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1. Introduction

Ethernet MAC rates were until recently constrained to match the rates of the Ethernet PHY(s). Work within the OIF allows MAC rates to be different from PHY rates. An OIF implementation agreement [OIFFLEXE1] allows for complete decoupling of the MAC and PHY rates.

SG15 in ITU-T has endorsed the OIF FlexE data plane and parts of [G.872], [G.709], [G.798] and [G.8021] depends on or are based on the FlexE data plane.

This includes support for:

a. MAC rates which are greater than the rate of a single PHY; multiple PHYs are bonded to achieve this

b. MAC rates which are less than the rate of a PHY (sub-rate)

c. support for channelization within a single PHY, or over a group of bonded PHYs.

The capabilities supported by the first version of the FlexE data plane are:

a. Support a large rate Ethernet MAC over bonded Ethernet PHYs, e.g. supporting a 200G MAC over 2 bonded 100GBASE-R PHY(s)

b. Support a sub-rate Ethernet MAC over a single Ethernet PHY, e.g. supporting a 50G MAC over a 100GBASE-R PHY

c. Support a collection of flexible Ethernet clients over a single Ethernet PHY, e.g. supporting two MACs with the rates 25G, and one with rate 50G over a single 100GBASE-R PHY

d. Support a sub-rate Ethernet MAC over bonded PHYs, e.g. supporting a 150G Ethernet client over 2 bonded 100GBASE-R PHY(s)
e. Support a collection of Ethernet MAC clients over bonded Ethernet PHYs, e.g. supporting a 50G, and 150G MAC over 2 bonded Ethernet PHY(s)

Networks which support FlexE Ethernet interfaces include a basic building block, this is true also when the interfaces are bonded. This building block consists of two FlexE Shim functions, located at opposite ends of a link, and the logical point to point links that carry the Ethernet PHY signals between the two FlexE Shim Functions.

These logical point-to-point links may be realized in a variety of ways:

a. direct point-to-point links with no intervening transport network.

b. Ethernet PHY(s) may be transparently transported via an Optical Transport Network (OTN), as defined by ITU-T in [G.709] and [G.798]. The OTN set of client mappings has been extended to support the use cases identified in the OIF FlexE implementation agreement.

This draft considers the variants in which the two peer FlexE devices are both customer-edge devices, or when one is a customer-edge and the other is provider edge devices. This list of use cases will help identify the Control Plane (i.e. Routing and Signaling) extensions that may be required.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Terminology

a. CE (Customer Edge) - the group of functions that support the termination/origination of data received from or sent to the network

b. Ethernet PHY: an entity representing Physical Coding Sublayer (PCS), Physical Media Attachment (PMA), and Physical Media Dependent (PMD) layers.

c. FlexE Calendar: The total capacity of a FlexE Group is represented as a collection of slots which have a granularity of 5G. The calendar for a FlexE Group composed of n 100G PHYs is represented as an array of 20n slots (each representing 5G of
bandwidth). This calendar is partitioned into sub-calendars, with 20 slots per 100G PHY. Each FlexE client is mapped into one or more calendar slots (based on the bandwidth the FlexE client flow will need).

d. FlexE Client: An Ethernet flow based on a MAC data rate that may or may not correspond to any Ethernet PHY rate.

e. FlexE Group: A FlexE Group is composed of from 1 to n Ethernet PHYs. In the first version of FlexE each PHY is identified by a number in the range (1-254).

f. FlexE Shim: the layer that maps or demaps the FlexE client flows carried over a FlexE Group.

g. LMP: Link Management Protocol

h. LSP: Label Switched Path

i. OTN: Optical Transport Network


k. TE: Traffic Engineering

l. TED: Traffic Engineering Database

3. FlexE Reference Model

The figure below gives a simplified FlexE reference model.
4. Requirements

This section summarizes the control plane requirements for FlexE Group and FlexE Client signaling and routing.

