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Abstract

This draft presents an optimal mechanism for the selection of initial path to address the problem caused by the sequential subflow establishment defined in current MPTCP. We propose the general architecture and procedures, along with the background analysis, use cases and results of this proposal.
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1. Introduction

Multipath TCP enables hosts to exchange packets belonging to a single connection over several paths. Usually, these paths correspond to different networks (such as cellular and WiFi) relying on the network interfaces configured on a terminal. MPTCP initializes multiple connections in sequence, that is, when one subflow is set up in the manner of a three-way handshake, being as the initial subflow, additional subsequent subflows can be created by a special four-way handshake. These subflows are bound to MPTCP session. The data on the sender can be selected for transmission on one of these subflows or on all the subflows through the scheduler.

The path or network interface for the initial subflow is configured by default for all connections in one system. For example, if LTE and WiFi are offered in mobile phone, WiFi is the default path for the initial subflow generally.
Practically, this design falls short in some situations where the default path goes through a highly lousy link. For example, if the WLAN signal is weak or broken, the establishment of the subflow will be delayed due to continuous tries of the master flow. This, in turn, will be translated into longer startup delay for the initial conversations of the applications above.

A similar problem was addressed by [Kien][Markus], via robust and simultaneous connection establishment. However, they either require the problem changes or introduce additional burden on the server side. To avoid such problem, we propose a new solution by introducing a function called "Initial-path Selection" during MPTCP connection establishment, i.e., selecting the initial path based on measurement of available paths.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

3. Architecture

Figure 1 provides the architecture for "Initial-path Selection". It can be integrated in MPTCP stack or as an isolated module in the terminal. It obtains transmission status information for each available path when it receives a request from an application. Then it makes decision on initial path to MPTCP stack to establish connection with remote host.
4. Typical Flows

Two typical flows are listed here. Figure 2 shows that "Initial-path Selection" will be executed for each MPTCP connection establishment. Figure 3 describes that "Initial-path Selection" can be used from the time that an application requests to set up a second MPTCP connection after the first one is completed. Considering that no heuristics is used for decision for the first MPTCP connection, default initial path can be adopted. This is just one of possible specific scenarios for this method.
Figure 2: "Initial-path Selection" for each connection establishment
Figure 3: Default initial path for the first connection, "Initial-path Selection" for subsequent connection establishment.

Figure 4 shows the abstract implementation process for "Initial-path Selection". Upon a request from an application, "Initial-path Selection" module will acquire transmission status information which represents the transmission performance of each available path or network interface and evaluate it. The transmission status information is characterized by at least one of the parameters:
signal strength, throughput, round-trip time (RTT) and link success rate. In this way, we can determine a path with better transmission performance and use the network interface to it for connection establishment.

```
+-----------------------+    +-----------------------+
|  Acquire transmission  |    |  Evaluating the status |
|    status info for     |    |    for available paths |
|    available paths     |    +-----------------------+

+-----------------------+    +-----------------------+
|  Determining an       |    |  Using the network     |
|    available path with |    |    interface           |
|    better transmission |
|    performance        |    |    corresponding to the |
|     performance       |    |    path with better    |
|                      |    |    transmission        |
|                      |    |    performance for     |
|                      |    |    connection          |
|                      |    |    establishment       |
```

Figure 4: Implementation process for "Initial-path Selection"

5. Possibilities Analysis
Figure 5 describes the relationship of application, MPTCP connection and network interface at a running time. It shows that:

- Multiple applications are running on the terminal at the same time.
- Each application can start and maintain more than one MPTCP connection.
- Applications share network interfaces, e.g. WiFi, LTE and 5G.

So before starting a new MPTCP session, a number of heuristics can be integrated to estimate the performance of these existing connections. The estimation results are used to select the best one from available paths which are bound to different network interfaces.

6. Path decision information

Such heuristics can be mainly divided into three levels: application level, transport-layer level and network-interface level based on the information acquisition method. For example, RTT is calculated for
each subflow in one MPTCP connection, so it is one of transport-layer level. The transmission status information for each available path SHOULD be characterized by at least one of the parameters: signal strength, throughput, RTT and link success rate. Information in application level is used for statistics.

- Application level: application name, domain name, port number and location.
- Transport-layer level: RTT for each subflow within one MPTCP connection in running applications.

