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Abstract

0-RTT is designed to accelerate the throughput at the establishment of a connection. There are cases where 0-RTT alone does not improve the time-to-service.

This memo discusses a solution where a fundamental characteristic of the path is learned during the 1-RTT phase and shared with the 0-RTT phase to accelerate the initial throughput during subsequent 0-RTT connections.
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1. Introduction

0-RTT is designed to accelerate the throughput at the establishment of a connection. There are cases where 0-RTT alone does not improve the time-to-service.

As shown in [IJSCN19], the usage of a congestion control and transport initialization not adapted to satellite communication results in higher page loading time for heavy pages in a SATCOM context. QUIC’s congestion control is based on TCP NewReno [I-D.ietf-quic-recovery] and the recommended initial window is defined by [RFC6928]. This may not be suitable for good quality of experience for users in high Bandwidth Delay-Product (BDP) networks.

This memo discusses a solution where a fundamental characteristic of the path is learned during the 1-RTT phase and shared with the 0-RTT phase to accelerate the initial throughput during subsequent 0-RTT connections.

2. QUIC connection establishment

This section recalls how 1-RTT and 0-RTT work.
QUIC leverages the 2 handshakes of TLS1.3 [I-D.ietf-quic-tls]. The 1-RTT handshake initiates a first set of credentials. When a handshake achieves successfully, the server pushes information learned about the session to the client in an opaque session ticket (see section 4.6.1 of [RFC8446]). The pieces of information of the ticket are meaningless to the client. A client willing to establish a fast re-opening of the session pushes back this opaque ‘ticket’ in a 0-RTT handshake and sends early application data.

In practice, the server sends the ‘ticket’ in a NewSessionTicket record [I-D.ietf-quic-tls]. The structure of the NewSessionTicket includes the opaque ‘ticket’ and an ‘extensions’ field. The NewSessionTicket carries an additional field named ‘early_data’ which indicates to the client the maximal size of application data to insert in the 0-RTT message.

3. Large BDP connections

GEO-satellite based systems characteristics differ from terrestrial networks with:

- A large propagation delay of at least 250ms one-way delay;
- A high bit-rate in case of mobile users or when a user connects behind a box using Wi-Fi;
- High asymmetric links.

These characteristics have an impact on end-to-end congestion controls:

- Transport initialization: the 3-way handshake takes a long time reducing the time at which actual data can be transmitted;
- Maximum windows sizing: to fully exploit the bottleneck capacity, the high BDP may induce an important number of in-flights packets;
- Reliability: packet losses detection and correction is slow and the time needed for the end server to react to a congestion event may not be relevant;
- Getting up to speed: the exponential increase of the data rate transmission for a channel capacity probing is slowed down when the RTT is high.
4. TCP split solution

High BDP networks commonly break the TCP end-to-end paradigm to adapt the transport protocol. Splitting TCP allows adaptations to this specific use-case and assessing the issues discussed in section Section 3. PEP [RFC3135] are commonly deployed in SATCOM infrastructure for that purpose and their deployment results in lower page load times [ICCRG100] in a SATCOM use-case.

[NCT13] and [RFC3135] describe the main functionalities of SATCOM TCP split solutions. Shortly, for traffic going from a gateway to an end user behind a terminal, the TCP split intercepts TCP SYN to act as the end user and adapt the data rate transmission to the SATCOM scenario. The TCP split specifically tune the TCP parameters to the context (latency, available capacity) that is measured.

One important advantage of a TCP split solution is that it does not require any end-to-end modifications and is independent for both client and server sides. That being said, this comes with a drawback: TCP splitters can hardly embed the most recent end-to-end improvements (e.g. ECN or TCP Fast Open support).

5. End-to-end solution

This section proposes an improvement of the initialization of 0-RTT connections over satellite communication where the client recalls the BDP previously measured by the server during the 1-RTT handshake. The approach follows the tuning of the initial window described in [I-D.irtf-iccrg-sallantin-initial-spreading] which has been shown to improve performance both for high BDP and more common BDP [CONEXT15][ICC16].

5.1. Description of the extension in the NewSessionTicket

A new extension named "BDP_data" is defined for NewSessionTicket. It contains the following value: BDP_value, that is the value in in bits per second (same unit as [RFC6349]). The reception of the field BDP_data provides the client with 3 indications:

- The path with this server has a large BDP;
- The server added the path characteristics in the opaque ‘ticket’ field;
- The server will optimize the reopening of the session upon reception of this opaque ticket.
5.2. Usage of the extension in the NewSessionTicket

A server measures a connection BDP far larger than usual. It includes the path characteristics in the opaque ticket it sends to the client in a NewSessionTicket message. The message includes an additional ‘extensions’ field named ‘BDP_data’. The client stores the session ticket and the ‘BDP_data’ field.

When the client reconnects to this server in 0-RTT mode, it pushes back this session ticket in the ClientHello and prepares itself to receive data in the context given by the ‘BDP_data’ field (The client does not send the ‘BDP_data’ field back to the server). The server receives the session ticket and extracts the BDP context. It uses this information to provide a throughput closer to the capacity of the path.

As the validity of the path characteristics may change over the time the server sets the age of the ticket (see section 4.2.11.1 of [RFC8446]) to a short duration or updates the ticket when the path characteristics of the current connection changes.

6. Discussion

The proposal made in this draft follows the approach of the extension field ‘early_data’ of the NewSessionTicket of TLS1.3. Deeper adaptations of the QUIC congestion control can improve the end-user experience in high BDP contexts but proposing it for other contexts may not be relevant. Indeed, designing either the data-center or the end-user stacks based on large BDP constraints can hardly be done if the deployment is not dedicated to this context (such as it would be the case with specific CDN). Adapting a generic data-center may not be viable since it may result in too aggressive behavior for more common BDP. Moreover, at the end-user side, adapting the stacks or the browser has been proposed in the past but the maintenance is complexed since web browsers’ versions often change.
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9. IANA Considerations

TBD: text is required to register the extension BDP_data field.

10. Security Considerations

The security is provided by the 1-RTT phase. The measure of BDP is made during a previous connection. The exchange and the information are protected both by the TLS encryption and the NewSessionTicket (see section 4.6.1 of [RFC8446]).

The BDP information the server will received is protected in the opaque session ticket. The ‘BDP_data’ field is visible by the client only. An client which does not trust the server transport adaptation ignores any session ticket associated to a ‘BDP_data’ field.
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