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Abstract

This document defines methodologies for benchmarking EVPN-VPWS performance. EVPN-VPWS is defined in RFC 8214, and is being deployed in Service Provider networks. Specifically, this document defines the methodologies for benchmarking EVPN-VPWS Scale convergence, Scale, Core isolation, high availability and longevity.
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1. Introduction

EVPN-VPWS is defined in RFC 8214, discusses how VPWS can be combined with EVPNs to provide a new/combined solution. This draft defines methodologies that can be used to benchmark RFC 8214 solutions. Further, this draft provides methodologies for benchmarking the performance of EVPN VPWS Scale, Scale Convergence, Core isolation, longevity, high availability.
1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

1.2. Terminologies

MHPE Multi homed Provider Edge router.
RR Route Reflector.
P Provider Router.
CE Customer Router/Devices/Switch.
MHPE2 Multi homed Provider Edge router 2.
MHPE1 Multi homed Provider Edge router 1.
SHPE3 Single homed Provider Edge Router 3.
AA EVPN Terminologies AA All-Active.
AC Attachment Circuit( customer EVPN-VPWS Service over the Provider network
SA EVPN Terminologies SA Single-Active.
RT Router Tester.
Sub Interface Each physical Interfaces is subdivided in to Logical units.
EVI EVPN Instances which will be running on sub interface or physical port of the provider Edge routers.
DF Designated Forwarder.
ESI Ethernet Segment Identifier.

2. Test Topology

EVPN-VPWS Services running on SHPE3, MHPE1 and MHPE2 in Single Active Mode:

Topology Diagram
Topologies 1

---

**Topology 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Generator</th>
<th>Router Tester traffic receiver for layer 2 traffic from CE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHPE3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHPE3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Core link

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RR</th>
<th>Route Reflector/Core router</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Core links

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DUT</th>
<th>MHPE2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHPE1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PE-CE link

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CE</th>
<th>layer2 bridge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

[Traffic Generator](Router Tester sending layer 2 traffic with different VLAN)

---

[Traffic Generator] (Router Tester sending layer 2 traffic.)
Topologies 2: 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core link</th>
<th>Core links</th>
<th>Core links</th>
<th>Core links</th>
<th>Core links</th>
<th>Core links</th>
<th>Core links</th>
<th>Core links</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHPE3</td>
<td>SHPE3</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>RR</td>
<td>RR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Route Reflector/Core router</td>
<td>Route Reflector/Core router</td>
<td>Route Reflector/Core router</td>
<td>Route Reflector/Core router</td>
<td>Route Reflector/Core router</td>
<td>Route Reflector/Core router</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Core links</td>
<td>Core links</td>
<td>Core links</td>
<td>Core links</td>
<td>Core links</td>
<td>Core links</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUT</td>
<td>MHPE2</td>
<td>DUT</td>
<td>MHPE1</td>
<td>DUT</td>
<td>MHPE2</td>
<td>DUT</td>
<td>MHPE1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHPE1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Traffic Generator](Router Tester receiver for layer 2 traffic with different vlans.)
There are five routers in the topology. SHPE3, RR/P, MHPE1 and MHPE2 emulating a service provider network. CE is a customer device connected to MHPE1 and MHPE2, it is configured with bridge domains in different vlans. The router tester is connected to CE and SHPE3. The MHPE1 acts as DUT. The RT will act as sender and receiver. The measurement will be taken in DUT.

All routers except CE is configured with OSPF/IS-IS, LDP, MPLS, BGP with EVPN address family.
All routers except CE must have IBGP configured with RR acting as route reflector.

MHPE1, MHPE2, SHPE3 must be configured with "N" EVPN-VPWS instances depends up on the cases.

MHPE1 and MHEPE2 must be configured with ESI per vlan or ESI on IFD.

MHPE1 and MHEPE2 are running Single Active mode of EVPN-VPWS.

CE is acting as bridge configured with vlans that is configured on MHPE1, MHPE2, SHPE3.

Depends up on the test traffic will be flowing uni directional or bi directional depends on the topology mentioned above.

The above configuration will serve as base configuration for all the test cases.

3. Test Cases

The following tests are conducted to measure the packet loss during the local link and core failure in DUT with Scaled AC’s.

