hello Function values.

TLV Data

Timer
The Timer field is used to inform the receiver of the timer value used in the Hello processing of the sender. The timer specifies the nominal time between periodic Hello messages. It is a constant for the duration of a session. The timer field is specified in units of 100ms and is encoded as an SDNV.

EID Length
The EID Length field is used to specify the length of the Sender EID field in octets. If the Endpoint Identifier (EID) has already been sent at least once in a message with the current Sender Instance, a node MAY choose to set this field to zero, omitting the Sender EID from the Hello TLV. The EID Length is encoded as an SDNV and the field is thus of variable length.

Sender EID
The Sender EID field specifies the DTN endpoint identifier (EID) of the sender that is to be used in updating routing information and making forwarding decisions. If a node has multiple EIDs, one should be chosen for PRoPHET routing. This field is of variable length.

4.3.2. Error TLV

Figure 8: Error TLV Format
TLV Flags

For Error TLVs the TLV Flags field carries an identifier for the Error TLV type as an 8 bit unsigned integer encoded in network bit order. A range of values is available for private and experimental use in addition to the values defined here. The following Error TLV types are defined:

- Dictionary Conflict: 0x00
- Bad String ID: 0x01
- Reserved: 0x02 - 0x7F
- Private/Experimental Use: 0x80 - 0xFF

TLV Data

The contents and interpretation of the TLV Data field are specific to the type of Error TLV. For the Error TLVs defined in this document the TLV Data is defined as follows:

Dictionary Conflict

The TLV Data consists of the String ID causing the conflict encoded as an SDNV followed by the Endpoint Identifier string that conflicts with the previously installed value. The Endpoint Identifier is NOT null terminated. The length of the Endpoint Identifier can be determined by subtracting the length of the TLV Header and the length of the SDNV containing the String ID.

Bad String ID

The TLV Data consists of the String ID that is not found in the dictionary encoded as an SDNV.
4.3.3. Routing Information Base Dictionary TLV

