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1. Introduction

This document specifies a profile of the Certificate Management over CMS (CMC) protocol to comply with the United States National Security Agency’s Commercial National Security Algorithm (CNSA) Suite [CNSA]. The profile applies to the capabilities, configuration, and operation of all components of US National Security Systems [SP80059]. It is also appropriate for all other US Government systems that process high-value information. It is made publicly available for use by developers and operators of these and any other system deployments.
This document does not define any new cryptographic algorithm suite; instead, it defines a CNSA compliant profile of CMC. CMC is defined in [RFC5272], [RFC5273], and [RFC5274], and is updated by [RFC6402]. This document profiles CMC to manage X.509 public key certificates in compliance with the CNSA Suite Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile [ID.cnsa-cert-profile]. This document specifically focuses on defining CMC interactions for both initial enrollment and rekey of CNSA Suite public key certificates between a client and a Certification Authority (CA). One or more Registration Authorities (RAs) may act as intermediaries between the client and the CA. This profile may be further tailored by specific communities to meet their needs. Specific communities will also define Certificate Policies that implementations need to comply with.

2. The Commercial National Security Algorithm Suite

The National Security Agency (NSA) profiles commercial cryptographic algorithms and protocols as part of its mission to support secure, interoperable communications for US Government National Security Systems. To this end, it publishes guidance both to assist with the US Government transition to new algorithms, and to provide vendors - and the Internet community in general - with information concerning their proper use and configuration within the scope of US Government National Security Systems.

Recently, cryptographic transition plans have become overshadowed by the prospect of the development of a cryptographically-relevant quantum computer. NSA has established the Commercial National Security Algorithm (CNSA) Suite to provide vendors and IT users near-term flexibility in meeting their cybersecurity interoperability requirements. The purpose behind this flexibility is to avoid vendors and customers making two major transitions in a relatively short timeframe, as we anticipate a need to shift to quantum-resistant cryptography in the near future.

NSA is authoring a set of RFCs, including this one, to provide updated guidance concerning the use of certain commonly available commercial algorithms in IETF protocols. These RFCs can be used in conjunction with other RFCs and cryptographic guidance (e.g., NIST Special Publications) to properly protect Internet traffic and data-at-rest for US Government National Security Systems.

3. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP
14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The terminology in [RFC5272] Section 2.1 applies to this profile.

The term "Certificate Request" is used to refer to a single PKCS #10 or CRMF structure. All PKI Requests are Full PKI Requests, and all PKI Responses are Full PKI Responses; the respective set of terms should be interpreted synonymously in this document.

4. Requirements and Assumptions

Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) and Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDH) key pairs are on the curve P-384. FIPS 186-4 [FIPS186], Appendix B.4, provides useful guidance for elliptic curve key pair generation that SHOULD be followed by systems that conform to this document.

RSA key pairs (public, private) are identified by the modulus size expressed in bits; RSA-3072 and RSA-4096 are computed using moduli of 3072 bits and 4096 bits, respectively.

RSA signature key pairs used in CNSA Suite compliant implementations are either RSA-3072 or RSA-4096. The RSA exponent e MUST satisfy $2^{16} < e < 2^{256}$ and be odd per [FIPS186].

It is recognized that, while the vast majority of RSA signatures are currently made using the RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 algorithm, the preferred RSA signature scheme for new applications is RSASSA-PSS. CNSA Suite compliant X.509 certificates will be issued in accordance with [ID.cnsa-cert-profile], and while those certificates must be signed and validated using RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5, the subject’s private key can be used to generate signatures of either signing scheme. Where use of RSASSA-PSS is indicated in this document, the following parameters apply:

- the hash algorithm MUST be id-sha384 as defined in [RFC8017];
- the mask generation function MUST use the algorithm identifier mfg1SHA384Identifier as defined in [RFC4055];
- the salt length MUST be 48 octets; and
- the trailerField MUST have value 1.

These parameters will not appear in a certificate and MUST be securely communicated with the signature as required by Section 2.2 of [RFC4056]. Application developers are obliged to ensure that the
chosen signature scheme is appropriate for the application and will be interoperable within the intended operating scope of the application.

This document assumes that the required trust anchors have been securely provisioned to the client and, when applicable, to any RAs.

