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Abstract

This document defines a specific SIP Info-event package, based on the generic framework of draft-kaplan-sip-info-events-00.txt, for the purpose of exchanging DTMF events.
1. Introduction

The draft defines a SIP Info-event package "dtmf" for the purpose of exchanging DTMF (Dual-Tone Multi-Frequency) events through SIP signaling. The scope of this package is for collecting mid-call DTMF events only. It is not meant as a generic user-stimulus exchange mechanism, nor as a replacement for alternative forms of DTMF exchange.

Many forms of SIP session communication require the ability to support DTMF event exchange, whether for PSTN/H.323/etc. compatibility, as a form of user input from limited interfaces, or as a language-agnostic form of communication. For most applications, the mechanism defined in [RFC4733] is the most efficient form of DTMF notification, as it uses specific RTP packets to convey DTMF events in the media path from end-to-end. Furthermore, [KPML] was defined to handle cases where application servers not in the media path also wish to receive DTMF event notifications.

While [KPML] provides a rich and powerful set of capabilities, it comes with a price. Every SIP signaling node in the call path interested in receiving SIP-based DTMF notification must subscribe to the UA's at the ends of each call, which for some types of UA's can become a huge processing burden (e.g., PSTN-gateways, SIP-to-H.323 IWF gateways, etc.). This subscription must be created for
each and every call, regardless of whether or not any DTMF tones are actually pressed during the call. Furthermore, the [KPML] model’s flexibility in handling digit maps with regular-expressions, and both one-time and persistent notification models, increases the state and processing burden on UA’s which handle thousands of simultaneous calls, since each subscribed package has its own behavior. Lastly, it is optional for UA’s to support multiple subscriptions, which means if two application servers subscribe to the same call, the result is not deterministic: whichever application server happens to subscribe first, wins.

Unlike [KPML], this "dtmf" package does not use an explicit Subscribe dialog, does not support regular-expression pattern matching, offers no options, and is generally less flexible, and therefore less complex and more efficient for some use cases.

The "dtmf" package described in this draft is not intended to replace [KPML]. It is useful in certain applications where scalability and performance needs dictate a lighter-weight mechanism, but the two can co-exist. Furthermore, in certain applications, such as where the multi-digit inputs occur for a majority of calls, [KPML] may be as efficient as, or even more efficient than, this mechanism.

The general mechanism proposed in this draft has been used for several years by many vendors for exchanging DTMF, but has not had the benefit of capability negotiation nor formal standardization. This draft aims at rectifying those two issues.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119. The terminology in this document conforms to RFC 2828, "Internet Security Glossary".

3. Applicability

This draft proposes a package based on [INFO-EVENTS].

4. Overview of Operation

The general concept is that the UAC and UAS negotiate support for a "dtmf" Info-event package during the initial INVITE transaction, using the [INFO-EVENTS] draft mechanism. Including the "dtmf" Info-
event package name in the Send-Event header means the UA sending the header supports sending INFO requests with the DTMF indication payload. Including the "dtmf" Info-event package name in the Recv-Event header means the UA sending the header supports receiving DTMF events using this mechanism. When either side has indication that the far-end supports receiving "dtmf", and the user presses a DTMF digit, the UA sends it in an INFO request. The digit pressed and the duration it was pressed for are encoded in an "application/dtmf-relay" MIME attachment in the INFO, described later.

If a SIP server in the signaling path between the calling UAC and answering UAS wants to receive DTMF indications following this mechanism, they must act as a B2BUA. Such behavior is out of scope of this document.

5. Info-Event Package Definition

5.1. Info-Event Package Name

This document defines a SIP Info-Event Package as defined in [INFO-EVENTS]. The info-event-package token name for this package is "dtmf".

5.2. Info Bodies

Applications using this info-event package MUST include an "application/dtmf-relay" body in INFO requests to indicate which digit was pressed by the user. The body contains exactly two lines: one of the button pushed, the other of the duration. The body is described in ABNF form as follows:

Dtmf-relay-body = digit-line CRLF duration-line
digit-line = "Signal" EQUAL SP (DIGIT / "A" / "B" / "C" / "D" / 
**" / ")
duration-line = "Duration" EQUAL SP msecs

5.3. UA Behavior

A UA supporting this draft MUST indicate the user-pressed button through INFO if the remote UA indicated it supports receiving the "dtmf" package. If [RFC4733] (i.e., RFC 2833) was also indicated by the far-end in SDP, and the local UA supports sending such, it MUST send the event indication through both means. If the UA also supports [KPML] and some entity subscribed for the "kpml" package for the same call, the UA still MUST send dtmf indication through the Info-Event, and SHOULD also send such through a [KPML] Notify
assuming it would have done so otherwise. (i.e., assuming the regex matched and so on)

The UA MUST populate the "application/dtmf-relay" body, as defined earlier, with the button pressed and the duration it was pressed for. Technically, this actually requires the info-event to be generated when the user *releases* the button, however if the user has still not released a button after 5 seconds, which is the maximum duration supported by this mechanism, the UA should generate the info-event.

6. Example Exchange

In the following example, Alice initiates a call to Bob. Alice can support sending or receiving "dtmf" events.

Alice generates the following: (note: much has been left out for simplicity)

```
INVITE sip:bob@example.com SIP/2.0
 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 192.0.2.1:5060;branch=z9hG4bKnashds10
 From: Alice <sip:alice@example.net>;tag=1234567
 To: Bob <sip:bob@example.com>
 Call-Id: 123456mcmxcix
 CSeq: 1 INVITE
 Contact: <sip:alice@192.0.2.1>
 Send-Event: dtmf
 Recv-Event: dtmf
```

Bob checks the headers, can support receiving but not sending "dtmf". Note that since Bob does not support sending anything Alice can send, the response does not list any Send-Event events.

```
SIP/2.0 180 Ringing
 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 192.0.2.1:5060;branch=z9hG4bKnashds10
 From: Alice <sip:alice@example.net>;tag=1234567
 To: Bob <sip:bob@example.com>;tag=abcdefg
 Call-Id: 123456mcmxcix
 CSeq: 1 INVITE
 Recv-Event: dtmf
```

Since he sent the Recv-Event header in the 180, Bob also sends it in the 200.

```
SIP/2.0 200 OK
 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 192.0.2.1:5060;branch=z9hG4bKnashds10
 From: Alice <sip:alice@example.net>;tag=1234567
 To: Bob <sip:bob@example.com>;tag=abcdefg
```
At some later time, Alice presses number 6 on her keypad, for 100ms. She sends the following:

```
INFO sip:bob@192.0.2.2 SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP 192.0.2.1:5060;branch=z9hG4bKnabcdef
From: Alice <sip:alice@example.net>;tag=1234567
To: Bob <sip:bob@example.com>;tag=abcdefg
Call-Id: 123456mcmxcix
CSeq: 2 INFO
Contact: <sip:alice@192.0.2.1>
Event: dtmf
Content-Type: application/dtmf-relay
Content-Length: 26

Signal= 6
Duration= 100
```

7. Security Considerations

There are no specific security issues for this mechanism, beyond those already applicable to SIP-based session signaling and [INFO-EVENTS].

8. IANA Considerations

This document will presumably register the Info-event package name and application/dtmf-relay MIME type, which will be done in later versions if the document moves forward.
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