The Routing Information Base Dictionary includes the list of endpoint identifiers used in making routing decisions. The referents remain constant for the duration of a session over a link where the instance numbers remain the same and can be used by both the Routing Information Base messages and the bundle offer/response messages. The dictionary is a shared resource (see Section 3.2.1) built in each of the paired peers from the contents of one or more incoming TLVs of this type and the information used to create outgoing TLVs of this type.

```
0                   1                   2                   3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| TLV type=0xA0 |   TLV Flags   |       TLV Length (SDNV)       |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                    RIBD  Entry Count (SDNV)                   |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
~                                                               ~
~           Variable Length Routing Address Strings             ~
~                                                               ~
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
~ Routing Address String 1                                      ~
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|        String ID 1 (SDNV)     |         Length (SDNV)         |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                                                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               .                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|        String ID n (SDNV)     |         Length (SDNV)         |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                                                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               .                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                    Endpoint Identifier 1 (variable length)     |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                                                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                               .                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                    Endpoint Identifier n (variable length)     |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

Figure 9: Routing Information Base Dictionary TLV Format
TLV Flags
The encoding of the Header flag field relates to the capabilities of the Source node sending the RIB Dictionary:

- Flag 0: Sent by Listener 0b1
- Flag 1: Reserved 0b1
- Flag 2: Reserved 0b1
- Flag 3: Reserved 0b1
- Flag 4: Reserved 0b1
- Flag 5: Reserved 0b1
- Flag 6: Reserved 0b1
- Flag 7: Reserved 0b1

The Sent by Listener flag is set to 0 if this TLV was sent by a node in the Initiator role and set to 1 if this TLV was sent by a node in the Listener role (see Section 3.2 for explanations of these roles).

TLV Data

RIBD Entry Count
Number of entries in the database. Encoded as SDNV.

String ID
SDNV identifier that is constant for the duration of a session. String ID zero is predefined as the node initiating the session through sending the Hello SYN message, and String ID one is predefined as the node responding with the Hello SYNACK message. These entries do not need to be sent explicitly as the EIDs are exchanged during the Hello procedure.

In order to ensure that the String IDs originated by the two peers do not conflict, the String IDs generated in the node that sent the Hello SYN message MUST have their least significant bit set to 0 (i.e., are even numbers) and the String IDs generated in the node that responded with the Hello SYNACK message MUST have their least significant bit set to 1 (i.e., they are odd numbers).

Length
Length of Endpoint Identifier in this entry. Encoded as SDNV.

Endpoint Identifier
Text string representing the Endpoint Identifier. Note that it is NOT null terminated as the entry contains the length of the identifier.
4.3.4. Routing Information Base TLV

The Routing Information Base lists the destinations (endpoints) a node knows of, and the delivery predictabilities it has associated with them. This information is needed by the PRoPHET algorithm to make decisions on routing and forwarding.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
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Figure 10: Routing Information Base TLV Format

TLV Flags

The encoding of the Header flag field relates to the capabilities of the Source node sending the RIB:

Flag 0: More RIB TLVs 0b1
Flag 1: Reserved 0b1
Flag 2: Reserved 0b1
Flag 3: Reserved 0b1
Flag 4: Reserved 0b1
Flag 5: Reserved 0b1
Flag 6: Reserved 0b1
Flag 7: Reserved 0b1

The "More RIB TLVs" flag is set to 1 if the RIB requires more TLVs to be sent in order to be fully transferred. This flag is set to 0 if this is the final TLV of this RIB.
TLV Data

RIB String Count
Number of routing entries in the TLV. Encoded as SDNV.

RIBD String ID
String ID of the endpoint identifier of the destination for which this entry specifies the delivery predictability as predefined in a dictionary TLV. Encoded as SDNV.

P-value
Delivery predictability for the destination of this entry as calculated from previous encounters according to the equations in Section 2.1.2, encoded as a 16-bit unsigned integer. The encoding of this field is a linear mapping from [0,1] to [0, 0xFFFF] (e.g., for a P-value of 0.75, the mapping would be 0.75*65535=49151=0xBFFF; thus the P-value would be encoded as 0xBFFF).

RIB Flag
The encoding of the 8 bit RIB Flag field is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flag</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0b1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0b1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0b1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0b1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0b1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0b1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0b1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0b1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.5. Bundle Offer and Response TLVs (Version 2)

After the routing information has been passed, the node will ask the other node to review available bundles and determine which bundles it will accept for relay. The source relay will determine which bundles to offer based on relative delivery predictabilities as explained in Section 3.6.

Note: The original versions of these TLVs (TLV Types 0xA2 and 0xA3) used in version 1 of the PRoPHET protocol have been deprecated as they did not contain the complete information needed to uniquely identify bundles and could not handle bundle fragments.

Depending on the bundles stored in the offering node, the Bundle Offer TLV might contain descriptions of both complete bundles and bundle fragments. In order to correctly identify bundle fragments, a
bundle fragment descriptor MUST contain the offset of the payload fragment in the bundle payload and the length of the payload fragment. If requested by the receiving node by setting the L flag in the SYN or SYNACK message during the neighbor awareness phase, the offering node MUST include the length of the payload in the descriptor for complete bundles. The appropriate flags MUST be set in the B_flags for the descriptor to indicate if the descriptor contains the payload length field (set for fragments in all cases and for complete bundles if the L flag was set) and if the descriptor contains a payload offset field (fragments only).

The Bundle Offer TLV also lists the bundles that a PROPHET acknowledgement has been issued for. Those bundles have the PROPHET ACK flag set in their entry in the list. When a node receives a PROPHET ACK for a bundle, it SHOULD, if possible, signal to the bundle agent that this bundle is no longer required for transmission by PROPHET. Despite no longer transmitting the bundle, it SHOULD keep an entry of the acknowledged bundle to be able to further propagate the PROPHET ACK.

The Response TLV format is identical to the Offer TLV with the exception of the TLV Type field. Bundles that are being accepted from the corresponding Offer are explicitly marked with a B_flag. Specifications for bundles that are not being accepted MAY either be omitted or left in but not marked as accepted. The payload length field MAY be omitted for complete bundles in the Response message even if it was included in the Offer message. The B_flags payload length flag MUST be set correctly to indicate if the length field is included or not. The Response message MUST include both payload offset and payload length fields for bundle fragments, and the B_flags MUST be set to indicate that both are present.
Figure 11: Bundle Offer and Response TLV Format
TLV Type

The TLV Type for a Bundle Offer is 0xA4. The TLV Type for a Bundle Response is 0xA5.

TLV Flags

The encoding of the Header flag field relates to the capabilities of the Source node sending the RIB:

- **Flag 0**: More Offer/Response TLVs Following
- **Flag 1**: Reserved
- **Flag 2**: Reserved
- **Flag 3**: Reserved
- **Flag 4**: Reserved
- **Flag 5**: Reserved
- **Flag 6**: Reserved
- **Flag 7**: Reserved

If the Bundle Offers or Bundle Responses are divided between several TLVs, the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag MUST be set to 1 in all but the last TLV in the sequence where it MUST be set to 0.

TLV Data

Bundle Offer Count

Number of bundle offer/response entries. Encoded as an SDNV. Note that 0 is an acceptable value. In particular a Bundle Response TLV with 0 entries is used to signal that a cycle of information exchange and bundle passing is completed.

B-Flags

The encoding of the B_Flags is:

- **Flag 0**: Bundle Accepted
- **Flag 1**: Bundle is a Fragment
- **Flag 2**: Bundle Payload Length included in TLV
- **Flag 3**: Reserved
- **Flag 4**: Reserved
- **Flag 5**: Reserved
- **Flag 6**: Reserved
- **Flag 7**: PRoPHET ACK
Bundle Source String Id
String ID of the source EID of the bundle as predefined in a dictionary TLV. Encoded as an SDNV.

Bundle Destination String Id
String ID of the destination EID of the bundle as predefined in a dictionary TLV. Encoded as an SDNV.

Bundle Creation Timestamp Time
Time component of the Bundle Creation Timestamp for the bundle. Encoded as an SDNV.

Bundle Creation Timestamp Sequence Number
Sequence Number component of the Bundle Creation Timestamp for the bundle. Encoded as an SDNV.

Bundle Payload Offset
Only included if the bundle is a fragment and the fragment bit is set (value 1) in the bundle B_flags. Offset of the start of the fragment payload in the complete bundle payload. Encoded as an SDNV.

Bundle Payload Length
Only included if the bundle length included bit is set (value 1) in the bundle B_flags. Length of the payload in the bundle specified. This is either the total payload length if the bundle is a complete bundle or the bundle fragment payload length if the bundle is a fragment. Encoded as an SDNV.
5. Detailed Operation

In this section, some more details on the operation of PRoPHET are given along with state tables to help in implementing the protocol.

As explained in Section 1.2, it is RECOMMENDED that "Success" responses should not be requested or sent when operating over a reliable, in order transport protocol such as TCP. If in future PRoPHET was operated over an unreliable transport protocol, positive acknowledgements would be necessary to signal successful delivery of (sub)messages. In this section the phrase "send a message" should be read as *successful* sending of a message, signaled by receipt of the appropriate "Success" response if running over an unreliable protocol, but guaranteed by TCP or other reliable protocol otherwise. Hence the state descriptions below do not explicitly mention positive acknowledgements, whether they are being sent or not.

5.1. High Level State Tables

This section gives high level state tables for the operation of PRoPHET. The following sections will describe each part of the operation in more detail (including state tables for the internal states of those procedures).

The following main or high level states are used in the state tables:

WAIT_NB  This is the state all nodes start in. Nodes remain in this state until they are notified that a new neighbor is available. At that point, the hello procedure should be started with the new neighbor, and the node transitions into the HELLO state. Nodes SHOULD be able to handle multiple neighbors in parallel, maintaining separate state machines for each neighbor. This could be handled by creating a new thread or process during the transition to the HELLO state that then takes care of the communication with the new neighbor while the parent remains in state WAIT_NB waiting for additional neighbors to communicate. In this case when the neighbor can no longer be communicated with (described as ‘neighbor gone’ in the tables below), the thread or process created is destroyed and, when a connection oriented protocol is being used to communicate with the neighbor, the connection is closed. The current version of the protocol is specified to use TCP for neighbor connections so that these will be closed when the neighbor is no longer accessible.
HELLO Nodes are in the HELLO state from when a new neighbor is detected until the Hello procedure is completed and a link is established (which happens when the Hello procedure enters the ESTAB state as described in Section 5.2 - during this procedure, the states ESTAB, SYNSENT, and SYNRCVD will be used, but these are internal to the Hello procedure and are not listed here). If the node is notified that the neighbor is no longer in range before a link has been established, it returns to the WAIT_NB state and, if appropriate, any additional process or thread created to handle the neighbor MAY be destroyed.

INFO_EXCH After a link has been set up by the Hello procedure, the node transitions to the INFO_EXCH state in which the information exchange and bundle passing are done. The node remains in this state as long as Information Exchange Phase TLVs (Routing RIB, Routing RIB Dictionary) and bundle passing TLVs (Bundle Offer, Bundle Response) are being received. If the node is notified that the neighbor is no longer in range before all information and bundles have been exchanged, any associated connection is closed and the node returns to the WAIT_NB state to await new neighbors.

In the INFO_EXCH state the nodes at both ends of the established link are able to update their delivery predictability information using data from the connected peer and then make offers of bundles for exchange which may be accepted or not by the peer. To manage these processes, each node acts both as an Initiator and a Listener for the information exchange and bundle passing processes, maintaining subsidiary state machines for the two roles. The Initiator and Listener terms refer to the sending of the Routing RIB information: it is perhaps counterintuitive that the Listener becomes the bundle offeror and the Initiator the bundle acceptor during the bundling passing part.

The protocol is designed so that the two exchanges MAY be carried out independently but concurrently with the messages multiplexed onto on a single bidirectional link (such as is provided by the TCP connection). Alternatively, the exchanges MAY be carried out partially or wholly sequentially if appropriate for the implementation. The information exchange process is explained in more detail in Section 3.2.

When an empty Bundle Response TLV (i.e., no more bundles to send) is received, the node starts the next_exchange timer. When this timer expires, assuming that the neighbor is still connected, the Initiator reruns the information exchange...
process. If there is only one neighbor connected at this time, this will have the effect of further increasing the delivery predictability for this node in the neighbor and consequent changes to the delivery predictabilities as a result of the transitive property, Equation 3. If there is more than one neighbor connected or other communication opportunities have happened since the previous information exchange occurred, then the changes resulting from these other encounters will be passed on the connected neighbor. The next_exchange timer is restarted once the information exchange has completed again.