All requirements in [RFC5272], [RFC5273], [RFC5274], and [RFC6402] apply, except where overridden by this profile.

This profile was developed with the scenarios described in Appendix A in mind. However, use of this profile is not limited to just those scenarios.

The term "client" in this profile typically refers to an end-entity. However, it may instead refer to a third party acting on the end-entity's behalf. The client may or may not be the entity that actually generates the key pair, but it does perform the CMC protocol interactions with the RA and/or CA. For example, the client may be a token management system that communicates with a cryptographic token through an out-of-band secure protocol.

This profile uses the term "rekey" in the same manner as does CMC (defined in Section 2 of [RFC5272]). The profile makes no specific statements about the ability to do "renewal" operations; however, the statements applicable to rekey should be applied to renewal as well.

This profile may be used to manage RA and/or CA certificates. In that case, the RA and/or CA whose certificate is being managed is considered to be the end-entity.

This profile does not discuss key establishment certification requests from cryptographic modules that cannot generate a one-time signature with a key establishment key for proof-of-possession purposes. In that case, a separate profile would be needed to define the use of another proof-of-possession technique.

5. Client Requirements: Generating PKI Requests

This section specifies the conventions employed when a client requests a certificate from a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI).

The Full PKI Request MUST be used; it MUST be encapsulated in a SignedData; and the SignedData MUST be constructed in accordance with [ID.cnsa-smime-profile]. The PKIData content type defined in [RFC5272] is used with the following additional requirements:

- controlSequence SHOULD be present.
* TransactionId and SenderNonce SHOULD be included. Other CMC controls MAY be included.

* If the request is being authenticated using a shared-secret, then Identity Proof Version 2 control MUST be included with the following constraints:
  + hashAlgId MUST be id-sha384 for all certification requests (algorithm OIDs are defined in [RFC5754]);
  + macAlgId MUST be HMAC-SHA384 (the HMAC algorithm is defined in [RFC4231]).

* If the subject included in the certification request is NULL or otherwise does not uniquely identify the end-entity, then the POP Link Random control MUST be included, and the POP Link Witness Version 2 control MUST be included in the inner PKCS #10 [RFC2986] or Certificate Request Message Format (CRMF) [RFC4211] request as described in Section 5.1 and Section 5.2.

  o reqSequence MUST be present. It MUST include at least one tcr (see Section 5.1) or crm (see Section 5.2) TaggedRequest. Support for the orm choice is OPTIONAL.

The private signing key used to generate the encapsulating SignedData MUST correspond to the public key of an existing signature certificate unless an appropriate signature certificate does not yet exist, such as during initial enrollment.

The encapsulating SignedData MUST be generated using SHA-384 and either ECDSA on P-384, or RSA using either RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 or RSASSA-PSS with an RSA-3072 or RSA-4096 key.

If an appropriate signature certificate does not yet exist, and if a Full PKI Request includes one or more certification requests and is authenticated using a shared-secret (because no appropriate certificate exists yet to authenticate the request), the Full PKI Request MUST be signed using the private key corresponding to the public key of one of the requested certificates. When necessary (i.e., because there is no existing signature certificate and there is no signature certification request included), a Full PKI Request MAY be signed using a key pair intended for use in a key establishment certificate. However, servers are not required to allow this behavior.
5.1. Tagged Certification Request

The reqSequence tcr choice conveys PKCS #10 [RFC2986] syntax. The CertificateRequest MUST comply with [RFC5272], Section 3.2.1.2.1, with the following additional requirements:

- certificationRequestInfo:
  * subjectPublicKeyInfo MUST be set as defined in Section 4.4 of [ID.cnsa-cert-profile];
  * attributes:
    + The ExtensionReq attribute MUST be included with its contents as follows:
      - The Key Usage extension MUST be included, and it MUST be set as defined in [ID.cnsa-cert-profile].
      - For rekey requests, the SubjectAltName extension MUST be included and set equal to the SubjectAltName of the certificate that is being used to sign the SignedData encapsulating the request (i.e., not the certificate being rekeyed) if the Subject field of the certificate being used to generate the signature is NULL.
      - Other extension requests MAY be included as desired.
    + The ChangeSubjectName attribute, as defined in [RFC6402], MUST be included if the Full PKI Request encapsulating this Tagged Certification Request is being signed by a key for which a certificate currently exists and the existing certificate’s Subject or SubjectAltName does not match the desired Subject or SubjectAltName of this certification request.
    + The POP Link Witness Version 2 attribute MUST be included if the request is being authenticated using a shared-secret and the Subject in the certification request is NULL or otherwise does not uniquely identify the end-entity. In the POP Link Witness Version 2 attribute, keyGenAlgorithm MUST be id-sha384 for certification requests, as defined in [RFC5754]; macAlgorithm MUST be HMAC-SHA384, as defined in [RFC4231].
  * signatureAlgorithm MUST be ecdsa-with-sha384 for P-384 certification requests, and sha384WithRSAEncryption or id-RSASSA-PSS for RSA-3072 and RSA-4096 certification requests;
signature MUST be generated using the private key corresponding to the public key in the CertificationRequestInfo, for both signature and key establishment certification requests. The signature provides proof-of-possession of the private key to the CA.

5.2. Certificate Request Message

The reqSequence crm choice conveys Certificate Request Message Format (CRMF) [RFC4211] syntax. The CertReqMsg MUST comply with [RFC5272], Section 3.2.1.2.2, with the following additional requirements:

- popo MUST be included using the signature (POPOSigningKey) proof-of-possession choice and set as defined in [RFC4211], Section 4.1, for both signature and key establishment certification requests. The POPOSigningKey poposkInput field MUST be omitted. The POPOSigningKey algorithmIdentifier MUST be ecdsa-with-sha384 for P-384 certification requests, and sha384WithRSAEncryption or id-RSASSA-PSS for RSA-3072 and RSA-4096 certification requests. The signature MUST be generated using the private key corresponding to the public key in the CertTemplate.

The CertTemplate MUST comply with [RFC5272], Section 3.2.1.2.2, with the following additional requirements:

- If version is included, it MUST be set to 2 as defined in Section 4.3 of [ID.cnsa-cert-profile];

- publicKey MUST be set as defined in Section 4.4 of [ID.cnsa-cert-profile];

- extensions:
  - The Key Usage extension MUST be included, and it MUST be set as defined in [ID.cnsa-cert-profile].
  - For rekey requests, the SubjectAltName extension MUST be included and set equal to the SubjectAltName of the certificate that is being used to sign the SignedData encapsulating the request (i.e., not the certificate being rekeyed) if the Subject field of the certificate being used to generate the signature is NULL.
  - Other extension requests MAY be included as desired.

- controls:
* The ChangeSubjectName attribute, as defined in [RFC6402], MUST be included if the Full PKI Request encapsulating this Tagged Certification Request is being signed by a key for which a certificate currently exists and the existing certificate’s Subject or SubjectAltName does not match the desired Subject or SubjectAltName of this certification request.

* The POP Link Witness Version 2 attribute MUST be included if the request is being authenticated using a shared-secret, and the Subject in the certification request is NULL or otherwise does not uniquely identify the end-entity. In the POP Link Witness Version 2 attribute, keyGenAlgorithm MUST be id-sha384 for certification requests; macAlgorithm MUST be HMAC-SHA384 when keyGenAlgorithm is id-sha384.

6. RA Requirements

This section addresses the optional case where one or more RAs act as intermediaries between clients and a CA as described in Section 7 of [RFC5272]. In this section, the term "client" refers to the entity from which the RA received the PKI Request. This section is only applicable to RAs.

6.1. RA Processing of Requests

RAs conforming to this document MUST ensure that only the permitted signature, hash, and MAC algorithms described throughout this profile are used in requests; if they are not, the RA MUST reject those requests. The RA SHOULD return a CMCFailInfo with the value of badAlg [RFC5272].

When processing end-entity-generated SignedData objects, RAs MUST NOT perform Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS) Content Constraints (CCC) certificate extension processing [RFC6010].

Other RA processing is as in [RFC5272].

