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Abstract

Increasingly, hosts have more than one network interface active at any given point in time. Such multiplicity of interfaces leads to multiple and potentially conflicting (or overlapping) sets of configuration information and policies. How these are arbitrated and managed influence how the host resolves DNS queries, and—with respect to outgoing packets—how it selects a source address and an outgoing interface.
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1. Introduction

Increasingly, hosts have more than one network interface active at any given point in time. In such scenarios, it is important
to distinguish between host-wide and interface-specific networking configuration information and policies. In addition to these (hopefully) disjoint sets, such multiplicity of interfaces may lead to potentially conflicts or overlaps. The arbitration and management of these sets of policy or configuration influence how the host behaves: e.g., how it selects a source address and an outgoing interface with respect to outgoing packets, and how it resolves DNS queries.

This document explains how the Microsoft Windows family of operating systems handles multi-homing for hosts. Furthermore, it only discusses host behavior, not router behavior. It is offered to aid discussion with respect to the MIF BoF [MIFPS], and is not guaranteed to be correct nor complete. More detailed and authoritative information on Microsoft Corporation’s protocol implementations can be found in other sources such as MSDN (http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/default.aspx), the MCPP (http://www.microsoft.com/protocols/mcpp.mspx) or WSPP (http://www.microsoft.com/protocols/wspp/wspp.mspx) Protocol Documentation series.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

1.2. Terminology

Preferred interface - This is the first interface bound to the TCP/IP stack during bootup, but this ordering can be changed programmatically or via user interface. The preferred interface has been used in previous versions of Windows for routing and is also used for DNS query purposes.

2. Default Router Selection

It is possible to configure default routes for different interfaces, leading to more than one default router. This is not desirable, as it may lead to inconsistent results, given that interfaces may connect to disjoint networks. If there are multiple such default routes, the usual routing precedence decides which one is used. First, the interface with the lowest metric is preferred (generally, the faster
an interface, the lower its metric). If multiple interfaces share the same metric (e.g., because they have equal or very similar nominal speeds), then behavior changed starting with Windows Vista. Previously, the default route on the preferred interface is used.

With Windows Vista, such preferred interface is not used for routing. Instead, host-to-router load sharing [RFC4311] is used for both IPv4 and IPv6.

For more information, refer to [DefGateway].

3. Selecting the Proper Interface for Outgoing or Incoming Packets

For outgoing packets whose source address has not been determined previously by applications, the stack chooses from addresses assigned to its interfaces. Similarly, for incoming packets, valid destination addresses must match the address of one of its interfaces. Per host requirements [RFC1122], such choices depend on whether a host implements the strong or weak host model.

Windows has implemented the weak host model on IPv4 as follows: Windows 2000, Windows XP and Windows Server 2003. IPv6 has always implemented the strong host model (starting with Windows XP and Windows Server 2003). Starting with Windows Vista and Windows Server 2008, the strong host model became the default for IPv4 as well, although the weak model is still possible via per-interface configuration.

For IPv6, Windows follows [RFC3484]. Starting in Vista, Windows follows 3484 for IPv4 as well. Prior to Vista, IPv4 would choose the first address on the outgoing interface for all traffic where the application didn’t specify a source address.

For more information, see [StrongAndWeakHost] and [SrcDstSel].

4. DNS Configuration

A Windows host has host-wide as well as interface-specific configuration items.

4.1. Host-wide DNS configuration

Host-wide DNS configuration is input either via static configuration or via Microsoft’s Group Policy. The latter, however, is available only within deployments that make use of Active Directory (e.g., within corporate or enterprise environments). Upon joining an Active Directory domain, clients may receive such configuration.

The host-wide DNS configuration comprises the following:
. Primary DNS suffix - This is typically set to the domain name of the Active Directory in environments in which the host joins a domain. Otherwise, this can be set via static configuration. This can be set to something other than the Active Directory domain, and is sometimes unavoidable (e.g., upon corporate mergers), but is not recommended.

. Host-wide suffix list - Host-wide list of suffixes that can be appended to names being queried (see "DNS Query Behavior" below). Prior to Windows Vista, this would be appended to any unqualified multi-label query (e.g., to names not ending in a "."). As of Vista, this is by default only appended to single-label queries (although the previous behavior is still configurable).

Before Windows Vista and Windows Server 2008 there used to be a global DNS servers configuration which took precedence over the per-interface DNS servers (see below). This global value is now deprecated.

4.2. Interface-specific DNS configuration

Interface-specific DNS configuration is input either via static configuration or via DHCP.

. Interface-specific suffix list

. List of DNS server IP addresses - The first one is the "primary" with all others being secondary.

5. DNS Names and Dynamic DNS Behavior

TBD

6. DNS Query Behavior

The DNS Client service queries the DNS servers in the following order:

1. The DNS Client service sends the name query to the first DNS server on the preferred interface’s list of DNS servers and waits one second for a response.

2. If the DNS Client service does not receive a response from the first DNS server within one second, it sends the name query to the
first DNS servers on all interfaces that are still under consideration and waits two seconds for a response.

3. If the DNS Client service does not receive a response from any DNS server within two seconds, the DNS Client service sends the query to all DNS servers on all interfaces that are still under consideration and waits another two seconds for a response.

4. If the DNS Client service still does not receive a response from any DNS server, it sends the name query to all DNS servers on all interfaces that are still under consideration and waits four seconds for a response.

5. If it the DNS Client service does not receive a response from any DNS server, the DNS client sends the query to all DNS servers on all interfaces that are still under consideration and waits eight seconds for a response.

More information is available at sources such as [DNSWorks] (See, for example, "Overview of DNS Query Process" or [DNSClient]).

7. Security Considerations

TBD.

8. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA considerations.
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