Abstract

This memo updates the registry of properties in Authentication-Results: message header fields to allow relaying of the results of an email sent using STARTTLS [RFC3207] or not.
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1. Introduction

STARTTLS [RFC3207] defines how to send an email over an SMTP [RFC5321] encrypted session between two mail servers.

This memo thus registers an additional reporting property allowing a TLS result to be relayed as an annotation in a message header.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

1.2. Discussion

STARTTLS [RFC3207] defines how to send an email over an encrypted session between two mail servers, Message Transfer Agent (MTA), using the TLS [RFC5246] protocol.

Most of these exchanges are opportunistic, meaning, a best effort is done to establish an encrypted message exchange regardless of the strength of the cipher or the validity of the certificates used. However, the results of this negotiation should be recorded in the message via the Authentication-Results header [RFC7001] to indicate to other message processing algorithms, including Messaging User Agents (MUA), how securely this message was transmitted from the MTA client to the MTA server.

2. Definitions
This memo adds to the "Email Authentication Methods" registry, created by IANA upon publication of [RFC7001], the following:

- The method "tls"; and
- Associated with that method, the properties (reporting items) "cert.client", "cert.server", "cert.cipher" and "cert.strength".

### 2.1. results meaning

The "tls" method can have the following results:

- **none**: the message was sent in clear.
- **pass**: the message was sent encrypted and the client certificate was verified valid.
- **fail**: the message was sent encrypted but the client certificate is not valid. It is advised to use comments to indicate the nature of the problem like certificate expired, not linked to a trusted CA,...
- **temperror**: the message was sent encrypted and the server was not able to verify the client certificate at this time. This may indicate for instance that the server could not fetch the CRL.
- **permerror**: the message was sent encrypted and the client certificate was not verified by the MTA server.

### 2.2. properties

- **cert.client**: the subject of the X.509 certificate used by the client to initiate TLS.
- **cert.server**: the subject of the X.509 certificate used by the server to initiate TLS (optional).
- **key.cipher**: the description of the TLS cipher suite used as defined in the IANA cipher suite registry.
- **key.strength**: as many SMTP TLS are opportunistic in nature this property is an arbitrary value set by the MTA server to indicate the strength of the encryption.
3. IANA Considerations

Per [IANA], the following items have been added to the "Email Authentication Methods" registry:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Defined</th>
<th>ptype</th>
<th>property</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tls</td>
<td>RFC 3207</td>
<td>cert</td>
<td>client</td>
<td>subject of client certificate section 4.1.26 of RFC 5280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tls</td>
<td>RFC 3207</td>
<td>cert</td>
<td>server</td>
<td>subject of server certificate section 4.1.26 of RFC 5280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tls</td>
<td>RFC 3207</td>
<td>key</td>
<td>cipher</td>
<td>IANA cipher suite registry description from RFC 5246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tls</td>
<td>RFC 3207</td>
<td>key</td>
<td>strength</td>
<td>low medium high</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also, the following items have been added to the "Email Authentication Result Names" registry:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Existing/New</th>
<th>Defined In</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>none</td>
<td>existing</td>
<td>RFC 7001</td>
<td>tls(added)</td>
<td>this memo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pass</td>
<td>existing</td>
<td>RFC 7001</td>
<td>tls(added)</td>
<td>this memo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fail</td>
<td>existing</td>
<td>RFC 7001</td>
<td>tls(added)</td>
<td>this memo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperror</td>
<td>existing</td>
<td>RFC 7001</td>
<td>tls</td>
<td>this memo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Security Considerations

This memo creates a mechanism for relaying STARTTLS [RFC3207] results using the structure already defined by [RFC7001]. The Security Considerations sections of those documents should be consulted.
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Appendix A. Authentication-Results Examples

This section presents an example of the use of this new header field to indicate TLS results.

A.1. TLS Results

A message that went over a successful TLS session:

Authentication-Results: mail-router.example.net;
dkim=pass (good signature) header.d=newyork.example.com
  header.b=oINEO8hg;
tls=pass (verified, expires 20140505)
tls.client="/C=US/ST=CA/L=ToonTown/O=ACME/CN=smtpe.example.com"
tls.cipher=TLS_RSA_WITH_RC4_128_SHA
tls.strength=medium;
Received: from newyork.example.com
  (newyork.example.com [192.0.2.250])
  by mail-router.example.net (8.11.6/8.11.6)
  for <recipient@example.net>
  with ESMTPS id i7PK0sH7021929;
  Fri, Feb 15 2002 17:19:22 -0800
DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; s=rashani;
  d=newyork.example.com;
  t=1188964191; c=relaxed/simple;
  h=From:Date:To:VBR-Info:Message-Id:Subject;
  bh=sEu28nfs9fuZGD/pSr7ANysbY3jtdaQ3Xv9xPQtS0m7=;
  b=oINEO8hgn/gnunsg ... 9n9ODSNFSDij3=
From: sender@newyork.example.com
Date: Fri, Feb 15 2002 16:54:30 -0800
To: meetings@example.net
Message-Id: <12345.abc@newyork.example.com>
Subject: here's a sample
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