Req-1 The solution SHALL support the creation of a FlexE Group, consisting of one or more (i.e., in the 1 to 254 range) 100GE Ethernet PHY(s).

There are several alternatives that can meet this requirement, e.g. routing and signaling protocols, or a centralized controller/management system with network access to the FlexE mux/demux at each FlexE Group termination point.

Req-2 The solution SHOULD be able to verify that the collection of Ethernet PHY(s) included in a FlexE Group have the same characteristics (e.g. number of PHYs, rate of PHYs, etc.) at the peer FlexE shims.
Req-3 The solution SHALL support the ability to delete a FlexE Group.

Req-4 The solution SHALL support the ability to administratively lock/unlock a FlexE Group.

Req-5 It SHALL be possible to add/remove PHY(s) to/from an operational FlexE group while the group has been administratively locked.

Req-6 The solution SHALL support the ability to advertise and discover information about FlexE capable nodes, and the FlexE Groups and FlexE Clients they support.

Req-7 The system SHALL allow the addition (or removal) of one or more FlexE clients on a FlexE Group. The addition (or removal) of a FlexE client flow SHALL NOT affect the services for the other FlexE client signals.

Req-8 The system SHALL allow the FlexE client signals to flexibly span the set of Ethernet PHY(s) which comprise the FlexE Group.

Req-9 The solution SHALL support FlexE client flow resizing without affecting any existing FlexE clients within the same FlexE Group.

Req-10 The solution SHALL support establishment of MPLS LSPs that requires the support of a FlexE infrastructure.

5. GMPLS Controlled FlexE

The high level goals for using a GMPLS control plane for FlexE can be summarized as:

- Set up a FlexE Group
- Set up a FlexE Client
- Advertise FlexE Groups and FlexE Clients
- Set up of a higher layer LSP that requires to be run over a FlexE infrastructure.
5.1. Types of LSPs in a FlexE capable network

The FlexE infrastructure may be established in three different ways:

- The FlexE Groups and FlexE Client may be pre-configured.
- Only the FlexE groups may be pre-configured, while the setup of the FlexE client is triggered by the request to setup an MPLS LSP.
- The setup of both FlexE Group and FlexE Client may be triggered by the request to setup an MPLS LSP.

5.2. Signaling Channel

In the type of equipment for which FlexE was first specified, an out-of-band signaling channel is not commonly available. If this is the case, and the GMPLS FlexE control plane will be used, the FlexE Group will have to be set up by e.g., a management system and a FlexE Client on that FlexE Group (also configured) will have to be allocated as a signaling channel.

Further details of the setup of the FlexE Groups, FlexE Clients and MPLS LSPs over a FlexE infrastructure will be found in Section 7.2.

5.3. MPLS LSP in the FlexE Data Plane

FlexE is a true link layer technology, i.e., it is not switched, this means that the FlexE Groups and FlexE Clients are terminated on the next-hop node, and that the switching needs to take place on a higher layer.

The FlexE technology can be used to establish link layer connectivity with high and deterministic bandwidth. However, there is no way to, in a deterministic way, allocate certain traffic to a specific FlexE Client. A GMPLS control plane can do this.

A GMPLS controlled FlexE capable node may be thought of using the traditional model of a node with a separation between control and data plane.
Figure 2: GMPLS controlled FlexE Node

The GMPLS control plane will speak extended standard GMPLS protocols with its neighbours and peers.

Figure 3: GMPLS controlled network with FlexE infrastructure

Legend ...
  ... = LSP
  ooo = FlexE Client
  UUU = FlexE Group
Figure 3 describes how an MPLS LSP is mapped over a FlexE Client and FlexE Group.

5.4. Configuring the data plane in FlexE capable nodes

In Figure 3 we show an LSP, a FlexE Client and a FlexE Group, the LSP is there because while the FlexE Channel and Group are not switched, switching in our example takes place on the LSP level. This section will discuss establishment of FlexE Clients and Groups, and mapping of the LSP onto a FlexE Client.