6.2. RA-Generated PKI Requests

RAs mediate the certificate request process by collecting Client requests in batches. The RA MUST encapsulate client-generated PKI Requests in a new RA-signed PKI Request, it MUST create a Full PKI Request encapsulated in a SignedData, and the SignedData MUST be constructed in accordance with [ID.cnsa-smime-profile]. The PKIData content type complies with [RFC5272] with the following additional requirements:
controlSequence MUST be present. It MUST include the following CMC controls: Transaction ID, Sender Nonce, and Batch Requests. Other appropriate CMC controls MAY be included.

.cmsSequence MUST be present. It contains the original, unmodified request(s) received from the client.

SignedData (applied by the RA)
PKIData
  controlSequence (Transaction ID, Sender Nonce, Batch Requests)

.cmsSequence
  SignedData (applied by Client)
  PKIData
    controlSequence (Transaction ID, Sender Nonce)
    reqSequence
    TaggedRequest
      {TaggedRequest}
      {SignedData (second Client request)
       PKIData...}

Authorization to sign RA-generated Full PKI Requests SHOULD be indicated in the RA certificate by inclusion of the id-kp-cmcRA EKU from [RFC6402]. The RA certificate MAY also include the CCC certificate extension [RFC6010], or it MAY indicate authorization through inclusion of the CCC certificate extension alone. The RA certificate may also be authorized through local configuration.

If the RA is authorized via the CCC extension, then the CCC extension MUST include the object identifier for the PKIData content type. CCC SHOULD be included if constraints are to be placed on the content types generated.

The outer SignedData MUST be generated using SHA-384 and either ECDSA on P-384 or RSA using RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 or RSASSA-PSS with an RSA-3072 or RSA-4096 key.

If the Full PKI Response is a successful response to a PKI Request that only contained a Get Certificate or Get CRL control, then the algorithm used in the response and MUST match the algorithm used in the request.

6.3. RA-Generated PKI Responses

In order for an RA certificate using the CCC certificate extension to be authorized to generate responses, the object identifier for the PKIResponse content type must be present in the CCC certificate extension.
7. CA Requirements

This section specifies the requirements for CAs that receive PKI Requests and that generate PKI Responses.

7.1. CA Processing of PKI Requests

CAs conforming to this document MUST ensure that only the permitted signature, hash, and MAC algorithms described throughout this profile are used in requests; if they are not, the CA MUST reject those requests. The CA SHOULD return a CMCStatusInfoV2 control with CMCStatus of failed and a CMCFailInfo with the value of badAlg [RFC5272].

For requests involving an RA (i.e., batched requests), the CA MUST verify the RA’s authorization. The following certificate fields MUST NOT be modifiable using the Modify Certification Request control: publicKey and the Key Usage extension. The request MUST be rejected if an attempt to modify those certification request fields is present. The CA SHOULD return a CMCStatusInfoV2 control with CMCStatus of failed and a CMCFailInfo with a value of badRequest.

When processing end-entity-generated SignedData objects, CAs MUST NOT perform CCC certificate extension processing [RFC6010].

If a client-generated PKI Request includes the ChangeSubjectName attribute as described in Section 5.1 or Section 5.2 above, the CA MUST ensure that name change is authorized. The mechanism for ensuring that the name change is authorized is out of scope. A CA that performs this check and finds that the name change is not authorized MUST reject the PKI Request. The CA SHOULD return an Extended CMC Status Info control (CMCStatusInfoV2) with CMCStatus of failed.

Other processing of PKIRequests is as in [RFC5272].

7.2. CA-Generated PKI Responses

CAs send PKI Responses to both Client-generated requests and RA-generated requests. If a Full PKI Response is returned in direct response to a Client-generated request, it MUST be encapsulated in a SignedData, and the SignedData MUST be constructed in accordance with [ID.cnsa-smime-profile].

If the PKI Response is in response to an RA-generated PKI Request, then the above PKI Response is encapsulated in another CA-generated PKI Response. That PKI Response MUST be encapsulated in a SignedData and the SignedData MUST be constructed in accordance with
The above PKI Response is placed in the encapsulating PKI Response cmsSequence field. The other fields are as above with the addition of the batch response control in controlSequence. The following illustrates a successful CA response to an RA-encapsulated PKI Request, both of which include Transaction IDs and Nonces:

```
SignedData (applied by the CA)
 PKIResponse
  controlSequence (Transaction ID, Sender Nonce, Recipient Nonce, Batch Response)
 cmsSequence
  SignedData (applied by CA and includes returned certificates)
  PKIResponse
    controlSequence (Transaction ID, Sender Nonce, Recipient Nonce)
```

The same private key used to sign certificates MUST NOT be used to sign Full PKI Response messages. Instead, a separate certificate indicating authorization to sign CMC responses MUST be used.