If one or more new bundles are received by this node while waiting for the next_exchange timer to expire and the delivery predictabilities indicate that it would be appropriate to forward some or all of the bundles to the connected node, the bundles SHOULD be immediately offered to the connected neighbor and transferred if accepted.

State: WAIT_NB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Neighbor</td>
<td>Start Hello procedure for neighbor</td>
<td>HELLO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Keep waiting for more neighbors</td>
<td>WAIT_NB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State: HELLO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hello TLV rcvd</td>
<td></td>
<td>HELLO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enter ESTAB state</td>
<td>Start Information Exchange Phase</td>
<td>INFO_EXCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbor Gone</td>
<td></td>
<td>WAIT_NB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State: INFO_EXCH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On entry</td>
<td>Start keep alive timer</td>
<td>INFO_EXCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Uses Hello Timer interval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Info Exch TLV rcvd</td>
<td>(processed by subsidiary state machines)</td>
<td>INFO_EXCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No more bundles</td>
<td>Start next_exchange timer</td>
<td>INFO_EXCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep Alive expiry</td>
<td>Send Hello SYN message</td>
<td>INFO_EXCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hello SYN rcvd</td>
<td>Record reception, restart timer</td>
<td>INFO_EXCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighbor Gone</td>
<td></td>
<td>WAIT_NB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Keep Alive messages (messages with Hello SYN TLV) are processed by the high level state machine in the INFO_EXCH state. All other messages are delegated to the subsidiary state machines of the information exchange phase described in Section 5.3. The receipt of Keep Alive messages is recorded and may be used by the subsidiary machines to check if the peer is still functioning. The connection will be aborted as described in Section 4.3.1 if several Keep Alive messages are not received.

5.2. Hello Procedure

The hello procedure is described by the following rules and state tables. In this section the messages sent consist of the PRoPHET header (see Figure 4 and a single Hello TLV (see Section 4.3.1) with the HF (Hello Function) field set to the specified value (SYN, SYNACK, ACK or RSTACK).

The state of the L flag in the latest SYN or SYNACK message is recorded in the node that receives the message. If the L flag is set (1) the receiving node MUST send the payload length for each bundle that it offers to the peer during the information exchange phase.

The rules and state tables use the following operations:

- The "Update Peer Verifier" operation is defined as storing the values of the Sender Instance and Sender Local Address fields from a Hello SYN or Hello SYNACK function message received from the
entity at the far end of the link.

- The procedure "Reset the link" is defined as:

  When using TCP or other reliable connection-oriented transport:
  Close the connection and terminate any separate thread or
  process managing the connection.

  Otherwise:
  
  1. Generate a new instance number for the link.
  2. Delete the peer verifier (set to zero the values of
     Sender Instance and Sender Local Address previously
     stored by the Update Peer Verifier operation).
  3. Send a SYN message.
  4. Transition to the SYNSENT state.

- The state tables use the following Boolean terms and operators:

  A  The Sender Instance in the incoming message matches the value
      stored from a previous message by the "Update Peer Verifier"
      operation.

  B  The Sender Instance and Sender Local Address fields in the
      incoming message match the values stored from a previous
      message by the "Update Peer Verifier" operation.

  C  The Receiver Instance and Receiver Local Address fields in
      the incoming message match the values of the Sender Instance
      and Sender Local Address used in outgoing Hello SYN, Hello
      SYNACK, and Hello ACK messages.

  SYN  A Hello SYN message has been received.

  SYNACK  A Hello SYNACK message has been received.

  ACK  A Hello ACK message has been received.

  "&&"  Represents the logical AND operation

  "||"  Represents the logical OR operation
"!" Represents the logical negation (NOT) operation.

- A timer is required for the periodic generation of Hello SYN, Hello SYNACK, and Hello ACK messages. The value of the timer is announced in the Timer field. To avoid synchronization effects, uniformly distributed random jitter of +/-5% of the Timer field SHOULD be added to the actual interval used for the timer.

There are two independent events: the timer expires, and a packet arrives. The processing rules for these events are:

**Timer Expires:**
- Reset Timer
  - If state = SYNSENT Send SYN message
  - If state = SYNRCVD Send SYNACK message
  - If state = ESTAB Send ACK message

**Packet Arrives:**
- If incoming message is an RSTACK message:
  - If (A && C && !SYNSENT) Reset the link
  - Else discard the message.
- If incoming message is a SYN, SYNACK, or ACK message:
  - Response defined by the following State Tables.
- If incoming message is any other PRoPHET TLV and state != ESTAB:
  - Discard incoming message.
  - If state = SYNSENT Send SYN message(Note 1)
  - If state = SYNRCVD Send SYNACK message(Note 1)

Note 1: No more than two SYN or SYNACK messages should be sent within any time period of length defined by the timer.

- A connection across a link is considered to be achieved when the protocol reaches the ESTAB state. All TLVs, other than Hello TLVs, that are received before synchronization is achieved, will be discarded.
5.2.1. Hello Procedure State Tables

State: SYNSENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYNACK &amp;&amp; C</td>
<td>Update Peer Verifier; Send ACK message</td>
<td>ESTAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYNACK &amp;&amp; !C</td>
<td>Send RSTACK message</td>
<td>SYNSENT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYN</td>
<td>Update Peer Verifier; Send SYNACK message</td>
<td>SYNRCVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACK</td>
<td>Send RSTACK message</td>
<td>SYNSENT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State: SYNRCVD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYNACK &amp;&amp; C</td>
<td>Update Peer Verifier; Send ACK message</td>
<td>ESTAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYNACK &amp;&amp; !C</td>
<td>Send RSTACK message</td>
<td>SYNRCVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYN</td>
<td>Update Peer Verifier; Send SYNACK message</td>
<td>SYNRCVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACK &amp;&amp; B &amp;&amp; C</td>
<td>Send ACK message</td>
<td>ESTAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACK &amp;&amp; !(B &amp;&amp; C)</td>
<td>Send RSTACK message</td>
<td>SYNRCVD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State: ESTAB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYN</td>
<td></td>
<td>SYNACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACK &amp;&amp; B &amp;&amp; C</td>
<td>Send ACK message (note 3)</td>
<td>ESTAB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACK &amp;&amp; !(B &amp;&amp; C)</td>
<td>Send RSTACK message</td>
<td>ESTAB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 2: No more than two ACK messages should be sent within any time period of length defined by the timer. Thus, one ACK message MUST be sent every time the timer expires. In addition, one further ACK message may be sent between timer expirations if the incoming message is a SYN or SYNACK. This additional ACK allows the Hello functions to reach synchronization more quickly.

Note 3: No more than one ACK message should be sent within any time period of length defined by the timer.

5.3. Information Exchange and Bundle Passing Phase

After the Hello messages have been exchanged, and the nodes are in the ESTAB state, the information exchange and bundle passing phase is initiated. This section describes the procedure and shows the state transitions necessary in this phase, and the following sections describe the various TLVs passed in this phase in detail. On reaching the ESTAB state in the high level HELLO state there is an automatic transition to the INFO_EXCH high level state.

PRoPHET runs over a bidirectional transport as documented in Section 1.2 so that when a pair of nodes (A and B) have reached the ESTAB state they are able to perform the information exchange and bundle passing processes for both the A to B and B to A directions over the link that has just been established. In principle, these two processes are independent of each other and can be performed concurrently. However complete concurrency may not be the most efficient way to implement the complete process. As explained in Section 3.2.1, the Routing Information Base Dictionary is a shared resource assembled from a combination of information generated locally on each node and information passed from the peer node. Overlaps in this information and, hence the amount of information that has to be passed between the nodes, can be minimized by
sequential rather than concurrent operation of the dictionary generation and update processes. It may also be possible to reduce the number of bundles that need to be offered by the second offeror by examining the offers received from the first offeror — there is no need for the second offeror to offer a bundle that is already present in the first offeror’s offer list, as it will inevitably be refused.

All implementations MUST be capable of operating in a fully concurrent manner. Each implementation needs to define a policy, which SHOULD be configurable, as to whether it will operate in a concurrent or sequential manner during the information exchange and bundle passing phase. If it is to operate sequentially, then further choices can be made as to whether to interleave dictionary, offer and response exchange parts or complete all parts in one direction before initiating the other direction.

Sequential operation will generally minimize the amount of data transferred across the PRoPHET link and is especially appropriate if the link is half-duplex. However it is probably not desirable to postpone starting the information exchange in the second direction until the exchange of bundles has completed. If the contact between the nodes ends before all possible bundles have been exchanged, it is possible that postponing the start of bundle exchange in the second direction can lead to bundle exchange being skewed in favor of one direction over the other. It may be preferable to share the available contact time and bandwidth between directions by overlapping the information exchange processes and running the actual bundle exchanges concurrently if possible. Also if encounters expected in the current PRoPHET zone are expected to be relatively short, it MAY not be appropriate to use sequential operation.

One possible interleaving strategy is to alternate between sending from the two nodes. For example, if the Hello SYN node sends its initial dictionary entries while the Hello SYNACK node waits until this is complete, the Hello SYNACK node can then prune its proposed dictionary entries before sending to avoid duplication. This approach can be repeated for the second tranche of dictionary entries needed for the Bundle Offers and Responses, and also for the Bundle Offers, where any bundles that are offered by the Hello SYN node that are already present in the Hello SYNACK node need not be offered to the Hello SYN node. This approach is well suited to a transport protocol and physical medium that is effectively half-duplex.

The decision to operate concurrently or sequentially is purely a matter of local policy in each node at present. If nodes have inconsistent policies, the behavior at each encounter will depend on which node takes the SYN role which is a matter of chance depending on random timing of the start of communications during the encounter.
To manage the information transfer, two subsidiary state machines are created in each node to control the stages of the information exchange and bundle passing process within the INFO_EXCH high level state as shown in Figure 12. Each subsidiary state machine consists of two essentially independent components known as the "Initiator role" and the "Listener role". One of these components is instantiated in each node. The Initiator role starts the information exchange process in each node and the Listener role responds to the initial messages, but it is not a passive listener as it also originates messages. The transition from the ESTAB state is a "forking" transition in that it starts both subsidiary state machines. The two subsidiary state machines operate in parallel for as long as the neighbor remains in range and connected.

Figure 12: Information Exchange Subsidiary State Machines

These subsidiary state machines can be thought of as mirror images: for each state machine one node takes on the Initiator role while the other node takes on the Listener role. TLVs sent by a node from the Initiator role will be processed by the peer node in the Listener role and vice versa. As indicated in Figure 12 the Initiator role handles sending that node’s current set of delivery predictabilities.
for known destinations to the Listener role node. The Listener role node uses the supplied values to update its delivery predictabilities according to the update algorithms described in Section 2.1.2. It then decides which bundles that it has in store should be offered for transfer to the Initiator role node as a result of comparing the local predictabilities and those supplied by the Initiator node. When these offers are delivered to the Initiator role node, it decides which ones to accept and supplies the Listener node role with a prioritized list of bundles that it wishes to accept. The Listener role node then sends the requested bundles.

These exchanges are repeated periodically for as long as the nodes remain in contact. Additionally, if new bundles arrive from other sources they may be offered, accepted and sent in between these exchanges.

The PRoPHET protocol is designed so that in most cases the TLV type determines the role in which it will be processed on reception. The only exception to this is that both roles may send RIB Dictionary TLVs: the Initiator role sends dictionary entries for use in the subsequent RIB TLV(s) and the Listener role may send additional dictionary entries for use in subsequent Bundle Offer TLVs. The two cases are distinguished by a TLV flag to ensure that they are processed in the right role context on reception. If this flag was not provided there are states where both roles could accept the RIB Dictionary TLV making it impossible to ensure that the correct role state machine took action. Note that the correct updates would be made to the dictionary whichever role processed the TLV and that the ambiguity would not arise if the roles are adopted completely sequentially, i.e., if the information exchange and associated bundle passing run to completion in one direction before the process for the reverse direction is started.

If sequential operation is selected, the node which sent the Hello SYN function message MUST be the node which sends the first message in the information exchange process. This ensures that there is a well-defined order of events with the Initiator role in the Hello SYN node (i.e., the node identified by String ID 0) starting first. The Hello SYNACK node MAY then postpone sending its first message until the Listener role state machine in the Hello SYNACK node has reached any of a number of points in its state progression according to locally configured policy and the nature of the physical link for the current encounter between the nodes as described above. If concurrent operation is selected, the Hello SYNACK node can start sending messages immediately without waiting to receive messages from the peer.

The original design of the PRoPHET protocol allowed it to operate
over unreliable datagram type transports as well as the reliable, inorder delivery transport of TCP that is currently specified. When running over TCP protocol errors and repeated timeouts during the information exchange phase SHOULD result in the connection being terminated.

