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Abstract

This document describes the Triple DES-CBC security transform for the Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP).
1. Introduction

The Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP) [AMS-esp] provides confidentiality and integrity by encrypting the data to be protected. This specification describes the ESP use of a variant of the Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode of the US Data Encryption Standard (DES) algorithm [FIPS-46, FIPS-46-1, FIPS-74, FIPS-81]. This variant, known as Triple DES (3DES), encrypts each block of the plaintext three times, each time with a different key [Tuchman79]. A recent book also provides information on 3DES [Schneier94].

All implementations that claim conformance or compliance with the Encapsulating Security Payload specification SHOULD implement this Triple DES-CBC transform.

Implementors should consult the most recent version of the IAB Standards [RFC-1610] for further guidance on the status of this document.

1.1. Keys

The secret 3DES key shared between the communicating parties is effectively 168 bits long. This key consists of three independent 56-bit quantities used by the DES algorithm. Each of the three 56-bit subkeys is stored as a 64-bit (eight octet) quantity, with the least significant bit of each octet used as a parity bit.

1.2. Initialization Vector

This mode of 3DES requires an Initialization Vector (IV) that is 8 octets in length.

Each datagram contains its own IV. Including the IV in each datagram ensures that decryption of each received datagram can be performed, even when other datagrams are dropped, or datagrams are re-ordered in transit.

The method for selection of the IV values is implementation dependent.

Note: A common technique is simply a counter, beginning with a randomly chosen value. Other implementations also exhibit unpredictability, usually through a pseudo-random number generator. Care should be taken that the periodicity of the
number generator is long enough to prevent repetition during the lifetime of the session key.

1.3. Data Size

The 3DES algorithm operates on blocks of 8 octets. This often requires padding after the end of the unencrypted payload data.

Both input and output result in the same number of octets, which facilitates in-place encryption and decryption.

On receipt, if the length of the data to be decrypted is not an integral multiple of 8 octets, then an error is indicated. The datagram is discarded, and an appropriate ICMP message is returned. The failure SHOULD be recorded in the system or audit log, including the cleartext values for the SAID, date/time, Source, Destination, and other identifying information.

1.4. Performance

Three DES-CBC implementations may be pipelined in series to provide parallel computation. At the time of writing, at least one hardware implementation can encrypt or decrypt at about 1 Gbps [Schneier94, p. 231].

2. Payload Format

+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| Security Association Identifier (SAID) |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| ~ Initialization Vector (IV) ~ |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| Payload Data |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| ... Padding | Pad Length | Data Type |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
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Security Association Identifier (SAID)

A 32-bit value identifying the Security Association for this datagram. If no Security Association has been established, the value of this field is zero.

Initialization Vector

The size of this field is variable, though for any given Security Association it has a particular known size. Its position and size is constant for all 3DES-CBC datagrams of the same SAID and IP Destination.

The field size MUST be a multiple of 32-bits. Octets are sent in network order.

The field may be longer or shorter than the 64-bits used by 3DES, to allow alignment of the Encrypted Data for convenient in-place decryption by the receiver. However, all conformant implementations MUST correctly process a 64-bit field size.

When the size is negotiated to 0-bits, no IV is used. This is primarily useful for highly random data, such as voice.

When the size is negotiated to 32-bits, a 64-bit value is formed from the 32-bit value followed by (concatenated with) the inverse of the 32-bit value.

When the size is negotiated to 96-bits or greater, the alignment of the actual 64-bit value within this field is negotiated by an additional parameter. Unused octets are filled with unspecified implementation dependent values, which are ignored on receipt.

It is the intent that the value not repeat during the lifetime of the encryption session key. The session key SHOULD be changed more frequently for shorter IVs.

This field is considered to be transparent, though most users will not be able to make sense of its contents.

Payload Data

The size of this field is variable. This field is opaque.

Prior to encryption and after decryption, the contents of this field begins with an entire IP datagram (IP-Mode), or an IP Payload header (Transport-Mode).
Padding

The size of this field is variable. This field is opaque.

Prior to encryption, it is filled with unspecified implementation dependent values.

After decryption, it MUST be ignored.

Pad Length

This field indicates the size of the Padding field. It does not include the Pad Length and Data Type fields. The value typically ranges from 0 to 7, but may be up to 255 to permit hiding of the actual data length.

This field is opaque. That is, the value is set prior to encryption, and is examined only after decryption.

Data Type

This field indicates the contents of the Payload Data field, using the IP Protocol/Payload value. Up-to-date values of the IP Protocol/Payload are specified in the most recent "Assigned Numbers" [RFC-1700].

