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Abstract

This document proposes defines a new mechanism for discovering Kerberos services using DNS. This new mechanism extends the mechanism already defined in Kerberos V5 [RFC4120] and has four goals. First, reduce the number of DNS queries required to discover a Kerberos KDC. Second, provide DNS administrators more control over client behavior. Third, provide support for discovery of the MS-KKDCP transport. Fourth, define a discovery procedure for Kerberos password services.
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1. Introduction

Section 7.2.3 of Kerberos V5 [RFC4120] defines a procedure for discovering a KDC based on DNS SRV records. This method has three drawbacks. First, two DNS queries are required to locate a single service (one for UDP and one for TCP). Second, specifying UDP and TCP in separate records means that the DNS administrator has no control over client preferences for TCP or UDP. Third, any new transports for reaching the KDC (such as MS-KKDCP) will require new records and additional DNS queries.

The Kerberos Password [RFC3244] protocol has no defined procedure for discovery similar to the KDC method described above. Implementations have largely chosen a similar method to section 7.2.3 of Kerberos V5 [RFC4120], inheriting the same drawbacks outlined above.
This RFC defines three new URI DNS records [RFC7553]; one each for
KDC, Kerberos Password, and Kerberos Admin service discovery.

2. Document Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

3. Realm to Domain Translation

This document does not define a new mechanism for translating
Kerberos realms to DNS domains. The existing mechanism as defined in
section 7.2.3.1 of Kerberos V5 [RFC4120] MUST be followed.

4. Required URI Format

The following URI format MUST be supported by clients.

The URI format is comprised of text fields delimited by a colon (":")
character.

krb5srv:[flags]:transport:residual

See the Appendix for examples.

4.1. Scheme

This field identifies the URI scheme. Its value MUST be the string
"krb5srv".

4.2. Flags

This field contains a sequence of zero or more case-insensitive
caracters used individually to convey server attributes or feature
support (eg. "XYZ" indicates support for features X, Y, and Z.) for
the purpose of organizing the lookup results.

This field MUST be present even when no flags are provided, appearing
as two colons seperating the scheme and transport fields (eg.
"krb5srv::tcp:host").

Flags are not considered critical, therefore flags that are not used
or unknown to the implementation SHOULD be ignored.
4.2.1. Master Flag

The "m" flag signifies that the discovered server is a master server. The client SHOULD consider this server as one that would immediately see password changes and use it as a fallback for incorrect password errors.

4.3. Transport

This field contains a string to indicate the transport method to use when contacting the host specified in the URI.

4.4. Residual

This field contains information specific to the transport. It may contain sub-fields where such are defined in the transport specification.

5. Kerberos V5 KDC Service Discovery

In order to discover a KDC service location, the client MUST query the following URI DNS [RFC7553] record (REALM indicates the translation of the Kerberos realm to a DNS domain):

_kerberos.REALM

TTL, Class, URI, Priority, Weight and Target have the standard meanings as defined in RFC 2782 [RFC2782] and the URI DNS record type [RFC7553]. Target SHOULD contain one of the URI formats specified in this document.

6. Kerberos Password Service Discovery

In order to discover a password service location, the client MUST query the following URI DNS [RFC7553] record (REALM indicates the translation of the Kerberos realm to a DNS domain):

_kpasswd.REALM

TTL, Class, URI, Priority, Weight and Target have the standard meanings as defined in RFC 2782 [RFC2782] and the URI DNS record type [RFC7553]. Target SHOULD contain one of the URI formats specified in this document.
7. Kerberos Admin Service Discovery

In order to discover an admin service location, the client MUST query the following URI DNS [RFC7553] record (REALM indicates the translation of the Kerberos realm to a DNS domain):

```
_kerberos-adm.REALM
```

TTL, Class, URI, Priority, Weight and Target have the standard meanings as defined in RFC 2782 [RFC2782] and the URI DNS record type [RFC7553]. Target SHOULD contain one of the URI formats specified in this document.

8. Relationship to Existing Mechanism

If an existing discovery protocol is supported by a client, the client SHOULD perform the URI lookup as defined in this document first. If no URI record is found, the client MAY attempt discovery using another protocol.

9. IANA Considerations

This document establishes two registries with the following procedure, in accordance with [RFC5226]:

Registry entries are to be evaluated using the Specification Required method. All specifications must be published prior to entry inclusion in the registry. There will be a three-week review period by Designated Experts on the kitten@ietf.org mailing list. Prior to the end of the review, the Designated Experts must approve or deny the request. This decision is to be conveyed to both the IANA and the list, and should include reasonably detailed explanation in the case of a denial as well as whether the request can be resubmitted.

9.1. Kerberos Server Discovery Flags

This section specifies the IANA "Kerberos Server Discovery Flags" registry. This registry records the value and description for each flag.

9.1.1. Registration Template

Value: A single unique ASCII character that identifies the entry, excluding the colon character (":") since it is used as a field delimiter in the scheme outlined in this document.

Description: A brief description of the meaning of the value when it appears in the flags field.
Reference: A reference to the details of the flag.

9.1.2. Initial Registry Contents

- Value: m
- Description: The target is a master server.
- Reference: TBD

9.2. Kerberos Server Discovery Transport Types

This section specifies the IANA "Kerberos Server Discovery Transport Types" registry. This registry records the value, description, residual format, case-sensitive residual elements, default ports, and a reference for each type.

9.2.1. Registration Template

- Value: A unique value to identify the transport type within the transport field.
- Description: The name or description of the transport type.
- Residual Format: The format of the residual field that specifies the discovered target URL. Optional parts of the URL are enclosed in brackets.
- Case Sensitive: If any part of the residual format is case-sensitive, it is specified here.
- Default KDC Port: A number in the range of 1-65535 as the port used to contact the target URL when no port is specified and the lookup result is for a Kerberos server.
- Default Admin Service Port: A number in the range of 1-65535 as the port used to contact the target URL when no port is specified and the lookup result is for a Kerberos Admin server.
- Default Password Service Port: A number in the range of 1-65535 as the port used to contact the target URL when no port is specified and the lookup result is for a Kerberos Password server.
- Reference: A reference to the details of the transport type.

9.2.2. Initial Registry Contents
o Value: "udp"
  o Description: User Datagram Protocol
  o Residual Format: "host[:port]"
  o Case Sensitive: None
  o Default KDC Port: 88
  o Default Admin Service Port: 749
  o Default Password Service Port: 464
  o Reference: [RFC0768]

o Value: "tcp"
  o Description: Transport Control Protocol
  o Residual Format: "host[:port]"
  o Case Sensitive: None
  o Default KDC Port: 88
  o Default Admin Service Port: 749
  o Default Password Service Port: 464
  o Reference: [RFC0793]

o Value: "kkdcp"
  o Description: Kerberos Key Distribution Center Proxy Protocol
  o Residual Format: https://host[:port][/path]
  o Case Sensitive: [/path]
  o Default KDC Port: 443
  o Default Admin Service Port: 443
  o Default Password Service Port: 443
  o Reference: [MS-KKDCP]

10. Appendix

10.1. URI Format Examples

  o krb5srv:m:kkdcp:https://kdc.example.com:8080/path
  o krb5srv:m:udp:kdc.example.com
  o krb5srv::kkdcp:https://kdc2.example.com/path
  o krb5srv::tcp:192.168.1.20:1000
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