Figure 6 shows a flowchart for "Initial-path Selection" based on RTT. Normally, path RTT can be determined by a time difference between sending a package and an ACK. For the asymmetric downloading service, shown in figure 7, the latest RTT for these subflows is calculated by data sender, i.e. application server (RTT = Timestamp 2 - Timestamp 1) and transformed through some option in TCP Header to data receiver. Besides "latest RTT", algorithm for estimating the mean RTT by more than one RTT sent from data sender is also proposed.

```
+-------------------+
| New Session       |
+-------------------+
    |                 |
    V                 |
+-------------------+     +-------------------+     +-------------------+
| No                |     | Yes               |     | Yes               |
    | Running connections+---|     | V                 |     | V                 |
    | (LTE.RTT<WiFi.RTT)    |     | Yes               |     | V                 |
+-------------------+     +-------------------+     +-------------------+
| Set LTE as initial path |     | Set WiFi as initial path |
```

Figure 6: "Initial-path Selection" based on RTT
Figure 7: RTT calculated by APP Server in asymmetric HTTP downloading

- Network-interface level: signal strength, throughput and link success rate.

Some parameters can be detected by the terminal, such as signal strength, throughput and RTT.

7. Examples

Examples of actual implementation are as follows and default initial path is set to WiFi:

- If WiFi.signal < LTE.signal, then set initial path = LTE.

- If Running connections (LTE.latestRTT < WiFi.latestRTT), then set initial path = LTE.
o If WiFi.Throughput < LTE.Throughput, then set initial path = LTE.

Others are still in research:

o Calculating success rate by Probability Theory. For example, a geographical solution can be: if Location A (WiFi.SuccessRate < LTE.SuccessRate), then set initial path = LTE.

8. Use Cases

This new proposal is effective for following actual service scenarios:

Scenario 1:

For some HTTP download service, the application downloads or plays videos through multiple short streams (<20MB per stream and 5MB for some short videos), see in Figure 8. The process of establishing a MPTCP connection frequently occurs during the use of the application. This proposal can reduce video start delay significantly in the scenario of "frequent connection establishment".

Figure 8: Scenario of "frequent connection establishment"
Multiple applications start and are running on a terminal at the same time, see in Figure 9. Each application has the requirement to establish an MPTCP connection. Therefore, there is an opportunity on the terminal to use both WiFi and the LTE. This proposal can help load balancing between them.

```
+-------------------------------------------------------------+
| +--------------------------+ +-------------+ +--------------+ |
| |      Application 1       | |Application 2| | Application 3||
| +------+-------------+-----+ +-----+-------+ +------+-------+|
|       |             |             |                |        |
|       |             |             |         +------+-------+|
|       |             |             |         |  New Session |
|       |             |             |         +------+-------+|
|       |             |             |                |        |
|       |             |             |                v        |
| +------+-------------+-------------+------------------------+|
| |+-----+-----+ +-----+-----+ +-----+-----+                  ||
| ||   MPTCP   | |   MPTCP   | |   MPTCP   |                  ||
| ||Connection1| |Connection2| |Connection1|                  ||
| |+-----------+ +-----------+ +-----------+                  ||
| |             |                |                       |        |
| +-------------------+----------------+-----------------------+|
| |                   |                |                       |        |
| +-------------+     WiFi   +---+     LTE     +----------------+|
| |             +------+-   +-------------+                  | |
| +-------------+   +------------+   +-------------+                  |
| Figure 9: Network interface shared by multiple applications |
```

9. Result

For signal strength, in the case where the signal strength of default path (i.e. WiFi) is weak, and the LTE signal is strong, two conclusions can be drawn from testing:

1. The proposed mechanism selects LTE as the initial path, instead of the default path over WiFi.

2. The proposed mechanism can achieve the same effect on start-up delay as the case of turning on LTE only.

10. Compatibility Consideration

This solution makes no changes to the existing flows in MPTCP base protocol because all its process is before connection establishment. But for asymmetric HTTP downloading, only data sender can provide the
accurate RTT data for reference, so it will result in the modification in protocol parameter structure to carry it to data receiver as above analysis.

11. Security Considerations

"Initial-path Selection" module is located at the terminal. The design is to improve the robustness and reliability of connection establishment, so there are no security issues.

12. IANA Considerations

Considering the asymmetric downloading service, a new kind of option in TCP Header SHOULD be introduced for carrying RTT from data sender to date receiver.
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