The establishment of a LSP over a FlexE system is very similar to how this is done in any other system. Building on information gathered through the routing system and using the GMPLS signaling to establish the LSP.

5.4.1. Configure/Establish a FlexE Group/Link

Consider the setup of a FlexE Group between node A and B, corresponding to the row of U’s from node A to B in Figure 3. The FlexE group is considered to consist of n PHYs, but does not have any FlexE Clients defined from start.

When this is done by the GMPLS control plane, two conditions need to be fulfilled (1) there need to be a data channel defined between node A and B; and (2) a FlexE capable IGP-TE protocol needs to be running in the network.

Node A will send an RSVP-TE message to node B with the information describing the FlexE Group to be setup. This information might be thought of as the "FlexE Group Label" (or part of the FlexE label). It will contain at least the following information:

- A FlexE Group Identifier (FGid).
- The number of active FlexE Channels (numFC), where 0 indicates that zero clients are active.
- Number of PHYs that the FlexE Group is composed of, for each PHY
  - PHY identifier
  - PHY bandwidth
  - slot granularity/number of slots
  - available and unavailable slots
When node B receives the RSVP-TE message it checks that it can setup the requested FlexE Group. If the check turns positive, node send an acknowledgment to node A and the FlexE Group is setup.

A more detailed description of how to setup a FlexE Group, will be included in the draft dealing with signaling in detail.

5.4.2. Configure/Establish a FlexE Client

Consider the situation where a FlexE Group is already established (as described in Section 5.4.1) and an m G FlexE Client is needed. Similar to the establishment of the FlexE Group, node A will send a RSVP-TE message to node B.

This RSVP-TE message include at least the following information:

- FlexE Group Identifier
- FlexE Client Identifier
- from which PHYs the slots will allocated, i.e. slots might come from more than one PHY.
- Information per PHY
  * PHY bandwidth
  * slot granularity
  * available/unavailable slots
  * allocated slots

A more detailed description of how to setup a FlexE Channel, will be included in the draft dealing with signaling in detail.

5.4.3. Advertise FlexE Groups and FlexE ClieIs

Once the FlexE Group and FlexE ClieIs are configured they can be advertised into the routing system as normal routing adjacencies, including the FlexE specific TE information.

5.5. Open Issues

Note: This section is intended to be removed and the results of the discussion are supposed to brought into the relevant sections of this document. The intention is to trigger this discussion.
While working on the FlexE Control Plane, questions around the relationship of entities as "control plane / multi-layer control plane", RSVP-TE session and the information relating to a layer network. The table below summarizes the possibilities we see.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Plane</th>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Network layer info</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MLCP-1</td>
<td>One session</td>
<td>Info for all network layers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLCP-2</td>
<td>Session for each network layer</td>
<td>Each session have info for one network layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLCP-12</td>
<td>More than one session</td>
<td>info for each network layer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>included in the session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLCP-3</td>
<td>One session</td>
<td>info for a single network layer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Multi-layer CP types

Sections Section 5.5.1 to Section 5.5.4 shortly describes the different types of control plane identified.

5.5.1. Multi Layer Control Plane Typ-1 (MLCP-1)

A multi layer control plane type 1 (MLCP-1) has one single control plane that controls all layer networks that two nodes interact over. The control plane sets up one single RSVP-TE session and all layer networks are controlled over that single session. For each layer network there is a set of information that the control plane manages over that session.

5.5.2. Multi Layer Control Plane Typ-2 (MLCP-2)

A multi layer control plane type 2 (MLCP-2) has one single control plane that controls all layer networks that two nodes interact over. The control plane sets up one RSVP-TE session for each layer network and the layer networks are controlled over a dedicated session. For each layer network there is a set of information that the control plane manages over the dedicated session.