Authorization to sign Full PKI Responses SHOULD be indicated in the CA certificate by inclusion of the id-kp-cmcCA EKU from [RFC6402]. The CA certificate MAY also include the CCC certificate extension [RFC6010], or it MAY indicate authorization through inclusion of the CCC certificate extension alone. The CA certificate may also be authorized through local configuration.

In order for an CA certificate using the CCC certificate extension to be authorized to generate responses, the object identifier for the PKIResponse content type must be present in the CCC certificate extension. CCC SHOULD be included if constraints are to be placed on the content types generated.

Signatures applied to individual certificates are as required in [ID.cnsa-cert-profile].

The signature on the SignedData of a successful response to a Client-generated request, or each individual inner SignedData on the successful response to a RA-generated request, MUST be generated using SHA-384 and either ECDSA on P-384 or RSA using RSASSA-PKCS1-v1_5 or RSASSA-PSS with an RSA-3072 or RSA-4096 key. An unsuccessful response MUST be signed using the same key-type and algorithm that signed the request.
The outer SignedData on the Full PKI Response to any RA-generated PKI Request MUST be signed with the same key-type and algorithm that signed the request.

The SignedData on a successful Full PKI Response to a PKI Request that only contained a Get Certificate or Get CRL control MUST be signed with the same key-type and algorithm that signed the request.

8. Client Requirements: Processing PKI Responses

Clients conforming to this document MUST ensure that only the permitted signature, hash, and MAC algorithms described throughout this profile are used in responses; if they are not, the client MUST reject those responses.

Clients MUST authenticate all Full PKI Responses. This includes verifying that the PKI Response is signed by an authorized CA or RA whose certificate validates back to a trust anchor. The authorized CA certificate MUST include the id-kp-cmcCA EKU and/or include a CCC extension that includes the object identifier for the PKIResponse content type. Or, the CA is determined to be authorized to sign responses through an implementation-specific mechanism. The PKI Response can be signed by an RA if it is an error message, if it is a response to a Get Certificate or Get CRL request, or if the PKI Response contains an inner PKI Response signed by a CA. In the last case, each layer of PKI Response MUST still contain an authorized, valid signature signed by an entity with a valid certificate that verifies back to an acceptable trust anchor. The authorized RA certificate MUST include the id-kp-cmcRA EKU and/or include a CCC extension that includes the object identifier for the PKIResponse content type. Or, the RA is determined to be authorized to sign responses through local configuration.

When a newly issued certificate is included in the PKI Response, the client MUST verify that the newly issued certificate’s public key matches the public key that the client requested. The client MUST also ensure that the certificate’s signature is valid and that the signature validates back to an acceptable trust anchor.

Clients MUST reject PKI Responses that do not pass these tests. Local policy will determine whether the client returns a Full PKI Response with an Extended CMC Status Info control (CMCStatusInfoV2) with CMCStatus set to failed to a user console, error log, or the server.

If the Full PKI Response contains an Extended CMC Status Info control with a CMCStatus set to failed, then local policy will determine
whether the client resends a duplicate certification request back to
the server or an error state is returned to a console or error log.

9. Shared-Secrets

When the Identity Proof V2 and POP Link Witness V2 controls are used,
the shared-secret MUST be randomly generated and securely
distributed. The shared-secret MUST provide at least 192 bits of
strength.

10. Security Considerations

Protocol security considerations are found in [RFC2986], [RFC4211],
[ID.cnsa-smime-profile], [RFC5272], [RFC5273], [RFC5274],
[ID.cnsa-cert-profile], and [RFC6402]. When CCC is used to authorize
RA and CA certificates, then the security considerations in [RFC6010]
also apply. Algorithm security considerations are found in
[ID.cnsa-smime-profile].