3.1. How long it takes to switch from primary to backup during local link failure

Objective:

To Record the time taken to switch from primary to backup during local link failure.

Topology : Topology 1

Procedure:

Configure "N" AC’s in SHPE3 and MHPE1, MHPE2, working in SA mode. Ensure MHPE2 is active and DUT is backup PE. Send "X" unicast packets from CE to MHPE2 AC’s working in SA. Then shut the MHPE2-CE link, so that traffic from CE switches to DUT.

Measurement :

Measure the time taken to switch the traffic from active to backup, the traffic will flow from MHPE1 to SHPE3. Measure the time taken to switch the traffic.
Repeat these test and plot the data. The test is repeated for "N" times and the values are collected. The switching time is calculated by averaging the values obtained from "N" samples.

AC’s switch over from primary to backup PE in sec = (T1+T2+...Tn/N)

3.2. How long it takes to remote PE to switch traffic from primary to back up path during link failure in CE

Objective:

To Record the time taken by remote PE to switch traffic from primary to backup during CE link failure.

Topology : Topology 2

Procedure:

Configure "N" AC’s in SHPE3 and MHPE1,MHPE2, working in SA mode. Ensure MHPE2 is active and DUT is backup PE. Send "X" unicast packets from RT to SHPE3 Ac’s. Then shut the MHPE2-CE link, this failure will be notified to remote PE and traffic switch to backup path.

Measurement :

Measure the time taken to switch the traffic from active to backup, the traffic will flow from SHPE3 to MHPE1. Measure the time taken to switch the traffic.

Repeat these test and plot the data. The test is repeated for "N" times and the values are collected. The switching time is calculated by averaging the values obtained from "N" samples.

AC’s switch over from primary to backup PE in sec = (T1+T2+...Tn/N)

3.3. How long it takes to remote PE to switch traffic from primary to back up path during core failure

Objective:

To Record the time taken by remote PE to switch traffic from primary to backup during core link failure.

Topology : Topology 2

Procedure:
Configure "N" AC’s in SHPE3 and MHPE1,MHPE2, working in SA mode. Ensure MHPE2 is active and DUT is backup PE. Send "X" unicast packets from RT to SHPE3 Ac’s. Then shut the core link of MHPE2, this failure will be notified to remote PE and traffic switch to backup path.

Measurement:

Measure the time taken to switch the traffic from active to backup, the traffic will flow from SHPE3 to MHPE1. Measure the time taken to switch the traffic.

Repeat these test and plot the data. The test is repeated for "N" times and the values are collected. The switching time is calculated by averaging the values obtained from "N" samples.

AC’s in remote PE switches from primary to backup PE in sec due to core failure = (T1+T2+..Tn/N)

3.4. How long it takes to primary PE to regain control after the local link flap

Objective:

To Record the time taken by primary PE to regain control after the local PE-CE link flap.

Topology: Topology 1

Procedure:

Configure "N" AC’s in SHPE3 and MHPE1,MHPE2, working in SA mode. Ensure MHPE2 is standby and DUT is primary PE. Send "X" unicast packets from CE to all Ac’s in MHPE1(DUT). Then shut the link of MHPE1-CE, this failure will be notified to remote PE and traffic switch to backup path. Then bring up the link of MHPE1-CE. Now the traffic switches to DUT.

Measurement:

Measure the time taken to switch the traffic from MHPE2 to DUT, the traffic will flow from MHPE1 to SHPE3. Measure the time taken to switch the traffic.

Repeat these test and plot the data. The test is repeated for "N" times and the values are collected. The switching time is calculated by averaging the values obtained from "N" samples.
Time taken to switch back to primary(DUT) once the link is restored = (T1+T2+. . . Tn/N)

4. Activate/deactivate AC’s

4.1. To Add M number of attachment circuits.

Objective:
To measure the performance of the DUT while adding M AC’s on the fly.