5.5.3. Multi Layer Control Plane Typ-12 (MLCP-12)

A multi layer control plane type 12 (MLCP-12) is a mix between MLCP-1 and MLCP-2, the control plane still controls all layer networks that two nodes interact over. However, for some layer networks it sets up a RSVP-TE session the may control more than one layer network. For other layer network an RSVP-TE session is used to control a single
layer network. For each layer network there is a set of information that the control plane manages over dedicated sessions.

5.5.4. Multi Layer Control Plane Typ-3 (MLCP-3)

A multi layer control plane type 3 (MLCP-3) may be viewed as a set of confederated control planes, where each control plane controls one layer network, via a RSVP-TE session. For each layer network there is a set of information that the control plane manages over the dedicated session. For the case that there are more than one layer network between two nodes that needs to controlled, there is one dedicated control plane for each layer network.

6. Framework and Architecture

This section discusses FlexE framework and architecture. Framework is taken to mean how FlexE interoperates with other parts of the data communication system. Architecture is taken to mean how functional groups and elements within FlexE work together to deliver the expected FlexE services. Framework is taken to mean how FlexE interacts with its environment.

6.1. FlexE Framework

The service offered by Flexible Ethernet is a transport service very similar (or even identical) to the service offered by Ethernet.

There are two major additions supported by FlexE:

- FlexE is intended to support high bandwidth and FlexE can offer granular bandwidth from 5Gbits/s and a bandwidth as high as the FlexE Group allows.

- As FlexE groups and clients are setup as a configuration activity, by a centralized controller or by a GMPLS control plane the service is connection oriented.

6.2. FlexE Architecture

6.2.1. Architecture Components

This section discusses the different parts of FlexE signaling and routing and how these parts interoperate.

The FlexE routing mechanism is used to provide resource available information for setup of higher layer LSPs, like Ethernet PHYs’ information, partial-rate support information. Based on the resource available information advertised by the routing protocol, an end-to-end
FlexE connection is computed, and then the signaling protocol is used to set up the end-to-end connection.

FlexE signaling mechanism is used to setup LSPs.

MPLS forwarding over a FlexE infrastructure is different from forwarding over other infrastructures. When MPLS runs over a FlexE infrastructure it is possible that there are more than FlexE Client that meet the next-hop requirements, often it is possible to use any suitable FlexE Client for a hop between two nodes. If the mapping between a MPLS encapsulated packet and the FlexE Client, this mapping need to be explicit when the LSP is set up, and the MPLS label will be used to find the correct FlexE Client.

6.2.2. FlexE Layer Model

The FlexE layer model is similar Ethernet model, the Ethernet PHY layer corresponds to the "FlexE Group", and the MAC layer corresponds to the "FlexE Client".

As different from earlier Ethernet the combination of Flexe Group and Client allows for a huge freedom when it comes to define the bandwidth of an Ethernet connectivity.

6.2.2.1. FlexE Group structure

The FlexE Group might be supported by virtually any transport network, including the Ethernet PHY. While the Ethernet PHY offers a fixed bandwidth the FlexE Group has been structured into 5 Gbit/s slots. This means that the FlexE Group can support FlexE clients of a variety of bandwidths.

The first version is defined for 20 slots of 5 Gbit/s over a 100 Gbit/s PHY. The 100 Gbit/s PHYs can be bonded to give higher bandwidth.

6.2.2.2. FlexE Client mapping

A FlexE client is an Ethernet flow based on a MAC data rate that may or may not correspond to any Ethernet PHY rate. The FlexE Shim is the layer that maps or demaps the FlexE client flows carried over a FlexE group. As defined in [OIFFLEXE1], MAC rates of 10, 40, and any multiple of 25 Gbit/s are supported. This means that if there is a 100 Gbit/s FlexE Group between A and B, a FlexE client of 10, 25, 40, 50, 75 and 100 Gbit/s can be created.

However, by bonding, for example 5 PHYs of 100 Gbit/s to a single FlexE group, FlexE clients of 500 Gbit/s can be supported.
This section discusses the procedures and extensions needed to the
GMPLS Control Plane to establish FlexE LSPs.