This field is opaque. That is, the value is set prior to encryption, and is examined only after decryption.

For example, when encrypting an entire IP datagram (IP-Mode), this field will contain the value 4, which indicates IP-in-IP encapsulation.

3. Calculation

3.1. Algorithm

The 3DES-CBC algorithm is a simple variant on the DES-CBC algorithm. The DES function is replaced by three rounds of that function, an encryption followed by a decryption followed by an encryption, each with independent keys, k1, k2 and k3. Formally,

\[
\text{3DES-CBC: } C[n] = E[k3]( D[k2]( E[k1]( P[n] \text{ XOR } C[n-1] ))) \\
P[n] = C[n-1] \text{ XOR } D[k1]( E[k2]( D[k3]( C[n] )))
\]

\(E[k](X)\) indicates the DES encryption function with key \(k\) performed
upon block $X$.

$D[k](X)$ indicates the DES decryption function with key $k$ upon block $X$.

$P[n]$ indicates plaintext block $n$.

$C[n]$ indicates cyphertext block $n$.

A $\text{XOR} B$ indicates the bitwise exclusive-or of blocks $A$ and $B$.

Note that when all three keys ($k_1$, $k_2$ and $k_3$) are the same, 3DES-CBC is equivalent to DES-CBC. This property allows the 3DES hardware implementations to operate in DES mode without modification.

### 3.2. Encryption

Append zero or more octets of padding to the plain text, to make its modulo 8 length equal to 6.

Append a Pad Length octet containing the number of padding octets just added.

Append a Data Type octet containing the IP Protocol/Payload value which identifies the protocol header that begins the payload.

Provide an Initialization Vector (IV) of the form indicated.

Encrypt the payload with Triple DES in CBC mode, producing a cipher text of the same length.

Octets are mapped to DES blocks in network order. Octet 0 (modulo 8) of the payload corresponds to bits 1-8 of the 64-bit DES input block, while octet 7 (modulo 8) corresponds to bits 57-64 of the DES input block.

Construct a new IP datagram for that Destination, with the indicated SAID, IV, and payload.

The Total Length in the IP Header reflects the length of the encrypted data, plus the SAID, IV, padding, pad length, and data type octets.
3.3. Decryption

First, the SAID field is examined. This is used as an index into the local Security Association table to find the encryption algorithm identifier and decryption key.

The negotiated form of the IV determines the size of the IV field. These octets are removed, and an appropriate 64-bit IV value is constructed.

The encrypted part of the payload is decrypted using Triple DES in the CBC mode.

The Data Type is removed and examined. If it is unrecognized, the payload is discarded with an appropriate ICMP message.

The Pad Length is removed and examined. The specified number of pad octets are removed from the end of the decrypted payload, and the IP Total Length is adjusted accordingly.

The IP Header(s) and the remaining portion of the decrypted payload are passed to the protocol receive routine specified by the Data Type field.

Security Considerations

Users need to understand that the quality of the security provided by this specification depends completely on the strength of the Triple DES algorithm, the correctness of that algorithm’s implementation, the security of the key management mechanism and its implementation, the strength of the key [CN94], and upon the correctness of the implementations in all of the participating systems.

Among other considerations, applications may wish to take care not to select weak keys for any of the three DES rounds, although the odds of picking one at random are low [Schneier94, p. 233].

It was originally thought that DES might be a group, but it has been demonstrated that it is not [CW92]. Since DES is not a group, composition of multiple rounds of DES is not equivalent to simply using DES with a different key.

Triple DES with independent keys is not, as naively might be expected, as difficult to break by brute force as a cryptosystem with three times the keylength. A space/time tradeoff has been shown which can brute-force break triple block encryptions in the time
naively expected for double encryption [MH81].

However, 2DES can be broken with a meet-in-the-middle attack, without significantly more complexity than breaking DES requires [ibid], so 3DES with independent keys is actually needed to provide this level of security. An attack on 3DES using two independent keys that is somewhat (sixteen times) faster than any known for independent keys has been shown [OW91].

Although it is widely believed that 3DES is substantially stronger than DES, as it is less amenable to brute force attack, it should be noted that real cryptanalysis of 3DES might not use brute force methods at all. Instead, it might be performed using variants on differential [BS93] or linear [Matsui94] cryptanalysis. It should also be noted that no encryption algorithm is permanently safe from brute force attack, because of the increasing speed of modern computers.

As with all cryptosystems, those responsible for applications with substantial risk when security is breeched should pay close attention to developments in cryptography, and especially cryptanalysis, and switch to other transforms should 3DES prove weak.
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