Topology : Topology 3

Procedure:

Configure "N" AC’s in SHPE3 and MHPE1, MHPE2, working in SA mode. Ensure MHPE2 is active and DUT is backup PE. Send "X" unicast packets from RT to SHPE3 to all AC’s and send "X" unicast packets from CE to MHPE1(DUT), let the DUT is the active and the MHPE2 must be standby. DUT will be forwarding the traffic to CE from SHPE3 and the traffic from CE to SHPE3. Then add "M" AC’s on SHPE1, DUT and MHPE2 on the fly. these AC’s must be in SA mode.

Measurement :
There should be 0 traffic loss in existing services while addition of these ACs.

4.2. Deactivate/Activate M number of attachment circuits.

Objective:
To measure the performance of the DUT while deactivating/activating AC’s.

Topology : Topology 3

Procedure:

Configure "N" AC’s in SHPE3 and MHPE1, MHPE2, working in SA mode. Ensure MHPE2 is active and DUT is backup PE. Send "X" unicast packets from RT to SHPE3 to all AC’s and send "X" unicast packets from CE to MHPE1(DUT), let the DUT is the active and the MHPE2 must be standby. DUT will be forwarding the traffic to CE and from CE to SHPE3. Then deactivate "M" AC’s on SHPE1, DUT and MHPE2 on the fly. these AC’s must be removed from forwarding plane. Stop the traffic
for these AC’s. Activate the AC’s in all PE’s. then start the traffic, measure the time taken by "M" AC’s to forward the traffic.

Measurement :

Measure the packet loss in sec during this deactivating/activating AC’s. Repeat the test "N" times and plot the data. The packet loss is calculated by averaging the values obtained from "N" samples.

packet loss in sec = (T1+T2+..Tn/N)

5. Scale Convergence

5.1. To measure the packet loss during the core link failure.

Objective:

To Measure the convergence at a higher number of AC’s

Topology : Topology 3

Procedure:

Configure "N’" AC’s in SHPE3 and MHPE1,MHPE2, working in SA mode. The scale factor must be in the multiples of thousands. DF election must be priority based not on the default RFC 7432, it should not be MOD based DF election. Send "X" unicast packets from RT to SHPE3 to all Ac’s and send "X" unicast packets from CE to MHPE1(DUT), let the DUT is the active and the MHPE2 must be standby. DUT will be forwarding the traffic to CE from SHPE3 and from CE to SHPE3. Then flap the core link of the DUT.

Measurement :

Measure the packet loss in seconds once the core link is restored. Repeat the test "N" times and plot the data. The packet loss is calculated by averaging the values obtained from "N" samples.

Packet loss in sec = (T1+T2+..Tn/N)

6. High Availability
6.1. To Record the whether there is traffic loss due to routing engine failover for redundancy test.

Objective:

To record traffic loss during routing engine failover.

Topology : Topology 3

Procedure:

Configure "N" AC’s in SHPE3 and MHPE1,MHPE2, working in SA mode. Ensure MHPE2 is active and DUT is backup PE. Send "X" unicast packets from RT to SHPE3 to all Ac’s and send "X" unicast packets from CE to MHPE1(DUT), let the DUT is the active and the MHPE2 must be standby. DUT will be forwarding the traffic to CE and from CE to SHPE3. Then do a routing engine fail-over.

Measurement :

There should be 0 traffic loss which is the ideal case, No change in the DF role. DUT should not withdraw any routes. Repeat the test "N" times and plot the data. The packet loss is calculated by averaging the values obtained from "N" samples.

Packet loss in sec = (T1+T2+. . .Tn/N)

7. SOAK Test

This is measuring the performance of DUT running with scaled configuration with traffic over a period of time "T'". In each interval "t1" the parameters measured are CPU usage, memory usage, crashes.

7.1. To Measure the stability of the DUT with scale and traffic.

Objective:

To measure the stability of the DUT in a scaled environment with traffic.

Topology : Topology 3

Procedure:
Scale N AC’s in DUT, SHPE3 and MHPE2. Send F frames to DUT from CE using traffic generator with different X SA and DA for N EVI’s. Send F frames from traffic generator to SHPE3 with X different SA and DA. There is a bi-directional traffic flow with F pps in each direction. The DUT must run with traffic for 24 hours, every hour check for memory leak, crash.

Measurement:

Take the hourly reading of CPU, process memory. There should not be any leak, crashes, CPU spikes.
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