5.3.1. Initiator Role State Definitions

The state machine component with the Initiator role in each node starts the transfer of information from one node to its peer during the information exchange process. The process from the Initiator’s point of view does the following:

- The Initiator role determines the set of delivery predictabilities to be sent to the peer node, sends RIB dictionary entries necessary to interpret the set of RIB predictability values that are sent after the dictionary updates. On second and subsequent executions of this state machine during a single session with the same peer there may be no RIB dictionary entries to send. Either an empty TLV can be sent or the TLV can be omitted.

- The Initiator then waits to receive any RIB dictionary updates followed by bundle offers from the Listener role on the peer node.

- The Initiator determines which of the bundle offers should be accepted and, if necessary, reorders the offers to suit its own priorities. The possibly reordered list of accepted bundles is sent to the peer node using one or more bundle responses.

- The peer then sends the accepted bundles to the Initiator in turn.

- Assuming that the link remains open during the bundle sending process, the Initiator signals that the bundle passing phase is complete by sending a message with an empty Bundle Response TLV (i.e., with the Bundle Offer Count set to 0 and no bundle offers following the TLV header).

- When the bundle transfer is complete, the Initiator starts the next_exchange timer. Assuming that the connection to the neighbor remains open, when the timer expires the Initiator restarts the information exchange process. During this period, Hello SYN messages are exchanged as keep alives to check that the neighbor is still present. The keep alive mechanism is common to the Initiator and Listener machines and is handled in the high level state machine (see Section 5.1).

A timer is provided which restarts the Initiator role state machine if Bundle Offers are not received after sending the RIB. If this
node receives a Hello ACK message containing an Error TLV indicating there has been a protocol problem then the connection MUST be terminated.

The following states are used:

**CREATE_DR**
The initial transition to this state from the ESTAB state is immediate and automatic for the node that sent the Hello SYN message. For the peer (Hello SYNACK sender) node it may be immediate for nodes implementing a fully concurrent process or may be postponed until the corresponding Listener has reached a specified state if a sequential process is configured in the node policy.

The local dictionary is initialized when this state is entered for the first time from the ESTAB state. The initial state of the dictionary contains two entries: the EID of the node that sent the Hello SYN (String ID 0) and the EID of the node that sent the Hello SYNACK (String ID 1). If the peer reports via a Hello ACK message containing an Error TLV reporting a Dictionary Conflict or Bad String ID error then the connection MUST be terminated.

The **CREATE_DR** state will be entered in the same way from the REQUEST state when the Timer(next_exchange) expires, signaling the start of a new round of information exchange and bundle passing.

When in this state:

* Determine the destination EIDs for which delivery predictabilities will be sent to the peer in a RIB TLV, if any. Record the prior state of the local dictionary (assuming that String IDs are numbers allocated sequentially, the state information needed is just the highest ID used before this process started) so that the process can be restarted if necessary. Update the local dictionary if any new EIDS are required, format one or more RIB Dictionary TLVs and one or more RIB TLVs and send them to the peer. If there are no dictionary entries to send, TLVs with zero entries MAY be sent, or the TLV can be omitted, but an empty RIB TLV MUST be sent if there is no data to send. The RIB Dictionary TLVs generated here MUST have the Sent by Listener flag set to 0 to indicate that they were sent by the Initiator.

* If an Error TLV indicating a Dictionary Conflict or Bad String ID is received during or after sending the RIB Dictionary TLVs and/or the RIB TLVs, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, and terminate the connection to
* Start a timer (known as Timer(info)) and transition to the SEND_DR state.

Note that when (and only when) running over a transport protocol such as TCP, both the RIB Dictionary and RIB information MAY be spread across multiple TLVs and messages if required by known constraints of the transport protocol or to reduce the size of memory buffers. Alternatively the information can be formatted into single RIB Dictionary and RIB TLVs and the submessage capability of PRoPHET or the inherent capabilities of the transport protocol used to segment the message if required. This discussion of segmentation applies to the other states and the Bundle Offer and Bundle Response messages and will not be repeated.

If more than one RIB TLV is to be used, all but the last one have the "More RIB TLVs" flag set to 1 in the TLV flags. It is not necessary to distinguish the last RIB Dictionary TLV because the actions taken at the receiver are essentially passive (recording the contents) and the sequence is ended by the sending of the first RIB TLV.

SEND_DR
In this state the Initiator node expects to be receiving Bundle Offers and sending Bundle Responses:

* Clear the set of bundles offered by the peer on entry to the state.

* If the Timer(info) expires, resend the RIB Dictionary and RIB information sent in the previous CREATE_DR state using the stored state to recreate the information. The RIB dictionary update process in the peer is idempotent provided that the mappings between the EID and the String ID in the resent RIB Dictionary TLVs are the same as in the original. This means that it does not matter if some of the RIB Dictionary TLVs had already been processed in the peer. Similarly resending RIB TLVs will not cause a problem.

* If a message with a RIB Dictionary TLV marked as sent by a Listener is received, update the local dictionary based on the received TLV. If any of the entries in the RIB Dictionary TLV conflict with existing entries (i.e., an entry is received that uses the same String ID as some previously received entry but the EID in the entry is different), send a Response message with an Error TLV containing a Dictionary Conflict indicator,
abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, and terminate the connection to the peer. Note that in some circumstances no dictionary updates are needed and the first message received in this state will carry a Bundle Offer TLV.

* If a message with a Bundle Offer TLV is received, restart the Timer(info) if the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag is set in the TLV or otherwise stop the Timer(info). Then process any PRoPHET Acks in the TLV by informing the Bundle Agent, and add the bundles offered in the TLV to the set of bundles offered. If the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag is set in the TLV wait for further Bundle Offer TLVs. If a Bundle Offer TLV is received with a String ID that is not in the dictionary send a message with an Error TLV containing a Bad String ID indicator, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, and terminate the connection to the peer.

* If the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag is clear in the last Bundle Offer TLV received, inspect the set of bundles offered to determine the set of bundles that are to be accepted using the configured queuing policy. Record the set of bundles accepted so that reception can be checked in the bundle passing phase. Format one or more Bundle Response TLVs flagging the accepted offers and send them to the peer. If more than one Bundle Response TLV is sent, all but the last one should have the More Offer/Response TLVs Following TLV flag set to 1. At least one Bundle Response TLV MUST be sent even if the node does not wish to accept any of the offers. In this case the Bundle Response TLV contains an empty set of acceptances.

* If an Error TLV indicating a Bad String ID is received during or after sending the Bundle Response TLVs, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, reinitialize the local dictionary and terminate the connection to the peer.

* Restart the Timer(info) timer in case the peer does not start sending the requested bundles.

* Transition to state REQUEST.

REQUEST
In this state the Initiator node expects to be receiving the bundles accepted in the Bundle Response TLV(s):

* Keep track of the bundles received and delete them from the set of bundles accepted.
* If the Timer(info) expires while waiting for bundles, format and send one or more Bundle Response TLVs listing the bundles previously accepted but not yet received. If more than one Bundle Response TLV is sent, all but the last one should have the More Offer/Response TLVs Following TLV flag set to 1.

* If an Error TLV indicating a Bad String ID is received during or after sending the Bundle Response TLVs, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, reinitialize the local dictionary and terminate the connection to the peer.

* Restart the Timer(info) timer after each bundle is received in case the peer does not continue sending the requested bundles.

* When all the requested bundles have been received, format a Bundle Response TLV with the Bundle Offer Count set to zero and with the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag cleared to 0 to signal completion to the peer node. Also signal the Listener in this node that the Initiator has completed. If the peer node is using a sequential policy, the Listener may still be in the initial state in which case it needs to start a timer to ensure that it detects if the peer fails to start the Initiator state machine. Thereafter coordinate with the Listener state machine in the same node: when the Listener has received the completion notification from the peer node and this Initiator has sent its completion notification, start a Timer(next_exchange).

* If the Timer(next_exchange) expires, transition to state CREATE_DR to restart the information exchange process.

Note that if Timer(info) timeout occurs a number of times (configurable, typically 3) without any bundles being received then this SHOULD generally be interpreted as a problem that indicates that the link to the peer is no longer functional and the session should be terminated. However, some bundles may be very large and take a long time to transmit. Before terminating the session this state machine needs to check if a large bundle is actually being received although no new completed bundles have been received since the last expiry of the timer. In this case the timer should be restarted without sending the Bundle Response TLV. Also if the bundles are being exchanged over a transport protocol that can detect link failure, then the session MUST be terminated if the bundle exchange link is shut down because it has failed.
5.3.2. Listener Role State Definitions

The state machine component with the Listener role in each node initially waits to receive a RIB Dictionary update followed by a set of RIB delivery predictabilities during the information exchange process. The process from the point of view of the Listener does the following:

- Receive RIB Dictionary updates and RIB values from the peer. Note that in some circumstances no dictionary updates are needed and RIBD dictionary TLV will contain no entries or may be omitted completely.

- When all RIB messages have been received, the delivery predictability update algorithms are run (see Section 2.1.2) using the values received from the Initiator node and applying any of the optional optimizations configured for this node (see Section 2.1.3).

- Using the updated delivery predictabilities and the queuing policy and forwarding strategy configured for this node (see Section 2.1.4) examine the set of bundles currently stored in the Listener node to determine the set of bundles to be offered to the Initiator and order the list according to the forwarding strategy in use. The Bundle Offer TLVs are also used to notify the peer of any PRoPHET Acks that have been received by this node.

- Send the list of bundles in one or more bundle offers, preceded if necessary by one or more RIB dictionary updates to add any EIDs required for the source or destination EIDs of the offered bundles. These updates MUST be marked as being sent by the Listener role so that they will be processed by the Initiator role in the peer.

- Wait for the Initiator to send a bundle responses indicating which bundles should be sent and possibly a modified order for the sending. Send the bundles accepted in the specified order. The bundle sending will normally be carried out over a separate connection using a suitable DTN convergence layer.

- On completion of the sending, wait for a message with an empty Bundle Response TLV indicating correct completion of the process.

- The Listener process will be notified if any new bundles or PRoPHET Acks are received by the node after the completion of the bundle sending resulting from this information exchange. The forwarding policy and the current delivery predictabilities will then be applied to determine if this information should be sent to...
the peer. If it is determined that one or more bundles and/or ACKs ought to be forwarded, a new set of bundle offers are sent to the peer. If the peer accepts them by sending bundle responses, the bundles and/or ACKs are transferred as previously

Periodically, the Initiator in the peer will restart the complete information exchange by sending a RIB TLV that may be, optionally, preceded by RIB Dictionary entries if they are required for the updated RIB.

Timers are used to ensure that the Listener does not lock up if messages are not received from the Initiator in a timely fashion. The Listener is restarted if the RIB is not received and a Hello Ack message is sent to force the Initiator to restart. If Bundle Response messages are not received in a timely fashion, the Listener resends the Bundle Offers and associated dictionary updates. The following states are used:

WAIT_DICT
The Listener subsidiary state machine transitions to this state automatically and immediately from the state ESTAB in both peers. This state will be entered in the same way if the Timer(next_exchange) expires in the peer, signaling the start of a new round of information exchange and bundle passing. This will result in one or more RIB TLVs being sent to the Listener by the peer node’s Initiator.

* When a RIB Dictionary TLV is received, use the TLV to update the local dictionary, start or, if it is running, restart the Timer(peer) and transition to state WAIT_RIB. If any of the entries in the RIB Dictionary TLV conflict with existing entries (i.e., an entry is received that uses the same String ID as some previously received entry but the EID in the entry is different), send a Rersponse message with an Error TLV containing a Dictionary Conflict indicator, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, and terminate the connection to the peer.

* If a Hello ACK message is received from the peer node, transition to state WAIT_DICT and restart the process.

If multiple timeouts occur (configurable, typically 3), assume that the link is broken and terminate the session. Note that the RIB Dictionary and RIB TLVs may be combined into a single message. The RIB TLV should be passed on to be processed in the WAIT_RIB state.
WAIT_RIB

In this state the Listener expects to be receiving one or more RIB TLVs and possibly additional RIB Dictionary TLVs.

* On entry to this state clear the set of received delivery predictabilities.

* Whenever a new message is received, restart the Timer(peer) timer.

* If a RIB dictionary TLV is received, use it to update the local dictionary and remain in this state. If any of the entries in the RIB Dictionary TLV conflict with existing entries (i.e., an entry is received that uses the same String ID as some previously received entry but the EID in the entry is different), send a message with an Error TLV containing a Dictionary Conflict indicator, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, and terminate the connection to the peer.