There are several ways to establish FlexE groups, allocate slots for
FlexE clients, and setup higher layer LSPs. A configuration tool, a
centralized controller or the GMPLS control plane can all be used.

To create the FlexE GMPLS control plane Groups, FlexE Clients and
higher layer LSPs, extensions to the following protocols may be
needed:

- "RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for LSP Tunnels" (RSVP-TE) [RFC3209]
- "Link Management Protocol" (LMP) [RFC4204]
- "Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication Protocol" (PCEP)
  [RFC5440]
- IS-IS Extensions for Traffic Engineering (ISIS-TE) [RFC5305]
- "OSPF Extensions in Support of Generalized Multi-Protocol Label
  Switching (GMPLS)" (OSPF-TE) [RFC4203]
- "North-Bound Distribution of Link-State and Traffic Engineering
  (TE) Information Using BGP" (BGP-LS) [RFC7752]

A FlexE control plane YANG model will also be needed.

Section 7.2 and Section 7.1 discusses the role of the GMPLS control
plane when primarily setting up LSPs.

When discussing the signaling and routing procedures we assume that
the FlexE group has been established prior to executing the
procedures needed to establish an LSP. Technically it is possible to
establish FlexE group, allocate FlexE client slots and LSP with a
single exchange of GMPLS signaling messages.

7.1. GMPLS Routing

To establish an LSP the Traffic Engineering (TE) information is the
most critical information, e.g. resource utilization on interfaces
and link, including the availability of slots on the FlexE groups.
The GMPLS routing protocols needs to be extended to handle this
information. The Traffic Engineering Database (TED) will keep an
updated version of this information.
The FlexE capable nodes will be identified by IP-addresses, and the routing and traffic engineering information will be flooded to all nodes within the routing domain using TCP/IP.

When an LSP over the FlexE infrastructure is about to be setup, e.g. R1 - R4 - R5 in Figure 4, the information in the TED is used verify that resources are available. When it is conformed that the LSP is established the TED is updated, marking the resources used for the new LSP as used. Similarly when a LSP is taken down the resources are marked as free.

7.2. GMPLS Signaling

As described in Section 5 the state of the FlexE infrastructure may effect the actions needed to setup an LSP in a FlexE capable network. The FlexE infrastructure may be:

1. fully pre-configured
2. partially pre-configured, i.e. the FlexE Group may be pre-configured, but not the FlexE Clients
3. not pre-configured, i.e. the setup of FlexE Group and FlexE Client will be triggered because of the request to setup an LSP.

Figure 4 will be used to illustrate the different cases.

```
+-----+
| R1 +---------------------+
+-----+

+-----+  +-----+
| R2 +------------------+  R4 +-------------------------+ R5 |
+-----+  +-----+
       | R3 +---------------------+           PHY R1 to R4 100 Gbit(s)
+-----+

Figure 4: FlexE LSP Example
```
The text in Section 7.2 is not a specification of the GMPLS signaling extensions for FlexE capable network, it is a description to illustrate the expected features of such a protocol. Nor do we discuss failure scenarios.

7.2.1. LSP setup with pre-configured FlexE infrastructure

In this first example, referencing Figure 4, one 100 Gbit/s FlexE group is configured between R1 and R4, between R2 and R4, and between R3 and R4. Between R4 and R5 there is a 200 Gbit/s FlexE Group.

Over each 100 Gbit/s FlexE Group there are four 5 Gbit/s, two 20 Gbit/s and one 40 Gbit/s FlrxE Clients configured. Over the 200 Gbit/s FlexE Group there are eight 5 Gbit/s, four 20 Gbit/s and two 40 Gbit/s FlrxE Clients configured.

One of the 5 Gbit/s FlexE Clients on each FlexE Groups are used as signaling channel.