Compliant with NIST Special Publication 800-57 [SP80057], this
profile defines proof-of-possession of a key establishment private
key by performing a digital signature. Except for one-time proof-of-
possession, a single key pair MUST NOT be used for both signature and
key establishment.

This specification requires implementations to generate key pairs and
other random values. The use of inadequate pseudo-random number
generators (PRNGs) can result in little or no security. The
generation of quality random numbers is difficult. NIST Special
Publication 800-90A [SP80090A], FIPS 186-3 [FIPS186], and [RFC4086]
offer random number generation guidance.

When RAs are used, the list of authorized RAs MUST be securely
distributed out-of-band to CAs.

Presence of the POP Link Witness Version 2 and POP Link Random
attributes protects against substitution attacks.

The Certificate Policy for a particular environment will specify
whether expired certificates can be used to sign certification
requests.

11. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.
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Appendix A. Scenarios

This section illustrates several potential certificate enrollment and rekey scenarios supported by this profile. This section does not intend to place any limits or restrictions on the use of CMC.

A.1. Initial Enrollment

This section describes three scenarios for authenticating initial enrollment requests:

1. Previously certified signature key-pair (e.g., Manufacturer Installed Certificate);

2. Shared-secret distributed securely out-of-band;

3. RA authentication.
A.1.1. Previously Certified Signature Key-pair

In this scenario, the end-entity has a private signing key, and a corresponding public key certificate obtained from a cryptographic module manufacturer recognized by the CA. The end-entity signs a Full PKI Request with the private key that corresponds to the subject public key of the previously installed signature certificate. The CA will verify the authorization of the previously installed certificate and issue an appropriate new certificate to the end-entity.

A.1.2. Shared-Secret Distributed Securely Out-of-Band

In this scenario, the CA distributes a shared-secret out-of-band to the end-entity that the end-entity uses to authenticate its certification request. The end-entity signs the Full PKI Request with the private key for which the certification is being requested. The end-entity includes the Identity Proof Version 2 control to authenticate the request using the shared-secret. The CA uses either the Identification control or the Subject in the end-entity’s enclosed PKCS #10 [RFC2986] or CRMF [RFC4211] certification request message to identify the request. The end-entity performs either the POP Link Witness Version 2 mechanism as described in [RFC5272], Section 6.3.1.1, or the Shared-Subject/Subject Distinguished Name (DN) linking mechanism as described in [RFC5272], Section 6.3.2. The Subject in the enclosed PKCS #10 [RFC2986] or CRMF [RFC4211] certification request does not necessarily match the issued certificate, as it may be used just to help identify the request (and corresponding shared-secret) to the CA.

A.1.3. RA Authentication

In this scenario, the end-entity does not automatically authenticate its enrollment request to the CA, either because the end-entity has nothing to authenticate the request with or because organizational policy requires an RA’s involvement. The end-entity creates a Full PKI Request and sends it to an RA. The RA verifies the authenticity of the request, then, if approved, encapsulates and signs the request as described in Section 5.2, forwarding the new request on to the CA. The Subject in the PKCS #10 [RFC2986] or CRMF [RFC4211] certification request is not required to match the issued certificate, it may be used just to help identify the request to the RA and/or CA.

A.2. Rekey

There are two scenarios to support the rekey of certificates that are already enrolled. One addresses the rekey of signature certificates and the other addresses the rekey of key establishment certificates. Typically, organizational policy will require certificates to be
currently valid to be rekeyed, and it may require initial enrollment to be repeated when rekey is not possible. However, some organizational policies might allow a grace period during which an expired certificate could be used to rekey.

A.2.1. Rekey of Signature Certificates

When a signature certificate is rekeyed, the PKCS #10 [RFC2986] or CRMF [RFC4211] certification request message enclosed in the Full PKI Request will include the same Subject as the current signature certificate. The Full PKI Request will be signed by the current private key corresponding to the current signature certificate.

A.2.2. Rekey of Key Establishment Certificates

When a key establishment certificate is rekeyed, the Full PKI Request will generally be signed by the current private key corresponding to the current signature certificate. If there is no current signature certificate, one of the initial enrollment options in Appendix A.1 may be used.
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