* If a RIB TLV is received, record the received delivery predictabilities for use in recalculating the local delivery predictabilities. If a delivery predictability value is received for an EID that is already in the set of received delivery predictabilities, overwrite the previously received value with the latest value. If a delivery predictability value is received with a String ID that is not in the dictionary send a message with an Error TLV containing a Bad String ID indicator, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, and terminate the connection to the peer.

* When a RIB TLV is received with the More RIB TLVs flag cleared, initiate the recalculation of delivery predictabilities and stop the Timer(peer). Use the revised delivery predictabilities and the configured queueing and forwarding strategies to create a list of bundles to be offered to the peer node.

* Record the state of the local dictionary in case the offer procedure has to be restarted. Determine if any new dictionary entries are required for use in the Bundle Offer TLV(s). If so record them in the local dictionary, then format and send RIB Dictionary entries in zero or more RIB Dictionary TLV messages to update the dictionary in the peer if necessary.

* Format and send Bundle Offer TLV(s) carrying the identifiers of the bundles to be offered together with any PRoPHET ACKs received or generated by this node. If more than one Bundle Offer TLV is sent, all but the last Bundle Offer TLV sent MUST
have the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 1.

* When all Bundle Offer TLVs have been sent start the Timer(info) and transition to state OFFER.

* If the Timer(peer) expires, send a Hello ACK TLV to the peer, restart the timer and transition to state WAIT_DICT.

* If an Error TLV indicating a Dictionary Conflict or Bad String ID is received during or after sending the RIB Dictionary TLVs and/or the Bundle Offer TLVs, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, and terminate the connection to the peer.

* If a Hello ACK message is received from the peer node, transition to state WAIT_DICT and restart the process.

OFFER

In this state the Listener expects to be receiving one or more Bundle Response TLVs detailing the bundles accepted by the Initiator node. The ordered list of accepted bundles is communicated to the Bundle Protocol Agent which controls sending them to the peer node over a separate connection.

* When a Bundle Response TLV is received with a non-zero count of Bundle Offers, extract the list of accepted bundles and send the list to the Bundle Protocol Agent so that it can start transmission to the peer node. Ensure that the order of offers from the TLV is maintained. Restart the Timer(info) unless the last Bundle Response TLV received has the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 0. If a Bundle Response TLV is received with a String ID that is not in the dictionary send a message with an Error TLV containing a Bad String ID indicator, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, and terminate the connection to the peer.

* After receiving a Bundle Response TLV with the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 0 stop the Timer(info) and transition to state SND_BUNDLE.

* If the Timer(info) expires, send a Hello ACK TLV to the peer, restart the timer and transition to state WAIT_DICT.

* If a Hello ACK message is received from the peer node, transition to state WAIT_DICT and restart the process.
SND_BUNDLE

In this state the Listener monitors the sending of bundles to the Initiator peer node. In the event of disruption in transmission, the Initiator node will, if possible, resend the list of bundles that were accepted but have not yet been received. The Bundle Protocol Agent has to be informed of any updates to the list of bundles to send (this is likely to involve resending one or more bundles). Otherwise the Listener is quiescent in this state.

* When a Bundle Response TLV is received with a non-zero count of Bundle Offers, extract the list of accepted bundles and update the list previously passed to the Bundle Protocol Agent so that it can (re)start transmission to the peer node. Ensure that the order of offers from the TLV is maintained so far as is possible. Restart the Timer(info) unless the last Bundle Response TLV received has the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 0. If a Bundle Response TLV is received with a String ID that is not in the dictionary send a message with an Error TLV containing a Bad String ID indicator, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, reinitialize the local dictionary and restart the information exchange process as if the ESTAB state had just been reached.

* After receiving a Bundle Response TLV with the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 0 stop the Timer(info) and wait for completion of bundle sending.

* If the Timer(info) expires, send a Hello ACK TLV to the peer, restart the timer and transition to state WAIT_DICT.

* If a Hello ACK message is received from the peer node, transition to state WAIT_DICT and restart the process.

* When a Bundle Response TLV is received with a zero count of Bundle Offers, the bundle passing phase is complete. Notify the Initiator that the Listener process is complete and transition to state WAIT_MORE.

As explained in the Initiator state REQUEST description, depending on the transport protocol (convergence layer) used to send the bundles to the peer node, it may be necessary to monitor the liveness of the connection to the peer node in the Initiator process during the bundle sending process using a timer.

WAIT_MORE

In this state the Listener monitors the reception of new bundles that might be received from a number of sources, including
* local applications on the node,
* other mobile nodes that connect to the node while this connection is open, and
* permanent connections such as might occur at an Internet gateway.

When the Listener is notified of received bundles, it determines if they should be offered to the peer. The peer may also reinitiate the information exchange process periodically.

* When the Bundle Protocol Agent notifies the Listener that new bundles and/or new PRoPHET ACKs have been received, the Listener applies the selected forwarding policy and the current delivery predictabilities to determine if any of the items ought to be offered to the connected peer. If so it carries out the same operations as are described in the WAIT_RIB state to build and send any necessary RIB Dictionary TLVs and RIB TLVs to the Initiator in the peer.

* When all Bundle Offer TLVs have been sent start the Timer(info) and transition to state OFFER.

* If a RIB dictionary TLV is received, use it to update the local dictionary and transition to state WAIT_RIB. If any of the entries in the RIB Dictionary TLV conflict with existing entries (i.e., an entry is received that uses the same String ID as some previously received entry but the EID in the entry is different), send a message with an Error TLV containing a Dictionary Conflict indicator, abort any in progress Initiator or Listener process, and terminate the connection to the peer.

Note that the RIB Dictionary and RIB TLVs may be combined into a single message. The RIB TLV should be passed on to be processed in the WAIT_RIB state.

5.3.3. Recommendations for Information Exchange Timer Periods

The information exchange process state definitions define a number of timers. This section provides advice and recommendations for the periods that are appropriate for these timers.

Both Timer(info) and Timer(peer) are used to ensure that the state machines do not become locked into inappropriate states if the peer node does not apparently respond to messages sent in a timely fashion either because of message loss in the network or unresponsiveness from the peer. The appropriate values are to some extent dependent
on the speed of the network connection between the nodes and the
capabilities of the nodes executing the PRoPHET implementations.
Values in the range 1 to 10 seconds SHOULD be used, with a value of 5
seconds RECOMMENDED as default. The period should not be set to too
low a value as this might to inappropriate restarts if the hardware
is relatively slow or there are large numbers of pieces of
information to process before responding. When using a reliable
transport protocol such as TCP, these timers effectively provide a
keepalive mechanism and ensure that a failed connection is detected
as rapidly as possible so that remedial action can be taken if
possible or the connection shut down tidily if the peer node has
moved out of range.

Timer(next_exchange) is used to determine the maximum frequency of
(i.e., minimum period between) successive reexecutions of the
information exchange state machines during a single session between a
pair of nodes. Selection of the timer period SHOULD reflect the
trade off between load on the node processor and desire for timely
forwarding of bundles received from other nodes. It is RECOMMENDED
that the timer periods used should be randomized over a range from
50% to 150% of the base value to avoid any risk of synchronization
between multiple nodes occurring. Consideration SHOULD be given to
the expected length of typical encounters and the likelihood of
encounters between groups of nodes when setting this period. Base
values in the range of 20 to 60 seconds are RECOMMENDED.

5.3.4. Information Exchange State Tables

This section shows the state transitions that nodes go through during
the information exchange and bundle passing phase. State tables are
given for the Initiator role and for the Listener role of the
subsidiary state machines. Both nodes will be running machines in
each role during the information exchange and bundle passing phase
and this can be done either concurrently or sequentially, depending
on the implementation, as explained in Section 5.3. The state tables
in this section should be read in conjunction with the state
descriptions in Sections 5.3.1 and 5.3.2.

5.3.4.1. Common Notation, Operations and Events

The following notation is used:

nS            Node that sent the Hello SYN message.

nA            Node that sent the Hello SYNACK message.

The following events are common to the Initiator and Listener state
tables::
ErrDC         Dictionary Conflict Error TLV received.
ErrBadSI      Bad String ID Error TLV received.
HelloAck      Hello ACK TLV received. This message is delivered to both Initiator and Listener roles in order to cause a restart of the information exchange process in the event of message loss or protocol problems.
InitStart     Sent by Listener role to Initiator role to signal the Initiator role to commence sending messages to peer. If the Listener instance is running in the node that sent the Hello SYN (nS) then InitStart is signaled immediately the state is entered. For the node that sent the Hello SYNACK (nA), InitStart may be signaled immediately if the operational policy requires concurrent operation of the Initiator and Listener roles or postponed until the Listener role state machine has reached a state defined by the configured policy.
RIBnotlast    RIB TLV received with More RIBs Follow flag set to 1.
RIBlast       RIB TLV received with More RIBs Follow flag set to 0.
REQnotlast    Bundle Response TLV received with More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 1.
REQLast       Bundle Response TLV received with More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 0.
RIBDl         RIBD TLV received with Sent by Listener flag set to 0 (i.e., it was sent by Initiator role).
RIBDl         RIBD TLV received with Sent by Listener flag set to 1 (i.e., it was sent by Listener role).
Timeout(info) The Timer(info) has expired.
Timeout(peer) The Timer(peer) has expired.

Both the Initiator and Listener state tables use the following common operations:

- The "Initialize Dictionary" operation is defined as emptying any existing local dictionary and inserting the two initial entries: the EID of the node that sent the Hello SYN (String ID 0) and the EID of the node that sent the Hello SYNACK (String ID 1).
The "Send RIB Dictionary Updates" operation is defined as:

1. Determining what dictionary updates will be needed for any extra EIDs in the previously selected RIB entries set that are not already in the dictionary and updating the local dictionary with these EIDs. The set of dictionary updates may be empty if no extra EIDs are needed. The set may be empty even on the first execution if sequential operation has been selected, this is the second node to start and the necessary EIDs were in the set previously sent by the first node to start.

2. Formatting zero or more RIBD TLVs for the set of dictionary updates identified in the "Build RIB Entries" operation and sends them to the peer. The RIBD TLVs MUST have the "Sent by Listener" flag set to 0 if the updates are sent by the Initiator role and to 1 if sent by the Listener role. In the case of the Initiator role an empty RIBD TLV MUST be sent even if the set of updates is empty in order to trigger the Listener state machine.

The "Update Dictionary" operation uses received RIBD TLV entries to update the local dictionary. The received entries are checked against the existing dictionary. If the String ID in the entry is already in use, the entry is accepted if the EID in the received entry is identical to that stored in the dictionary previously. If it is identical, the entry is unchanged, but if it is not a Response message with an Error TLV indicating "Dictionary Conflict" is sent to the peer in an Error Response message, the whole received RIBD TLV is ignored and the Initiator and Listener processes are restarted as if the ESTAB state has just been reached.

The "Abort Exchange" operation is defined as aborting any in progress information exchange state machines, terminating the connection to the peer.

The "Start TI" operation is defined as (re)starting the Timer(info) timer.

The "Start TP" operation is defined as (re)starting the Timer(peer) timer.

The "Cancel TI" operation is defined as canceling the Timer(info) timer.

The "Cancel TP" operation is defined as canceling the Timer(info) timer.
5.3.4.2. Initiator Role State Tables

The rules and state tables for the Initiator role use the following operations:

- The "Build RIB Entries" operation is defined as:
  1. Recording the state of the local dictionary.
  2. Determining the set of EIDs for which RIB entries should be sent during this execution of the Initiator role state machine component. If this is a second or subsequent run of the state machine in this node during the current session with the connected peer then the set of EIDs may be empty if no changes have occurred since the previous run of the state machine.
  3. Determining and extracting the current delivery predictability information for the set of EIDs selected.

- The "Send RIB Entries" operation formats one or more RIB TLVs with the set of RIB entries identified in the "Build RIB Entries" operation and sends them to the peer. If the set is empty, a single RIB TLV with zero entries is sent. If more than one RIB TLV is sent, all but the last one MUST have the "More RIB TLVs" flag set to 1; the last or only one MUST have the flag set to 0.

- The "Clear Bundle Lists" operation is defined as emptying the lists of bundles offered by and bundles requested from the peer.

- The "Notify Acks" operation is defined as informing the bundle agent that PRoPHET Acks has been received for one or more bundles in a Bundle Offer TLV using the Bundle Delivered interface (see Section 2.2).

- The "Record Offers" operation is defined as recording all the bundles offered in a Bundle Offer TLV in the list of bundles offers.

- The "Select for Request" operation prunes and sorts the list of offered bundles held into the list of requested bundles according to policy and available resources ready for sending to the offering node.

- The "Send Requests" operation is defined as formatting one or more non-empty Bundle Response TLVs and sending them to the offering node. If more than one Bundle Offer TLV is sent, all but the last one MUST have the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 1; the last or only
one MUST have the flag set to 0.