To establish the for example a 200 Mbit/s MPLS LSP the normal GMPLS request/response procedures are followed. R1 sends the request to R4, R4 allocate resources on one of the FlexE Clients, forward the request to R5. R5 responds to R4 indicating the label and the FlexE Client the traffic should be sent over, R4 does the same for R1.

The only difference between the standard signaling and what happens here is that there the assigned label will be used to find the right FlexE Client.

7.2.2. LSP setup with partially configured FlexE infrastructure

In the second example, also referencing Figure 4, the FlexE Groups are set up in the same way as in the first example, however only one 5 Gbit/s FlexE Client per FlexE Group are established by configuration. This FlexE Client will be used for signaling.

When preparing to send the request that a 5 Gbit/s MPLS LSP shall be set up R1 discovers that there are no feasible FlexE Client between R1 and R4. R1 therefore sends the request to establish such a FlexE Client, when receiving the request R4 allocates resources for the FlexE Client on the FlexE Group. There may be different strategies for allocating the bandwidth for this FlexE client. Such strategies are out of scope for this document. R1 then sends the information about the FlexE Client to R1, and both ends establish the FlexE Client.

When the FlexE Client between R1 and R4 is established, R1 proceeds to send the request for an MPLS LSP to R4. R4 will discover that a
A feasible FlexE Client is missing between R4 and R5. The same procedure is for setting up the FlexE Client between R1 and R4 is repeated for R4 and R5. When there is a feasible FlexE Client available the signaling to set up the MPLS LSP continues as normal.

The label allocated for the MPLS LSP will be used to find the correct FlexE Client.

When a FlexE Clients is set up in this way they can be announced into the routing system in two different ways. First, they can be made generally available, i.e. it will be free to use for anyone that want to set up LSPs over the FlexE Group between R1 and R4 and between R4 and R5. Second, the use of the FlexE Clients may be restricted to the application that initially did set up the FlexE Client.

7.2.3. LSP setup with non-configured FlexE infrastructure

This example also refers to Figure 4 as different from the earlier example no FlexE Group or FlexE Client configuration is done prior to the first request for an MPLS LSP over the FlexE infrastructure.

To make the set up of LSPs in a FlexE network where no FlexE Groups or FlexE Clients have been configured two conditions need to be fulfilled. First an out of band signaling channel must be available. Second the FlexE Capabilities must be announced in to the IGP and/or centralized controller.

If these two conditions are fulfilled, the set up of an MPLS LSP progress pretty much as in the partially configured network. The difference is that the set up of both the FlexE Group and FlexE Client are triggered by the request to set up an MPLS LSP.

As in the partially configured case FlexE Clients can be announced into the routing system in two different modes, either they are generally available. It or they are reserved for the applications that first established them.

7.2.4. Packet Label Switching Data Plane

This section discusses how the FlexE LSP data plane works. In general it can be said that the interface offered by the FlexE Shim and the FlexE client is equivalent to the interface offered by the Ethernet MAC.

Figure 5 below illustrates the FlexE packet switching data plane procedures.
Figure 5: LSP over FlexE Data Plane

The data plane processes packets like this:

- The LSP encapsulating and forwarding function in node R1 receives a packet that needs to be encapsulated as an MPLS packet with the label "a". The label "a" is used to figure out which FlexE emulated Ethernet interfaces the label encapsulated packet need to be forwarded over.

- The Ethernet interfaces, by means of FlexE transport, forwards the packet to node R3. Node R3 swaps the label "a" to label "b" and uses "b" to decide over which interface to send the packet.

- Node R3 forwards the packet to node R, which terminates the LSP.

Sending MPLS encapsulated packets over a FlexE Client is similar to send them over an Ethernet 802.1 interface. The critical differences are:

- FlexE channelized sub-interfaces guarantee a deterministic bandwidth for an LSP.

- When a application that originally establish a FlexE Client reserve it for use by that application only, it is possible to create uninfringeable bandwidth end-to-end for an MPLS LSP.

- FlexE infrastructure allows for creating very large end to end bandwidth
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