- The "Record Bundle Received" operation deletes a successfully received bundle from the list of requests.

- The "All Requests Done" operation is defined as formatting and sending an empty Bundle Offer TLV, with the More/Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 0, to the offering node.

- The "Check Receiving" operation is defined as checking with the node bundle agent if bundle reception from the peer node is currently in progress. Needed in case a timeout occurs while waiting for bundle reception and a very large bundle is being processed.

The following events are specific to the Initiator role state machine:

- LastBndlRcvd: Bundle received from peer that is the only remaining bundle in Bundle Requests List.

- NotLastBndlRcvd: Bundle received from peer that is not the only remaining bundle in Bundle Requests List.

- OFRnotlast: Bundle Offer TLV received with More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 1.

- OFRlast: Bundle Offer TLV received with More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 0

- Timeout(next_exch): The Timer(next_exchange) has expired
State: CREATE_DR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On Entry</td>
<td>If previous state was ESTAB: Initialize Dictionary Always: Build RIB Entries Wait for Init Start</td>
<td>CREATE_DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>InitStart</td>
<td>Send RIB Dictionary Updates Send RIB Entries Start TI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ErrDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ErrBadSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HelloAck</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State: SEND_DR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On Entry</td>
<td>Clear Bundle Lists</td>
<td>SEND_DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeout(info)</td>
<td>Send RIB Dictionary Updates</td>
<td>SEND_DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send RIB Entries (note 1)</td>
<td>SEND_DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIBDl received</td>
<td>Update Dictionary (note 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If Dictionary Conflict found:</td>
<td>CREATE_DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Else:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI</td>
<td>SEND_DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFRnotlast</td>
<td>Notify Acks</td>
<td>SEND_DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Record Offers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI</td>
<td>SEND_DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFRLast</td>
<td>Cancel TI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Notify Acks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Record Offers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Select for Request</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Requests</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI</td>
<td>REQUEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErrDC</td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>(finished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ErrBadSI</td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>(finished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HelloAck</td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>CREATE_DR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### State: REQUEST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Timeout(info)</td>
<td>Check Receiving</td>
<td>REQUEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If bundle reception in progress:</td>
<td>REQUEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Otherwise:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Requests</td>
<td>REQUEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI (note 3)</td>
<td>REQUEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NotLastBndlRcvd</td>
<td>Record Bundle Received</td>
<td>REQUEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LastBndlRcd</td>
<td>Cancel TI</td>
<td>REQUEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Requests Done</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start next_exchange timer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeout(next_exch)</td>
<td></td>
<td>CREATE_DR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HelloAck</td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>CREATE_DR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note 1:**

No response to the RIB has been received before the timer expired, so we resend the dictionary and RIB TLVs. If the timeout occurs repeatedly it is likely that communication has failed and the connection MUST be terminated.

**Note 2:**

If a Dictionary Conflict error has to be sent the state machine will be aborted. If this event occurs repeatedly it is likely that there is either a serious software problem or a security issue. The connection MUST be terminated.

**Note 3:**

Remaining requested bundles have not arrived before the timer expired, so we resend the list of outstanding requests. If the timeout occurs repeatedly it is likely that communication has failed and the connection MUST be terminated.

#### 5.3.4.3. Listener Role State Tables

The rules and state tables for the Listener role use the following operations:
- The "Clear Supplied RIBs" operation is defined as setting up an empty container to hold the set of RIBs supplied by the peer node. Note that any existing set of delivery predictabilities should be retained in case an empty set is supplied meaning that the existing set should be reused.

- The "Record RIBs Supplied" operation is defined as:
  1. Taking the RIB entries from a received RIB TLV.
  2. Verifying that the String ID used in each entry is present in the dictionary. If not an Error TLV containing the offending String ID is sent to the peer, the Initiator and Listener processes are aborted and restarted as if the ESTAB state had just been reached.
  3. If all the String IDs are present in the dictionary, record the delivery predictabilities for each EID in the entries.

- The "Recalc Dlvy Predictabilities" operation uses the algorithms defined in Section 2.1.2 to update the local set of delivery predictabilities using the set of delivery predictabilities supplied by the peer in RIB TLVs.

- The "Determine Offers" operation determines the set of bundles to be offered to the peer. The local delivery predictabilities and the delivery predictabilities supplied by the peer are compared and a prioritized choice of the bundles stored in this node to be offered to the peer is made according to the configured queuing policy and forwarding strategy.

- The "Determine Acks" operation is defined as obtaining the set of PRoPHET Acks recorded by the bundle agent that need to be forwarded to the peer. The list of PRoPHET Acks is maintained internally by the PRoPHET protocol implementation rather than the main bundle agent (see Section 3.5).

- The "Determine Offer Dict Updates" operation is defined as determining any extra EIDs that are not already in the dictionary, recording the previous state of the local dictionary and then adding the required extra entries to the dictionary.

- The "Send Offers" operation is defined as formatting one or more non-empty Bundle Offer TLVs incorporating the sets of Offers and PRoPHET Acks previously determined and sending them to the peer node. If more than one Bundle Offer TLV is sent, all but the last one MUST have the More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 1; the last or only one MUST have the flag set to 0.
o The "Record Requests" operation is defined as recording all the bundles offered in a Bundle Offer TLV in the list of bundles offers. Duplicates MUST be ignored. The order of requests in the TLVs MUST be maintained so far as is possible (it is potentially possible that a bundle has to be resent and this may result in out of order delivery)

o The "Send Bundles" operation is defined as sending, in the order requested, the bundles in the requested list. This requires the list to be communicated to the bundle agent (see Section 2.2).

o The "Check Initiator Start Point" operation is defined as checking the configured sequential operation policy to determine if the Listener role has reached the point where the Initiator role should be started. If so the InitStart notification is sent to the Initiator role in the same node.

The following events are specific to the Listener role state machine:

RIBnotlast   RIB TLV received with More RIB TLVs flag set to 1.
RIBlast      RIB TLV received with More RIB TLVs flag set to 0 and a non-zero count of RIB Entries.
REQnotlast   Bundle Response TLV received with More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 1.
REQlast      Bundle Response TLV received with More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 0 and a non-zero count of Bundle Offers.
REQempty     Bundle Response TLV received with More Offer/Response TLVs Following flag set to 0 and a zero count of Bundle Offers.
State: WAIT_DICT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On Entry</td>
<td>Check Initiator Start Point</td>
<td>WAIT_DICT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIBDi</td>
<td>Update Dictionary (note 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If Dictionary Conflict found:</td>
<td>(finished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Else:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TP</td>
<td>WAIT_RIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HelloAck</td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>WAIT_DICT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### State: WAIT_RIB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On Entry</td>
<td>Clear Supplied RIBS</td>
<td>WAIT_RIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIBDi</td>
<td>Update Dictionary (note 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If Dictionary Conflict found:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>(finished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Else:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TP</td>
<td>WAIT_RIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIBnotlast</td>
<td>Record RIBS Supplied (note 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If EID missing in dictionary:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>(finished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Else:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TP</td>
<td>WAIT_RIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIBlast</td>
<td>Check Initiator Start Point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Record RIBS Supplied (note 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If EID missing in dictionary:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>(finished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Otherwise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recalc Dlvy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Predictabilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cancel TP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determine Offers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determine Acks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determine Offer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dict Updates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send RIB Dictionary Updates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Offers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI</td>
<td>OFFER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HelloAck</td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>WAIT_DICT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any Other TLV rcvd</td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>(finished)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeout(peer)</td>
<td>Send RIB Dictionary Updates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Offers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI (note 3)</td>
<td>OFFER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State: OFFER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REQnotlast</td>
<td>Send Bundles</td>
<td>OFFER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQLast</td>
<td>Cancel TI</td>
<td>SND_BUNDLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Check Initiator Start Point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Bundles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQempty</td>
<td>Cancel TI</td>
<td>WAIT_MORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Check Initiator Start Point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Bundles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HelloAck</td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>WAIT_DICT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeout(info)</td>
<td>Send RIB Dictionary Updates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Offers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI (note 3)</td>
<td>OFFER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

State: SND_BUNDLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REQnotlast</td>
<td>Send Bundles</td>
<td>SND_BUNDLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQLast</td>
<td>Cancel TI</td>
<td>SND_BUNDLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Bundles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQempty</td>
<td>Cancel TI</td>
<td>WAIT_MORE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Check Initiator Start Point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Bundles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HelloAck</td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>WAIT_DICT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeout(info)</td>
<td>Send RIB Dictionary Updates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Offers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI (note 3)</td>
<td>OFFER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State: WAIT_MORE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>New State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More Bundles</td>
<td>Determine Offers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determine Acks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determine Offer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dict Updates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send RIB Dictionary Updates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Offers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI</td>
<td>OFFER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIBDi</td>
<td>Update Dictionary (note 1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>If Dictionary Conflict found:</td>
<td>(finished)\</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Else:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TP</td>
<td>WAIT_RIB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQnotlast</td>
<td>Send Bundles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI</td>
<td>SND_BUNDLE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQlast</td>
<td>Cancel TI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Bundles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQempty</td>
<td>Cancel TI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Check Initiator Start Point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HelloAck</td>
<td>Abort Exchange</td>
<td>WAIT_DICT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeout(info)</td>
<td>Send RIB Dictionary Updates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Send Offers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Start TI (note 3)</td>
<td>OFFER</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note 1: Both the dictionary and the RIB TLVs may come in the same PROPHET message. In that case, the state will change to WAIT_RIB and the RIB will then immediately be processed.

Note 2: Send an ACK if the timer for the peering node expires. Either the link has been broken, and then the link setup will restart, or it will trigger the information exchange phase to restart.
Note 3: When the RIB is received it is possible for the PRoPHET agent to update its delivery predictabilities according to Section 2.1.2. The delivery predictabilities and the RIB is then used together with the forwarding strategy in use to create a bundle offer TLV. This is sent to the peering node.

Note 4: No more bundles are requested by the other node, transfer is complete.

Note 5: No response to the bundle offer has been received before the timer expired, so we resend the bundle offer.

5.4. Interaction with Nodes Using Version 1 of PRoPHET

There are existing implementations of PRoPHET based on drafts of this specification prior to version 06 that use version 1 of the protocol. There are a number of significant areas of difference between version 1 and version 2 as described in this document:

- the delivery predictability update equations were significantly different, and in the case of the transitivity equation (Equation 3) could lead to degraded performance or non-delivery of bundles in some circumstances in version 1,

- constraints were placed on the String IDs generated by each node to ensure that it was not possible for there to be a conflict if the IDs were generated concurrently and independently in the two nodes,

- a flag has been added to the Routing Information Base Dictionary TLV to distinguish dictionary updates sent by the Initiator role and by the Listener role,

- the Bundle Offer and Response TLVs have been significantly revised. The version 2 TLVs have been allocated new TLV Type numbers and the version 1 TLVs (types 0xA2 and 0xA3) are now deprecated. For each bundle specifier the source EID is transmitted in addition to the creation timestamp by version 2 to ensure that the bundle is uniquely identified. Version 2 also transmits the fragment payload offset and length when the offered bundle is a bundle fragment. The payload length can optionally be transmitted for each bundle whether or not it is a fragment to give the receiver additional information that can be useful when determining which bundle offers to accept.
The behaviour of the system after the first information exchange process has been better defined. The state machine has been altered to better describe how the ongoing operations work. This has involved the removal of the high level state WAIT_INFO and the addition of two states in the Listener role subsidiary state machine (SND_BUNDLE and WAIT_MORE). The protocol on the wire has not been altered by this change to the description of the state machine. However, the specification of the later stages of operation was slightly vague and might have been interpreted differently by various implementers.

A node implementing version 2 of the PRoPHET protocol as defined in this document MAY choose either to ignore a communication opportunity with a node that sends a HELLO message indicating that it uses version 1 or it MAY partially downgrade and respond to messages as if it were a version 1 node. This means that the version field in all message headers MUST contain 1.

It is RECOMMENDED that the version 2 node use the metric update equations defined in this document even when communicating with a version 1 node as this will partially inhibit the problems with the transitivity equation in version 1, and that the version 2 node should modify any received metrics that are greater than \((1 - \delta)\) to be \((1 - \delta)\) to avoid becoming a ‘sink’ for bundles that are not destined for this node. Also version 1 nodes cannot be explicitly offered bundle fragments and an exchange with a node supporting version 1 MUST use the, now deprecated, previous versions of the Bundle Offer and Response TLVs.

Generally, nodes using version 1 should be upgraded if at all possible because of problems that have been identified.
6. Security Considerations

Currently, PRoPHET does not specify any special security measures. As a routing protocol for intermittently connected networks, PRoPHET is a target for various attacks. The various known possible vulnerabilities are discussed in this section.

The attacks described here are not problematic if all nodes in the network can be trusted and are working towards a common goal. If there exist such a set of nodes, but there also exist malicious nodes, these security problems can be solved by introducing an authentication mechanism when two nodes meet, for example using a public key system. Thus, only nodes that are known to be members of the trusted group of nodes are allowed to participate in the routing. This of course introduces the additional problem of key distribution, but that is not addressed here.

Where suitable, the mechanisms (such as key management and bundle authentication or integrity checks) and terminology specified by the Bundle Security Protocol [RFC6257] is to be used.

6.1. Attacks on the Operation of the Protocol

There are a number of kinds of attacks on the operation of the protocol that it would be possible to stage on a PRoPHET network. The attacks and possible remedies are listed here.

6.1.1. Black Hole Attack

A malicious node sets its delivery predictabilities for all destinations to a value close to or exactly equal to 1 and/or requests all bundles from nodes it meets, and does not forward any bundles. This has two effects, both causing messages to be drawn towards the black hole, instead of to its correct destination.

1. A node encountering a malicious node will try to forward all its bundles to the malicious node, creating the belief that the bundle has been very favorably forwarded. Depending on the forwarding strategy and queuing policy in use, this might hamper future forwarding of the bundle and/or lead to premature dropping of the bundle.

2. Due to the transitivity, the delivery predictabilities reported by the malicious node will affect the delivery predictabilities of other nodes. This will create a gradient for all destinations with the black hole as the "center of gravity" towards which all bundles traverse. This should be particularly severe in connected parts of the network.
6.1.1.1. Attack detection

A node receiving a set of delivery predictabilities that are all at or close to 1 should be suspicious. Similarly, a node which accepts all bundles and offers none might be considered suspicious. However these conditions are not impossible in normal operation.

6.1.1.2. Attack prevention/solution

To prevent this attack, authentication between nodes that meet needs to be present. Nodes can also inspect the received metrics and bundle acceptances/offers for suspicious patterns and terminate communications with nodes that appear suspicious. The natural evolution of delivery predictabilities should mean that a genuine node would not be permanently ostracized even if the values lead to termination of a communication opportunity on one occasion. The epidemic nature of PRoPHET would mean that such a termination would not generally lead to non-delivery of bundles.

6.1.2. Limited Black Hole Attack/Identity Spoofing

A malicious node misrepresents itself by claiming to be someone else. The effects of this attack are:

1. The effects of the black hole attack listed above hold for this attack as well, with the exception that only the delivery predictabilities and bundles for one particular destination are affected. This could be used to "steal" the data that should be going to a particular node.

2. In addition to the above problems, PRoPHET ACKs will be issued for the bundles that are delivered to the malicious node. This will cause these bundles to be removed from the network, reducing the chance that they will reach their real destination.

6.1.2.1. Attack Detection

It is possible for the destination to detect that this kind of attack has occurred (but it will not be able to prevent it) if it receives a PRoPHET ACK for a bundle destined to itself but for which it did not receive the corresponding bundle.

6.1.2.2. Attack Prevention/Solution

To prevent this attack, authentication between nodes that meet needs to be present.
6.1.3. Fake PRoPHET ACKs

A malicious node may issue fake PRoPHET ACKs for all bundles (or only bundles for a certain destination if the attack is targeted at a single node) carried by nodes it meet. The affected bundles will be deleted from the network, greatly reducing their probability of being delivered to the destination.

6.1.3.1. Attack Prevention/Solution

If a public key cryptography system is in place, this attack can be prevented by mandating that all PRoPHET ACKs be signed by the destination. Similarly to other solutions using public key cryptography, this introduces the problem of key distribution.

6.1.4. Bundle Store Overflow

After encountering and receiving the delivery predictability information from the victim, a malicious node may generate a large number of fake bundles for the destination for which the victim has the highest delivery predictability. This will cause the victim to most likely accept these bundles, filling up its bundle storage, possibly at the expense of other, legitimate, bundles. This problem is transient as the messages will be removed when the victim meets the destination and delivers the messages.

6.1.4.1. Attack Detection

If it is possible for the destination to figure out that the bundles it is receiving are fake, it could report that malicious actions are underway.

6.1.4.2. Attack Prevention/Solution

This attack could be prevented by requiring sending nodes to sign all bundles they send. By doing this, intermediate nodes could verify the integrity of the messages before accepting them for forwarding.

6.1.5. Bundle Store Overflow with Delivery Predictability Manipulation

A more sophisticated version of the attack in the previous section can be attempted. The effect of the previous attack was lessened since the destination node of the fake bundles existed. This caused fake bundles to be purged from the network when the destination was encountered. The malicious node may now use the transitive property of the protocol to boost the victim’s delivery predictabilities for a non-existent destination. After this, it creates a large number of fake bundles for this non-existent destination and offers them to the
victim. As before, these bundles will fill up the bundle storage of the victim. The impact of this attack will be greater as there is no probability of the destination being encountered and the bundles being acknowledged. Thus, they will remain in the bundle storage until they time out (the malicious node may set the timeout to a large value) or until they are evicted by the queueing policy.

The delivery predictability for the fake destination may spread in the network due to the transitivity, but this is not a problem, as it will eventually age and fade away.

The impact of this attack could be increased if multiple malicious nodes collude, as network resources can be consumed at a greater speed and at many different places in the network simultaneously.

6.2. Interactions with External Routing Domains

Users may opt to connect two regions of sparsely connected nodes through a connected network such as the Internet where another routing protocol is running. To this network, PRoPHET traffic would look like any other application layer data. Extra care must be taken in setting up these gateway nodes and their interconnections to make sure that malicious nodes cannot use them to launch attacks on the infrastructure of the connected network. In particular, the traffic generated should not be significantly more than what a single regular user end host could create on the network.
7. IANA Considerations

Following the policies outlined in "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs" (RFC 5226 [RFC5226]), the following name spaces are defined in PRoPHET:

- For fields in the PRoPHET message header (Section 4.1):
  - DTN Routing Protocol Number
  - PRoPHET Protocol Version
  - PRoPHET Header Flags
  - PRoPHET Result Field
  - PRoPHET Codes for Success and Codes for Failure

- Identifiers for TLVs carried in PRoPHET messages:
  - PRoPHET TLV Type (Section 4.2)

- Definitions of TLV Flags and other flag fields in TLVs:
  - Hello TLV Flags (Section 4.3.1)
  - Error TLV Flags (Section 4.3.2)
  - Routing Information Base (RIB) Dictionary TLV Flags (Section 4.3.3)
  - Routing Information Base (RIB) TLV Flags (Section 4.3.4)
  - Routing Information Base (RIB) Flags per entry (Section 4.3.4)
  - Bundle Offer and Response TLV Flags (Section 4.3.5)
  - Bundle Offer and Response B Flags per offer or response (Section 4.3.5)

The following subsections lists the registries that are requested to be created. Initial values for the registries are given below; future assignments are to be made through the Specification Required policy. Where specific values are defined in the IANA registries to be setup according to the specifications in the sub-sections below, the registry should refer to this document as defining the allocation.
7.1. DTN Routing Protocol Number

The encoding of the Protocol Number field in the PRoPHET header (Section 4.1) is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRoPHET Protocol</td>
<td>0x00</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0x01-0xEF</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private/Experimental use</td>
<td>0xF0-0xFE</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2. PRoPHET Protocol Version

The encoding of the PRoPHET Version field in the PRoPHET header (Section 4.1) is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Version</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0x00</td>
<td>(Do not allocate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earlier Drafts</td>
<td>0x01</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This protocol</td>
<td>0x02</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0x03-0xEF</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>0xF0-0xFE</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved for future expansion</td>
<td>0xFF</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 7.3. PRoPHET Header Flags

The following Flags are defined for the PRoPHET Header (Section 4.1):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Bit Position</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Bit 0</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Bit 1</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Bit 2</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Bit 3</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 7.4. PRoPHET Result Field

The encoding of the Result field in the PRoPHET header (Section 4.1) is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result Value</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NoSuccessAck</td>
<td>0x01</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AckAll</td>
<td>0x02</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Success</td>
<td>0x03</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure</td>
<td>0x04</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ReturnReceipt</td>
<td>0x05</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0x06-0x7F</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private/Experimental Use</td>
<td>0x80-0xFF</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.5. PRoPHET Codes for Success and Codes for Failure

The encoding for Code field in the PRoPHET header (Section 4.1) for "Success" messages is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Generic Success</td>
<td>0x00</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submessage Received</td>
<td>0x01</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0x02 - 0x7F</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private/Experimental Use</td>
<td>0x80 - 0xFF</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The encoding for Code in the PRoPHET header (Section 4.1) for "Failure" messages is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code Name</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0x00 - 0x01</td>
<td>(Do not allocate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified Failure</td>
<td>0x02</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0x03 - 0x7F</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private/Experimental Use</td>
<td>0x80 - 0xFE</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error TLV in message</td>
<td>0xFF</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 7.6. PRoPHET TLV Type

The TLV Types defined for PRoPHET ([Section 4.2](#)) are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hello TLV</td>
<td>0x01</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error TLV</td>
<td>0x02</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0x03 - 0x9F</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIB dictionary TLV</td>
<td>0xA0</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIB TLV</td>
<td>0xA1</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle Offer</td>
<td>0xA2</td>
<td>(Deprecated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle Response</td>
<td>0xA3</td>
<td>(Deprecated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle Offer (v2)</td>
<td>0xA4</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle Response (v2)</td>
<td>0xA5</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0xA6 - 0xCF</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private/Experimental Use</td>
<td>0xD0 - 0xFF</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.7. Hello TLV Flags

The following TLV Flags are defined for the Hello TLV
(Section 4.3.1). Flag numbers 0, 1 and 2 are treated as a three bit
unsigned integer with five of the eight possible values allocated and
the other three reserved. The remaining bits are treated
individually:

+----------+-------------------+------------------------+
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Flags 0,1 and 2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0b000</td>
<td>Do not allocate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYN</td>
<td>0b001</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYNACK</td>
<td>0b010</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACK</td>
<td>0b011</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSTACK</td>
<td>0x100</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0b101 - 0b111</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Flags 3 - 7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 3</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 4</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 5</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 6</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L Flag</td>
<td>Flag 7</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
+----------+-------------------+------------------------+
7.8. Error TLV Flags

The TLV Flags field in the Error TLV (Section 4.3.2) is treated as an unsigned 8 bit integer encoding the Error TLV number. The following values are defined:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error TLV Name</th>
<th>Error TLV Number</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dictionary Conflict</td>
<td>0x00</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad String ID</td>
<td>0x01</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>0x02 - 0x7F</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private</td>
<td>0x80 - 0xFF</td>
<td>Experimental</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.9. RIB Dictionary TLV Flags

The following TLV Flags are defined for the RIB Base Dictionary TLV (Section 4.3.3):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Bit Position</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sent by Listener</td>
<td>Flag 0</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 1</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 2</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 3</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 4</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 5</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 6</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 7</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.10. RIB TLV Flags

The following TLV Flags are defined for the RIB TLV (Section 4.3.4):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Bit Position</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More RIB TLVs</td>
<td>Flag 0</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 1</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 2</td>
<td>Reserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 3</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 4</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 5</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 6</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 7</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.11. RIB Flags

The following RIB Flags are defined for the individual entries in the RIB TLV (Section 4.3.4):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Bit Position</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 0</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 1</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 2</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 3</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 4</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 5</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 6</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 7</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.12. Bundle Offer and Response TLV Flags

The following TLV Flags are defined for the Bundle Offer and Response TLV (Section 4.3.5):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Bit Position</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>More Offer/Response TLVs Following</td>
<td>Flag 0</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 1</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 2</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 3</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 4</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 5</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 6</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 7</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 7.13. Bundle Offer and Response B Flags

The following B Flags are defined for each Bundle Offer in the Bundle Offer and Response TLV (Section 4.3.5):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meaning</th>
<th>Bit Position</th>
<th>Allocation Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bundle Accepted</td>
<td>Flag 0</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle is a Fragment</td>
<td>Flag 1</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle Payload Length Included in TLV</td>
<td>Flag 2</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 3</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 4</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 5</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserved</td>
<td>Flag 6</td>
<td>Specification required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRoPHET Ack</td>
<td>Flag 7</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Implementation Experience

Multiple independent implementations of the PRoPHET protocol exist.

The first implementation is written in Java, and has been optimized to run on the Lego MindStorms platform that has very limited resources. Due to the resource constraints, some parts of the protocol have been simplified or omitted, but the implementation contains all the important mechanisms to ensure proper protocol operation. The implementation is also highly modular and can be run on another system with only minor modifications (it has currently been shown to run on the Lego MindStorms platform and on regular laptops).

Another implementation is written in C++ and runs in the OmNet++ simulator to enable testing and evaluation of the protocol and new features. Experience and feedback from the implementers on early versions of the protocol have been incorporated into the current version.

An implementation compliant to version 2 of the predecessor draft (draft-lindgren-prophet-02.txt) has been written at Baylor University. This implementation has been integrated into the DTN2 reference implementation.

An implementation of the protocol in C++ was developed by one of the authors (Samo Grasic) at Lulea University of Technology (LTU) as part of the Saami Networking Connectivity project (see Section 9) and continues to track the development of the protocol. This work is now part of the Networking for Communications Challenged Communities (N4C) project and is used in N4C testbeds.
9. Deployment Experience

During a week in August 2006, a proof-of-concept deployment of a DTN system, using the LTU PRoPHET implementation for routing was made in the Swedish mountains - the target area for the Saami Network Connectivity project [ccnc07][doria_02]. Four fixed camps with application gateways, one Internet gateway, and seven mobile relays were deployed. The deployment showed PRoPHET to be able to route bundles generated by different applications such as e-mail and web caching.

Within the realms of the SNC and N4C projects, multiple other deployments, both during summer and winter conditions have been done at various scales during 2007-2010. [winsdr08]

An implementation has been made for Android-based mobile telephones in the Bytewalla project [bytewalla].
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Appendix A.  PRoPHET Example

To help grasp the concepts of PRoPHET, an example is provided to give a understanding of the transitive property of the delivery predictability, and the basic operation of PRoPHET. In Figure 13, we revisit the scenario where node A has a message it wants to send to node D. In the bottom right corner of subfigures a)-c), the delivery predictability tables for the nodes are shown. Assume that nodes C and D encounter each other frequently (Figure 13a), making the delivery predictability values they have for each other high. Now assume that node C also frequently encounters node B (Figure 13b). B and C will get high delivery predictability values for each other, and the transitive property will also increase the value B has for D to a medium level. Finally, node B meets node A (Figure 13c) that has a message for node D. Figure 13d) shows the message exchange between node A and node B. Summary vectors and delivery predictability information is exchanged, delivery predictabilities are updated, and node A then realized that P_(b,d) > P_(a,d), and thus forwards the message for D to node B.
Figure 13: PRoPHET example
Appendix B. Neighbor Discovery Example

This section outlines an example of a simple neighbor discovery protocol that can be run in-between PRoPHET and underlying layer in case lower layers do not provide methods for neighbor discovery. It assumes that the underlying layer supports broadcast messages as would be the case if a wireless infrastructure was involved.

Each node needs to maintain a list of its active neighbors. The operation of the protocol is as follows:

1. Every BEACON_INTERVAL milliseconds, the node does a local broadcast of a beacon that contains its identity and address, as well as the BEACON_INTERVAL value used by the node.

2. Upon reception of a beacon, the following can happen:
   1. The sending node is already in the list of active neighbors. Update its entry in the list with the current time, and the node’s BEACON_INTERVAL if it has changed.
   2. The sending node is not in the list of active neighbors. Add the node to the list of active neighbors and record the current time and the node’s BEACON_INTERVAL. Notify the PRoPHET agent that a new neighbor is available ("New Neighbor", as described in Section 2.4).

3. If a beacon has not been received from a node in the list of active neighbors within a time period of NUM_ACCEPTED_LOSSES * BEACON_INTERVAL (for the BEACON_INTERVAL used by that node), it should be assumed that this node is no longer a neighbor. The entry for this node should be removed from the list of active neighbors, and the PRoPHET agent should be notified that a neighbor has left ("Neighbor Gone", as described in Section 2.4).
Appendix C. PRoPHET Parameter Calculation Example

The evolution of the delivery predictabilities in a PRoPHET node is controlled by three main equations defined in Section 2.1.2. These equations use a number of parameters that need to be appropriately configured to ensure that the delivery predictabilities evolve in a way that mirrors the mobility model that applies in the PRoPET zone where the node is operating.

When trying to describe the mobility model, it is more likely that the model will be couched in terms of statistical distribution of times between encounters and times to deliver a bundle in the zone. In this section one possible way of deriving the PRoPHET parameters from a more usual description of the model is presented. It should be remembered that this may not be the only solution, and its appropriateness will depend both on the overall mobility model and the distribution of the times involved. There is an implicit assumption in this work that these distributions can be characterized by a normal-type distribution with a well-defined first moment (mean). The exact form of the distribution is not considered here, but more detailed models may wish to use more specific knowledge about the distributions to refine the derivation of the parameters.

To characterize the model we consider the following parameters:

P1 The time resolution of the model.

P2 The average time between encounters between nodes, I_typ, where the identity of the nodes is not taken into account.

P3 The average number of encounters that a node has between meeting a particular node and meeting the same node again.

P4 The average number of encounters needed to deliver a bundle in this zone.

P5 The multiple of the average number of encounters needed to deliver a bundle (P4) after which it can be assumed that a node is not going to encounter a particular node again in the foreseeable future so that the delivery predictability ought to be decayed below P_first_threshold.

P6 The number of encounters between a particular pair of nodes that should result in the delivery predictability of the encountered node getting close to the maximum possible delivery predictability (1 - delta).

We can use these parameters to derive appropriate values for gamma.
and P_encounter_max which are the key parameters in the evolution of the delivery predictabilities. The values of the other parameters P_encounter_first (0.5), P_first_threshold (0.1) and delta (0.01), with the default values suggested in Figure 3, are generally not mobility model specific although in special cases P_encounter_first may be different if extra information is available.

To select a value for gamma:
After a single, unrepeated encounter, the delivery predictability of the encountered node should decay from P_encounter_first to P_first_threshold in the expected time for P4 * P5 encounters. Thus

\[ P_{\text{first\_threshold}} = P_{\text{encounter\_first}} \times \gamma \times \left( \frac{P2 \times P4 \times P5}{P1} \right) \]

which can be rearranged as

\[ \gamma = \exp(\ln\left(\frac{P_{\text{first\_threshold}}}{P_{\text{encounter\_first}}} \right) \times \frac{P1}{P2 \times P4 \times P5}) \].

Typical values of gamma will be less than but very close to 1, usually greater than 0.99. The value has to be stored to several decimal places of accuracy, but implementations can create a table of values for specific intervals to reduce the amount of on-the-fly calculation required.

Selecting a value for P_encounter_max:
Once gamma has been determined, the decay factor for the average time between encounters between a specific pair of nodes can be calculated:

\[ \text{Decay}_{\text{typ}} = \gamma \times \left( \frac{P2 \times P3}{P1} \right) \]

Starting with P_encounter_first, using Decay_typ and applying Equation 1 from Section 2.1.2 (P6 -1) times, we can calculate the typical delivery predictability for the encountered node after P6 encounters. The nature of Equation 1 is such that it is not easy to produce a closed form that generates a value of P_encounter_max from the parameter values, but using a spreadsheet to apply the equation repeatedly and tabulate the results will allow a suitable value of P_encounter_max to be chosen very simply. The evolution is not very sensitive to the value of P_encounter_max and values in the range 0.4 to 0.8 will generally be appropriate. A value of 0.7 is recommended as a default.

Once a PRoPHET zone has been in operation for some time, the logs of the actual encounters can and should be used to check that the selected parameters were appropriate, and to tune them as necessary. In the longer term, it may prove possible to install a learning mode in nodes so that the parameters can be adjusted dynamically to
maintain best congruence with the mobility model that may itself change over time.
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